

Agenda Date: 8/19/15 Agenda Item: 5C

WATER

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350 www.nj.gov/bpu/

		The state of the s
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER, INC., FOR APPROVAL OF AN INCREASE IN RATES FOR WATER SERVICE AND)	ORDER ADOPTING INITIAL DECISION/STIPULATION
OTHER TARIFF CHANGES))	BPU DOCKET NO. WR15020269 OAL DOCKET NO. PUC 03172-2015N

Parties of Record:

Stephen B. Genzer, Esq., Saul Ewing, LLP, on behalf of United Water Toms River Inc. **Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director**, New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel

BY THE BOARD: 1

On February 27, 2015, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.A.C. 14:1-5.12 and other related statutes and regulations, United Water Toms River Inc. ("UWTR", "Company," or "Petitioner"), a public utility of the State of New Jersey subject to the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Utilities ("Board"), filed a Petition seeking to increase and revise its rates and charges for water service and to make other tariff changes. The Company requested a rate increase amounting to approximately \$5,208,512 or 16.85% above present rate revenues totaling \$30,907,087.

By this Order, the Board considers the Initial Decision recommending adoption of the Stipulation of Settlement ("Stipulation") executed by the Company, the Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") and Board Staff (collectively the "Parties") agreeing to an overall increase in revenues totaling \$2,800,000 representing an overall 9.06% increase above total Company revenues at present rates of \$30,907,087.

BACKGROUND/PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Company services approximately 50,000 customers located in the central portion of Ocean County, specifically in the municipalities of Toms River Township (formerly known as Dover Township), the Borough of South Toms River, a portion of Berkeley Township, and seven customers in a small portion of Brick Township.

¹ Commissioner Upendra J. Chivukula recused himself due to a potential conflict of interest and as such took no part in the discussion or deliberation of this matter.

The increase in rates was proposed to become effective on March 31, 2015.² By Order dated April 15, 2015, with an effective date of April 25, 2015, the Board initially suspended the Company's proposed rate increase until July 31, 2015. On July 22, 2015, with an effective date of July 31, 2015, the Board further suspended the Company's proposed rate increase until November 30, 2015, unless the Board prior to that date makes a determination disposing of the petition. Petitioner did not seek interim rate relief pending final determination of this Petition.

This matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") on March 4, 2015, and Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Leland S. McGee was assigned to hear the case. A telephone Pre-hearing Conference was held by ALJ McGee on April 9, 2015, outlining the issues in the case, setting forth a procedural schedule and scheduling the matter for hearings should the Parties have been unable to reach a settlement agreement fully disposing of all the issues.

After proper notice was given, a public hearing in the service territory was held on the evening of May 28, 2015, in Bayville, New Jersey. Several members of the public were in attendance and predominantly spoke out in opposition of the proposed rate increase and focused their comments primarily on the adverse financial impact the proposed rate increase would have upon them, while only a few members spoke about various water quality issues they were experiencing. The Company has followed up with those customers and responded to discovery from both Rate Counsel and Board Staff addressing the water quality issues that were raised at the public hearing.

Subsequent to the public hearing, the Parties to the proceeding engaged in numerous settlement negotiations and as a result of those discussions, the Parties reached a settlement on all issues and subsequently executed a Stipulation. On August 6, 2015, ALJ McGee issued his Initial Decision in this matter recommending adoption of the Stipulation executed by the Parties, finding that they had voluntarily agreed to the Stipulation and that it fully disposes of all the issues and is consistent with the law.

DISCUSSIONS AND FINDINGS

Among the provisions of the Stipulation³, the Parties recommend that the Company's base rates be increased by \$2,800,000, representing an approximate 9.06% increase over total Company revenues of \$30,907,087. The Parties further recommend a rate base amount totaling \$99,400,000 with a test year ending June 30, 2015, adjusted for known and measurable changes and that the Company be authorized a return on equity of 9.75%. The Parties have further agreed this return on equity will calculate an overall authorized rate of return of 7.65%. derived from the overall capital structure of 53.00% equity with a cost rate of 9.75% and 47.00% long-term debt with a cost rate of 5.28%. In addition, the Parties also agreed that the stipulated rate increase includes a consolidated tax adjustment. The Parties agree and recommend that

the Stipulation, the terms of the Stipulation control, subject to the findings and conclusions in this Order.

² On March 13, 2015, the Company filed a letter with the Board stating that it will not implement rates on an interim basis prior to the effective date of the Board's Suspension Order resulting from the Board's April 15, 2015 Agenda Meeting.

³ Although described in the Order at some length, should there be any conflict between this summary and

the tariff pages⁴ attached as Exhibit A to the Stipulation, implementing the terms of the Stipulation, should be approved in their entirety by the Board. The proposed tariff pages reflect an increase of approximately 11.52% for General Metered Service [Rate Schedule No. 1]. The tariff pages also reflect the following changes:

- (a) The agreed-upon tariff includes a 5/8" meter fixed monthly charge of \$11.50;
- (b) The agreed-upon tariff includes a volumetric charge of \$7.1275 per thousand gallons;
- (c) The Public Fire Service Rate [Rate Schedule No. 2] has been revised to reflect an overall increase of 1.97%; and
- (d) There is no increase in the Private Fire Service rate [Rate Schedule No. 3].

Also attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation is a Proof of Revenues supporting the proposed tariff, attached as Exhibit A to the Stipulation, based upon the agreements made among the Parties to the Stipulation. The Parties additionally agree and recommend the proposed tariff language revisions for non-revenue changes, reflected in Exhibit A to the Petition hereto, be approved.

Pursuant to the Stipulation, the water service customer revenue rate impact is as follows:

The average bill for a general metered residential customer with a 5/8" meter using 5,000 gallons of water a month (<u>i.e.</u> 60,000 gallons per year) will increase by \$4.72 per month, from \$42.42 to \$47.14 (\$509.04 per year to \$565.68 per year) or an increase of approximately 11.13%.

The Board is mindful of the impact that any rate increase has on customers. However, having reviewed the record in this matter, including ALJ McGee's Initial Decision and the Stipulation, the Board <u>FINDS</u> that the Parties have voluntarily agreed to the Stipulation, and that the Stipulation fully disposes of all issues in this proceeding and is consistent with the law. In reaching this decision, the Board must balance the needs of the ratepayer to receive safe, adequate and proper service at reasonable rates, while allowing the utility the opportunity to earn a fair rate of return. See <u>FPC v. Hope Natural Gas</u>, 320 U.S. 591 (1944); <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 48:2-21 and <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 48:3-1. Therefore, the Board <u>FINDS</u> the Initial Decision, which adopts the Stipulation, to be reasonable, in the public interest, and in accordance with the law. Therefore, the Board <u>HEREBY ADOPTS</u> the Initial Decision and the Stipulation, attached hereto, including all attachments and schedules, as its own, incorporating by reference the terms and conditions of the Stipulation, as if they were fully set forth at length herein, subject to the following:

- a. The tariff sheets attached to the Stipulation containing rates and charges conforming to the Stipulation and designed to produce the additional revenues to which the Parties have stipulated herein are **HEREBY ACCEPTED**; and
- b. The stipulated increase and the tariff design allocations for each customer classification are **HEREBY ACCEPTED**.

⁴ The Company also proposed certain non-revenue changes and corrections to its tariff in Exhibit A to the Petition. The Parties have reviewed those proposed corrections and changes, and agree that they should be accepted.

Based upon the forgoing, the Board <u>HEREBY APPROVES</u> an overall increase in revenues in the amount of \$2,800,000 representing an approximate 9.06% increase over total Company revenues at present rates totaling \$30,907,087.

The Board <u>HEREBY ORDERS</u> the Company to submit complete revised tariff conforming to the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and this Order within five (5) days from the date of this Order.

This Order shall be effective on August 29, 2015.

DATED:

8/19/15

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

BY:

RICHARD S. MRC

JOSEPH L. FIORDALISO COMMISSIONER

MARY-ANNA HOLDEN COMMISSIONER

DIANNE SOLOMON COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

IRENE KIM ASBURY SECRETARY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public Utilifia

4

In the Matter of the Petition of United Water Toms River Inc., for Approval of an Increase in Rates for Water Service and Other Tariff Changes

BPU Docket No. WR15020269 OAL Docket No. PUC03172-2015N

SERVICE LIST

Stephen B. Genzer, Esq. Saul Ewing, LLP One Riverfront Plaza, 5th floor Newark, NJ 07102

Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel 140 East Front Street, 4th Floor Post Office Box 003 Trenton, NJ 08625-0003



INITIAL DECISION SETTLEMENT

OAL DKT. NO. PUC 03172-15 AGENCY DKT. WR15020269

I/M/O THE PETITION OF UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER INC. FOR AN APPROVAL OF AN INCREASE IN RATES FOR WATER SERVICE AND OTHER TARRIFF CHANGES.

Stephen B. Genzer, Esq., for petitioner United Water Toms River (Saul Ewing, attorneys)

Debra F. Robinson, Esq., Deputy Rate Counsel, and Christine Juarez, Esq.,
Assistant Deputy Rate Counsel for intervener the Division of Rate
Counsel (Stephanie Brand, Director, attorney)

Alex Moreau, David Wand and Christopher Psihoules, Deputy Attorneys
General, for the Staff of the Board of Public Utilities (John J. Hoffman,
Acting Attorney General of New Jersey, attorneys)

Record Closed: August 6, 2015

Decided: August 6, 2015

BEFORE **LELAND S. McGEE**, ALJ:

On March 4, 2015, this matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) for hearing as a contested case pursuant to N.J.S.A. 52:14B-1 to-15 and N.J.S.A. 52:14F 1 to-13. A Public Hearing was held on May 28, 2015, in Bayville, New Jersey. During the pendency of the case at the OAL, the parties reached a Settlement. A Settlement Agreement was prepared and executed indicating the terms of the agreement, which are incorporated herein by reference.

I have reviewed the record and the terms of settlement and I FIND:

- 1. The parties have voluntarily agreed to the settlement as evidenced by their agreement or their representatives' agreement as set forth above.
- 2. The settlement fully disposes of all issues in controversy and is consistent with the law.

I CONCLUDE that this agreement meets the requirements of N.J.A.C. 1:1-19.1 and that the settlement should be approved. I approve the settlement and therefore ORDER that the parties comply with the settlement terms and that these proceedings be concluded.

I hereby FILE my initial decision with the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES for consideration.

OAL DKT. NO. PUC 13365-12

Attachment

This recommended decision may be adopted, modified or rejected by the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, which by law is authorized to make a final decision in this matter. If the Board of Public Utilities does not adopt, modify or reject this decision within forty-five days and unless such time limit is otherwise extended, this recommended decision shall become a final decision in accordance with N.J.S.A. 52:14B-10.

August 6, 2015 DATE	LELAND S. MCGEE, ALJ
Date Received at Agency:	August 6, 2015
Date Mailed to Parties:	· · · ·

STATE OF NEW JERSEY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AN INCREASE IN RATES FOR WATER SERVICE AND OTHER TARIFF CHANGES STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

BPU DOCKET NO. WR15020269 OAL DKT. NO. PUC03172-2015N

APPEARANCES:

Stephen B. Genzer, Esq., and Colleen A. Foley, Esq., Saul Ewing LLP, on behalf of United Water Toms River Inc., Petitioner

T. David Wand, Deputy Attorney General, and Christopher Psihoules, Deputy Attorney General (John J. Hoffman, Acting Attorney General of New Jersey), on behalf of the Staff of the Board of Public Utilities

Brian O. Lipman, Esq., Litigation Manager, Debra F. Robinson, Esq., Deputy Rate Counsel, and Christine M. Juarez, Esq., Assistant Deputy Rate Counsel (Stefanie A. Brand, Director), on behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel

TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:

The Parties in this proceeding are United Water Toms River Inc., ("Petitioner" or "Company"), the Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel"), and the Staff of the Board of Public Utilities ("Staff"). As a result of an analysis of Petitioner's pre-filed testimony and exhibits, extensive discovery, and a public hearing held on May 28, 2015, in Bayville, New Jersey, the Petitioner, Staff and Rate Counsel (collectively, the "Signatory Parties") have come to an agreement on the issues in dispute in this matter. The Signatory Parties hereto agree and stipulate as follows:

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. On or about February 27, 2015, Petitioner, a public utility corporation of the State of New Jersey, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.A.C. 14:1-5.12, filed a petition with the Board of Public Utilities ("Board" or "BPU") to increase rates for water service and to make other tariff changes. Specifically, the Petitioner requested approval to increase rates to produce additional revenues of approximately \$5,208,512 or approximately 16.85% above current revenues. The test year in this proceeding was proposed to be the twelve-month period ending June 30, 2015, adjusted for known and measurable changes.
- 2. The Board transmitted this matter to the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL"), and Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Leland S. McGee was assigned to hear the case. Pursuant to an Order effective April 25, 2015, the Board suspended rates until July 31, 2015, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21. The Board issued a second suspension Order, effective July 31, 2015, further suspending rates until November 30, 2015. A telephone Pre-Hearing Conference was convened by ALJ McGee on April 9, 2015.
- 3. Extensive discovery was conducted by the Signatory Parties with the Petitioner providing responses to hundreds of data requests. After proper notice, a public hearing was held in the service territory of the Petitioner during the evening of May 28, 2015 at the Berkeley Township Municipal Building in Bayville, New Jersey. Several members of the public appeared and commented on the proposal and various water quality issues. The Company has investigated the water quality concerns raised at the Public Hearing, and responded to discovery from Staff and Rate Counsel on those issues. Most participants at the public hearing stated their opposition to the rate increase.

4. Numerous settlement discussions were held over the course of two months, and the agreements reached during those discussions have resulted in the following stipulation by the Signatory Parties.

RATE INCREASE

5. The Signatory Parties agree that for purposes of this settlement, rate base is established at \$99,400,000. The Signatory Parties agree that, for the purposes of this settlement only, an overall rate of return of 7.65% will be used, including a 9.75% return on equity and a 5.28% overall cost of long term debt, and utilizing a capital structure including 47% Long Term Debt and 53% Common Equity. The calculation of the additional revenue requirement amount is as follows:

	(000s)
Rate Base	\$ 99,400
Rate of Return	7.65%
Required Operating Income	7,604
Test Year Operating income	6,051
Deficiency	1,553
Revenue Conversion Factor	1.804131
Revenue Requirement	\$2,800

6. The Signatory Parties stipulate to a total revenue increase for the Petitioner of \$2,800,000 (the "Stipulated Rate Increase") which equates to a 9.06% increase, and recommend to the ALJ and the Board that this increase is an appropriate resolution of this matter. The Signatory Parties further acknowledge that any increase or resolution of any issue agreed to in this Stipulation shall become effective upon service of the Board Order approving this Stipulation on all parties of record unless a later date is indicated in the Board Order, consistent with N.J.S.A. 48:2-40. The Signatory Parties agree that the level of revenues resulting from the Stipulated Rate Increase is necessary to ensure that the Petitioner will continue to provide safe, adequate, and proper water service to its customers.

- 7. The Signatory Parties acknowledge that the Stipulated Rate Increase includes a consolidated tax adjustment.
- 8. The Signatory Parties agree that the tariff pages attached as Exhibit A, implementing the terms of this Stipulation, should be adopted by the Administrative Law Judge and the Board in their entirety. The agreed upon tariff includes a 5/8 inch meter fixed monthly charge of \$11.50, with larger meter sizes charging proportional fixed charges based on the size of meter. While the Signatory Parties have agreed that Public Fire charges have increased 2%, there is no increase to Private Fire Meter charges. Attached as Exhibit B is a Proof of Revenues for Petitioner supporting these tariffs based upon the agreements among the Signatory Parties as implemented.
- 9. The proposed base rate increase incorporates the entirety of the April 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015 DSIC recovery period. Additionally, the only other DSIC projects eligible to be included in the base rate case are those that were placed in-service between April 1, 2015 and June 30, 2015, the end of the test year. The DSIC Foundational Filing approved by the Board on March 19, 2014 in BPU Docket No. WR13111128 shall end as of the date of the Board's Order in this base rate case, and the Signatory Parties are aware of the Company's intention to file in the future a new DSIC Foundational Filing pursuant to BPU regulations. Furthermore, the Company is aware that a new DSIC Foundational Filing must be approved by the Board before any new DSIC investment and DSIC rate recovery can occur, (N.J.A.C. 14:9-10.4(b)(6)), and that the DSIC rate shall be reset to zero at the conclusion of this base rate case.
- 10. This Stipulation is the product of extensive negotiations by the Signatory Parties, and it is an express condition of the settlement embodied by this Stipulation that it be presented to the Board in its entirety without modification or condition. It is also the intent of the

Signatory Parties to this Stipulation that this settlement, once accepted and approved by the Board, shall govern all issues specified and agreed to herein. The Signatory Parties to this Stipulation specifically agree that if adopted in its entirety by the Board, no appeal shall be taken by them from the order adopting same as to those issues upon which the Signatory Parties have The Signatory Parties agree that the within Stipulation reflects mutual stipulated herein. balancing of various issues and positions, and is intended to be accepted and approved in its entirety. Each term is vital to this Stipulation as a whole, since the Signatory Parties hereto expressly and jointly state that they would not have signed this Stipulation had any terms been modified in any way. In the event any particular aspect of this Stipulation is not accepted and approved by the Board, then any Signatory Party hereto materially affected thereby shall not be bound to proceed under this Stipulation. The Signatory Parties further agree that the purpose of this Stipulation is to reach fair and reasonable rates, with any compromises being made in the spirit of reaching an agreement. None of the Signatory Parties shall be prohibited from or prejudiced in arguing a different policy or position before the Board in any other proceeding, as such agreements pertain only to this matter and to no other matter.

11. This Stipulation may be executed in as many counterparts as there are Signatory Parties of this Stipulation, each of which counterparts shall be an original, but all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument.

UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER INC.

August 4, 2015	Ву:	Collen X. Poley	
Date	•	Saul Ewing LLP	
		Colleen A. Foley, Esq.	
		Stephen B. Genzer, Esq.	
		Attorney for Petitioners	

JOHN J. HOFFMAN
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
Attorney for the Staff of the New Jersey
Board of Public Utilities

By: T. David Wand De

7. David Wand Deputy Attorney General Christopher M. Psihoules, Deputy Attorney General

STEFANIE A. BRAND, ESQ. DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RATE COUNSEL

8/5/15 Date

Brian O. Lipman, Esq.
Litigation Manager
Debra F. Robinson, Esq.
Deputy Rate Counsel
Christine M. Juarez, Esq.

Assistant Deputy Rate Counsel

*			
·			
			•

UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER BPU NO. 9 - WATER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Index to Standard Terms and Conditions

Territory Served		Sheet No. 1
Standard Terms and Conditions	Section 1	Sheet Nos.1 to 38
Forms and Applications Rate Schedules	Section 2 Section 3	Sheet No. 1 Sheet Nos. 1 to 12
Applicable to Entire Territory Served For:	Rate <u>Schedule</u>	Sheet <u>No.</u>
For Metered Service	1	1
Public Fire Protection Services	2	3
Private Fire Protection Services	3	4
Building Construction Services	5	7
Miscellaneous Services Restoration of Service Charge Meter Reset Charge Meter Repair and Replacement Meter Testing Charge Remote Meter Repair Charge Bad check charge Hydrant Flow Test	6 6 6 6 6 6	9 9 10 10 11 11
DSIC	7	13

Filed pursuant to an April 29, 2013 decision by the Board of Public Utilities in Docket No. WR12090830

Issued:

May 1, 2013

Effective: May 1, 2013 Docket No. WR12090830

By:

David Stanton, President 200 Old Hook Road, Harrington Park, New Jersey 07640

SECTION 1

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Issued:

February 2, 2009

Ву:

Dennis Ciemniecki, President 200 Old Hook Road, Harrington Park, New Jersey 07640

Effective: November 7, 2008 Docket No. WR08030139

Section 1
First Revised Sheet No. 10
Superseding Original Sheet No. 10

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

4. WATER MAIN EXTENSIONS

- 4.1 Applications for water main extensions shall be made in person, by telephone or by mail, at the Customer Service Office of the Company. Such applications shall be complete with all required forms. Upon receipt of such complete application, the Company will review and advise the applicant as to the most suitable plan for installing the proposed water main extension and the probable cost to the applicant.
- 4.2 Distribution mains will be extended only in public streets or highways provided that the consent of the public body responsible for the repair and maintenance of such streets or highways is first obtained, or in new streets or highways, not yet accepted, but which have been laid out according to an accepted plan approved by the appropriate authority. The Company will require an easement in cases where the streets or highways have not yet been accepted. In no case, however, will distribution mains be installed until streets or highways have been rough graded to an established and approved grade.
- 4.3 Water main extensions will be installed and deposits will be required pursuant to agreements between the Company and the applicant.
- 4.4 Each water main extension shall become part of the distribution system and shall be owned, maintained and controlled by the Company.
- The Company will extend water service in accordance with all applicable laws of the State and Board of Public Utilities regulations and orders including but not limited to those regulations contained in N.J.A.C. 14:3-1, N.J.A.C. 14:3-6, N.J.A.C. 14:3-8, and N.J.A.C. 14:3-10.

Filed pursuant to a XXXXXXX decision by the Board of Public Utilities in Docket No. WR15020269.

Issued:

Effective:

Docket No. WR15020269

Ву:

David Stanton, President

461 From Road, Paramus NJ 07652

Section 2 Original Sheet No.1

UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER BPU NO. 9 - WATER

Section 1
Second Revised Sheet No. 12
Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 12

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 5.5 A curb stop will be installed by the Company at or near the curb line, in such a manner as to permit the attachment of the customer's connecting pipe. Where the service pipe is two inches or greater in diameter, a valve will be installed at the tap for the purpose of turning on and shutting off water. Only Company employees or persons duly authorized by the Company are permitted to operate the curb stop or valve.
- Unless otherwise agreed to by the Company, no premises shall be supplied by more than one service pipe. Where two or more **end users** are supplied through a single service pipe, a suitable location, approved by the Company, shall be provided for the meter.
- 5.7 Any change requested by the Customer in the location of the existing service pipe, provided such change is approved by the Company, shall be made at the expense of the Customer, who shall pay in advance the Company's estimated cost of such change.
- 5.8 Where a service pipe is available in front of a new customer's premises, said customer may obtain a larger size service pipe without charge; provided such service is established on a permanent basis. Any subsequent request for a reduction in the size of the meter shall be subject to Company approval and the payment by the customer of the difference between the cost of installing the large size service pipe and the cost of installing a service pipe normally installed for meters of the size requested by the customer.
- 5.9 Where a service pipe is available in front of a new customer's premises, said customer may obtain a smaller size meter without charge; provided such service is established on a permanent basis.
- 5.10 Where a large size service pipe has been installed at the request of a customer, in front of the premises not previously supplied, any subsequent request for a reduction in the size of the meter shall be subject to Company approval and the payment by the customer of the difference between the cost of installing the large size service pipe and the cost of installing a service pipe normally installed for meters of the size requested by the customer.

Filed pursuant to an XXXXXX decision by the Board of Public Utilities in Docket No. WR15020269

Issued:

Effective:

Docket No. WR15020269

issucu

By:

David Stanton, President

461 From Road, Paramus NJ 07652

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- As an additional condition to providing fire protection service, the Company requires the applicant to supply: (1) the name and address of the insurance company that provides the applicant with fire protection insurance for the property listed on the application; and (2) the policy number under which the fire protection is being provided, in accord with N.J.A.C. 14:3-3.2. Failure to provide this information may result in termination of service.
- On a semiannual basis, the Company shall solicit from its fire protection customers: (1) the name and address of the insurance company providing fire protection insurance at the time; and (2) the policy number under which the fire protection is being provided, in accord with N.J.A.C. 14:3-7.2. The customer must respond to the Company's request for information within 14 days of the customer's receipt of the request. Failure to provide this information may result in termination of service.
- No water should be used through private fire protection facilities except for permitted testing purposes or in case of fire. However, the Company must be notified at least 72 hours prior to the testing of any fire protection connection and shall be given the opportunity to witness said test.
- 8.2 The charges for private fire protection service are as follows:
 - The charge for private fire protection service is based on the size of the meter and shall be in accordance with Rate Schedule No. 3. The customer shall not be charged for water used solely for fire extinguishing purposes or for permitted testing purposes not in excess of 400 cubic feet per month. If water used for such purposes is billed, the customer shall be entitled to a refund upon notifying the Company of any payments made for water used solely for such purposes. Water used for any other purpose, however, shall be billed in accordance with the consumption charges shown on Rate Schedule No. 1. If requested by the Company, the customer will be required to furnish the Company with information as to the use of water for said fire extinguishing or testing purposes.
 - The charge for private unmetered fire protection service through hydrants owned and maintained by the Company or customer shall be in accordance with Rate Schedule No. 3 of this Tariff and is based on the service opening size installed to the company owned main. The rate charged based on service opening size installed to the company owned main is applicable to all new connections after May 1, 2013. For connections made prior to May 1, 2013, customers will continue to be billed in the same manner as they had previously been billed.
 - (c) Bills for private fire protection service shall be rendered monthly.
 - Private fire protection shall not be charged where the private fire service is rendered after a master meter on a multi-use service. A master meter on a multi-use service will be billed pursuant to Rate Schedule No. 1.

Filed pursuant to an XXXXXXX decision by the Board of Public Utilities in Docket No. WR15020269

Issued:

Docket No. WR15020269

David Stanton, President 461 From Road, Paramus NJ 07652

UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER BPU NO. 9 - WATER

SECTION 3 RATE SCHEDULES

Issued:

Ву:

David Stanton, President 461 From Road, Paramus NJ 07652 Effective:

Docket No. WR15020269

UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER Summary of Revenue at Present and Proposed Rates

Line No.	Description	Pro Forma ending December, 2015 at present Rates		Pro Forma As Stipulated	Percentage Increase	
			(4)	 (5)	(6)	
1	Metered Revenue	\$	28,221,608	\$ 31,472,904	11.52%	
2	Private Fire		1,383,633	1,383,633	0.00%	
3	Public Fire		574,362	585,684	1.97%	
4	Total Fire Services	***************************************	1,957,995	1,969,317	0.58%	
5	Total Revenue From Sales		30,179,603	33,442,221	10.81%	
6	Other Revenue					
7	Miscellaneous Revenue		264,648	264,648	0.00%	
8	Unbilled Revenue	***************************************		 		
9	Total Other Revenue		264,648	264,648	0.00%	
10	Total Operating Revenue		30,444,251	 33,706,869	10.72%	
11	DSIC Revenue		462,836	0		
12	TOTAL	\$	30,907,087	\$ 33,706,869	9.06%	
13	Target			\$ 33,707,087	9.06%	
14	Rounding			\$ (218)		
	·					
15	Consumption mgl		3,270,160	3,270,160		

UNITED WATER TOMS RIVER

Proof of Revenue v1

Rates Effective Tentative August XX, 2015

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1			resent Rate		Pro Fo	rma at Propo		
2	for the Twelve M	onths Ende	d Decembe	r 31, 2015		As Stipulat	ed	1
								1
2	Chad Chara				Thurst Chauses			I
3	Fixed Charges				Fixed Charges			İ
4	Monthly				Monthly			1
	TENDINGA				Taronna			
5	Meter	Number			Meter	Number		1
6	Size	of Bills	Rate	Total	Size	of Bills	Rate	Total
•			2.322			22.27.454	11000	
7	5/8"	481,272	8.50	4,090,812	5/8"	481,272	11.50	5,534,628
8	3/4"	75,480	12.75	962,370	3/4"	75,480	17,25	1,302,030
9	1"	17,532	21.25	372,555	1 -5 **	17,532	28.75	504,045
10	1 1/2"	3,108	42.50	132,090	1 1/2"	3,108	57.50	178,710
11	2"	3,108	68.00	211,344	2"	3,108	92.00	285,936
12	3"	708	127,50	90,270	2" 3"	708	172.50	122,130
13	4 ^a	480	212,50	102,000	4"	480	287,50	138,000
14	6"	96	425.00	40,800	6"	96	575.00	55,200
15	8"	48	680,00	32,640	8"	48	920.00	44,160
16	10"		977.50	-	10"	-	1,322.50	- 1
17	12"				12"		1,897.50	
18		581,832		6,034,881		581,832		8,164,839
								1
19	Consumption Charge	15			Consumption Charges			1
20	All Marks and Constants on	_						1
20	All Metered Customers	3	Data.		All Metered Customers		m	1
21	l vc		Rate	T-1-1	NC!		Rate	T
22	MGL		Per Mgl	<u>Total</u>	MGL.		Per Mgl	<u>Total</u>
23	3,270,160		\$6.7846	22,186,727	3,270,160		7.1275	23,308,065
2.3	3,210,100		\$0.7040	22,100,121	3,270,100		7.1275	23,308,003
	1							1
24	Total Metered Reveni	ue		28,221,608	Total Metered Revenue		-	31,472,904
					1		-	
								1
25	Fire Services				Fire Services			1
	j				1			
26	1	Number		1		Number		1
27	Private Fire	of Bills	Rate	Total	Private Fire	of Bills	Rate	Total
28	1 1/2"	-	19.44		1 1/2"		19.44	
29	5.,	636	34.72	22,082	2"	636	34,72	22,082
30	3"	1,032	78.51	81,022	3*	1,032	78.51	81,022
31	4"	1,968	138,90	273,355	4"	1,968	138,90	273,355
32	6"	1,284	312,63	401,417	6"	1,284	312.63	401,417
33	8"	540	555.77	300,116	8*	540	555.77	300,116
34	10"	24	868,40	20,842	10"	24	868.40	20,842
35	12"	40 400	00.40	20.1.700	12"	40.400	1,544.02	001.700
36	Private HYD	10,128	28.12	284,799	Private HYD	10,128	28.12	284,799
37				1,383,633				1,383,633
20	Public HYD	20.000	40 77	E74 000	Dublin LIVO	00.000	40.44	EDE PAA
38	Public HYD	30,600	18.77	574,362	Public HYD	30,600	19,14	585,684
39	Total Fire Service			1.957,995	Total Fire Service		-	1,969,317
SB	TOTAL LILE SELVICE			1,937,993	Total Fire Service		-	1,909,317
40	Total Revenue from Sa	alas		30,179,603	Total Revenue from Sale	æ		33,442,221
	Cotta i tovorido irojis de	100		00,110,000	Total November (15th Obio			00,442,221
41	Miscellaneous Revenu	е		264,648	Miscellaneous Revenue			264,648
				A- 1,- 1				
42	TOTAL REVENUE		•	30,444,251	TOTAL REVENUE			33,706,869
			////					
43	DSIC Revenue			462,836	DSIC Revenue			- 1
	1			1				1
44	TOTAL REVENUE			30,907,087	TOTAL REVENUE			33,706,869
45	Target							33,707,087
46	Rounding							(218)
47	Increase							2,800,000
48	% Increase							9.06%

 ^{49 &}lt;u>Notes:</u>
 50 Overall Increase \$2,800,000 or 9.06%
 51 Public Fire increases 2%