



Agenda Date: 03/24/10  
Agenda Item: IVA-1

**STATE OF NEW JERSEY**  
**Board of Public Utilities**  
Two Gateway Center  
Newark, NJ 07102  
[www.nj.gov/bpu/](http://www.nj.gov/bpu/)

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF )  
VERIZON NEW JERSEY INC. AND BLC MANAGEMENT )  
LLC D/B/A ANGLES FOR APPROVAL OF AN )  
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION )  
252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996 )

ORDER APPROVING  
INTERCONNECTION  
AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO. TO09090769

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

Richard A. Chapkis, for Verizon, Newark, NJ  
Chris Melton, for BLC, Arlington, TN

BY THE BOARD:

By letter dated September 22, 2009, Verizon New Jersey Inc. ("Verizon"), a New Jersey corporation, and BLC Management LLC d/b/a Angles Communication Solutions ("BLC") (individually, "a Party", and jointly, "the Parties"), pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, P.L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (codified in scattered sections of 47 U.S.C. §151 et seq.) ("the Act"), submitted to the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") a joint application ("Application") for approval of a certain negotiated interconnection agreement dated September 4, 2009 ("the Agreement").

The Agreement sets forth the terms, conditions, and prices under which Verizon will offer and provide access to unbundled network elements, ancillary services, and wholesale telecommunications services available for resale to BLC. The Agreement is in effect until September 9, 2011 and thereafter, as noted in the Agreement, continues in full force and effect unless terminated as provided in the Agreement.

The Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") recommends that the Board approve the Agreement subject to conditions. The Board finds that Rate Counsel's objections to certain provisions of the Agreement and accompanying Letter Agreement are without merit, and accordingly, the Board declines to make modifications to the Agreement. See Order, I/M/O the Joint Application of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. and Ernest Communications, Inc. For Approval of an Interconnection Agreement Under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Docket No. TO02050287, dated September 18, 2002 (rejecting Rate Counsel's recommendation that Condition 3 of the Pricing Section of the Agreement is inconsistent with FCC rules).

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(a)(1), an incumbent LEC may negotiate and enter into a binding

interconnection agreement with a carrier requesting interconnection, service, or network elements. In addition, 47 U.S.C. §252(e)(1) requires approval by the Board of any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration, and further requires the Board to approve or reject the Agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. The Act provides that the Board may reject a negotiated agreement or any portion thereof only if it finds that: (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. [47 U.S.C. §252(e)(2)(A)].


The Board's review of the Agreement and the record in this matter indicate that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, and that the Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Board FINDS that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Act, and HEREBY APPROVES the Agreement as presented by the Parties. This approval should not be construed as preapproval of any future petitions for rate recovery of costs incurred pursuant to the Agreement, nor shall the Board be bound by any provisions within the Agreement regarding the confidentiality of information.


The Board notes that amendments or modifications to Board-approved interconnection agreements are subject to Board review and approval. No agreement shall be read, nor does the Board believe the Parties to the Agreement intend that it be read, to limit the authority of the Board under Section 252(e) of the Act to review interconnection agreements. Accordingly, until and unless otherwise provided by the Board, subsequent amendments or modifications to the Agreement approved herein shall be subject to review and approval by the Board. Additionally, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(h), a copy of the Agreement will be made available for public inspection and copying within ten days of the issuance of this Order.


DATED: 3/24/10

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
BY:

  
LEE A. SOLOMON  
PRESIDENT


  
JEANNE M. FOX  
COMMISSIONER

  
JOSEPH L. FIORDALISO  
COMMISSIONER


  
NICHOLAS ASSELTA  
COMMISSIONER

  
ELIZABETH RANDALL  
COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

  
KRISTI IZZO  
SECRETARY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public Utilities



Service List

Docket No. T009090769

Richard A. Chapkis  
Vice President, General Counsel  
Legal Department  
Verizon New Jersey  
540 Broad Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

Jimarli Figueiredo  
Board of Public Utilities  
Division of Telecommunications  
Two Gateway Center  
Newark, NJ 07102

Ronald K. Chen, Ratepayer Advocate  
Christopher White, Esq.  
Maria Novas-Ruiz, Esq.  
Division of Ratepayer Advocate  
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor  
P.O. Box 46005  
Newark, NJ 07101

Kenneth Sheehan, D.A.G.  
Jessica Campbell, D.A.G.  
Department of Law & Public Safety  
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

Chris Melton  
BLC  
11121 Highway 70, Suite 202  
Arlington, TN 38002