## Koval, Roseann

**From:** Administration Integrity OversightMonitor **Sent:** Thursday, November 14, 2013 2:30 PM

**To:** 'ADDX Corporation'; 'Brown & Company CPAs, PLLC'; CBIZ - MHM, LLC - Gary Rosen;

'CliftonLarsonAllen, LLP'; 'CohnReznick, LLP'; 'Customer Value Partners'; 'Deloitte Financial'; 'Fazio, Mannuzza, Roche, Tankel and Lapilusa, LLC'; 'Franklin & Turner'; 'FTI Consulting'; GMG Management Consulting, Inc. - Georgia Griffith, President; 'Grant

Thornton'; 'Guidepost Solutions, LLC'; Innovative Emergency Management

(Lloyd.Blanchard@iem.com); 'KPMG, LLP'; 'McEnerney, Brady & Co., LLC'; 'McGladrey, LLP'; 'Navigant Consulting, Inc.'; 'ParenteBeard, LLC'; 'PricewaterhouseCooper, LLP'; PricewaterhouseCooper, LLP( william.b.waldie@us.pwc.com); 'Rothstein-Kass'; 'Schneider & Company'; 'Smart Devine'; Smart Devine - Mark Gaydos; 'Thacher

Associates, LLC'; 'Wiss & Company, LLP'; 'Chris Kisling'; 'Sandy Carlson'

**Subject:** Pool 3 Engagement Query--City of Elizabeth Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park-

Amended Answer

To All Pool 3 Prequalified Contractors:

Regarding the City of Elizabeth Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park Engagement Query due Friday, November 15, 2012:

The State has reviewed and reconsidered its response to question #21 from the file 'Engagement Query--City of Elizabeth--Attachment 2 Q and A from 9-10-13 Engagement Query.'

#### Question #21:

In performing the monitoring work, there is a potential that negative findings may lead to litigation, and that the monitor would be required to provide support to this litigation. On what basis should bidders estimate the costs incurred through potential extended litigation?

### **Original Answer:**

Bidders should estimate its cost for Litigation Support Services as a Blended Hourly Rate. A Blended Hourly Rate is comprised of all direct and indirect costs including, but not limited to: overhead, fee or profit, clerical support, travel expenses, per diem, safety equipment, materials, supplies, managerial support and all documents, forms, and reproductions thereof. This rate also includes portal-to-portal expenses as well as per diem expenses such as food.

#### **Amended Answer:**

Should a situation arise wherein the State requires the contractor to provide litigation support, the State Contract Manager will provide information on what support is required. The contractor shall provide the State Contract Manager with a written plan to meet the State's requirements that includes a budget of personnel assigned to litigation support efforts based upon the hourly rates in place for the personnel used by the contractor.

Please note, in the past, Contractors were blind copied on email messages. Going forward, Contractors will be visible on these messages since this information is available on the State of New Jersey, Department of the Treasury Storm Recovery site.

Thank you.

#### **Roseann Koval**

State of New Jersey

Department of the Treasury Division of Administration 609.984.0056

# City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park Engagement Query

# ADDENDUM # 1 Questions and Answers

#	Page #	Engagement Query Section	Question	Answer
1	2	SOW	Is the Integrity Monitor performing the review of construction contractor's invoices for FEMA allowability, compliance with documentation requirements, and performing the necessary accounting reconciliations as a control point prior to City approval? Grants monitors typically perform this function, but we understand the City may have a different operating model.	The Integrity Monitor is to review policies and procedures, and determine if appropriate controls are in place. It is the Integrity Monitor's responsibility to define weakness, recommend corrective actions, and promote best practices.
2	NA	NA	At the Training Session, the State discussed a benchmark of up to 5% of the project budget, as Direct Administrative Costs, to fund this Engagement Query. Of this, the State noted that all may not be available if the City invests in other program management services. Is this funding method and benchmark still applicable to this project? Can the State provide an indication of the budget for this Engagement Query?	Information provided at the training was represented as a generalization.  No IM budget information is necessary to price and submit a proposal for this engagement.
3	NA	NA	Please provide a copy of the most current Project Worksheet (PW). It will provide additional insight to regarding the scope and approved budget for the project.	The PW is a document that is constantly evolving, and is not considered final at this point. Attached is the PW that was used for the Risk Assessment.
4	NA	NA	An NJOEM Engagement Query was recently released to Group 2-Pool 2 vendors. One of the tasks in the	The City of Elizabeth Integrity Monitor will not be precluded from providing responses to the current NJOEM

interaction with the Integrity Monitors to resolve issues. Can the State confirm that the City of Elizabeth Integrity Monitor will not be precluded from providing the NJOEM Group 2-Pool 2 services, as the NJOEM work involves collaboration with, and not oversight of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?  Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are				T	T
to resolve issues. Can the State confirm that the City of Elizabeth Integrity Monitor will not be precluded from providing the NJOEM Group 2-Pool 2 services, as the NJOEM work involves collaboration with, and not oversight of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?  Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  The overall project cost for the City of Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M. Each area of focus within the project (1 the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				Engagement Query may require	Engagement Query because it involves
that the City of Elizabeth Integrity Monitor will not be precluded from providing the NJOEM Group 2-Pool 2 services, as the NJOEM work involves collaboration with, and not oversight of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?  Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  The overall project cost for the City of Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M. Each area of focus within the project (1 the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are stil expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				interaction with the Integrity Monitors	collaboration with, and not oversight
Monitor will not be precluded from providing the NJOEM Group 2-Pool 2 services, as the NJOEM work involves collaboration with, and not oversight of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?  Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 5  Attachment 5  Attachment 6  Attachment 7  Attachment 7  Attachment 8  Attachment 9  Attachment 9  Attachment 1				to resolve issues. Can the State confirm	of, the project-level Integrity Monitors.
providing the NJOEM Group 2-Pool 2 services, as the NJOEM work involves collaboration with, and not oversight of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?  Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 5  Attachment 5  Attachment 6  Attachment 7  Attachment 7  Attachment 8  Attachment 9  Attachment 9  Attachment 1  Attach				that the City of Elizabeth Integrity	
Services, as the NJOEM work involves collaboration with, and not oversight of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?  Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1				Monitor will not be precluded from	
Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  The overall project cost for the City of Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M. Each area of focus within the project (1 the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project tost for the City of Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M. Each area of focus within the project (1 the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project tost for the City of Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M. Each area of focus within the project (1 the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project tost for the City and will be within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are stil expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				providing the NJOEM Group 2-Pool 2	
of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?  Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1				services, as the NJOEM work involves	
Due to the fact that the City of Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  The overall project cost for the City of Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M. Each area of focus within the project (1 the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are stil expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				collaboration with, and not oversight	
Elizabeth has estimated that the project costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M. Each area of focus within the project (1 the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				of, the project-level Integrity Monitors?	
Costs will be less than \$5 million, has the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4  restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				Due to the fact that the City of	The overall project cost for the City of
the City changed the projects that are to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1  Each area of focus within the project (1  the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the					Elizabeth's Veteran's Memorial
to be completed from what was identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment are; 2. the municipal the feromal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project (1)  Attachment 2  Horal Attachment 2  Horal Attachment 3  Horal Attachment 3  Horal Attachment 4  The formal park area; 2. the municipal the formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project (1)  Attachment 4  Horal Attachment 3  Horal Attachment 4  The formal park area; 2. the municipal marina; 3. the					Waterfront Park is greater than \$5M.
identified within the risk assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attach				to be completed from what was	Each area of focus within the project (1.
assessment? Or is it the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding projects identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement?  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 3. the recreation pier and boardwalk pier; and 4. The hike, bike and roll throughway are separate contracts below \$5M. The City has not changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the					the formal park area; 2. the municipal
Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 3  At					marina; 3. the recreation pier and
Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  Attachment 3  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 4  Attachment 1  At					•
Attachment 1  Attachment 2  Attachment 2  Attachment 3  At				_	•
Attachment 1 completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the potential engagement? changed the project that was identified within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the	_	4	A11 1 1 - 4		contracts below \$5M. The City has not
monitored as part of the potential engagement?  within the Risk Assessment. It is the State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the	5	1	Attachment 1	1	-
State's understanding that the 4 restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are stil expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				1	
restoration and rebuilding contracts identified in the risk assessment are stil expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the				engagement?	State's understanding that the 4
identified in the risk assessment are still expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the					_
expected to be completed by the City and will be monitored as part of the					_
and will be monitored as part of the					
Cinguage in circ.					
					- Chagasinena

#### **ENGAGEMENT QUERY**

#### City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park

### **INTRODUCTION**

In early September 2013, the Department of the Treasury (the Department) developed an Engagement Query for the Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park on behalf of the City of Elizabeth (the City). The total estimated value of the original contracts was \$16.2M, and included multiple contracts and phased bidding/contracting for completion. The Engagement Query was developed based on original contract estimates that were expected to exceed \$5M on at least one phase of the project. On September 10, 2013, the Department sent out the Engagement Query to all Pool 3 contractors. Shortly after the question and answer period, the City informed the Department that they did not expect contracts to exceed \$5M based on responses to solicitations for the park project. As a result, the Department suspended the Engagement Query.

The Department, on behalf of the City, has reconsidered this Engagement Query, and is seeking quotes pursuant to the 'Program and Process Management and Auditing; Financial Auditing and Grant Management; and Integrity Monitoring/Anti-Fraud Services for Disaster Recovery Assistance' contracts from the prequalified contractors in Pool 3 – Integrity Monitoring/Anti-Fraud (Contractor).

This Engagement Query is seeking to engage the services of a prequalified contractor with knowledge of FEMA Public Assistance Programs, and experience with state and local procurement processes, particularly the New Jersey Local Public Contracts Law (N.J.S.A. 40A:11-1), to serve as the City of Elizabeth's integrity oversight and anti-fraud monitor as pursuant to P.L. 2013, Chapter 37 (N.J.S.A. §§52:15D-1, et seq.), the Integrity Oversight Monitor Act (the Act).

The Act authorizes the State Treasurer to require integrity oversight monitor services on any State or non-State federally funded recovery and rebuilding contract of \$5M or more between the City and its contractors. Since at least one contract was expected to exceed \$5M, a risk assessment was performed. Therefore, the State Treasurer is exercising his discretion to require an integrity oversight monitor on this project.

The focus of this engagement is the City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park (FEMA Project Worksheet #3772 and any related project worksheets and contracts). See **Attachment 1** for the City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park project description and risk assessment summary.

**Please note**: While the focus is still the City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park, the Scope of Work and Deliverables have been modified from the previous Engagement Query for clarity. Questions and answers pertaining to the original Engagement Query, which are applicable to this Engagement Query, are included with this Engagement Query for reference. **Attachment 2** 

#### I. BACKGROUND

On October 27, 2012, Governor Chris Christie signed Executive Order 104 (EO 104) declaring a State of Emergency in New Jersey related to the impact of Superstorm Sandy which caused massive property damage and loss of life. On October 27, 2012, President Obama declared a major disaster for New Jersey (DR-4086), thereby qualifying New Jersey for federal disaster assistance funds. Disaster assistance includes HUD Community Development Block Grant Funding-Disaster Relief (CBDG-DR) and FEMA Public Assistance, Individual Assistance, and Hazard Mitigation Grant Program funds, as well as other federal disaster assistance programs. The New Jersey Office of Emergency Management (OEM), in cooperation with other State entities, executed the State Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) in responding to the needs of the State during and immediately following the storm.

On March 27, 2013, P.L. 2013, Chapter 37 (N.J.S.A. §§52:15D-1, et seq.), the Integrity Oversight Monitor Act (the Law) was enacted authorizing the Treasurer to establish a pool of qualified integrity monitors (IM Pool) from which the Treasurer could require the use of services on any State or federally-funded recovery and rebuilding contracts. Consequently, the Treasurer has required integrity oversight monitoring on any such contracts valued at \$5 million or more. (Note: one 'project' could consist of multiple contracts.)

The pool of integrity oversight monitors includes, among others, those contractors procured under G-9004: "Program and Process Management Auditing, Financial Auditing and Grant Management, and Integrity Monitoring/Anti-Fraud Services for Disaster Recovery Assistance" and T-2939: "Prequalification Pools: Auditing and Other Related Services in Support of Disaster Recovery." The firms under these two contracts provide services organized into three distinct groups: Group I - Program and Process Management Auditing, Group II - Financial Auditing and Grant Management, and Group III - Integrity Monitoring/Anti-Fraud.

### II. SCOPE OF WORK (SOW) REQUIREMENTS

The Contractor must be able to perform all of the following tasks:

- A. Review policies and procedures in place for the management of the contract(s) to ensure that program/project requirements are met. Perform risk assessments and recommend loss prevention strategies to prevent duplication of benefits, inefficiency, waste, fraud, abuse, malfeasance and mismanagement of funds. Report to the City and copy State Contract Manager;
- B. Determine if appropriate compliance systems and controls are in place to comply with applicable State and Federal guidelines, regulations and law. Report to the City and copy State Contract Manager;
- C. During the length of the contract, test and monitor control environments related to internal controls, procurement, contracting, compliance, cost eligibility, contract management, invoicing, payment, etc. Define any weaknesses, recommend corrective actions, and communicate findings to the City immediately. Provide monthly status report to the City and copy the State Contract Manager;
- D. Monitor the City's management and the contractors' performance for this project to the extent necessary to ensure legal compliance, and promote best practices. Communicate findings and recommend corrective action to the City immediately. Provide monthly status report to the City and copy the State Contract Manager;

- E. Upon a finding of a likely criminal violation or lesser degree of any malfeasance, inefficiency, waste, fraud, abuse or mismanagement of funds, report findings to the State Attorney General and State Comptroller immediately consistent with the requirements of the Act. Provide a copy to the State Contract Manager;
- F. Administer forensic accounting and other specialty accounting services as required;
- G. Comply with all Federal, State and Local laws, regulations, and ordinances as they are applicable to the program; and
- H. Provide deliverables as set forth in this Engagement Query.

**Note:** Each interested contractor is strongly urged to review the Act; contracts G-9004 and T-2939 and their respective Methods of Operation; the project description and risk assessment summary; and this Engagement Query and its addenda to determine the best approach to developing their proposal and the meet the requirements of tasks A through H.

#### III. DELIVERABLES

The Contractor must ensure compliance with the following required timelines:

- A. Required timelines:
- Task A is required to be completed within 40 business days of receipt of letter of engagement.
- Task B is required to be completed within 40 business days of receipt of letter of engagement.
- All other tasks shall be performed on an ongoing basis and in a timely manner for the duration of this engagement and may have completion dates assigned by Treasury at a later date.
- B. Required reports and documents:
  - Upon a finding of a likely criminal violation or lesser degree of any malfeasance, inefficiency, waste, fraud, abuse or mismanagement of funds, report findings to the State Attorney General and State Comptroller immediately consistent with the requirements of the Act. Provide a copy to the State Contract Manager.
  - 2. Monthly Status Report
    - Provide update on activities conducted on, or for, each task to include the type of activity, analysis, results, recommendations, resolutions, and/or preventative measures; and followup on any previous outstanding issues. Provide monthly status reports to the City and copy the State Contract Manager.
  - 3. Quarterly Report (Attachment 3)
    - On the first business day of each calendar quarter, the Contractor shall provide to the State Treasurer, for distribution to the Legislature and the Governor, a report detailing the integrity oversight monitor's provision of services during the three-month period second preceding the due date of the report and any previously unreported provision of services, which shall include, but not be limited to, detailed findings concerning the Contractor's provision of services and recommendations for corrective or remedial action relative to findings of malfeasance and inefficiency. The report shall include a privilege log which shall detail each denial of sensitive information that the Contractor exercises in preparing the

report for transmission to the Legislature and the Governor pursuant to this subsection. The report shall not include any information which may compromise a potential criminal investigation or prosecution or any proprietary information.

#### **IV. OTHER CONTRACTOR REQUIREMENTS**

The Contractor is required to comply with all of the terms, including pricing, of its State contract (contracts T-2939 or G-9004, as applicable), as well as the applicable provisions of the New Jersey Standard Terms and Conditions and the applicable Method of Operation and/or Notice of Award for the selected contract. For the purpose of this engagement, the Contractor's indemnification obligation shall be limited in the aggregate to 500% of the value of the contract.

Contracts are available on the Department of the Treasury, Division of Purchase and Property website:

Contract G-9004 http://www.state.nj.us/treasury/purchase/noa/contracts/g9004 13-r-23144.shtml

Contract T-2939 http://www.state.nj.us/treasury/purchase/noa/contracts/t2939 14-x-23110.shtml

#### V. CONFLICT FOR FUTURE ENGAGEMENTS

The Department of the Treasury will determine, on a case by case basis, if the Contractor will be eligible to receive additional integrity monitoring engagements. If it is determined that award of this engagement presents a conflict of interest for participation in future engagements, the Contractor will be precluded from accepting subsequent Engagement Queries.

### VI. PROPOSAL CONTENT

The Contractor shall provide a detailed proposal with a detailed budget to perform the SOW in this engagement to the State Contract Manager:

Dave Ridolfino, Associate Deputy State Treasurer <a href="mailto:lntegrityOversightMonitor@treas.state.nj.us">lntegrityOversightMonitor@treas.state.nj.us</a>
<a href="mailto:By 5pm on November 15">By 5pm on November 15</a>, 2013

Questions related to this Engagement Query must be submitted to:  $\underline{IntegrityOversightMonitor@treas.state.nj.us}$ 

by 5pm on November 1, 2013

Note: Use the attached template to submit questions. (Attachment 4)

If the Contractor is unable to bid because of a conflict of interest or scheduling, the Contractor must provide notice to the Department within **three (3)** business days of the receipt of Engagement Query.

The contractor's proposal must contain the following elements:

A. A detailed proposal, including a detailed budget, to perform the scope of work reflecting the requirements of the Engagement Query for competitive price quotes;

- B. A contract schedule that shall identify the performance milestones and associated deliverable items to be submitted as evidence of completion of each task and/or sub-task;
- C. Person-hour and/or labor category mix: A comprehensive chart showing the person-hours proposed to meet the requirements of the Engagement Query. The chart shall be designed to reflect the tasks, sub-tasks, or other work elements required by the Engagement Query. The chart shall set forth, for each task, sub-task or other work element, the total number of person-hours, by labor category, proposed to complete the contract. The hourly rates used for each labor category shall be the hourly rates, or lower than the hourly rates specified in the contract. The person hours must be those bid or lower. Provide quotes for three (3) years using the Cost Quote sheet provided. (Attachment 5);
- D. Detailed budget with person-hours and estimated travel and direct costs for the duration of the engagement (Include total travel and direct cost on Attachment 5);
- E. A description of FEMA consulting experience on similar projects that demonstrates knowledge of eligibility, documentation and procurement requirements. Include client results in recovering the proposing contractor's fees as direct administrative costs, and a list of any deobligation of funds by FEMA in any of your projects;
- F. Detailed list of engagements or task orders in which the firm is currently providing services for any type of disaster recovery, including those of sub-contractors proposed for this engagement. The list must include the name of the contracting entity, a detailed list of the scope of services and the contract term;
- G. Identification of any sub-contractors to be utilized for this engagement which must be consistent with those identified in the original proposal/bid;
- H. Resumes of any primary contractor or sub-contractor individuals proposed for this engagement;
- I. Summary of experience of the primary and sub-contractor for engagements of similar scope and size; and
- J. If necessary, the contractor should have the ability to provide integrity monitoring services for professional specialties such as engineering and structural integrity services, etc. either directly or through a subcontractor relationship.

### VII. SELECTION PROCESS

The State Contract Manager, on behalf of the Treasurer, or the Using Agency will review the proposals and select the contractor whose proposal represents the best value, price and other factors considered.

### VIII. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES

To the extent that actions of the Contractor result in failure to meet performance standards, the State may suffer damages that could be difficult or impossible to quantify.

Given the significance of the rehabilitation of the City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park, the necessity that all resources dedicated to the recovery from Superstorm Sandy be applied in an efficient manner, and the need to take all necessary precautions to prevent, detect, and remediate waste, fraud, and abuse, the State and the Contractor agree to the specified liquidated damage amounts for late delivery of the following deliverables.

The methodology utilized to calculate liquidated damages pertaining to development and implementation of a work plan, and reporting requirements are based on the assumption that failure to have these key elements in place will directly result in a delay of project construction, and the inability to properly monitor the program. Delays in construction are anticipated to result in economic impact to the City of Elizabeth, and could result in loss of Federal funds. Failure to provide reports could prevent the State from taking action to rectify issues with any rebuilding projects the monitor is overseeing, and may also cause harm to the public in the form of waste by the government and inefficiency in rebuilding projects.

Task	Deliverable	Due Date	Liquidated Damages
Task A	Review policies and procedures in place for the management of the contract(s) to ensure that program/project requirements are met. Perform risk assessments and implement loss prevention strategies to prevent duplication of benefits, inefficiency, waste, fraud, abuse, malfeasance and mismanagement of funds.	Completed within 40 business days after receipt of letter of engagement	\$1000 a day for each day past due date
Task B	Determine if appropriate compliance systems and controls are in place to comply with applicable state and federal guidelines, regulations and laws.	Completed within 40 business days after receipt of letter of engagement	\$1000 a day for each day past due date
Monthly Status Reports	Provide update on activities conducted on, or for, each task to include the type of activity, analysis, results, recommendations, resolutions, and/or preventative measures; and follow-up on any previous outstanding issues.	On the first business day of each month	\$1000 a day for each day past due date
Quarterly Reports	Report detailing the integrity oversight monitor's provision of services during the three-month period second preceding the due date of the report and any previously unreported provision of services.	On the first business day of each calendar quarter	\$1000 a day for each day past due date

# IX. NOTICE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 125 REQUIREMENT FOR POSTING OF WINNING PROPOSAL AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Pursuant to Executive Order No. 125, signed by Governor Christie on February 8, 2013, the Office of the State Comptroller (OSC) is required to make all approved State contracts for the allocation and expenditure of federal reconstruction resources available to the public by posting such contracts on an appropriate State website. Such contracts are posted on the New Jersey Sandy Transparency website located at: <a href="http://nj.gov/comptroller/sandytransparency/contracts/sandy/">http://nj.gov/comptroller/sandytransparency/contracts/sandy/</a>

The contract resulting from this Engagement Query is subject to the requirements of Executive Order No. 125. Accordingly, the OSC will post a copy of the contract, including the Engagement Query, the winning bidder's proposal and other related contract documents for the above contract on the Sandy Transparency website.

In submitting its proposal, a bidder may designate specific information as not subject to disclosure. However, such bidder must have a good faith legal and/ or factual basis to assert that such designated portions of its proposal (i) are proprietary and confidential financial or commercial information or trade secrets or (ii) must not be disclosed to protect the personal privacy of an identified individual. The location in the proposal of any such designation should be clearly stated in a cover letter, and a redacted copy of the proposal should be provided.

The State reserves the right to make the determination as to what is proprietary or confidential, and will advise the winning bidder accordingly. The State will not honor any attempt by a winning bidder to designate its entire proposal as proprietary, confidential and/or to claim copyright protection for its entire proposal. In the event of any challenge to the winning bidder's assertion of confidentiality with which the State does not concur, the bidder shall be solely responsible for defending its designation.

#### X. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Project Description and Risk Assessment Summary

Attachment 2: Questions and Answers from Engagement Query Released on 9/10/13

Attachment 3: Quarterly Report Attachment 4: Question Template

Attachment 5: Cost Quote

#### **Project and Risk Assessment Summary**

### A. Project Title

City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park

### **B.** Project Description

The City has sustained major damage to the Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park due to high winds, heavy rains, flooding and storm surge from Superstorm Sandy. The City has procured the services of Envar, an engineering and design firm, to draft the initial designs for the park and marina, and intends to use Envar for construction management and oversight during the reconstruction process (Contract cost \$600,000). This project consists of construction and repairs to the park, and is subdivided into four areas of focus. Restoration and rebuilding includes, but is not limited to:

- 1) Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park (Originally estimated at \$7.5M): This area is the formal "park" area at the southern-most section of the property with over all dimensions of (305) by (445) feet with two (2) distinctly different waterfront piers that support wooden boardwalks and guard rails:
  - a) Pier A being approximately (490) linear feet at the north end; and
  - b) Pier B approximately (617) linear feet at the south end.

Additionally, this area consists of both landscaped and paved areas such as pathways and plazas along with lighting, decorative walls, monuments and fencing. The City has procured the services of Tomco Construction, Inc. for this segment.

- 2) Municipal Marina (Originally estimated at \$2.0M): This section of the park is just north of Area 1, with overall dimensions of (305) by (445) feet, and consists of:
  - a) A (1.5) story structure; and a vehicular parking/circulation bituminous paving area;
  - b) A fueling station and support structure; and
  - c) A floating wooden boat slip for (20) various sized vessels along with the boat ramp and bulkhead.
- 3) Recreation Pier and Boardwalk Pier (Originally estimated at \$4.5M): This section of the park is just north of Area 2, with overall dimensions of (290) by (195) feet, and consists of (2) separate areas:
  - a) Recreation Pier which contains a bituminous parking area, a (12) by (130) foot landscaped area and a boardwalk area of approximately (195) by (52) feet; and
  - b) Boardwalk Pier which contains an approximately (18) by (308) foot boardwalk and pier.
- 4) Hike, Bike and Roll Throughway (Originally estimated at \$1.6M): This section of the park is just north of Area 3, consists of three (3) separate pathway areas:
  - a) Pathway from Area 3, a (14) feet wide by approximately (630) linear feet timber decking;
  - b) Middle Pathway, a (10) feet wide by approximately (910) linear feet timber decking;
  - c) Plaza on the north end, a (22) by (32) foot concrete patio; and

d) Atalanta Plaza: This section of the park is just north of Area 4, consists of a pathway from Area 4 of approximately (6.5) foot wide by (1,028) linear foot bituminous paving along the seawall.

#### C. Items Noted during Risk Assessment

- 1) The City has already procured the services of Envar to draft the initial designs for the park and marina, and intends to use Envar for construction management and oversight during the reconstruction process.
- 2) The City intends to procure three or four separate contractors to complete the construction under the New Jersey Local Public Contracts Law (N.J.S.A. 40A:11-1). Please note, since the risk assessment was completed, the City has procured the services of Tomco Construction, Inc. to complete construction on the Veteran's Memorial Waterfront Park area.
- 3) The audited FY2012 financial statements disclosed possible internal control deficiencies with bid processes and federal funding compliance.
- 4) Elizabeth is not new to receiving and working with federal funding.
- 5) The contract for the Memorial Waterfront Park has not gone out for bid as of yet.

### D. Length of Engagement

Estimated completion date by Memorial Day Weekend 2014

#### E. Value

\$16.2M in Federal FEMA Public Assistance Funding

This amount is based on information from the project worksheet currently on file with FEMA/NJOEM and other ancillary information gathered at Treasury's August 20<sup>th</sup> meeting with the City of Elizabeth.

# State of New Jersey Department of the Treasury

# City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park Engagement Query

## Questions and answers from the first Engagement Query released on 9/10/13.

These questions and answers are applicable to the current engagement and are provided for reference.

<u>Please note</u>: Financial Statements are available on the city website for those questions regarding the City's finances: <a href="http://elizabethnj.org/business/financials">http://elizabethnj.org/business/financials</a> Also, some questions have been combined or edited for clarity and readability.

Question #	Engagement Query Section	Questions	Answers
1	NA	Will the City provide more details concerning these "internal control deficiencies" to include a copy of the FY2012 financial statements?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
2	Attachment 1 Financials	"The audited FY2012 financial statements disclosed possible internal control deficiencies with bid processes and federal funding compliance." It is our assumption that the contractor will be provided the "possible internal control deficiencies." Is our understanding correct?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
3	General Documents	Will the awarded consultant have access to the FY2012 financial statements that disclosed possible internal control deficiencies with bid processes and federal funding compliance?	This information for the City of Elizabeth will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
		Will the awarded consultant be responsible for the remediation of the identified internal control deficiencies?	The Contractor is responsible for recommending remediation and reporting on status only as it relates to oversight monitoring services leads to increase risk, and deobligation.
4		Is the City new to receiving federal funding from FEMA and/or HUD of this magnitude and working with the State, HUD and FEMA processes for large projects?	Elizabeth is not new to receiving and working with federal funding.

5	General Documents	Will you please supply a copy of the deficiencies with bid processes and federal funding compliance, as cited in Attachment 1 – Project Risk Assessment Summary, Section C3?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
6	General Internal Controls	Can you provide additional information and the status of the internal control deficiencies with bid processes and federal funding compliance? Have these deficiencies been remediated? Is the City of Elizabeth subject to additional requirements related to federal funding as a result of these deficiencies? If yes, please describe.	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
7	Attachment 1 Financials	The Project Risk Assessment Summary states, "The audited FY 2012 financial statements" (Section C3). Does this refer to the City of Elizabeth's financial statements or some other party? Also, can you provide a copy of the audits?	Please see the City of Elizabeth's financials. The appropriate URL can be found at the beginning of this document.
8	General Internal Controls	Are your internal financial processes compliant with 44 CFR Part 13 – Uniform Administration Requirements for Grants and Compliance Agreements Relating to State and Local Governments?	The firm awarded the Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query must determine this as required in the Scope of Work.
9	General	When will the Integrity monitoring contract be awarded?	The State is committed to awarding the Task Order to result from this Engagement Query in early November.
10	General Contracts	When do you plan to award the construction contracts for the work?  What is the planned construction start date?  How many months do you anticipate construction will take from beginning to end?	The City intends to begin awarding construction contracts for this work in October 2013.  Completion Date: Memorial Day 2014

	Camaria	MOH all the account of the control o	The Circustillian and a second
	General	Will all the construction contracts be	The City will issue construction
	City Contractor	contracted directly with the State (or	contracts.
11		City)?	
		Do you anticipate Envar to holding	Envar Services will be providing
		any of these contracts as a	Project Management and
		Construction Manager?	Construction Observation services.
	General	Is it the expectation of the State or	The firm awarded this Task Order to
	IM	the City of Elizabeth that the Integrity	serve as Integrity Monitor should use
12	Responsibility	Monitor be on site (full time) during	its best judgment in determining
12	пезрополоше	the duration of the construction	placement of its personnel in meeting
		process?	the requirements of the contract and
		F	this Engagement Query.
	General	Have you ever had any program	Given the lack of specificity and
13	Project	violations?	context in the question, the State
	-		cannot provide an answer.
1.1	General	Have any waivers been requested	Given the lack of specificity and
14	Project	and/or granted related to the project	context in the question, the State
		application?	cannot provide an answer.
	General	Will the Hurricane Sandy Rebuilding	The State anticipates that the Task
15	Project	Task Force be involved in this project?	Force may be indirectly involved as
		If yes, please describe the role.	this project progresses. The State
			cannot prospectively describe what
		<u> </u>	role the Task Force may play.
	General	Is there pre-determined number of	This Engagement Query does not
16		site visits for the monitoring to	specify a predetermined number of
		occur? If so, what is that frequency?	site visits.
	Section II, E	How should we consider red flags that	Red flags should be reported as
	Jection II, L	require further investigation in our	outlined in P.L. 2013, c. 37.
		work plan and budget?	- Cathilea III I .E. 2013, C. 37.
		Transport and Sauget.	
17		Once red flags are discovered, would	Please refer to Section II E of the
		the investigation of the red flags be	Engagement Query.
		considered an add-on to the task	,
		order, or would the investigation be	
		included in current task order?	
	Section VI	If the contractor's proposal contains a	Please refer to Section VI C and D of
	Proposal	completed Attachment 3, does this	the Engagement Query.
18	Content,	meet the requirements, or is the	
	specifically	State looking for additional	
	letters C & D,	information concerning person-hour	
		and labor/category mix?	

	C .:	TI DEC 1 6 "D	L AU
19	Section VI, F	The RFQ asks for a "Detailed list of engagements or task orders in which the firm is currently providing services for any type of disaster recovery, including those of sub-contractors proposed for this engagement. The list must include the name of the contracting entity, a detailed list of the scope of services and the contract term". Does this just pertain to engagements/task orders we are doing for the State of New Jersey or more broadly asking for a list of all current disaster recovery work across the country?	All engagements
20	Attachment 3	Is it permissible to submit a range of the estimated hours or does a fixed number of hours need to be provided?	The response requires a fixed number of hours as the Letter of Engagement will include a "not to exceed clause."
21	VI. Proposal Content Cost Quote	In performing the monitoring work, there is a potential that negative findings may lead to litigation, and that the monitor would be required to provide support to this litigation. On what basis should bidders estimate the costs incurred through potential extended litigation?	Bidders should estimate its cost for Litigation Support Services as a Blended Hourly Rate. A Blended Hourly Rate is comprised of all direct and indirect costs including, but not limited to: overhead, fee or profit, clerical support, travel expenses, per diem, safety equipment, materials, supplies, managerial support and all documents, forms, and reproductions thereof. This rate also includes portal-to-portal expenses as well as per diem expenses such as food.
22	Section II. Proposal Content Cost Quote	Some of the Scope of Work tasks may be performed simultaneously (for example, in the construction context, an investigation may include a forensic accounting component, thus involving multiple tasks). Can we join tasks under one column in our cost quote?	As long as the costs are not duplicative and are clearly identified
23	Risk Assessment Summary	Is the recipient of this award expected to review and assess the process the City used to award the Envar contract?	The firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query is required to review and assess the process the City of Elizabeth used to award the Envar contract.

	Τ_ ,	T	T., .,
24	General Documents	In the risk assessment summary provided, Section E references the project worksheet currently on file with FEMA/NJOEM. Are you able to provide the project worksheet in advance of our proposal submission?	No, the Project Worksheet is a changing document that is not considered finalized at this time.  This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
25	General Documents	What contract documents will be supplied to us? Contracts and Agreements between the City of Elizabeth and the State and Federal governments, including the NJ Office of Emergency Management, that delineate the specific terms, conditions and requirements for this specific project? Contracts between the City of Elizabeth and their vendors performing work under the project	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
26	General Documents	Will the company receiving the award be provided with a copy of the contract(s) awarded under the project; as well as other documents such as invoices by prime contractors, certified payroll reports, delivery schedules of work delivery, etc.? It is our understanding that in order to conduct a full compliance, this type of information will be made available throughout the life of the project. Is our understanding correct?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
27	General Documents	What information will be available to assist the Integrity Monitor to develop its bid? For example: will any architectural plans, engineering plans, budgets, contracts or bid information be made available prior to the Integrity Monitor bid is due?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
28	General Documents	Is a copy of the initial project application available – Action Plan?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
29	General Documents	Is there a schedule for the construction that you are able to share that would enable us to align our monitoring program accordingly?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.

30	General Documents	Is there a proposed construction schedule available? If so, could it be provided.	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
31	Section II, Risk Assessment Summary	Is the Envar contract funded by the subject project worksheet (i.e. included in the estimated \$16.2 million project)?	Yes.
32	Attachment 1 Project Cost	The Project Risk Assessment Summary indicates that the value of the project is \$16.2 million in Federal FEMA Public Assistance Funding. Is this the total estimated costs associated with City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park project or just the value of the public assistance funding contributed to the project? Can the City of Elizabeth provide more information about how the creation of the project cost of \$16.2 million was determined?	The \$16.2 million figure cited is an estimated cost including all funding sources known at the time of the assessment. This was determined by the City of Elizabeth in conjunction with the Office of Emergency Management and FEMA.
33	Attachment 1 Project Cost	Can you provide a breakdown of the \$16.2 million budget by funding stream (e.g. FEMA, State, city, etc.)? Is the match included in that amount?	This information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query
34	General IM Responsibility	With respect to the SOW, item G, is the City of Elizabeth responsible for making sure the project is built in compliance with the all State and city building codes, and as such this is not part of the engagement?	Please refer to Section II of the Engagement Query.
35	General IM Responsibility	Of the skill sets described in the RFP are there any that would be prioritized higher than the others?	Please refer to the Engagement Query.
36	General IM Responsibility	What is the expected role of the recipient of this award in the construction contractors' solicitation and procurement process?	Please refer to the Engagement Query.

	T	T	
37	Section II, Risk Assessment Summary	Given the City intends to use Envar for construction management and oversight, does the term "monitor the contractors' performance" used in this task (D) denote the monitoring role of the recipient of this award is exclusively financial in nature (i.e. no engineering, work completeness, quality or contract adherence responsibilities)?	The Monitor must provide oversight as required in the Scope of Work of this Engagement Query and in compliance with the requirements of the RFQ/RFP as it relates to P. L. 2013, Chapter 37 for contracts.
38	General IM Responsibility	Can you clarify if the integrity monitor will have to provide any services regarding the overall grants management for this project including any services related to the review of the City's drawdown of federal funds and the filing of financial and project status reports?	Please refer Section 3.3 of the RFQ/RFP.
39	General IM Responsibility	What role will the Integrity Monitor have with the City of Elizabeth with respect to vendor contract awards, change orders including the timing and scheduling of those awards, processing and approving the contracts, contractor invoices, quality of work (from an engineering, architectural, etc. basis)?	The Integrity Monitor is to provide oversight as required in the Scope of Work to ensure project compliance. The IM is to monitor the contractors' performance for this project to the extent necessary to ensure legal compliance, and promote best practices. The IM is responsible for communicating findings and recommending corrective action. Level of monitoring is associated with the level of risk in this engagement as determined by the Monitor.
40	General IM Responsibility	Will the Integrity Monitor have any responsibility for evaluating the quality of work?	Please refer to the Engagement Query.
41	General IM Responsibility	What aspects of this work would involve oversight of the City people and related departments? For example the permits, approvals and the interaction between the City and contractors.	Please refer to the Engagement Query.
42	General IM Responsibilities	Are we going to be requested to monitor/audit union payments as well as the subcontractors?	Please refer to the Engagement Query.
43	General IM Responsibilities	Will the Integrity Monitor have to assure compliance for all trades and people working on it?	Please refer to the Engagement Query.

44	General IM Responsibilities	Are there certified payroll requirements? Will the Integrity Monitor need to evaluate the controls around the certified payroll?	Given the lack of specificity and context in the question, the State cannot provide an answer.
45	General IM Responsibility	Clarification is sought relative to New Jersey Criminal Code 2C as it is applicable to A-60/S-2536. There are multiple 2C statues than can apply to criminal activity associated with fraud, waste and abuse. Short titles include Theft, Theft by Deception, Theft by Extortion, Receiving Stolen Property, Theft of Services, and Theft by Unlawful taking of Means of Conveyance, Forgery and Official Misconduct. Based on this information; it is our understanding that the company receiving the contract will serve as the Subject Matter Expert (SME) on the Federal, State, and municipal laws, regulations and ordinances for this project and will provide guidance as such. Is our understanding correct?	Please refer to the Engagement Query and the RFQ/RFP.
46	General Internal Controls  General	Can you describe whether the State of New Jersey has implemented an internal control structure surrounding the entire \$16 billion of federal funding received related to Hurricane Sandy? The request seems to indicate that the control process needs to be created at the project level (i.e. the City of Elizabeth's Veterans Memorial Waterfront Park), which seems to duplicate and potentially add risks if the selected contractor fails to suggest appropriate controls.  Do you have the infrastructure,	This Engagement Query is specific to the City of Elizabeth, the firm awarded the Task Order must recommend controls if such controls do not exist. If controls are in place, the firm must provide oversight as specified in the Scope of Work section of the RFQ/RFP and the Engagement Query to ensure project compliance.  Please refer to the Engagement Query
47	Policy	control practices and policies in place to ensure compliance under the program requirements?	and the RFQ/RFP.
48	General Bids	What happens if the bids significantly exceed the available funding?	Given the lack of specificity and context in the question, the State cannot provide an answer.

	6	No. 1	Division of the Court of Court
	General	New Jersey's reimbursement rate for	Please refer to Section 3.6 of the RFQ and Section 3.7 of the RFP.
	GSA Rates	travel using privately owned vehicles,	and Section 3.7 of the KFP.
		as listed in section 3.6 (e) (page 12) of	
49		the original RFP, is 31 cents per mile,	
		but the GSA rate for travel by	
		privately owned vehicle is 56.5 cents	
		per mile. Is the Department willing to	
		use the GSA rate for travel by	
	Section II	privately owned vehicle?	Please refer to Section II E of the
	Section II	The RFQ indicates "investigate and	
50		detect any malfeasance, inefficiency,	Engagement Query.
		waste, fraud, abuse or	
		mismanagement of funds" What is	
	Continui	the materiality threshold for this?	Dorformanae of requirement 5:
F4	Section II, F	Are these services limited to those	Performance of requirement F in
51	Forensic and	that may be required as the results of	Section II of the Engagement Query is
	Specialty	item E above?	not limited to findings under
	Accounting	Itom C of the Chateur at after 1	requirement E of Section II.
	Section II, F	Item F of the Statement of Work	Given the lack of specificity and
		identifies the following; "Administer	context in the question, the State
		forensic accounting and other	cannot provide an answer.
		specialty accounting services as	
		required." Based on the findings and	
[ 53		recommendations, it is our	
52		understanding that the contractor will	
		be notified in advance by the NJ	
		Department of Treasury prior to	
		conducting additional accounting	
		work, "as required" and the Level of	
		Effort required for this additional	
		"required" engagement. Is our	
	Section VI, E	understanding correct?	Please refer to Section 3.3 of the
	Section VI, E	Is this requirement necessary for	
F2		contractors providing integrity	RFQ/RFP.
53		monitoring services? This seems to	
		be a Program and Process	
		Management, or Grant Management function.	
		TUTICUOTI.	

	ш с с	TAK'IL	Later to Constitute the state of
	II. Scope of	With respect to the SOW:	Integrity Oversight Monitor to
	Work		determine as required in the Scope of
	Loss Prevention	Please clarify expectations on	Work.
		providing "loss prevention	
		strategies." Our understanding is that	Loss prevention strategies include,
		this pertains to internal control	but are not limited to risk assessment,
		processes designed to prevent loss.	including measures and controls;
54			compliance auditing; and crisis
		Are there any other specific	management including incident
		expectations related to this	investigations; and damage control.
		statement?	
		"Duplication of benefits" can be a	Duplication of benefits may include,
		broad term. Please provide the	but not be limited to, FEMA and
		specific types of benefits that are	insurance payments.
		referenced here.	
	General	Does Task G ("Comply with all	It refers to the City and all its
	IM	Federal, State and local laws,	contractors.
	Responsibility	regulations, and ordinances as they	
55		are applicable to the program") mean	
		ensure contractor compliance with	
		Federal, State and local law? If not,	
		what does it refer to?	
	General	Are there any particular licenses or	Please review Section 5.12 of the RFP.
5.0	Permits	permits (i.e., private investigation	
56		license) that the team will need to	
		have in place before executing on this	
		engagement?	
	Section III.	Is the contract manager/project	Please refer to Sections II and III of
F7	Scope of Work	liaison to whom we submit	the Engagement Query.
57	Deliverables	deliverables employed by the State of	
		New Jersey or by the City of	
		Elizabeth?	
	IV Liquidated	Would the State consider any	The State will not accept modification
	Damages	modifications to the liquidated	of this section.
		damages clause?	
50		If not, is it safe to assume that such	The State cannot answer this
58		damages are only applied if the	hypothetical question.
		contracting agency and/or the third	
		party vendor has not held up the	
		process or withheld critical	
		information that would prevent us	
	<u></u>	from performing the required tasks?	

59	General City Contractor	Is there a list of State approved vendors to be used by Envar and its subcontractors?	The City is unaware of any State approved vendor list for Professional Services. The City does not specifically prohibit subcontractors from using other subcontractors in our contracts.
		Are subcontractors allowed to utilize other subcontractors?	Please refer to Section 4.4.1.5.2 of the RFP. This would be a business decision of the contractor and/or its subcontractor.
60	Section VI. Proposal Content deobligates	The RFP requires bidders to "include client results in recovering the proposing contractor's fees as direct administrative costs, and a list of any deobligation of funds by FEMA in any of your projects." FEMA deobligates funding for various reasons including circumstances beyond contractors' control, and we could not disclose most instances of deobligation without our individual clients' consent.  Rather than reporting all instances of deobligation, is the Department interested in Direct Administrative Costs that have been deobligated due to noncompliance, ineligibility, or installibrate decorates and a list of any angular angular and a list of any angular	The State requires the firm awarded the Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query to report all deobligation under the firm's watch.
61	VI. Proposal Content Subcontractors	inability to demonstrate compliance?  Our original proposal submitted to prequalify for the Pool included two subcontractors we would potentially utilize dependent upon the specific opportunity. Are we permitted to propose a different subcontractor on this or other opportunities that were not originally included in our initial proposal?	As specified within Section 5.6 of the RFP, the contractor shall forward a written request to substitute or add a subcontractor or to substitute its own staff for a subcontractor to the State Contract Manager for consideration. If the State Contract Manager approves the request, the State Contract Manager will forward the request to the Director for final approval. No substituted or additional subcontractors are authorized to begin work until the contractor has received written approval from the Director.

62		If a firm is appointed as the monitor of a project (e.g., City of Elizabeth) and during the course of the project the firm does not identify a cost/expense that is later disallowed by an inspector general audit or some other type of federal audit and the agency that provided the funding requests the funds to be returned, what is the liability to the firm?	Please refer to Section 5.5 of the RFQ, Section 5.14 of the RFP and Sections IV & VIII of the Engagement Query.
63	General Documents	Please clarify the chain of command and identify person(s) to be corresponded with regarding findings.  Will you please provide an organization chart for the operational management of this contract?	Reporting requirements are outlined in P. L. 2013, c. 37, Sections II and III, and were explained at the training session.  John F. Papetti, Jr. – Director of PW Anthony Botitta – Superintendent of Public Buildings, Markets and Docks Daniel J. Loomis – City Engineer Steven P. Rinaldi – Principal Engineer Anthony M. Zengaro – CFO Eric Goldman (Envar) – Project Manager Sydney Johnson (Envar) – Project Engineer Michael D'Emilio (Envar) - Inspector
64	Section III. Deliverables Reports	Status reports are required to be submitted quarterly; based on the project's estimated completion date, are the expected quarterly reports due on December 31, 2013, March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014?	Please note: Section III has been modified.  Reporting requirements are outlined in P.L. 2013, Chapter 37, Sections II and III and were explained at the training session.

65	Section III. Deliverables Reports	Construction delays are common occurrences in the prosecution of the work. Has the City of Elizabeth contemplated whether the Integrity Monitor needs to be flexible with the presentation of its last quarterly report or potentially issue additional quarterly reports if project delays occur? (i.e. a construction continues past Memorial Day 2014 and into the 3rd quarter would require the last quarterly report to be submitted	This is a statutory requirement and due dates are not flexible. A final report is due once the project is completed regardless of date.
66	Section III. Deliverables Reports	9/30/2014 as opposed to 6/30/2014)  Please confirm the expected number of quarterly reports the City of Elizabeth expects the Integrity Monitor to issue during the construction process.	Number of reports is predicated on the length of the engagement.
67	General Financial Experience	Are you (the City) experienced in managing the financial aspects related to large construction projects?	Yes, projects with hard and soft costs up to \$20 million.
68	Section II, Risk Assessment Summary	What training have City Employees received regarding proper documentation and accounting for FEMA grant funds?	Staff is trained in FHWA and NJDOT Grant Fund Accounting and Documentation. We have been told on numerous occasions by FEMA to follow our standard procurement and contract management procedures.
69	Section II, Risk Assessment Summary	Other than the deficiencies discussed in items noted during risk assessment 3), what other issues or deficiencies have the City encountered "working with federal funding?"	Other than the deficiencies discussedin the audit report, the City is unaware of any other issues or deficiencies encountered "working with federal funding."
70	Section II, Risk Assessment Summary	Does Envar have notable experience in management and oversight of FEMA funded construction projects?	Yes
71	General Contracts	When do you plan to award the construction contracts for the work?	We intend to begin awarding construction contracts for this work in October 2013.
72	General City Contractor	Will all the construction contracts be contracted directly with the State (or City), or do you anticipate Envar to holding any of these contracts as a Construction Manager?	Contracts will be with the City. Envar Services will be providing Project Management and Construction Observation services.

	General	Has the City of Elizabeth employed	The City has full time legal counsel
73	Attorneys	attorneys to assist in the contracting process? If yes, can the attorneys be identified and can you describe how involved legal counsel will be in the process?	onstaff. William R. Holzapfel (City Attorney) and Raymond T. Bolanowski (First Asst. Attorney). All contracts are reviewed by legal counsel and then are involved on an as needed basis.
74	General City Contractor	Do you (the City) intend to bond the contractors?	Contractors are required to provide a (100%) performance bond and 1-year (100%) maintenance bond.
75	General Contractor	Are you going to rely on the CM's approval? Do you have the CM agreement to see what they are going to do in the way of "oversight?"	The construction manager, Envar Services, will provide day to day contract oversight. The City is unsure what is meant by approval.
76	General City Contractor	Are there any Project Labor Agreements in place for this work, or is it just local jurisdiction union labor (on non-union)	No Project Labor Agreements are in place for this work; all work will be completed under the highest applicable prevailing wage (State or Davis-Bacon).
77	General Local Public Contracts Law	Please confirm your contracting process is compliant with NJ Local Public Contracts Law.	The City's contracting process is complaint with NJ Local Public Contracts Law.
78	General Policy	Is the project going to have a CPM (Critical Path Method)?	Not required, the Project Schedule will likely be developed in the form of a Gantt chart.
79	General Documents	Have vendors been chosen, or perhaps a short list of vendors, for the construction? Do you have a project budget that you can please share with us?	The construction projects will all be publicly bid which precludes the development of a short list of vendors. The project worksheet for the waterfront damage was written for approximately \$16.2 million which will be split into four (4) contracts based on type of construction and geographic location. Necessary information will be provided to the firm awarded a Task Order resulting from this Engagement Query.
80	Section II, Risk Assessment Summary	What is the status of any insurance claim(s) the city has pending for any properties included in this construction project?	All insurance claims for properties included in these projects have been settled.
81	General Policy	Are we going to receive CPM schedules so we can link the requisition into the CPM, or will this be done by the construction manager before submitting to us?	It is not likely that a CPM schedule will be developed for these projects.

	C + ! !! A	M/h = 16 = = = 64.0 0 = 100 = 1	Annual description (4.45 - 10)
82	Section II, A Paragraph C.3 of the Risk Assessment Summary	What if any of the \$16.2 million in estimated project costs will be offset by insurance proceeds?	Approximately \$1.16 million was recovered in the insurance settlement for damage to the properties to be reconstructed under these projects.
83	Section II, A Paragraph C.3 of the Risk Assessment Summary	Does the city currently have or is the city expecting to pursue other forms of funding (other than insurance questioned above) that would raise the potential for duplication of benefits?	No
84	General Sub grantee	Will there be any sub-recipients of the \$16.2M grant or will this all be awarded (allocated to) the City of Elizabeth?	The will be no sub-recipients of the grant, all funds will be allocated to the City of Elizabeth.
85	General Responsibility	Can the City of Elizabeth describe its expectations as to who will be responsible for processing and approving the contracts, contractor invoices, quality of work (from an engineering, architectural, etc. basis)?	The City will process and approve the contracts. The Contractor invoices will be processed by the City upon recommendation by Envar. Envar will provide full time construction observation to oversee the quality of work produced.  The Monitor is to provide oversight as required in the Scope of Work. It is the Monitor's responsibility to ensure that city contractors adhere to the contracted terms and payment schedules with the City. Level of monitoring is associated with the level of risk in this engagement as determined by the Monitor.
86	Section II, A Paragraph C.4 of the Risk Assessment Summary	What "compliance systems and controls" did the City use or is currently using to properly account for other federal funds received?	The City has the following compliance systems and controls;

	1	T	T_r
87	General Billing	What are the billing protocols incorporated into the contract documents? Are scheduled values utilized if it is a GMP contract? Who approves the upfront allocations as the work progresses?	The Contract schedule of values will be based on the lowest responsible bidders bid for each item. Contractors will be paid on the quantity installed or percent complete for each item on a monthly basis.
88	Generality Contractor	Is there a list of State approved vendors to be used by Envar and its subcontractors? Are subcontractors allowed to utilize other subcontractors?	The City is unaware of any State Approved vendors list for Professional Services. The City does not specifically prohibit subcontractors from using other subcontractors in our contracts.
89	General Documents	Please clarify the chain of command and identify person(s) to be corresponded with regarding findings. Will you please provide an organization chart for the operational management of this contract?	Reporting requirements are outlinedin P.L. 2013, c. 37, and were explained at the training session.  John F. Papetti, Jr. – Director of PW Anthony Botitta – Superintendent of Public Buildings, Markets and Docks Daniel J. Loomis – City Engineer Steven P. Rinaldi – Principal Engineer Anthony M. Zengaro – CFO Eric Goldman (Envar) – Project Manager Sydney Johnson (Envar) – Project Engineer Michael D'Emilio (Envar) - Inspector
90	Section II, C Paragraph C.2 of the Risk Assessment Summary	Will the projected completion date of "Memorial Day Weekend 2014" be an integral element of the construction award?	The contracts will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidders. The completion date is a contractual obligation of the low bidder.
91	Attachment 1	What is the timeline of this engagement? In particular, when is the target start date?	Estimated completion date by Memorial Day Weekend 2014. The City is requiring the contracts to be completed by May 1, 2014. The City anticipates the projects will begin (shovel in the ground) by the beginning of November 2013.
92	General Davis- Bacon; Prevailing Wage	Is this project subject to the Davis- Bacon Act or NJ Prevailing Wage Act?	Yes, the projects will be subject to Davis-Bacon Act and NJ Prevailing Wage Act. The Contractor is required to pay the higher of the two wages.
93	Section II, C Paragraph C.2 of the Risk Assessment Summary	What type(s) contracts (fixed fee, unit price, etc.) is expected to be awarded for the construction?	The contracts will be bid with a number of lump sum and unit price items summated into single contract values.

	A + +   + - 1	Managed and the second second	Favorio and dia a Danfordianal
	Attachment 1	We understand from the risk	Envar is providing Professional
	Contracts	assessment summary document,	Services with regard to design,
		Section C, that the City intends to	construction management and
0.4		engage three to four additional	construction observation for all four-
94		contractors (in addition to Envar).	construction projects. The four
		What type of contract(s) will be	construction contracts will be publicly
		executed with construction	bid and contain a combination of
		contractors (i.e., fixed price, time	lump sum and unit price items.
		and materials, other)?	
	General City	What is the form of contractual	The contractual agreement will be in
0.5	Contractor	agreement? Is it going to be a fixed	the form of a number of lump sum
95		cost, GMP or other type of contract?	and unit price items. Unit price costs
			will be based on an estimated
			contract quantity.
	General City	Does the contract provide for a	Each contract will have a single
96	Contractor	general contractor at a fixed price or	general contractor.
		is it going to be let as a series of	
		prime contractors?	

State of New Jersey
Department of Treasury
Integrity Monitoring Reporting Model
For Quarter Ending: xx/xx/2013

Reports required under A-60 will be submitted by Integrity Monitors on the first business day of each calendar quarter to the State Treasurer and will contain detailed information on the projects/contracts/programs funded by the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act.

	Disaster Relief Appropriations Act.		
		Response	Comments
A.	General Info		
1.	Recipient of funding		
2.	Federal Funding Agency? (e.g. HUD, FEMA)		
3.	State Funding (if applicable)		
4.	Award Type		
5.	Award Amount		
6.	Contract/Program Person/Title		
7.	Brief Description, Purpose and Rationale of Project/Program		
	, , . , . ,		
8.	Contract/Program Location		
9.	Amount Expended to Date		
	Amount Provided to other State or Local Entities		
	Completion Status of Contract or Program		
	Expected Contract End Date/Time Period		
	Monitoring Activities		
	If FEMA funded, brief description of the status of the project worksheet		
13.			
	and its support.		
4.			
14.	Quarterly Activities/Project Description (include number of visits to meet		
	with recipient and sub recipient, including who you met with, and any		
	site visits warranted to where work was completed)		

State of New Jersey
Department of Treasury
Integrity Monitoring Reporting Model
For Quarter Ending: xx/xx/2013

Reports required under A-60 will be submitted by Integrity Monitors on the first business day of each calendar quarter to the State Treasurer and will contain detailed information on the projects/contracts/programs funded by the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act.

	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
	Brief Description to confirm appropriate data/information has been		
	provided by recipient and what activities have been taken to review in		
	relation to the project/contract/program.		
16.	Description of quarterly auditing activities that have been conducted to		
	ensure procurement compliance with terms and conditions of the		
	contracts and agreements.		
17.	Have payment requisitions in connection with the contract/program been		
	reviewed? Please describe		
18.	Description of quarterly activity to prevent and detect waste, fraud and		
	abuse.		
19.	Provide details of any integrity issues/findings		

State of New Jersey
Department of Treasury
Integrity Monitoring Reporting Model
For Quarter Ending: xx/xx/2013

Reports required under A-60 will be submitted by Integrity Monitors on the first business day of each calendar quarter to the State Treasurer and will contain detailed information on the projects/contracts/programs funded by the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act.

	. Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
	Provide details of any work quality or safety/environmental/historical		
	preservation issue(s).		
21	Provide details on any other items of note that have occurred in the past		
21	quarter		
	quarter		
22	Provide details of any actions taken to remediate waste, fraud and abuse		
	noted in past quarters		
	noted in past quarters		
C.	Miscellaneous		
23	. Attach a list of hours and expenses incurred to perform your quarterly		
7	integrity monitoring review  4 Add any item, issue or comment not covered in previous sections but		
2			
<u> </u>	deemed pertinent to monitoring program.		

Name of Integrity Monitor:
Name of Report Preparer:
Signature:
Date:

# **Engagement Query Questions or Request for Clarification**

Page #	Engagement Query Section	Question

Cell to be completed by Contractor Protected Cells																									
Firm Name:							1																		
Engagement Title:																									
Cost Quote for: Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	Fill in Task or Sub Task																								
Staffing Category	Hourly Billing Rate (\$)		Amount (\$)	Hours	Amount (\$)	Total Hours Per Staff Category	Total \$ Per Staff Category																		
Partner/Principal/Director			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Program Manager			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Project Manager			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Subject Matter Expert			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Supervisor/Senior Consultant			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Consultant			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Associate/Staff			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Administrative Support			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Other			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Other			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Other			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Other			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	0	\$0.00
Total Direct Cost (Attach a detailed person-hours and estimated direct cost for the duration of the engagement)	t	· ·	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	C	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00 \$0.00
Total Travel Cost																									\$0.00

**Grand Total** 

\$0.00

cost for the duration of the engagement)