Agenda Date: 10/16/13 Agenda Item: VIIB



## STATE OF NEW JERSEY

**Board of Public Utilities** 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350 /uqd/vop.jn.www

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)			
PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS CO., Respondent	) ) )	BPU DOCKET NO. GC12110992U OAL DOCKET NO. PUC 11156-13	
V.	)		
CHERYL HENSLE, Petitioner	)	ORDER OF EXTENSION	
		CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE	

The Initial Decision of the Administrative Law Judge was received by the Board of Public Utilities (Board) on September 25, 2013; therefore, the 45-day statutory period for review and the issuing of a Final Decision will expire on November 12, 2013. Prior to that date, the Board requests an additional 45-day extension of time for issuing the Final Decision in order to fully review the record in this matter.

Good cause having been shown, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 52:14B-10(c) and N.J.A.C. 1:1-18.8, IT IS ORDERED that the time limit for the Board to render a Final Decision is extended until December 27, 2013.

DATED: 10/16/13

**BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES** 

PRESIDENT

ATTEST:

SECRETARY

<sup>1</sup> Authorized by Board to execute this Order of Extension on its behalf.

Date Board mailed Order to OAL: 101713

cc: Service List Attached

DATED: 10/17/13

LAURA SANDERS, ACTING

DIRECTOR & CHIEF

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Laur Sanders

Date OAL mailed executed Order to Board: 10/17/13 emailed

Date Board mailed executed Order to Parties: 1001113

## CHERYL HENSLE, Petitioner

V.

# PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, Respondent

BPU DOCKET NO. GC12110992U OAL DOCKET NO. PUC 11156-13

#### SERVICE LIST


Cheryl Hensle 419 Leonia Avenue Bogota, New Jersey 07603

Amanda Johnson, Esq.
PSEG Services Corporation
80 Park Plaza – T5G
Newark, New Jersey 07102-4194

Eric Hartsfield, Director Division of Customer Assistance Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350

Julie Ford-Williams, Chief Division of Customer Assistance Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350

Veronica Beke, DAG Division of Law 124 Halsey Street Post Office Box 45029 Newark, New Jersey 07101-45029





INITIAL DECISION

DISMISSAL

OAL DKT. NO. PUC11156-13

AGENCY DKT. NO. GC12110992U

CHERYL HENSLE,

Petitioner,

٧.

(on Remand PUC -0197-13)

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY,

Respondent.

Cheryl Hensle, pro se

Amanda Johnson, Esq., for respondent, Public Service Electric and Gas Company

Record Closed: September 17, 2013 Decided: September 20, 2013

BEFORE KIMBERLY A. MOSS, ALJ:

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Petitioner, Cheryl Hensle (Hensle) disputes bills by respondent, Public Service Electric and Gas (PSE&G). She alleges she was overbilled by PSE&G. Hensle's petition was filed with the Board of Public Utilities (Board) on November 8, 2012. The

matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and filed on April 24, 2012. A prehearing conference was scheduled for February 13, 2013. Hensle could not be reached. An in person pre-hearing was scheduled for March 19, 2013. On February 22, 2013 respondent filed a motion to dismiss for lack of standing. Petitioner responded on March 6, 2013. I dismissed the petition for lack of standing on March 14, 2013. On July 24, 2013, the Board of Public Utilities remanded the case for a determination of the following issues:

- Whether petitioner was the customer of record for 2011;
- Whether charges from a different service address were transferred to the
   Bogota account and if so who is the customer of records for that account.

In addition the Board took official notice of an informal complaint filed by Stephanie Hensle, who is the current customer of record at the Bogeta address. Although the Remand Order of the Board stated that the informal complaint of Stephanie Hensle was transmitted to OAL, I did not receive Stephanie Hensle's informal complaint. It appears that the informal complaint of Stephanie Hensle has not yet been received by OAL.

My secretary attempted to schedule a pre-hearing telephone conference, but could not reach Hensle by telephone. An in-person pre-hearing conference was scheduled for September 16, 2013, at 9:00a.m. Hensle did not appear. My secretary attempted to contact Hensle by phone. The answering machine stated that it was the Hensle residence. My secretary left a detailed message regarding the scheduled in-person pre-hearing conference.

## **FACTUAL DISCUSSION**

I FIND the following FACTS in this case.

Petitioner could not be reached by telephone to arrange a telephone pre hearing conference. Petitioner was sent notice on August 26, 2013, of an in-person pre-hearing conference scheduled for September 16, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. I waited until 10:15 a.m. but

petitioner did not appear for the in-person conference. My secretary attempted to contact Hensle by phone at approximately 10:00 a.m. Hensle could not be reached. A message was left for Hensle regarding the in-person hearing. To date, Hensle has not attempted to contact OAL regarding her failure to appear.

I have not received the informal complaint of Stephanie Hensle. I have not been able to locate the informal complaint of Stephanie Hensle at OAL.

## LEGAL ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-14.4(a),

If, after appropriate notice, neither a party nor a representative appears at any proceeding scheduled by the Clerk or judge, the judge shall hold the matter for one day before taking any action. If the judge does not receive an explanation for the nonappearance within one day, the judge shall, unless proceeding pursuant to (d) below, direct the Clerk to return the matter to the transmitting agency for appropriate disposition pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-3.3(b) and (c).

Therefore, in accord with N.J.A.C. 1:1-3.3(b) and (c) as mentioned above,

- (b) If the non-appearing party submits an explanation in writing, a copy must be served on all other parties and the other parties shall be given an opportunity to respond.
- (c) If the judge receives an explanation:
- If the judge concludes that there was good cause for the failure to appear, the judge shall reschedule the matter for hearing; or
- 2. If the judge concludes that there was no good cause for the failure to appear, the judge may refuse to reschedule the matter and shall issue an initial decision explaining the basis for that conclusion, or may reschedule the matter and, at his or her discretion, order any of the following . . .

I CONCLUDE that there was no good cause for petitioner's failure to appear. Hensle has not provided an explanation for her failure to appear at the in person prehearing conference on September 16, 2013.

For the above reasons, I CONCLUDE that petitioner failed to appear at the hearing and that this matter should be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

#### ORDER

It is ORDERED that Hensle's application for relief be DISMISSED pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-14.4, and I DIRECT the Clerk to return the matter to the Board of Public Utilities for appropriate disposition.

I hereby FILE my Initial Decision with the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES for consideration.

This recommended decision may be adopted, modified or rejected by the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, which by law is authorized to make a final decision in this matter. If the Board of Public Utilities does not adopt, modify or reject this decision within forty-five days and unless such time limit is otherwise extended, this recommended decision shall become a final decision in accordance with N.J.S.A. 52:14B-10.

## OAL DKT. NO. PUC11156-13

Within thirteen days from the date on which this recommended decision was mailed to the parties, any party may file written exceptions with the SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, 44 South Clinton Avenue, P.O. Box 350, Trenton, NJ 08625-0350, marked "Attention: Exceptions." A copy of any exceptions must be sent to the judge and to the other parties.

4-20-13		
DATE	KIMBERLY A. MOSS, ALJ	
Date Received at Agency:	Jaur Danielo	
Date Mailed to Parties:	SEP 2 3 2013 DIRECTOR AND CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	