STATE OF NEW JERSEY CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT FFY 2010



State of New Jersey Chris Christie, Governor

Kim Guadagno, *Lt. Governor*

Department of Community Affairs Lori Grifa, Commissioner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Introduction | 1 |
|--|----|
| Annual Performance | |
| Geographic Distribution of Investment | 14 |
| Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing | 14 |
| Other Actions Undertaken | 27 |
| Leveraging Resources | 30 |
| Managing the Process | 30 |
| Match Requirements | 30 |
| Citizen Participation | 31 |
| Institutional Structure | 31 |
| Monitoring | 32 |
| Housing Needs | 48 |
| Public Housing Strategy | 48 |
| Barriers to Affordable Housing | 50 |
| HOME | |
| Homeless Needs | 54 |
| Homeless Prevention Elements | |
| Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG) | |
| Small Cities Community Development Block Grant Program | 59 |
| Housing Opportunities For People With AIDS | 63 |
| Table 1 - Households and Persons Assisted with Housing | 66 |
| Table 2 - HOME Match Report | 67 |
| Table 3: CDBG Production (Next two pages) | 68 |
| Table 4 - Lead-Based Paint Activity Reports | 70 |
| Table 5: Emergency Shelter Program- State Match Funds | 78 |
| Table 6: MBE/WBE | |
| Table 7: HOME Performance Report | |
| Table 8: HOME Monitoring Report | 75 |
| Table 9: Emergency Shelter Support Awards | |
| Limited English Proficiency (LEP) | 77 |

INTRODUCTION

The 2010 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the State of New Jersey is a report to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on the State's activities and accomplishments using the following six HUD programs:

- The Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) provides funds for economic development, housing rehabilitation, community revitalization and public facilities designed to benefit people of low- and moderate-income or to address recent local needs for which no other source of funding is available.
- 2. The HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) provides funds for tenant-based rental assistance and for the construction and rehabilitation of affordable housing.
- The Emergency Shelter Grant Program (ESG) provides funds to eliminate health and safety
 violations in existing emergency shelters and to rehabilitate properties to create emergency
 shelters and transitional housing facilities.
- 4. The Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program is a two-year program funded through the federal government's stimulus program. It provides temporary financial assistance and services to prevent households from becoming homeless, divert people who are applying for shelter into other housing, and help those who are experiencing homelessness to be quickly re-housed and stabilized.
- 5. Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program (HOPWA) provides funds to help nonprofit agencies devise long-term comprehensive strategies for meeting the housing needs of persons living with AIDS or related diseases, and their families.
- 6. Neighborhood Stabilization Program provides funds to municipalities and for-profit/nonprofit developers to acquire and redevelop foreclosed properties that might otherwise become sources of abandonment and blight in targeted areas.

During FY 2010, the State utilized federal and State resources to address the following housing and community development goals:

- 1. Increase the number of affordable housing units built for our most vulnerable populations.
- 2. Continue to provide housing opportunities for low and moderate-income households and housing assistance to very- low and low-income households.
- 3. Assist homeless individuals and families obtain permanent housing, with needed support services to become self-sufficient.
- 4. Support local jurisdictions' planning processes to reduce and end homelessness.
- 5. Encourage the development of supportive housing for special needs populations.

- 6. Enhance the capacity of local agencies and nonprofit organizations to effectively operate and deliver affordable housing.
- 7. Promote lead-based paint education and abatement efforts.
- 8. Support sustainable development and the revitalization of neighborhoods through Smart Growth planning initiatives.
- 9. Improve and preserve the existing affordable housing stock.
- 10. Support community and economic development programs that expand business enterprises and increase job opportunities for low- and moderate-income households.
- 11. Reduce regulatory barriers.
- 12. Promote fair housing practices and educate the public about the benefits of and the need for affordable housing.
- 13. Establish a homeless prevention program for Division of Youth and Family Services families.

Consolidated Plan Funding

The following table represents the Federal FY 2010 (State FY 2010) formula allocation for the Community Development Block Grant, HOME Investment Partnership, Emergency Shelter Grant, and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Programs.

| PROGRAM NAME | FEDERAL FY 2010 ALLOCATION |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Community Development Block Grant | \$18,511,902** |
| HOME Investment Partnership | \$7,758,272 |
| Emergency Shelter Grant/Shelter Support | \$3,483,641 |
| | Previous year |
| Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program | allocation utilized |
| Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS | \$1,180,213 |
| Neighborhood Stabilization Program | \$5,000,000 |
| TOTAL ALLOCATION | \$35,934,028 |

^{**} includes funds that were recaptured by DCA

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE

Assessment of One-Year Goals and Objectives

This section of the report summarizes all of the federal and State resources used during Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2010 to support the housing and community development goals identified in the Consolidated Plan.

The New Jersey Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and the New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency (HMFA) are responsible for administering the programs that address the housing and community development needs in the State. During FFY 2010, the State leveraged federal funds with other State funds to assist our most vulnerable populations. Last year, more than 26,923 families throughout the State received assistance from DCA's and HMFA's various programs.

The following charts summarize the State's accomplishments.

The following **chart** summarizes the FFY 2010 housing accomplishments and commitments.

| ONE-YEAR HOUSING OUTCOMES | AMOUNT OF FUNDS | NUMBER |
|--|----------------------|--|
| 1. Expand the availability and supply of safe, decent, affordable, and accessible rental housing for very-low and low-income individuals and families. | \$135,601,188 | 2,214 units |
| | | |
| Improve and preserve the existing affordable housing stock and neighborhoods. | \$19,471,712 | 289 units |
| | | |
| 3. Increase homeownership opportunities for low and moderate-income households. | \$127,299,564 | 992 households |
| | | |
| 4. Assist homeless, or at-risk of becoming homeless, and special needs individuals and families obtain and maintain permanent housing, with needed support services to become self-sufficient. | \$238,764,850 | 50 grants, 27,023 households, 340 individuals & 25 jobs |
| | | |
| 5. Support local jurisdictions' planning process to reduce and end homelessness. | \$863,000 | 250 Agencies |
| | | |
| Promote lead-based paint education and abatement efforts. | \$4,694,536 | 21,893 households, 677 individuals, 49 loans, upgrades in technology |
| | | |
| 7. Preserve and maintain safe and decent housing stock to assure a mix of housing options in all neighborhoods, including temporary and permanent housing. | \$211,258,044 | 320,081 households & 35 grantees |
| | | |
| Support community and economic development programs that expand business enterprises and increase job opportunities for low-and moderate-income households. | \$18,372,231 | 109 municipalities & 37 grants |
| | | |
| Promote fair housing practices and educate the public about the benefits and the need for affordable housing. | If funding available | If funding available |

The following charts compare the State's proposed activity and funding levels identified in the FY 2010 Action Plan with the actual figures. The State is making significant progress towards meeting its goals and the activities funded are making a positive impact on the identified needs.

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|--|-------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | Special Needs Housing Trust Fund | \$19,948,882 | 204 units | \$26,198,788 | 186 units | |
| | | Special Needs Revolving Loan | \$540,000 | 15 units | \$971,474 | 9 units | Funding per unit was higher then anticipated. |
| | | HOME-CHDO Production | \$1,159,269 | 25 units | TBD | 20 units | |
| Expand the availability and supply of safe, decent, affordable, and accessible rental housing for very low | 1,552 units | Low-Income Housing Tax Credits | \$15,630,279 | *Numbers are included in programs listed above | \$15,332,635 | 652 units | |
| and low-income individuals and families. | | Multi-family Rental Housing Program | \$144,604,099 | 1,537 units | \$93,098,291 | 1,347 units | Activity is lower than anticipated due to current market conditions. |
| | | Uniform Housing Affordability Controls (10 percent of rental units in a development must be affordable to households earning 35% or less of AMI) | *Numbers are included in programs listed above | |
| | Total Objective | 1 | \$181,882,529 | 1,781 units | \$135,601,188 | 2,214 units | |
| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
| | | Balanced Housing | \$429,103 | TBD | \$2,800,000 | Funded 29 units | Increase in Realty Transfer Fees |
| 2. Improve and preserve the existing affordable | 237 units | Choices in Homeow nership Incentives Created for Everyone | \$16,000,000 | 240 units | \$15,671,712 | 240 units | |
| housing stock and neighborhoods. | | HOME Express | \$7,095,640 | 178 units | \$700,000 | 14 units | Program is now inactive. |
| | | Smart Rental Project Loan Program (5-25) | \$3,745,838 | 43 units | \$300,000 | 6 units | |
| Subtotal Objective 2 | | | \$27,270,581 | 461 units | \$19,471,712 | 289 units | |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | First Time Homebuyer Program | \$74,721,167 | 475 households | \$67,837,355 | 421 households | |
| | | HOME-Production Investment | \$2,086,684 | 23 households | \$1,700,229 | 30 households | |
| | | HOPE Program | \$364,700 | 3 households | \$145,000 | 1 household | |
| | | Homeownership for the Developmentally Disabled | \$470,000 | 2 households | \$470,000 | 2 households | |
| 3. Increase homeownership | 2,175 households | Homeow nership for Adopting Families | \$1,544,505 | 11 households | \$1,028,505 | 8 households | |
| opportunities for low and | | Live Where You Work Program | \$10,553,552 | 58 households | \$15,268,711 | 79 households | |
| moderate-income households. | | 100% Financing | \$3,589,969 | 27 households | \$6,899,639 | 45 households | |
| nousenous. | | Purchase/Rehab and Refi/Rehab Mortgage Program | \$149,318 | 1 household | N /A | N⁄A | |
| | | Police and Fireman's Retirement System Mortgage Program | N⁄A | N/A | \$30,974,300 | 102 households | |
| | | Section 8 Homeownership Program | \$1,915,041 | 16 households | \$866,584 | 6 households | Slightly underestimated the total number of loans. |
| | | Smart Start Program | \$1,937,946 | 288 households | \$2,109,241 | 295 households | |
| Total Objective 3 | | | \$97,332,882 | 904 households | \$127,299,564 | 992 households | |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|---|----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | Another Chance Initiative | \$549,920 | 5 grants | \$549,920 | 4 grants | |
| | | Community Services Block Grant | \$19,039,248 | 26 agencies | \$18,511,902 | 26 agenices | Decrease of 2.9% |
| | | Emergency Solutions Grant / Shelter Support / HPRP | \$3,325,324 | 34 grants | \$3,483,641 | 34 ESG/Shelter Support & 11 HPRP grantees | Funding represent ESG/Shelter Support grants only |
| 4. Assist homeless, or atrisk of becoming homeless, and special needs individuals and | 26,360 households | Family Self-Sufficiency | No Budget- FSS escrow are established from the HCV fund | 199 households | No Budget- FSS escrow are established from the HCV fund | 225 households | |
| families obtain and maintain permanent housing, with needed | | HOME Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (excluding SHE funding) | \$2,568,805 | 237 households | \$2,405,850 | 233 households | |
| supportive services, to become self-sufficient. | | Homekeeper Program | \$0 | N/A | \$0 | N/A | The HomeKeeper Program is a new initiative. Loans are in the process stages. |
| | | Homeless Prevention & Rapid Re- Housing | Utilized 2009 funds | 11 grants | Still using 2009 funds | 1,459 households & created 25 jobs | |
| | | Housing Choice Voucher Program | \$185,443,617 | 19,162 households | \$184,047,968 | 19,693 households | |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|--|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS | \$1,109,696 | 199 households | \$1,178,084 | 199 households | |
| | | HOPWA-Post Incarcerated | \$482,628 | 53 households | \$482,626 | 290 households | |
| 4. Assist homeless, or at- | | Individual Development Account Program (IDA) | \$582,340 | 198 individuals | \$0- FY'10 Funds Carry-Over | 240 individuals | Program received \$2.1 m in FY2001. All funds committed as of FY11. Total funds under contract \$1,117,240 |
| risk of becoming homeless, and special | | Non-⊟derly Disabled | \$936,420 | 23 units* | \$936,420 | 100 units | New program and received larger award. |
| needs individuals and families obtain and | 26,360 households | Shelter Housing Exit Program | \$1,216,000 | 179 households | \$1,335,502 | 124 households | Few er applications received |
| maintain permanent | | Shelter Plus Care | \$3,261,739 | 329 households | \$1,900,000 | 192 households | Active clients only |
| housing, with needed supportive services, to become self-sufficient. | | State Rental Assistance Program | \$20,000,000 | 4,316 households | \$41,600,000 | 4,243 households | \$20 Million represents new funding - the \$41.6 million represents actual housing assistance costs. This figure includes the use of funds for TBRA that were previously dedicated to project-based units. |
| | | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program | \$2,300,595 | 265 households | \$1,327,465 | 265 households | |
| Total Objective 4 | | | \$221,294,456 | 50 grants, 24,939 households & 221 individuals | | 50 grants, 27,023 households, 340 individuals & 25 jobs | |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED | ESTIMATED | ACTUAL 2010 | ACTUAL 2010 | Explanation for significant |
|--|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| | GOALS | | 2010 Funding Level | 2010 Activity Level | Funding Level | Activity Level | increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
| 5 Company local | | | 20101 | 20101 | | | / doctrity to ver |
| 5. Support local jurisdictions' planning process to reduce and end homelessness. | 250 agencies | Coordination of HMS | \$840,601 | 252 Agencies | \$863,000 | 250 Agencies | |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|---|----------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | Emergency Relocation Assistance | \$460,209 | 63 households | \$550,702 | 66 households | Additional funds were available due to rollover from prior SFY |
| | | Lead Hazard Control Assistance | \$3,085,242 | 49 loans | \$3,422,765 | 49 loans | Additional funds were available due to rollover from prior SFY |
| | | Lead Intervention for Children At- Risk (LICAR) | Discontinued | Discontinued | N⁄A | N⁄A | |
| | | Relocation to End Exposure to Lead | *Numbers are included in Emergency Relocation Assistance Program | *Numbers are included in Emergency Relocation Assistance Program | *Numbers are included in Emergency Relocation Assistance Program | *Numbers are included in Emergency Relocation Assistance Program | |
| | | Lead Identification and Field Testing | NA | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| 6. Promote lead-based paint education and | 1,800 individuals | Housing Assistance for Lead- Safe Living Opportunities | \$136,625 | 144 Client Case Management, Outreach to 10 organizations in 7 counties, idefied 6,000 lead-free units | No-cost extension to 12/31/11 | Case Management to 27 households, 840 contacts to organizations and 16,765 lead-free addresses identified | Few er poisoning cases than anticipated. Grantee increased outreach contacts and identification of lead-free addresses for Registry. |
| abatement efforts. | | Health Expedited Abatement of Lead | N/A | N/A | \$ 0 | N⁄A | No applications received |
| | | Geographic Organization of Lead Data | \$872 | Upgrade memory in nine computers | \$0 | N/A | Server purchased and plotter to be purchased by Department IT rather than GOLD Program. |
| | | Marketing Contract w / Titan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | Lead and Beyond Conference (LAB) | \$0 | Conference held on 4/15/10 | N/A | N/A | |
| | | Lead Safe Housing Registry | \$185,054 | Add new data, upgrade improve interface | \$117,369 | Added 200,000 addresses, improved user interface and increased data capacity | Increase in data capacity to be completed by end of 2011. Additional addresses anticipated. |
| | | Contractor Initiative | \$0 | NA | \$0 | NΑ | Approved lead abatement contractor workshop conducted and Approved Contraptor List instituted |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|---|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Lead Education and Outreach (includes WOLNJ dust kit distribution) | \$105,000 | Statew ide outreach effort- more than 100,000 households | \$105,000 | 20,000 households | Change in strategy to direct contact, smaller groups and home visits rather than large events, mailings and other larger group activities. |
| 6. Promote lead-based paint education and | 1,800 individuals | WOLNJ (dust kit distribution) | \$0 | NΑ | \$0 | 1,800 households | Kits were distributed through LEO funded activities. |
| abatement efforts. | | Public Relations Contract | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | Lead-Safe Maintenance Training/ Lead Ready Renovation and Maintence Seminars | \$454,960 | 65 seminars, 1,442 individuals trained | \$498,700 | 37 classes and 677 individuals trained | Contract with Council on Workforce and Economic Development ends 12/11. Up to 91 sessions and 1,800 trained. |
| Total Objective 6 | | | \$4,427,962 | 100,063 households, 1,586 individuals, 65 seminars, 49 loans, upgrades in technology | \$4,694,536 | 21,893 households, 677 individuals, 49 loans, upgrades in technology | |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | Low-Income Home Energy Assistance | \$199,455,433 | 317,690 households | \$199,455,433 | 317,690 households | |
| 7. Preserve and maintain safe and decent housing stock to assure a mix of housing options in all neighborhoods, including | 332,488 households | Neighborhood Stabilization Program | \$51 million funded in 2009 (HERA one-time appropropriation through 2012)* | 35 grantees | \$5,409,324 | 35 grantees | |
| temporary and permanent housing. | | Section 8 Single Room Occupancy | \$2,631,386 | 1,077 households | \$2,803,482 | 1,063 households | |
| | | Weatherization | \$3,999,129 | 615 households | \$3,999,129 | 1.328 households | |
| | \$206,085,948 | 319,382 households & 35 grantees | \$211,258,044 | 320,081 households & 35 grantees | | | |
| *\$51 million in 2009 HERA funding not counted in 2010 funding- counted in 2009. | | | | | | | |

| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
|---|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | At-Home Downtown Program | \$421,500 | 2 loans | Program Suspended | Program Suspended | Program is inactive. |
| | | Downtown Business Improvement Loans | \$490,000 | 1 municipality | \$400,000 | 1 municipality | |
| | | Improvement Districts | Technical Assistance | 80 municipalities | Technical Assistance | 82 municipalities | |
| 8. Support community and economic development | | Improvement Districts Challenge Grants | NA | NA | \$30,000 | 2 municipalities | |
| programs that expand business enterprises and increase job opportunities for low and moderate- | 109 municipalities | Main Street New Jersey | N⁄A | 24 municipalities | Technical Assistance (\$200,000 in NJFY'11) | 24 municipalities | State Budget Outs; DCA and DHCR Budget Outs. |
| income households. | | Neighborhood Revitalization Tax Credit | \$10,000,000 | 10 grants | \$9,287,500 | 11 grants | |
| | | Neighborhood Revitalization Tax Credit Planning Grants* | \$60,000 | 3 grants | \$60,000 | 3 grants | |
| | | Small Cities Community Development Block Grant | \$7,846,149 | 17 Public Facility and 3 Housing, 20 Grants Total | \$8,594,731 | 18 Public Facility and 5 Housing, 23 Grants Total | Carry over balances from programs completed under budget and program income funded 3 additional grant programs |
| | Total Objective | 8 | \$18,757,649 | 105 municipalities & 26 grants & 2 loans | \$18,372,231 | 109 municipalities & 37 grants | |
| | | Grants Program may be discontinu | ed in the next fisc | - | | | |
| OBJECTIVE | ONE YEAR GOALS | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED 2010 Funding Level | ESTIMATED 2010 Activity Level | ACTUAL 2010 Funding Level | ACTUAL 2010 Activity Level | Explanation for significant increase/ decrease in funding / activity level |
| 9. Promote fair housing practices and educate the public about the benefits and the need for affordable housing.* | TBD | TBD | N/A | N/A | N⁄Α | N⁄Α | |
| Total Objective 9 | | | N⁄A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

13

*If funding is available, the DCA will develop an education campaign to address the negative public perceptions about affordable housing.

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF INVESTMENT

The State of New Jersey distributes the formula funds through a competitive, open process via the Department of Community Affairs' System for Administering Grants Electronically (SAGE) and therefore cannot predict the ultimate geographic distribution of these funds. Feasible projects submitted that are ready to proceed will receive priority. It should be noted that some programs by design, such as CDBG, restrict the area where funds can be expended.

The State is committed to addressing housing, economic and community development needs statewide, and will utilize the New Jersey State Development and Redevelopment Plan to identify specific areas of the state for revitalization. The purpose of the State Development and Redevelopment Plan is to coordinate planning activities and establish statewide planning objectives in the following areas: land use, housing, economic development, transportation, natural resource conservation, agriculture and farmland retention, recreation, urban and suburban redevelopment, historic preservation, public facilities and services, and intergovernmental coordination (N.J.S.A. 52:18A-200(f)).

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

The State conducted an extensive data analysis to identify impediments to fair housing choice. Fair housing choice is the right to equal access to all types of housing. Fair housing choice exists when everyone has the ability to freely choose where they want to live.

The Department of Community Affairs examined Home Mortgage Disclosure Data (HMDA) and Census data to determine differences in housing need based on race, household size, income and ethnicity; reviewed data affecting persons identified with Limited English Proficiency (LED); and analyzed federal and State fair housing complaint data.

Based on this analysis, it was determined that the State over the next five-years needs to address the following impediments:

- Perception of affordable housing
- Limited English proficiency
- Lack of information regarding fair housing rights and responsibilities
- Fair housing choices for persons with disabilities
- Racial and ethnic concentration
- Land use and zoning barriers

Impediment 1: Perception of Affordable Housing/Section 8

Perhaps the primary obstacle to affordable housing is the overall misconception as to how affordable housing impacts a community. The public perception is that it will attract a population full of the poor and uneducated, bringing about increased crime, decreased property values and social unrest. Community leaders are very much influenced by these public misconceptions. As a result, even if a municipality has the land and physical plant to support denser, more affordable housing, it may lack the will to do so.

The Not In My Backyard syndrome (NIMBY), neighborhood opposition to affordable housing or any type of new housing is an example of discrimination if its effect is to discourage certain protected classes from obtaining housing. Although people agree that affordable housing is necessary and an admirable endeavor, few want low-moderate income housing in their neighborhoods.

Action: Develop an educational campaign to address the negative public perceptions often associated with affordable housing and residents on housing assistance. The campaign should focus on actual developments that have been successful in providing different types of affordable housing such as apartments, condominiums and townhouses and showcase the type of tenant that is residing in these projects. In addition, the State should promote planning practices that gives residents the opportunity to participate in the early development stages of proposed projects in their area. This will allow the residents the opportunity to direct some of their questions and concerns to those individuals that are key players in the building process.

| Action | Timeframe |
|--|------------------|
| Develop an educational campaign about the benefits and the need for affordable housing. | 2012 |
| Educate the public about the economic and social value of having a wide range of housing choices for the community; provide factual information to increase the public's understanding about Section 8 and affordable housing. | ongoing activity |

Impediment 2: Limited English Proficiency

English as a second language is becoming more prevalent as New Jersey becomes more diverse. Limited proficiency in English increases an immigrant's vulnerability to discriminatory

housing practices. Persons who do not speak English may encounter difficulties with housing choice, or may not understand their rights as a tenant or homeowner which leaves those households vulnerable to discrimination. While most people in the New Jersey speak, write and understand English, there is a growing population where English is not their primary language.

According to the 2009 American Community Survey, New Jersey has 859,607 people categorized as speaking English less than very well or 10.5% of the entire population. The majority of these residents live in Hudson County (21.8%), Essex County (14%), Bergen County (12.3%) and Middlesex County (12.1%).

Table 35: LEP

| Geography | Population 5 | %Population | Speak English | % of the | %Population | % Populatin | % Population | % Population | %Population |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Years and | Speak only | Less than | Population | Speak Spanish | Speak: French | Speak German | Speak Chinese | Speak Korean |
| | Over (2009 | English | Very Well | that Speak | or Spanish | (incl. Patois, | Better than | Better than | Better than |
| | Estimate) | (Estimate) | | English Less | Creole Better | Cajun); Better | English (2009 | English (2009 | English (2009 |
| | | | | than Very Well | than English | than English | Estimate) | Estimate) | Estimate) |
| | | | | | (2009 Estimate) | (2009 Estimate) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| United States | 285,797,349 | 80.0% | 21,227,346 | 7.4% | 5.7% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.5% | 0.2% |
| United States | 200,191,049 | 00.076 | 21,221,340 | 7.470 | 3.770 | 0.170 | 0.170 | 0.5% | 0.270 |
| New Jersey | 8,152,722 | 71.5% | 859,607 | 10.5% | 7.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.6% | 0.5% |

2009 American Community Survey

Those individuals having a limited ability to speak or understand English are considered to be Limited English Proficient (LEP). Language limitations can be a barrier to accessing and maintaining housing assistance and other important benefits or services.

Action: The Department of Community Affairs (DCA), as a recipient of federal assistance, has taken steps to ensure that New Jersey residents have access to all of its programs by reducing language barriers. DCA has developed a Limited English Proficiency Policy that outlines its procedures to address the language barriers of its applicants and participants. The policy, which is utilized for both the State Rental Assistance Program and the Housing Choice Voucher Program, is part of the Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan which is posted on the Department of Community Affairs' web site at

http://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dhcr/announcements/pdf/hcvpadminplanforsfyear 2011.pdf and is attached to this document as Appendix A.

| Action | Timeframe |
|---|------------------|
| DCA will annually review its LEP Plan and make modifications as necessary. The modifications to the plan will be based on: • Census data | |
| The amount of contact the program has with LEP persons | |
| Whether the current LEP Plan is meeting the needs of our clients | Ongoing activity |
| Whether the program is meeting its goals relevant to the LEP regulations | |
| A review of sources of assistance to determine if resources | |
| DCA will utilize a translator service – Language Line - for persons with limited English speaking ability to explain program requirements and to answer questions | Ongoing activity |
| DCA will translate program documents as required by federal and State LEP requirements | As required |

Impediment 3: Lack of Information About Fair Housing Laws and Protections

There is a need for additional fair housing education. Respondents to the fair housing survey noted that housing industry representatives and residents alike could benefit from better fair housing education concerning federal and State fair housing rights and responsibilities.

Although several organizations including the Division on Civil Rights have provided information on housing discrimination and related topics, the lack of knowledge on fair housing laws and protections appears to be an impediment for protected class members. Much of the training provided is directed toward housing providers. Renters and buyers are often harder to reach but are perhaps the most in need of education.

Action: Federal and State fair housing laws will only be effective if residents know their rights, landlords know their responsibilities, advocacy groups know their options, and lenders and insurers understand how the law affects their operations.

The Internet can be effective tool to get information regarding fair housing rights and how to file a fair housing complaint. Therefore the Department of Community Affairs' Division of Housing and Community Resources is in the process of creating a web page, in English and Spanish geared to increase the knowledge of tenants about fair housing laws and protections. The web page will contain information on fair housing, links to the fair housing laws and other resources, information about predatory lending, frequently asked questions and the procedures for filing a discrimination complaint.

Because some residents may not have access to the Internet or be proficient with the Internet, the fair housing information will be published in both electronic and paper formats for people who feel they have been discriminated against and are seeking assistance. The brochures created will be forwarded to libraries, faith-based organizations, community action agencies and schools for dissemination.

The State will also distribute brochures to its shelter grantees with the request that they are displayed in a prominent location for client access.

The State believes that fair housing education is an integral part of eliminating illegal discrimination practices; an educated public and housing community will be more likely to identify illegal discrimination and utilize federal, state and local fair housing resources to help alleviate the situation. The goals of this initiative are: 1) to reduce housing discrimination through education and outreach efforts; and 2) to increase the number of complaints referred to the US Department of

Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the State Civil Rights Office or a local fair housing agency.

Action Timeframe Develop a Fair Housing web site on fair housing resources to serve as a "One Stop Shop" to provide the public with information about housing discrimination in regards to 2011 mortgage lending, rentals, home sales, homeowner's insurance and zoning. Distribute bilingual fair housing information to Section 8 Field Offices, Housing Counseling Agencies and faith-based and community-based agencies located throughout the State. In 2011-2015 addition, distribute materials at the Governor's Housing and Community Development Conference and the League of Municipalities. Sponsor a variety of education and community outreach 2011-2015 activities regarding State and federal fair housing laws.

Impediment 4: Challenges for Persons with Disabilities

Persons with disabilities comprise another population sensitive to fair housing issues and for whom special programming must be reviewed. Disability is defined by the Census Bureau as a

lasting physical, mental or emotional condition that makes it difficult for a person to do activities or impedes them from being able to go outside the home alone or to work. Defined in this fashion, the number of persons having disabilities in New Jersey is 4.1% of the population for the ages of 5-17 years old, 8.1% of the population between 18-64 years old and 49.7% of the population above 65.

Table 36: Disability Data By Age and County

| Geography | % Population 5 to 17 years with a Disability | % Population 18-64 with a Disability | % Population 65 and over with a Disability |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| United States | 4.5% | 10.8% | 55.6% |
| New Jersey | 4.1% | 8.1% | 49.7% |
| Atlantic County | 3.2% | 10.8% | 52.8% |
| Bergen County | 2.5% | 5.6% | 44.2% |
| Burlington County | 4.6% | 7.0% | 50.1% |
| Camden County | 6.8% | 12.0% | 56.2% |
| Cape May County | 2.9% | 10.1% | 40.4% |
| Cumberland County | 6.1% | 14.9% | 70.1% |
| Essex County | 4.3% | 8.1% | 54.3% |
| Gloucester County | 3.5% | 9.4% | 47.8% |
| Hudson County | 2.7% | 7.3% | 56.9% |
| Hunterdon County | 2.6% | 5.2% | 44.4% |
| Mercer County | 5.9% | 9.0% | 47. <i>4</i> % |
| Middlesex County | 3.5% | 6.1% | 47.5% |
| Monmouth County | 4.1% | 6.8% | 44.8% |
| Morris County | 2.3% | 4.9% | 38.8% |
| Ocean County | 4.1% | 10.4% | 50.0% |
| Passaic County | 7.6% | 13.0% | 66.7% |
| Salem County | 6.0% | 10.0% | 58.2% |
| Somerset County | 3.4% | 6.2% | 50.3% |
| Sussex County | 5.0% | 8.0% | 38.2% |
| Union County | 3.9% | 7.8% | 46.5% |
| Warren County | 3.0% | 8.1% | 43.0% |

2010 Census *Disability status is self-identified in the census. Persons with disabilities fill out their census forms to report if they have a disability. Disability is defined as ambulatory, hearing,

vision or self-care difficulty. Population under the age of 18 years are excluded from self-care disability.

Percent of Population Deemed Disabled 35.0% 30.0% 25.0% 20.0% 15.0% 10.0% 5.0% 0.0% Gloucester Camden Hudson Monmouth Mercer Middlesex Morris Ocean Salem Саре Мау Passaic Somerset Sussex Hunterdon Cumberland

Table 37: Percent of Population Deemed Disabled By County

2010 Census

Many of these individuals rely on state and/or federal benefit programs as their only sources of income thereby placing them in the low-income bracket. Because of housing affordability issues for low-income persons, housing opportunities for persons with physical or mental challenges is more limited. For many, housing opportunities are further limited by accessibility issues.

| Action | Timeframe |
|--|------------------|
| The State will promote the creation of more affordable and accessible housing to meet the housing needs of people with disabilities. HMFA will continue to use its Special Needs Trust Fund Program to increase the number of affordable, accessible housing units for persons with special needs | Ongoing activity |
| The State will continue to support the development of housing options and programs, which enable persons with special needs to reside in non-institutional settings. The Department of Community Affairs will continue to apply for additional federal housing vouchers to assist New Jersey's disabled population. This year, DCA received \$936,420 in federal funding to help 100 non-elderly individuals with disabilities that are currently living in institutional settings move to independent living. | Ongoing activity |

| The Department of Community Affairs will provide funding to create supervised housing for the individuals impacted by the closing of Vineland Developmental Center's (VDC) West Campus. | 2011 |
|---|------|
|---|------|

| OBJECTIVE | PROGRAM | ESTIMATED FFY 2011 Funding Level | ESTIMATED FFY 2011 Activity Level |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Expand the availability and supply of affordable, and | Special Needs Housing Trust Fund | \$43,123,000 | 349 units |
| accessible rental housing | Special Needs Revolving Loan | \$450,000 | 10 units |
| Total Objective 1 | | \$43,573,000 | 359 units |

Impediment 5: Racial and Ethnic Housing Concentration

The 2010 Census clearly shows that minorities are highly concentrated in the northern part of the State, comprising 25% of the entire population with only 6.5% of minorities residing in Southern New Jersey. Essex County alone is made up of 57.4% minorities; Hudson, Mercer, Middlesex, Passaic and Union County all have minority populations over 35%. Essex County has a primarily Black population (49.9%) while Middlesex County has an extremely large Asian population at 21.4%, a 15.3% increase since 1990. Passaic County has a large mix of Hispanics/Latinos representing 37% of their population. Hudson County has a large Hispanic/Latino population at 42.2% - while Essex, Cumberland and Union Counties' Hispanic/Latino population exceeds 20%.

Table 38: Percent Minorities By County

| 2010 Data | | White | Total Minorities | %Total Minorities |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
| United States | 308,745,538 | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| New Jersey | 8,791,894 | 6,029,248 | 2,762,646 | 31.4% |
| Atlantic County | 274,549 | 179,566 | 94,983 | 34.6% |
| Bergen County | 905,116 | 650,703 | 254,413 | 28.1% |
| Burlington County | 448,734 | 331,342 | 117,392 | 26.2% |
| Camden County | 513,657 | 335,389 | 178,268 | 34.7% |
| Cape May County | 97,265 | 87,369 | 9,896 | 10.2% |
| Cumberland County | 156,898 | 98,430 | 58,468 | 37.3% |
| Essex County | 783,969 | 333,868 | 450,101 | 57.4% |
| Gloucester County | 288,288 | 240,890 | 47,398 | 16.4% |
| Hudson County | 634,266 | 342,792 | 291,474 | 46.0% |
| Hunterdon County | 128,349 | 117,264 | 11,085 | 8.6% |
| Mercer County | 366,513 | 225,011 | 141,502 | 38.6% |
| Middlesex County | 809,858 | 474,589 | 335,269 | 41.4% |
| Monmouth County | 630,380 | 520,716 | 109,664 | 17.4% |
| Morris County | 492,276 | 406,683 | 85,593 | 17.4% |
| Ocean County | 576,567 | 524,577 | 51,990 | 9.0% |
| Passaic County | 501,226 | 314,001 | 187,225 | 37.4% |
| Salem County | 66,083 | 52,757 | 13,326 | 20.2% |
| Somerset County | 323,444 | 226,608 | 96,836 | 29.9% |
| Sussex County | 149,265 | 139,504 | 9,761 | 6.5% |
| Union County | 536,499 | 329,052 | 207,447 | 38.7% |
| Warren County | 108,692 | 98,137 | 10,555 | 9.7% |

2010 Census

The most racially concentrated counties mentioned above also have a large percentage of older housing built prior to 1939: Hudson County (39.8%); Essex County (27.5%); Middlesex County (10.6%); Passaic County (22.3%) and Union County (22.4%).

Action **Timeframe** The Department of Community Affairs will open up a waiting list for 100 State Rental Assistance Program vouchers for very low-income families; 25 vouchers will be issued in each 2012 of the following counties - Hunterdon, Ocean, Sussex and Warren. The State will promote higher density residential zoning in Ongoing activity Transit Oriented Development areas, when revitalization occurs. The State will promote the development of mixed-income Ongoing activity communities throughout the State to help low-income working families move to neighborhoods offering greater access to opportunity; better schools, housing and transportation.

| The Division on Civil Rights (DCR) through its Multiple Dwelling Report will continue to identify and investigate potential patterns of discrimination. The Multiple Dwelling Reporting Rule requires property owners with 25 or more rental units to submit by January 31 of each year, an annual report to the DCR detailing the racial and ethnic composition of their tenants and applicants during the preceding year. More than 3,500 multiple-dwelling complexes are obligated to file reports under this regulation. The report assists DCR in identifying potential patterns of housing discrimination and includes information on the degree of access property owners provide to persons with disabilities and Section 8 rental subsidies. | Ongoing activity |
|---|------------------|
| Provide information regarding the availability of affordable rental and homeownership opportunities throughout New Jersey. The State will continue to support the New Jersey Housing Resource Center http://www.njhousing.gov/ which: 1) provides an on-line resource for property managers to market affordable rental and sales units statewide; and 2) provides a convenient resource for prospective renters to locate affordable and accessible housing. This website is free; searches can be conducted in both English and Spanish. | Ongoing activity |
| The Department of Community Affairs will continue to ensure that all of their housing and community development funds provide benefits and opportunities to residents regardless of race, color, religion, sex, disability, familial status, and national origin by monitoring grantee's projects and program files. | Ongoing activity |

HUD requires state and local governments to produce a five-year Consolidated Plan and annual action plan to receive funds from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), and Housing Options for People With AIDS (HOPWA) formula grant programs. The five-year plan must include an analysis of low-income housing needs, the needs of homeless persons and special needs populations, and the local housing market.

The State's Consolidated Plan* should be used as a guide to achieve the facilitation of shifts in population, employment, economic development and redevelopment into more compact, mixed-use and less sprawling settlement

patterns that optimize land-use and infrastructure efficiencies while ensuring that natural and cultural resources are protected and enhancing the potential for multi-modal transportation alternatives to the automobile.

* The Department of Community Affairs is responsible for developing the Consolidated Plan. The Plan combines all of the planning and application requirements of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG), HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) and the Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS (HOPWA) programs into a single application.

The Consolidated Plan is a multi-year comprehensive planning document which promotes program coordination, sets forth priorities, and serves as a guide in coordinating the delivery of limited housing, community and economic development resources to meet the needs of various program clienteles.

The six federal Department of Housing and Urban Development grants covered by the Consolidated Plan are:

- 1) Community Development Block Grant Program;
- 2) Emergency Shelter Grant Program;
- 3) HOME Investment Partnerships Program;
- 4) Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program;
- 5) Housing for Persons with AIDs; and
- 6) Neighborhood Stabilization Program.

Impediment 6: Land Use and Zoning Barriers

Restrictive land use and zoning policies can pose significant impediments to fair housing choice by limiting the range of market rate and affordable housing options (i.e., higher-density housing, multi-family rental housing, accessory units, and manufactured homes). During the planning and development phases, property owners and developers must comply with the New Jersey Municipal Land Use Law regulations. Most municipalities also create zoning laws for its residential areas. These zoning laws and ordinances govern and restrict land use within these zones. The ordinances set guidelines for many aspects of land use including: type of housing, size of the units and lot size. These policies may prevent the development of affordable housing in many suburban and other areas of high job growth, forcing low-income households to live in locations far from job opportunities.

The Federal Fair Housing Act prohibits local governments from exercising their land use and zoning powers in a discriminatory way. Decisions related to the development or use of land may not be based upon the race, sex, religion, national origin, color, disability, or familial status of the residents or potential residents who may live in the dwelling. Zoning ordinances may not contain provisions that treat uses such as affordable housing, supportive housing, or group homes for people with disabilities differently than other similar uses, and municipalities may not enforce ordinances more strictly against housing occupied by members of the protected classes.

The Fair Housing Act gives HUD the power to receive and investigate complaints of discrimination, including complaints that a local government has discriminated in exercising its land use and zoning powers. In matters involving zoning and land use, HUD does not issue a charge of discrimination; instead, HUD refers matters it believes may have merit to the Department of Justice for further investigation and possible legal suit.

| Action | Timeframe |
|---|-----------|
| The State, as part of its education campaign, will develop materials geared specifically to local governments on the topic of land use and zoning and the requirements of the Federal Fair Housing Act. | 2011 |

Affirmative Marketing and Minority Outreach Assessment

The State believes that its affirmative marketing strategy is working effectively. An analysis of the statistics obtained from, Table 1 - Households and Persons Assisted with Housing, shows that 49% of households assisted in FFY 2010 were minority households. The State will continue to use its existing affirmative marketing strategy to ensure that minority households have the opportunity to obtain decent, affordable housing. In addition, the State will continue to utilize the nonprofit community and the DCA web site to notify residents about affordable housing programs. The state has found nonprofits to be a valuable resource in identifying those households least likely to apply for the State's programs.

OTHER ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN

Actions to Meet Underserved Needs

- DCA through the State Rental Assistance Program (SRAP) helped address the underserved housing needs of the State. For FFY 2010, DCA provided State rental assistance to 4,243 households (TBRA & PBA). These SRAP vouchers assisted elderly households, disabled households, homeless families, and low-income households (up to 40 percent of county median income). In addition, DCA, HMFA and DHS have been working with the Department of Children and Families to find permanent, supportive housing for youth aging out of the DYFS system.
- The state, through its *Transit Village Initiative* encourages transit accessible, pedestrian friendly and environmentally responsible communities within easy reach of employment opportunities.

Transit Village Initiative creates incentives for municipalities to redevelop or revitalize areas around transit stations using design standards of "Transit Oriented Development (TOD). This initiative encourages the development of pedestrian friendly neighborhoods.

Another program that is encouraging Transit Oriented Development is the Low Income Tax Credit Program. Projects located within ½ mile of a rail, light rail, subway, ferry or major bus corridor station will receive a certain number of points in their application. This priority is designed to encourage smart housing growth in neighborhoods with mass transit opportunities, aimed at reducing traffic congestion, defraying commuting costs, and increasing the environmental benefits of

reducing dependence on personal automobiles.

Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program provides temporary financial assistance and services to: 1) prevent households from becoming homeless; and 2) divert people who are applying for shelter into other housing; and help those who are experiencing homelessness to be quickly re-housed and stabilized. An eligible program participant are New Jersey residents, whose household's income is equal to or less than 50 percent of the Area Median Income and is either homeless or at risk of losing their housing and lack the financial resources and support networks to identify immediate housing or remain in existinghousing.

The program began October 1, 2010 and as of June 30, 2011, the program expended \$6,652,543 assisted 1,459 households and created 25 jobs.

- The State also continued to provide housing resources to the post-incarcerated. As part of the Another Chance Initiative, the Department of Community Affairs allocated \$549,920 from the Homelessness Prevention Program to provide temporary housing assistance (60 to 180 days) to offenders being released from designated Department of Correction's (DOC) facilities that do not have an approved residence of record. The program is currently operating at Northern State Prison, Riverfront State Prison, Edna Mahon Correctional Facility for Women, or Garden State Youth Correctional Facility.
- During FFY 2010, DCA was awarded an additional 70 housing vouchers from the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program (VASH) to assist homeless veterans obtain permanent supportive housing. DCA is currently administering a total of 265 VASH vouchers.
- The Foreclosure Mediation Program provides homeowners who are in foreclosure with access to housing counselors and court trained mediators to resolve foreclosure actions. A mediator and counselor will work with you and your lender to work out your mortgage so that your future payments will be affordable to you.
- Mortgage Assistance Program provides temporary financial assistance, up to \$20,000, to income-eligible homeowners who wish to remain in their homes but are in imminent danger of foreclosure due to short-term financial problems beyond their control.
- NJ HomeKeeper Program- The HMFA applied for and was awarded funding from the United States Treasury's Hardest Hit Fund, which provides the New Jersey HomeKeeper Program. This foreclosure

prevention program provides direct financial assistance to homeowners who are at risk of losing their homes as a direct result of unemployment or underemployment. Eligible homeowners may receive up to \$48,000 in assistance for a term of up to 24 months.

- National Foreclosure Mitigation Counseling Program (NFMC): HMFA
 applied for and was awarded \$2.4 million in federal grant funding under
 the National Foreclosure Mitigation Counseling Program. The NFMC
 provides pre-foreclosure counseling and assistance to households facing
 foreclosure prior to the receipt of a foreclosure filing notice.
- Eliminated the Regional Contribution Agreement (RCA) as a method to address affordable housing needs under the FHA.
- Renamed the Neighborhood Preservation Non-lapsing Revolving Fund to the New Jersey Affordable Housing Trust Fund.
- Amended the "Fair Housing Act" to expand the types of properties that
 may be excluded from being designated as vacant land, including
 covenant-restricted agricultural lands, lands restricted from development
 pursuant to environmental laws, reserved recreational sites and historic
 sites.
- Created the *Urban Housing Assistance Fund*, funded by an annual \$20 million appropriation from the State portion of the receipts of the statewide non-residential development fees which are received directly by the State Treasurer. The program will assist urban aid municipalities in the rehabilitation and production of housing. Funds can be used for households earning up to 120% of area medium income.
- Established minimum numbers of housing units required to be set aside statewide for very low income persons under the FHA (for those earning 30% of median household income).
- Required redevelopers of redevelopment projects to replace low and moderate income housing units which are subject to affordability controls and are eliminated as a result of such activities with comparable housing, on a one-for-one basis.

Public Policies

The State's 2010 Annual Consolidated Plan identified several public policies and conditions adversely affecting the cost of housing and land. During the program year, the State has done the following to address these issues:

The Permit Extension Act, PL 2010, c. 336 was enacted to assist the
construction industry during the economic downturn. The Act holds
most development approvals open through June 30, 2013. This
provides relief from having to reapply for permits to any developer who
had projects in the pipeline that had to be put on hold as a result of
financial concerns.

LEVERAGING RESOURCES

As previously stated, the State utilizes other public resources available to match the federal resources received to help address its housing and community development needs. These resources include the affordable housing programs administered by the New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency and DCA administered lead-based paint reduction programs, neighborhood revitalization programs, weatherization programs, the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program and affordable housing production programs.

In addition, during FFY 2010, the State applied for all of the funding sources it said it would pursue. This included submitting applications for all of the formula grant programs for which it was eligible, as well as submitting applications for competitive program funds for the 2010 HUD Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control Grant (LBPHCG) and Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant (LHRDG) program, the Shelter Plus Care Program, the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program, the Supportive Housing Program and the Family Self-Sufficiency Coordinator Program.

MANAGING THE PROCESS

The New Jersey Department of Community Affairs is the lead agency responsible for administering the HOME, Emergency Shelter Grant and Community Development Block Grant Programs. The New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services are responsible for administering the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program.

MATCH REQUIREMENTS

To satisfy the match requirements of the HOME Program, Emergency Shelter Grant Program and the Community Development Block Grant Program, New Jersey used State funds from the Affordable Housing

Trust Fund.

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

The State posted the Draft Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report on the Department of Community Affair's Division of Housing and Community Resources' web site at: http://www.state.nj.us/dca/divisions/dhcr/.

INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE

New Jersey recognizes that the institutional structure of the State and the interaction of public and private agencies are vital to the effective coordination and delivery of services to the public. To this end, the Department of Community Affairs continues to work closely with the New Jersey Redevelopment Authority, New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, the Department of Health and Senior Services and the Department of Human Services to coordinate the delivery of public sector resources.

 Coordination of housing development with economic opportunities and community development

This comprehensive approach, which ties job opportunities and social services to housing revitalization, will help stabilize depressed areas as well as promote self sufficiency of the area's residents.

 Provision of planning and technical assistance for non-urban counties/ municipalities

Non-urban communities often do not make affordable housing a financial or political priority. This creates a severe problem in rural counties where hundreds of subsidized units are lost through prepayment of HUD and FHA mortgages. Remedial actions to be undertaken by DCA will include outreach, education and technical assistance to these rural areas.

Facilitating Public Access to Information

The DCA is using the latest electronic technology to enable the public to access housing information and technical assistance from remote sites throughout the State.

Intergovernmental Cooperation

The State of New Jersey recognizes that the expeditious delivery of housing services relies on improving and expanding intergovernmental and institutional cooperation on the State, county and local levels to coordinate effectively the delivery of public and private resources. The State, through DCA and HMFA has made significant strides in coordinating the policies and programs of government agencies that are direct providers of housing. The joint cooperation of DCA's and HMFA's financing programs represents an improved efficiency in providing funding to meet New Jersey's affordable housing needs. Improved coordination among these agencies is essential since many initiatives require participation from each of the agencies.

The Department of Community Affairs continued to improve the coordination between housing providers and health and service agencies by doing the following:

- Maintaining an excellent working relationship with various State departments, including the Department of Health and Senior Services and the Department of Human Services, in order to implement a statewide homelessness strategy, which includes assisting DYFS families.
- Continuing to build on-going relationships with housing providers who manage, rehabilitate and construct affordable housing and homeless facilities.
- Expanding and improving existing housing programs.
- Continuing to work with HMFA to provide first-time homebuyer mortgages to the Section 8 Homeownership Program participants.

MONITORING

Each grantee and sub-recipient receiving federal funds from DCA will be monitored in the following manner:

- Receive an explanation of grant requirements and deadlines.
- Receive at least two field visits during the duration of the contract. During the
 field visits, Departmental staff will: 1) review the grantees' files to ensure that
 statutory and regulatory requirements are being adhered to; 2) conduct a
 physical inspection of the site, if applicable; and 3) meet with staff members.
 Any deficiencies identified will be addressed and corrected immediately.
 Additional monitoring visits will be scheduled, if needed.
- Periodic telephone calls to check on the status of the grant and resolve any problems.

In addition to monitoring the progress of grantees, DCA's Division of Housing and Community Resources have devised internal controls that ensure adherence to the goals, objectives, and regulations applicable for each program. These controls include monthly reporting that is directly linked to the goals and objectives of the program, development and tracking of work plans that provide timelines for completion of program activities, and consistent re-evaluation of the grant processes to ensure effectiveness and efficiency.

DCA will also monitor how each of the HUD programs are progressing. Monthly IDIS reports will be created to determine the number and type of activities set-up, amount of funds committed and dispersed. These reports will help determine whether funds for the program activities are being distributed according to the goals and objectives identified in the Consolidated Plan and whether they are sufficient. Any impediments identified will be addressed.

Compliance

Actions the State will take in order to ensure the long term compliance with housing codes.

The State follows the requirement that on-site inspections of HOME-assisted rental housing developments are required no less than:

- Every 3 years for projects of 1 to 4 units;
- Every 2 years for projects with 5 to 25 units:
- Annually for projects with 26 or more units

The general rule is to inspect a sufficient sample of HOME-assisted units at minimum of 20% of the total and at least a unit in every building.

The State's on-site physical inspection process includes:

 At minimum the State's inspections meet or exceed the State of New Jersey Department of Community Affairs Division of Codes and Standards Bureau of Housing Inspection's, New Jersey Hotel and Multiple Dwelling Law (N.J.S.A. 55:13A-1 et seq.)

The process to handle a project that is not in compliance is as follows:

- The HOME program uses a 3 stage intervention for projects in noncompliance
 - Low-level intervention
 - A clearly written letter identifying the problem areas with corrective action in sent
 - Plan a strategy with subrecipient to address identified problems including technical assistance and training
 - More frequent reporting and monitoring reviews will be required
 - Moderate-level intervention
 - Impose probationary status

- Accelerate loan terms
- Restrict subrecipients payment request
- o High-level intervention
 - Suspend subrecipient
 - Do not renew subrecipient for following years
 - Initiate legal action

Lead-Based Paint

The Department of Community Affairs, in conjunction with the Department of Health and Senior Services established the goal of eliminating childhood lead poisoning by 2010. The DCA has established the established the following thirteen objectives in support of that goal:

- To maintain and improve information and referral services available through the toll-free lead information hotline 1-877-DCA-LEAD, and through the DCA web site www.leadsafenj.org.
- To increase the availability statewide of training opportunities in lead-safe work practices, including lead-safe building maintenance practices and other appropriate training as it becomes available. New training may include the EPA Renovation, Repair, and Painting Rule or equivalent approved curricula.
- To fully implement the Lead Hazard Control Act, which provides funding resources for the reduction of lead-based paint hazards in housing, particularly older housing occupied by families with children under the age of six.
- To continue identification and reduction of lead-based paint hazards through the full implementation of 24 CFR Part 35 in federally funded housing activities.
- 5. To incorporate lead-based paint hazard identification and reduction strategies in our State funded housing initiatives.
- 6. To develop and implement a statewide education and outreach campaign to increase awareness of the dangers of lead-based paint and other lead issues.
- 7. To continue targeting resources to high-risk communities, developing innovative approaches for the identification and reduction of lead-based paint hazards in housing and continue pursuit of funding in support of this objective.
- 8. To coordinate services and resources with State and local governments, private and public nonprofits through active participation in the Interagency Task Force on the Prevention of Lead Poisoning and other statewide or regional organizations.
- 9. To develop public-private partnerships to expand services.
- 10. To promote increases in the blood-screening rate of New Jersey's children.

- 11. To develop and maintain a lead-safe housing registry that identifies the level of lead safety of all of New Jersey's residential dwellings.
- 12. To protect New Jersey children from ongoing exposure to lead-based paint in housing through relocation to lead-safe housing.
- 13. Pursue additional federal funding in support of unit goals. As of December 31, 2008 IEHU had concluded its HUD Lead Hazard Control grant by completing 246 housing units. IEHU will submit applications for additional lead hazard control funding in SFY 2010.

The status of the State's progress towards meeting all thirteen objectives is as follows:

Objective 1: Maintenance of DCA information and referral services

Menu options for the 877-DCA-LEAD hotline have been revised. Options now reflect the limitations on application intake to priority 1 and priority 2 cases. (Priority 1 and 2 cases are those constructed prior to 1978 with a child under 6 in residence or constructed prior to 1978 with a notice of violation issued by the local board of health). The menu also provides callers with a referral number for EPA when they are seeking information regarding the EPA's 40 CFR 745 Renovation, Repair and Paining Rule.

During this reporting period, the Department began the changeover of its web site platform from Dream Weaver to Team Site. IEHU Staff have received logons to develop our own web content and facilitate updates as they occur. Concurrent with the changeover, the website, www.leadsafenj.org, has been maintained to insure its information on lead poisoning prevention remains current. Web pages provide news and links to licensed lead contractor lists, general information, and other relevant state and federal agencies. The Lead-Safe Housing Registry is reachable from the site as well. Application forms for the Lead Hazard Control Assistance Programs in PDF format are available from the web site. The IEHU is working on developing the capability to complete and submit online applications for our Programs. The LHCA Program application will be developed first.

Objective 2: Continue funding training opportunities for lead-safe work practices and other appropriate, approved curricula as they become available.

The LHCAF provided \$498,770 to the New Jersey Consortium for Workforce and Economic Development operating through local community colleges to provide low cost Lead Ready Renovation and Maintenance (LRRM) courses to NJ residents. The LRRM courses include 4 certificate programs: US EPA Certified Renovator, US EPA Certified Dust Wipe Technician, NJ DCA Lead Rules and Regulations, and OSHA Hazards Communications. These 20-hour courses provide the participant with all the lead certifications needed to perform

renovation, maintenance, and weatherization and repair activities in New Jersey.

Classes will run through the end of Calendar Year 2011. To date, we have funded 37 courses attended by 677 individuals and issued the following number of certificates:

Total Certificates Issued

| Renovator | 663 |
|-------------|-----|
| NJ DCA Regs | 670 |
| Dust Wipe | 582 |
| OSHA | 606 |

Courses will continue running through the end of this Calendar Year.

Objective 3: To fully implement the Lead Hazard Control Act.

Major revisions to the regulations governing the Lead Hazard Control Assistance Fund (N.J.A.C. 5:48) were adopted in the Fall of 2010; however, we are only just beginning to see the benefits of those revisions due to the backlog of cases which were processed under previous regulations. We anticipate that the revisions will allow greater flexibility in lead hazard control treatments, reduce costs, increase the pool of eligible applicants for LHCA loan program funding, allow combined loans and grants to property owners where children have been lead poisoned, codify existing policies and outline new programs.

The status of the major lead programs are as follows:

- The Lead Hazard Control Assistance (LHCA) Program expended over \$3.4 million during the reporting period to produce 69 units of lead safe housing. See Objective 7.
- The Emergency Lead Poisoning Relocation (ELPR) and Relocation to End Exposure to Lead (REEL) Program expended \$550,702 to relocate 66 households to lead-safe housing when those households included a child with an elevated blood lead level. See Objective 12.
- The Lead Identification and Field Testing (LIFT) Program was designed to increase the capacity of local health departments and other public health agencies to identify lead-based paint and lead-based paint hazards in housing. LIFT provides funding to purchase modern equipment to help local health departments test, collect, and compile accurate data on the presence of lead-based paint and lead-based paint hazards in housing, and in other non-housing based sources (such as toys and other

- consumer products). Due to budgetary constraints no new funding was allocated to the LIFT Program during the reporting period.
- Housing Assistant for Lead-Safe Living Opportunities (HALLO) Program grantee Catholic Charities Diocese of Camden provided services during this reporting period with prior year funding. The contract term was to expire June 30, 2011; however, through a no-cost extension, services will continue to be provided through the end of calendar year 2011. The HALLO Program is designed to provide housing case management services to households with children with elevated blood lead levels. Catholic Charities provided case management services to 27 households, made 840 contacts to organizations through letters or phone calls; and identified 16,765 lead-safe housing units constructed on or after January 1, 1978 for inclusion as "lead free" on the Lead Safe Housing Registry.
- The Health Expedited Abatement of Lead (HEAL) Program was not funded during the reporting period due to budgetary constraints. In the future, the HEAL Program will provide local health departments the financial resources to perform lead abatement on residential housing when the property owner has been unwilling or unable to do so following issuance of a Notice of Violation/Abatement order by the local health department under N.J.A.C. 8:51 Childhood Lead Poisoning. Under the aforesaid regulation, local health departments have the authority to perform lead abatement work, or may arrange to have the work performed by a qualified contractor, and then bill the owner. Health departments rarely exercise this authority due to lack of funds. Although most owners comply with health department orders, some abatement orders go without compliance or enforcement for as long as ten years. HEAL will begin to address that situation by providing local board of health direct financial assistance.
- The Geographic Organization of Lead Data (GOLD) Program evaluates statewide lead data and maps key data elements. The Geographic information systems (GIS) technology adds a geographic element to statistical data analysis, making it easier to identify spatial distributions of target housing, elevated blood leads, screening rates, and results of housing that has been tested for lead, while providing a visual emphasis on the spatial connections among related lead factors. Much of the data collection for GOLD uses existing data sources, including data on elevated blood lead levels obtained via an MOU with the NJ Department of Health and Senior Services, data from other Divisions of the DCA and the US Census. The GOLD Program has conducted address matching on a quarterly basis for two housing programs within the Department. The first is the Division's Section 8 Rental Assistance Program and the second is the Department's multiple dwelling maintenance code enforcement unit. Addresses are matched against elevated blood lead levels statewide.

IEHU prepare and submits reports to the housing agency for appropriate follow-up. Staff also participates in the Department's GIS Users Group.

 The Lead Education and Outreach (LEO) Program obligated \$105,000 in funds to Northern NJ Maternal and Child Health to serve the population of the City of Newark. Other areas within the state were previously funded under SFY10 grants which terminated June 30, 2011; however, through no cost extensions services will continue to be provided in the northern and southern regions of the state until the end of the calendar year and the central region of the state until September 30, 2011. Accomplishments vary by grantee as objectives were established based upon regional plans. Our grantee in the northern region tested 256 homes for lead during home visits, conducted 176 education/outreach events/activities, and packaged 35 LHCA Program applications. The Newark grantee (same grantee and the northern region) conducted 46 education/outreach events/activities, packaged 2 LHCA Program applications and provided 11 scholarships for Lead Ready trainings. Our central grantee distributed 164 healthy homes bags, conducted 167 education/outreach events/activities, packaged 11 LHCA Program applications, conducted 9 training sessions in Lead Ready courses and distributed 345 lead dust wipe kits. Our southern region grantee distributed 1,199 dust wipe kits, conducted 280 education/outreach events/activities, and conducted 5 training sessions in Lead Ready courses. See Objective 6, 7,8 9, and 10

Objective 4: Continue identification and reduction of lead-based paint hazards through the full implementation of 24 CFR 35 Lead Safe Housing Rule in federally funded housing activities.

Division wide training on the Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR 35 as well as 40 CFR 745 Renovation, Repair and Painting Rule and various NJ regulations related to lead in housing was provided to the Division of Housing and Community Resources staff working on federally funded rehabilitation projects. The session was held on November 19, 2010. Approximately 10 Division staff received training. Based upon requests from the aforementioned Division staff, the IEHU developed monitoring tools for staff and compliance tools for grantees. IEHU staff presented a modified version of the training on January 14, 2010 for the Division's grantees. The training was attended by approximately 60 individuals.

A training session, monitoring tools for management and compliance tools for staff of the Section 8 Rental Assistance Program was agreed to and is in development for delivery in the winter of 2011.

Through an MOU with the DHSS, the GOLD Program staff is performing address matching required under 24 CFR 35, Subpart M Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA). In the most recent match which used data on 1657addresses of lead

poisoned children (data dated May 1, 2010 through May 4, 2011) were compared to 2984 addresses (data as of April 28, 2011) of Tenant-based Rental Assistance (TBRA) units built prior to 1978 where a child under 6 resided. On June 27, 2011, the GOLD Program notified the TBRA Program of 20 matched addresses and appropriate follow-up is being conducted by TBRA offices. See Objective 8.

Note on the matches: Apartment numbers were not always provided in DHSS data, so there may not be an exact apartment match between Section 8 and DHSS.

Address matching is also performed to insure the addresses listed on the Lead Safe Housing Registry are not properties with an open poisoning case. In our latest matching exercise, 1,657 addresses were extracted from the NJ Department of Health and Senior Services database of lead poisonings covering the period May 1, 2010 through May 4, 2011. These addresses were matched against 36,375 multiple dwelling addresses downloaded May 16, 2011. One-hundred-forty addresses matched.

Objective 5: Incorporate lead-based paint hazard identification and reduction strategies in our state funded housing initiatives.

The State-funded Neighborhood Preservation Program has adopted the requirements of 24 CFR Part 35 in its activities. IEHU continues to advocate the adoption of lead-safe work practices for all State-funded housing rehabilitation programs.

Objective 6: Continue funding outreach and education activities.

During the reporting period, the only new funding for education and outreach activities was provided to Northern NJ Maternal and Child Health to administer a lead education/outreach program in the City of Newark. Existing funding covered grantees for the balance of the state through the reporting period. Those grantees are NJ Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) designated childhood lead poisoning prevention (CLPP) regional coalitions. See Objective 3.

Objective 7: To develop innovative approaches for the identification and reduction of lead-based paint hazards in housing and identify funding in support of this objective.

One innovative approach to lead hazard control was the development of the hybrid method. Devised as a means of creating lead-safe housing less expensively than traditional abatement strategies, the hybrid method involves replacing windows as an abatement work item and addressing other lead-based paint hazards with interim controls. Windows, owing to the friction from opening and closing, have always been a significant source of lead dust in housing. The hybrid method of lead hazard treatment can be accomplished without relocation

as well.

During this reporting period, rule revisions to N.J.A.C. 5:48 Lead Hazard Control Assistance Fund which were adopted in October 18, 2010 incorporate the hybrid treatment method into the regulations. The LHCA Program is now able to fund lead poisoning prevention projects using the hybrid treatment method on lead-based paint hazards.

Objective 8: To coordinate services and resources with State and local governments, private and public nonprofits through active participation in the Interagency Task Force on the Prevention of Lead Poisoning (Task Force) and other statewide or regional organizations.

IEHU staff has continued to coordinate services and resources through active participation on the Task Force for the Prevention of Childhood Lead Poisoning (Task Force), including participation on planning subcommittees. Under the current budgetary climate, it is unclear whether the Task Force will continue as is or be absorbed into the Healthy Homes Advisory Board.

On July 10, 2010, the DCA and the New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services executed a Memorandum of Agreement under which both departments would share data related to the incidence of blood poisoning and would cooperate on a range of issues related to lead poisoning prevention. As a result of this MOU, the GOLD Program and the Lead Safe Housing Registry have direct access to DHSS data on properties with lead-based paint hazards and addresses where children were lead poisoned. This data is utilized to the address matching requirement stipulated under 24 CFR 35, Subpart M and to generate GIS maps.

Under an extension of the Lead Education and Outreach (LEO) Grants covering the period January 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010, LEO grantees were required to develop regional plans for each county under their jurisdiction. These bottom-up planning documents included a needs assessment based upon analysis of key lead data (number and location of elevated blood lead levels, age of housing stock, populations, etc.) and a public survey, the development of a resource directory, and the identification of gaps in services. The LEO grantees dovetailed their goals and objectives established by their regional plans with the Department's 2011 Consolidated Plan to establish their deliverables under their SFY11 LEO grant award.

Objective 9: Develop public-private partnerships to expand services.

Through the Lead Education and Outreach (LEO) Program grants were provided to four regional coalitions. Two of the coalitions are private nonprofit organizations (Northern NJ Maternal/Child Health Consortium and Southern NJ Perinatal Cooperative) and two coalitions were units of

local government (City of Newark and the County of Monmouth). All four regional coalitions were designated by the DHSS as regional Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention coalitions. Each grantee was required to establish local coalitions in each county or sub-region to include members from public health and housing organizations as well as private housing stake-holders and area residents.

Objective 10: Promote increases in the blood-screening rate of New Jersey's children.

All Lead Education/Outreach (LEO) activities include awareness messages for parents/guardians to insure their children are screened for lead. Under N.J.A.C. 8:51A Screening of Children for Lead Poisoning, commonly referred to as the Universal Screening Law, every primary care provider that provides services to a child who is a least six months or age, but under six year of age, shall screen the child for lead between nine and 18 months of age and between 18 and 26 months of age. Laboratories analyzing blood tests shall report all test results to the DHSS. In the latest DHSS report (covers SFY09 which began July 1, 2008 and ended June 30, 2010), screening rates for children by the age of 3 are at 72% of the population and rates for children by the age of 6 are at 91% of the population. (Source: Childhood Lead Poisoning in New Jersey, Annual Report Fiscal Years 2008 and 2009 published by the NJ Department of Health and Senior Services).

Objective 11: Develop and Maintain a Lead-Safe Housing Registry

The statewide version of the online interactive Lead-Safe Housing Registry is at www.njleadsafe.info. The Registry currently includes data on over 282,000 addresses.

Objective 12: Protect NJ children from ongoing exposure to leadbased paint in housing through relocation to lead-safe housing.

During the reporting period, the IEHU expended \$550,702 to relocate 66 households to lead-safe housing (an average of \$7,649 per household).

Rule revisions to N.J.A.C. 5:48 Lead Hazard Control Assistance Fund proposed during this reporting period clarify relocation eligibility and services and includes an additional lead dust cleaning service to keep households in place when appropriate.

The continuation of a Housing Assistant for Lead-Safe Living Opportunities (HALLO) Program grant provides additional services to households eligible for relocation assistance through either of the relocation programs (Relocation to End Exposure to Lead Program or

Emergency Lead Poisoning Relocation Program). See Objective 3.

Objective 13: Pursue federal funding in support of unit goals.

The IEHU did not apply for federal funding this grant cycle.

Other Safety and Health Hazards

IEHU is beginning a "Healthy Homes' Initiative. In addition to lead, children are exposed to a number of other safety and health hazards in the home that are capable of producing serious diseases, injuries and even death. In April 1997, under Executive Order 13045, federal agencies were directed to insure that their policies, programs, activities and standards address potential hazards to children that may result from environmental health and/or safety risks.

In April 1999 HUD published a full report, "The Healthy Homes Initiative: A Preliminary Plan," that identified potential hazards including lead, asthma/allergens, mold, insect pests, rodents, pesticides, other toxic chemicals, environmental tobacco smoke, combustion byproducts, radon, asbestos, takehome hazards (from work), unintentional injuries (including fires), uncontrolled moisture, inadequate ventilation, soil gases (other than radon), hazardous building materials, drinking water contamination, sewage backup, swimming pools, noise and vibration, crowding, firearms, faulty construction, pets, appliances (ozone generators, humidifiers, unvented clothing dryers) and food handling sanitation.

Due to the large number of potential hazards HUD determined that it would be more productive to examine common housing deficiencies that caused adverse health and safety effects in children. By correcting the housing deficiencies, most if not all of the hazards would be reduced or eliminated. Their focus then became the development of interventions that correct excess moisture, dust, proper ventilation, control of toxics and public awareness and education efforts.

Statement of Need

Asthma – The US Environmental Protection Agency reports about 15 million Americans have asthma and about 5,000 of them will die each year from this illness. According to the Annual Update 2006 to the Asthma in New Jersey report, prepared by the New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services, approximately 8% of the adult population in New Jersey has asthma. The estimated number of women with asthma is almost double the estimated number of men with asthma. About 9% of New Jersey's children suffer from asthma. Approximately 72% of children with a history of asthma still have asthma. Statistics on hospital discharges following treatment for asthma show that 5,174 discharges of children under the age of 18 were made in NJ in 2004. Also in 2004, the number of discharges for adults 18 years of age or older was 10,509.

An overall increase in asthma hospitalizations was observed among all racial/ethnic groups from 2000 to 2004. Hispanic and Black residents continued to experience disproportionate hospitalization rates for asthma during this time period.

Federal and State agencies advise that asthma can be triggered by allergens and irritants that are common in homes such as secondhand smoke, dust mites, pets, molds, pests (cockroaches or rodents), and household dust. In their April 1999 report, HUD lists inadequate ventilation, dust traps, moisture intrusion/humidification systems, broken windows and other structural deficiencies, improper renovation and deferred or inadequate home maintenance as contributors to an increase in asthma triggers.

Carbon Monoxide (CO) Poisoning – The Consumer Product Safety Commission reports over 200 people in the United States die from CO produced by fuel-burning appliances such as furnaces, ranges, water heaters, and room heaters. Each year, several thousand Americans go to hospital emergency rooms for treatment for CO poisoning.

In their April 1999 report, HUD lists combustion spillage caused by airflow reversal in chimneys, use of unvented heaters or appliances, improperly maintained or vented heating or cooking appliances as causative factors in CO poisonings.

Since February 1999, Owners of Multiple dwellings in New Jersey have been required to install Carbon monoxide alarms in the immediate vicinity of the sleeping area in every dwelling unit that contains a fuel-burning appliance or that has an attached garage. In 2003, regulations were adopted that require CO alarms in the immediate vicinity of all sleeping rooms in all residential dwellings. Despite these requirements, DCA's Division of Fire Safety reports that Carbon Monoxide (CO) accounted for an average of 13% of hazardous incidents across the state during the winter heating season and 8% of hazardous incidents during the off season (source: Fire in New Jersey 2007).

Fire Deaths – According to the DCA, Division of Fire Safety's annual report "Fire In New Jersey 2007", there were 10,768 residential fires in calendar year 2007. Sixty-one percent (61%) of the fires occurred in single and two family housing and 32% occurred in buildings containing three or more residential units. Seventy-six civilians lost their lives in fires in 2007. Eight of the fire fatalities (10.5%) were children under the age of nine. The fires occurred in residential dwellings without smoke detectors in 16% of single and two family homes and 11% in multiple dwellings.

Radon - Radon is a naturally occurring radioactive gas. The NJ Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) reports radon as a common indoor air pollutant to which exposure at levels found in some homes has been associated

with an increase in the risk of lung cancer. The NJ Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) reports that approximately 6 million people in NJ live in moderate to high risk radon areas and 2.4 million homes still need to be tested in these areas. The NJDEP's New Jersey Radon Potential Map can be viewed at http://www.state.nj.us/dep/rpp/radon/radonmap.htm. Approximately 1/3 of New Jersey is listed in Tier 1 – High Radon Potential, with another 1/3 in Tier 2 – Moderate Radon Potential.

Uncontrolled moisture and inadequate ventilation – Since 1992, the Division of Housing and Community Resources has undertaken lead hazard control work that included correction of housing deficiencies contributing to the deterioration of lead-based paint. Our experience confirms HUD's findings (see: Healthy Homes Initiative: A Preliminary Plan) that deficiencies in housing such as uncontrolled moisture and inadequate ventilation area strongly associated with the prevalence of lead-based paint hazards as well as many of the areas of concern discussed here. Evidence of moisture infiltration was present in all 436 housing units participating in lead hazard control activities utilizing federal grant funds. The State funded Lead Hazard Control Assistance Fund has also experienced this association with 64% of participating buildings containing moisture infiltration and/or inadequate ventilation severe enough to deteriorate paint films. The major underlying causes were: leaking from roof, windows, plumbing fixtures and missing or improper gutters and drainage.

Where clothes dryers are used, proper ventilation was noted in fewer than 25% of cases. Chimney maintenance and/or repair were required in over 70% of cases where housing natural gas or oil-fueled appliances were vented through the chimney.

Goals/Objectives:

The Department of Community Affairs goals are as follows:

- 1. To increase awareness of the housing conditions which contribute to health and safety risks to occupants, particularly children.
- To work with other programs within DCA to develop rehabilitation standards that incorporate methods to reduce the health and safety issues identified in HUD's Health Homes Initiatives.
- 3. To support DHSS goals of:
 - a. Reducing asthma hospitalization and death rates.
 - b. Increasing of the percentage of New Jersey homes tested for radon to 30% by 2010
 - Increasing the percentage of homes mitigated, where testing has found radon levels exceeding 4 picocuries per liter of radon, to 40% by 2010.
- 4. Identifying and actively pursuing funding to prevent and mitigate health and safety hazards.

- Coordinating services and resources with State and local governments, private and public nonprofits through active participation in statewide or regional organizations.
- 6. Developing public-private partnerships to expand services.

The status of the State's progress towards meeting the six objectives is as follows:

Objective 1: To increase awareness of the housing conditions which contribute to health and safety risks to occupants, particularly children.

Beginning in SFY11, Lead Education and Outreach (LEO) Program grantees have incorporated into their home visits the distribution the following items:

- 2 Squirt Bottles
- Rubber Gloves
- 2 Sponges
- 2 9V Batteries (for use in smoke and CO detectors)
- Baking Soda
- 2 Standard Size Allergen Pillow Cases
- Vinegar
- Microfiber Dust Cloths
- Distilled Water
- -"Recipe Cards" for making green cleaning products
- Directions on how to use the materials in the bucket

Educational materials will also be included in the buckets for the following topics:

- Asthma Triggers - Radon
- Smoking Cessation
 A resource list by County
 Eco-friendly Pest Control
 Directions on how to use materials in the bucket

Objective 2:- To work with other programs within DCA to develop rehabilitation standards that incorporate methods to reduce the health and safety issues identified in HUD's Health Homes Initiatives

No progress to report.

Objective 3: To support DHSS goals of 1) reducing asthma hospitalization and death rates; 2) increasing of the percentage of New Jersey homes tested for radon to 30% by 2010; and 3) increasing the percentage of homes mitigated, where testing has found radon levels exceeding 4 picocuries per liter of radon, to 40% by 2010.

Through the Lead Hazard Control Assistance (LHCA) Program, housing conditions which contribute to the deterioration of lead-based paint through moisture infiltration or improper venting and conditions which contribute to the accumulation of household dust are corrected. These housing conditions also contribute significantly to the incidence of asthma. By correcting these housing conditions the IEHU reduces asthma triggers in the home. See Objective 1.

No funding source has been identified to test and/or mitigate radon.

The Department did support a grant application submitted by the NJ Department of Health and Senior Services to the Center for Disease Control (CDC) for healthy homes planning.

Objective 4: Identifying and actively pursuing funding to prevent and mitigate health and safety hazards.

The IEHU has not applied for federal funding during this grant cycle.

Objective 5: Coordinating services and resources with State and local governments, private and public nonprofits through active participation in statewide or regional organizations.

IEHU staff has continued to coordinate services and resources through active participation on the Task Force for the Prevention of Childhood Lead Poisoning (Task Force), including participation on planning and other subcommittees.

Objective 6: Developing public-private partnerships to expand services.

Through the Lead Education and Outreach (LEO) Program grants were provided to four regional coalitions. Two of the coalitions are private nonprofit organizations (Northern NJ Maternal/Child Health Consortium and Southern NJ Perinatal Cooperative) and two coalitions were units of local government (City of Newark and the County of Monmouth). All four regional coalitions were designated by the DHSS as regional Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention coalitions. Each grantee was required to establish local coalitions in each county or sub-region to include members from public health and housing organizations as well as private housing stake-holders and area residents. Through the LEO Grantees, healthy homes messages are being delivered to the public.

HOUSING NEEDS

Assessment of Annual Performance

During FFY 2010, the Department of Community Affairs continued to implement a comprehensive housing strategy that strives to revitalize areas by increasing opportunities and access to affordable housing while addressing social service, employment and/or educational needs. The major initiatives included:

- Adopting a Barrier Free Subcode N.J.A.C. 5:43-7 which puts certain requirements on <u>all</u> new units. Any units that are seeking COAH credits also have additional requirements including, but not limited to, adaptable entrances, accessible interior routes into and throughout the unit, adaptable toilet and bathing facilities on the first floor and an adaptable room that can be used as a bedroom.
- DCA and HMFA continued to work towards providing quality, affordable housing for families and seniors and preserving units. HMFA is the State's sole authorized administrator for the federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program, the largest single source of equity for multifamily affordable rental projects. In FFY 2010 HMFA allocated over \$15 million in tax credits that created 652 units of affordable housing. Under the Multifamily Programs, HMFA provided approximately \$93 million in financing to create approximately 1,347 units.

PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY

In accordance with the provisions of the 1992 Housing and Redevelopment Law, N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-1 et seq, the Department, to improve the caliber of Public Housing Authority managers, DCA continued to offer courses through the State's Local Housing Authority and Municipal Redevelopment Agency Training Program. This program provides commissioners and executive directors of local housing authorities and redevelopment agencies with the professional skills and knowledge necessary to function effectively and assist their residents.

Public Housing Resident Initiatives

The Department of Community Affairs, in addition to administering over 20,000 housing vouchers, oversees the following two programs that assist the voucher holders become self-sufficient.

1. The Family Self-Sufficiency Program (FSS) assists low-income tenants build assets and increase their earnings so that they can better meet their families'

needs and become independent of welfare assistance. As part of the program, DCA establishes an interest-bearing FSS escrow account for each participating family. An escrow credit, based on increases in earned income of the family, is credited to this account during the five-year term of the FSS contract. In FFY 2010, DCA had 205 active clients and continues to recruit new clients through group and individual orientations. DCA awarded \$161,849 to 19 clients whose contracts expired during FFY'10 and who successfully completed the program. Of the 40 clients whose FSS contract expired during FFY 2010, 19 clients successfully completed the program- a 48% success rate. Among all 40 clients who exited the program- successful or not-, the median increase in salary was \$10,711, with the highest increase at \$53,425. Successful clients received an average payoff of \$11,436. Of them, 2 purchased homes, 1 obtained a GED, 2 received a Bachelor's degree, received a professional / technical degree and 1 no longer needed TANF/GA benefits.

2. The Section 8 Homeownership Program allows families who are receiving Section 8 rental assistance to use that assistance to help pay the mortgage on a home that they have purchased. In order to qualify, the family must be a first-time homebuyer, be employed full time for at least one year with a minimum annual income of \$20,800 (Except for elderly and disabled), have decent credit and successfully complete housing counseling sessions. To date, DCA's program has 2,271 applicants, 517 of which completed a Home Ownership class, 257 are mortgage ready, 206 are in housing search and 107 have closed on homes. During SFY 2010, 20 households closed on homes.

Public Housing Improvements

To improve the caliber of Public Housing Authority managers, DCA continued to offer courses through the State's Local Housing Authority and Municipal Redevelopment Agency Training Program. This program provides commissioners and executive directors of local housing authorities and redevelopment agencies with the professional skills and knowledge necessary to function effectively and assist their residents.

BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING

During FFY 2010, the State did the following to help eliminate barriers to affordable housing:

- Continued to provide down payment and closing costs to households seeking homeownership. Programs such as the Smart Start and Live Where You Work provided assistance to qualified homebuyers.
- Continued to educate the public on affordable housing programs and the need for more affordable housing in order to address NIMBY.
- Initiated property tax reform.
- Continued to reinvest in already developed areas in order to preserve open space and environmentally sensitive lands

HOME

During the performance period, July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2010 the DCA leveraged its HOME funds with State and other federal resources, to construct affordable housing, rehabilitate substandard units and provide services for the homeless and special needs populations. In addition, the DCA continued to encourage the formation of public/private partnerships by exploring opportunities for collaborative ventures with nonprofits, community action agencies, housing authorities and for-profit developers.

The DCA, to the greatest extent possible, utilized its HOME funds throughout the State to address the housing needs identified in the 2010 Consolidated Plan. In addition, during this period, the State allocated its \$7.7 million of HOME funds to address the needs of its most disadvantaged residents.

Project Information

The DCA allocated its FFY 2010 HOME funds totaling \$7.7 million to the following programs:

| SUB-PROGRAM | AMOUNT OF FUNDS | PERCENTAGE OF FUNDS |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Administration | \$772,846 | 10% |
| CHDO Production | \$1,159,269 | 15% |
| Production Investment | \$2,086,684 | 27% |
| Tenant-Based | \$3,709,661 | 48% |
| Rental Assistance | | |
| TOTAL | \$7,728,460 | 100% |

During FFY 2010, the State <u>completed</u> the following HOME funded activities:

- 1. Tenant-Based Rental Assistance 233 vouchers
- 2. Production 30 units (7 CHDO Production / 23 Production Investment)

Funds were allocated to the following groups: 74 percent very low-income, 18 percent low-income and 8 percent moderate-income residents.

Program Income

During FFY 2010, the State generated \$1.6 million in program income. These funds have been committed to other projects.

Table 2 summarizes the State's match requirements and match source.

The State's Balanced Housing Program funded all the projects identified in the report. As Table 2 indicates, the State committed \$6.7 million to affordable housing projects, which exceeded the \$1.93 million match liability.

HOME Monitoring

The DCA conducted an on-site compliance-monitoring visit for each HOME grantee. At a minimum, the State's HOME inspections meet or exceed the State of New Jersey Department of Community Affairs Division of Codes and Standards Bureau of Housing Inspection's, New Jersey Hotel and Multiple Dwelling Law (N.J.S.A. 55:13A-1 et seq).

During the monitoring visits, staff reviewed the grantees' files to ensure that they were in compliance with the statutory and regulatory requirements and that their respective projects were progressing on schedule. Any deficiencies identified were noted and steps to address it implemented.

Recipients of HOME funds that were used to create rental housing units were required to comply with the following three post-construction compliance requirements.

- 1. Annual review of the gross rent (rent plus an allowance for tenant-paid utilities) of each HOME-assisted unit.
- 2. Annual review of the gross income of each tenant household.
- An inspection of the unit every one to three years to determine whether it is in habitable condition. Housing Quality Standards inspections were done on each unit receiving HOME Tenant-Based Rental Assistance. The housing was inspected and approved initially and re-inspected annually.

If a project is not in compliance, the DCA will take the following steps:

- Low-level intervention
 - A clearly written letter identifying the problem areas and the corrective action needed.

- Work with the sub recipient to address the identified problems this will include technical assistance and training and more frequent reporting and monitoring reviews.
- Moderate-level intervention
 - > Impose probationary status on sub recipient
 - > Accelerate loan terms on grant agreement
 - > Restrict sub recipients payment requests
- High-level intervention
 - > Suspend sub recipient
 - > Initiate legal action

Certification of Income

Project owners were required to submit an income certification report to the DCA that lists the following information: 1) tenant income and 2) rent of the unit. Staff reviewed the income certification report and notified the project owner in writing as to whether the information was satisfactory.

Physical Condition of Housing Units

All housing developments that contain HOME-assisted units were inspected periodically to determine whether those units are in a habitable condition. The following chart shows the frequency of an inspection:

| Number of HOME- Assisted Units | Frequency of Inspection |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 to 4 | Every 3 years |
| 5 to 25 | Every 2 years |
| 26 or more | Annually |

Following the inspection, the DCA notified the project owner about the physical condition of the units, including any corrective work that needed to be completed. The following is a summary of the results of all on-site inspections conducted on the production units and new HOME projects opened during FFY'09:

| | HOME PROJECTS COMPLETED AND OPENED DURING FFY 2010 | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|-----------------|------------|-------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Activity Type | IDIS | Activity Address | Activity Status | Status | Home | Committed | | | |
| | Activity | | | Date | Units | Amount | | | |
| New Construction | 3273 | 2 & 4 Lindbergh Avenue, Warren Township, Somerset County | OPEN | 10/27/2010 | 10 | \$900,000 | | | |
| | 3310 | 2 & 4 Walnut Place, Montclair, Essex County | OPEN | 5/19/2011 | 4 | \$654,400 | | | |
| | 3210 | 18 Main Street, Sparta, Sussex County | COMPLETED | 6/28/2011 | 7 | \$700,000 | | | |
| Acquisition Rehab | 3034 | 17 Wishing Well Road, Hamburg, Sussex County | COMPLETED | 9/13/2010 | 3 | \$401,500 | | | |
| | 3066 | 55 Glenridge Avenue, Montclair Township, Essex County | COMPLETED | 6/17/2011 | 6 | \$503,480 | | | |
| | 2492 | 3903 Artic Avenue, Wildwood, Cape May County | COMPLETED | 7/22/2010 | 11 | \$690,055 | | | |
| Acquisition and New | 3338 | scattered lots on 2nd & State Streets, Camden, Camden County. | OPEN | 5/25/2010 | 10 | \$930,480 | | | |
| Construction | 3335 | 519 Chestnut Street, Roselle, Union County | OPEN | 3/29/2011 | 7 | \$600,000 | | | |
| | 3313 | 301 Norman Avenue, Florence Township, Burlington County | OPEN | 2/10/2011 | 1 | \$125,107 | | | |
| | 3227 | 817 North Concourse, Aberdeen, Middlesex County | COMPLETED | 4/20/2011 | 1 | \$149,627 | | | |
| | 2490 | 209 E. Broad St., 308 E. Main St., 311 N. 5th St., Millville, Cumberland County | COMPLETED | 9/1/2010 | 3 | \$324,000 | | | |
| | 3033 | 280 Washington Avenue in Dumont Borough, Bergen County | COMPLETED | 4/26/2011 | 49 | \$750,000 | | | |

HOMELESS NEEDS

On January 26, 2011, New Jersey administered the statewide Point-In-Time Count (PITC). The objective of this survey was to collect information on sheltered and unsheltered homeless individuals and families at a single point in time. The results of this survey include basic demographic information on those who were homeless at that point in time, as well as shelter and transitional housing usage, length and frequency of homelessness, and barriers to ending homelessness.

Key findings include:

- On January 26, 2011, there were 12,825 surveys completed. 8,493 adults were homeless on this day, including 1,022 who were unsheltered and 7,471 were sheltered.
- There were a total of 2,291 families reported who were homeless, with a total

of 4,332 children under the age of 18. 2,365 children were six (6) years or younger and 1,967 were between the ages of seven (7) and seventeen (17).

- The County of Essex had the highest number of homeless in NJ with 11.8% of the total population on the night of the count.
- 47.1% of all homeless were Black.
- 23.1% met the HUD definition of chronic homeless. Of those, 89.5% had mental health issues and and/or substance use issues (63.4%).

HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS

During FFY 2010, the State used the following programs to help address the needs of homeless persons.

Scattered Site AIDS Permanent Housing Program is a unique private-public partnership including HMFA, the AFL-CIO Housing Investment Trust, the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services, the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs, the New Jersey Department of Human Services, the Federal Home Loan Bank's Affordable Housing Program and Investors Savings Bank. The program established the first statewide community-based housing for homeless persons with HIV/AIDS, and was developed to respond to the overwhelming need for permanent affordable support-enriched housing for New Jersey residents with HIV/AIDS and their families. The project also received a Catastrophic Illness in Children Relief Fund appropriation from the New Jersey Legislature. Non-profit sponsors are providing maintenance and social services. There are a total of 64 units in Camden, East Orange, Irvington, Newark, Orange and Trenton under this program.

Continuum of Care (CoC) Assistance: The State continued to help the Countywide CoCs coordinate their applications for federal assistance and share best practices across jurisdictions. The Continuum of Care is a county based planning process that fosters collaboration among service providers and municipalities who have traditionally worked autonomously to solve the problem of homelessness. In addition, the CoC is the only vehicle by which a community can apply and compete for HUD administered McKinney-Vento Funds for

Supportive Housing, Shelter Plus Care and Single Room Occupancy Programs.

Another Chance provided temporary housing assistance (60 to 180 days) to offenders being released from designated Department of Correction's (DOC) facilities that do not have an approved residence of record.

Homeless Supported Housing Program enabled eligible organizations to develop new units of permanent supportive housing for homeless persons and persons with disabilities by providing matching or gap financing. The program is specifically intended to promote the leveraging of non-state financial resources to increase funding for permanent rental housing for homeless families and individuals in New Jersey.

Homelessness Prevention Program (HPP) provided financial assistance to lowand moderate-income tenants and homeowners who are in imminent danger of eviction or foreclosure due to temporary financial problems beyond their control.

Shelter Housing Exit (SHE) Program provided security deposits and rental assistance to victims of domestic violence and their children who were living in shelters or in transitional housing facilities.

Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) provided funds to nonprofit organizations and local governments to renovate and construct homeless shelters and transitional housing facilities. Funding awards were used for capital improvements, such as code correction, minor and major rehabilitations and site acquisition.

Shelter Plus Care Program provided rental assistance to homeless persons with disabilities, in collaboration with local nonprofit agencies under their jurisdictional "Continuum of Care," the vehicle for homeless planning activities. DCA administered active grants in Atlantic, Burlington, Cape May, Essex, Gloucester, Hudson, Middlesex, Monmouth, Morris, Passaic and Warren Counties.

Social Services for the Homeless: This DHS program provided homelessness prevention assistance and emergency shelter to low- and moderate-income families and individuals who are either homeless or imminently at risk of becoming homeless and who are not eligible for WFNJ Emergency Assistance.

EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)

Federal Funds \$1,532,879 Match Requirement \$1,532,879

Applications were judged in terms of comprehensiveness of the social services provided to the homeless clients. Priority was given to proposals that target specialized needs population such as youth between ages of 18-21 of age, individuals leaving jail or prison, victims of domestic violence, persons with HIV/AIDS, mentally ill person and persons with alcohol/substance abuse. The following agencies received funding: Anderson House, Bergen County CAP, Catholic Charities Diocese of Metuchen, Catholic Charities of Newark, Catholic Charities of Trenton, Center for Family Services, Covenant House of NJ, Domestic Abuse & Sexual Assault Crisis Center of Warren County, Domestic Abuse Services, Elizabeth Coalition to House the Homeless, Fairmont Health Services, Freedom House, Homefirst Interfaith Housing, Home Front Inc., Integrity, Inc., Isaiah House, Jersey Battered Women's Service, NJ Association on Correction, Ocean's Harbor House, Paterson Task Force for Community Action, People First, Salt & Light, Samaritan Inn, Sierra House, Sussex County Interfaith Hospitality Network, Tri-City Peoples Corp., Urban Renewal, Volunteers of America, Inc., YMCA of Eastern Union County.

ESG Activity Data

In FFY 2010, the State used its **federal** Emergency Shelter Grant Program funds to enhance the living environment for residents at 16 emergency shelters and 21 transitional housing facilities; and create 58 new beds at 5 transitional housing facilities.

| Funding Source | Beds Created | Other Beds Assisted | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Federal | 0 | 1028 | | |
| State | 58 | 209 | | |
| Total | 58 | 1,237 | | |

| Population Served | | |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| | Beds Created | Other Beds Assisted |
| Families | 0 | 454 |
| Women & Children | 0 | 230 |
| Women only | 31 | 74 |
| Men only | 0 | 62 |
| Women & Men | 0 | 357 |
| Veterans | 7 | 0 |
| Aging Out Youth | 20 | 60 |
| TOTAL | 58 | 1,237 |

SMALL CITIES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

The State's FFY 2010 *Final Plan for New Jersey's Administration of the Small Cities Community Development Block Grant Program* proposed the following distribution of funds:

| | | Dollar Amount | Percent of Available Funding |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| CDBG To | tal Funding | \$ 7,846,149 | 100% |
| A. | Administration | \$ 335,384 | 4% |
| B. | Technical Assistance | \$ 0 | 0% |
| C. | Grant Funds to sub-recipients | \$ 7,570,765 | 96% |
| | Emergency Housing Repair Fund | \$ 20,000 | 0.3% |
| | Public Facilities Fund | \$ 4,490,765 | 57.2% |
| | Innovative Development Fund | \$ 1,000,000 | 12.7% |
| | Housing Rehabilitation Fund | \$ 2,000,000 | 25.5% |

The State's funds were actually distributed as follows:

| | , | Do | ollar Amount | Percent of Available Funding |
|----|--------------------------------|------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| A. | Administration* | \$ | 340,820 | 4% |
| B. | Technical Assistance | \$ | 0 | 0% |
| C. | Grant Funds to sub-recipients^ | \$ 8 | 3,253,911 | 96% |
| | Total Funding | \$ 8 | 3,594,731 | 100% |
| | Emergency Housing Repair Fund | \$ | 0 | 0% |
| | Public Facilities Fund | \$ 6 | 6,357,911 | 74% |
| | Innovative Development Fund | \$ | 646,000 | 7% |
| | Housing Rehabilitation Fund | \$ 1 | 1,250,000 | 15% |

^{*}Includes 3 percent of program income received.

[^]Includes program income and carryover funds from programs completed under budget.

Of the grants awarded under the FFY 2010 program (including those using prior year or cancellations), sixteen were for public facilities, five for housing rehabilitation, and two for innovative development.

Demand for Public Facilities Fund assistance has always been stronger than the amount set aside in the State's Plan for Distribution. Applications are typically driven by local needs as viewed by the governing body, rather than by residents or non-profit entities. Given a choice, most local officials seek grant funds to improve infrastructure rather than housing stock.

Section 108 Loans

No Section 108 Loans were administered during FFY 10.

<u>Changes in Program Objectives and Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out</u> Planned Objectives

The Small Cities Program Objectives set forth in the Consolidated Plan, prepared by the Department and approved by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereafter U.S. HUD), were followed.

<u>Indication of How the State Would Change its Program as a Result of its Experience</u>

Based on the experience described above, the 2011 Plan sets aside more funds for Public Facilities proposals and fewer for Housing Rehabilitation. It is expected that this change will result in actual distribution of funds that more closely reflects the proposed distribution in the 2011 Plan.

It should also be noted that each year the federal allocation to New Jersey has been reduced. This is due to reductions in the amount of funds awarded to the CDBG Program by Congress and the President. It also reflects an increase in the number of entitlement cities from the ranks of Small Cities eligible municipalities and counties. In recent years Atlantic and Passaic Counties achieved urban county status and Ocean City and Ewing Township became entitlement cities.

Evaluation of the Extent to Which the Program Benefited Low and ModerateIncome Persons

All of the grants awarded in the FFY 2010 program year met the statutory objective of Benefit to Low and Moderate Income People. The majority of grants

awarded met the low/mod standard through targeting or presumption – i.e., housing rehabilitation assistance limited to income-eligible households or barrier removal projects presumed to solely benefit people of low or moderate income. Accordingly, the combined benefit to people of low and moderate income far exceeded the 70% standard promulgated by HUD.

Funds Not Used for National Objectives

All of the grants awarded in the FFY 2010 program year met the statutory objective of Benefit to Low and Moderate-Income People.

Anti-Displacement and Relocation

For activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property. No grants were awarded that involve displacement and relocation. Should relocation assistance be necessary grantees would be referred to the State's Relocation Support Program, which will assure compliance with the federal Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and the State rules and regulations.

<u>Low/Mod Job Activities - for economic development activities undertaken</u> where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons.

No Economic Development grants were awarded in FFY 2010.

Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities (LMC)

A L/M Income Limited Clientele activity is an activity that provides benefits to a specific group of persons rather than everyone in an area generally. A Limited Clientele activity must exclusively benefit a clientele who are generally presumed by HUD to be principally L/M income persons, such as elderly persons, disabled adults and homeless persons. Ten programs funded were in this category. Six awards were for ADA improvements benefiting the disabled, three were for the construction of senior citizens centers for the elderly and one was to rehabilitate a facility for the homeless. These projects will provide 100 percent benefit to this HUD classified LMC group.

Program Income Received

All awards are in the form of a grant to eligible municipalities and county governments. Generally the grant agreement contains provisions that do not allow grant awards to generate program income, and if it does it is to be returned to the Small Cities CDBG Program. However, grantees that are carrying out housing rehabilitation are encouraged to establish a housing rehabilitation revolving loan fund with all or a portion of the grant funds. Also, ED and ID grants require loan agreements in order to pass funds to a third party. This year's actual distribution includes \$181,205 of program income from an ED program in Burlington City and a housing program in Phillipsburg. Three percent of the funds went towards program administration. Refer to Loans and Other Receivables below for more information on the third party loans.

Prior Period Adjustments

All completed grant awards and cancelled obligations are closed in accordance with the CDBG Program requirements and remaining balances are reprogrammed back into the account for new awards to eligible local governments.

Loans and Other Receivables

All awards are in the form of a grant to local government. The state CDBG Program has made it a policy to encouraged grantees to capitalize local revolving housing rehabilitation funds, which many local governments have opted to do. Employment Development grants (ED), and Innovative Development (ID) awards to local governments for pass through to a third party require a loan agreement that is managed and held by the local government. ED awards require the third party to repay over time with interest and require the funds to be reused in the same manner as the original loan or returned to the Department. ID awards carry a deferred loan which lasts the life of the improvement, or contain the provision for repayment if the property is sold or transferred and it no longer provides benefit to low and moderate-income people. The grantee must reallocate the funds back into another project of equal funding that will provide benefit to low and moderate- income people, or that at least meets one of the CDBG program's national objectives, or return funds to the Department. These awards carry provisions for securing the funds usually in the form of a lien on the property with provisions for repayment to the local government for reuse in the same manner as the original loan. The grantee is

required to have a plan for the reuse of funds that complies with the provisions of the original grant agreement, specify compliance with CDBG Program regulations and for benefit to low and moderate-income people, or that they meet at least one of the CDBG Program's National Objectives. Grantee must receive approval from the NJ Small Cities Program before changing the plan for the reuse of funds.

Housing Rehabilitation

Awards to local government for housing programs are in the form of a grant to rehabilitate single family owner occupied housing with the condition of establishing and maintaining a housing rehabilitation revolving loan fund.

Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies

There are no federally designated EZs or ECs in the eligible Small Cities CDBG municipalities and counties.

HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE WITH AIDS

The Department of Community Affairs in collaboration with the Department of Health and Senior Services' Care and Treatment Unit administer the Housing for People with AIDs Program. The Care and Treatment Unit oversees the development of integrated systems of care designed to address the care and treatment needs of persons living with HIV in New Jersey. This unit serves as the Ryan White Title II grantee in New Jersey and oversees the CARE Activities, which include the AIDS Drug Distribution Program, the HIV Home Care Program, the Health Insurance Continuation Program, and the regional HIV Care Services.

New legislation signed in 2007 requires health providers to test pregnant women for HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Virus) as a part of routine prenatal care. Studies show that prenatal transmission rates can be reduced to less than two percent with screening of pregnant women in combination with prophylactic administration of antiretroviral drugs, a Cesarean delivery and avoidance of breast feeding. This mandatory testing will lead to an increase in reported HIV/AIDS cases.

During this report period, the State expended \$1.59 million of HOPWA

funds to provide tenant-based rental assistance to 194 low-income persons diagnosed with HIV/AIDS in Atlantic, Cape May, Cumberland, Mercer, Salem and Warren Counties.

The State used all of its funds for housing assistance because the DHSS continued to provide funding for HIV case management and supportive services for the HOPWA TBRA recipients. DCA ensured that all of housing units met the HUD Housing Quality Standards and that they were within rent reasonableness limitations. It costs the State HOPWA Program an average of \$8,211 per individual per year to provide stable, safe and affordable housing that prevents homelessness.

The DHSS and the DCA met with the HOPWA Coordinators/Case Managers from the HIV services agencies in order to monitor and review HOPWA recipients.

The DHSS and the DCA also used HOPWA competitive award funds to assist 58 low-income post-incarcerated individuals with HIV/AIDS make the transition back into the community. The State has expended \$567,108 for this activity. It costs the State HOPWA Program for the post-incarcerated an average of \$9,778 per individual per year to provide stable, safe and affordable housing that prevents homelessness.

| Statewide Summary: Prevalence of Persons Living with HIV/AIDS | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Persons Living with HIV/AIDS*: | 35,688 | | | | | | |
| Total Population | 8,707,739 | | | | | | |
| Prevalence Rate per 100,000 population* | 409.8 | | | | | | |

^{*}Data as of 12/31/2010

| | HOPWA REPORT BY COUNTY | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| COUNTIES | PERSONS LIVING WITH AIDS (PLWA)* | PERCENT OF TOTAL PLWA | NUMBER OF TBRA VOUCHERS AS OF AUGUST 2011 | NUMBER OF AVAILABLE TBRA VOUCHERS | PERCENT OF TOTAL VOUCHERS | DIFFERENCE | | | | |
| Statewide | 35,688 | N/A | 234 | 234 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Atlantic | 1,441 | 4.0% | 44 | 44 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Camden | 1,721 | 4.8% | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Cape May | 193 | 0.5% | 8 | 8 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Cumberland | 633 | 1.8% | 30 | 30 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Essex | 9,644 | 27.0% | 32 | 32 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Hudson | 4,599 | 12.9% | 5 | 5 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Mercer | 1,497 | 4.2% | 89 | 89 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Monmouth | 1,784 | 5.0% | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Passaic | 2,546 | 7.1% | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Salem | 163 | 0.5% | 17 | 17 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Union | 2,628 | 7.4% | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0 | | | | |
| Warren | 150 | 0.4% | 1 | 1 | 100% | 0 | | | | |

^{*}Data received from New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services; data current as of December 31, 2010

Table 1 - Households and Persons Assisted with Housing

| Name of Jurisdiction | on: State of N | ew Jerse | Y RENTERS | | | | OWNE | DQ | | | Home | Nose | | Non- |
|----------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|------------|---------|----------|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|--------------|---------|
| | | | KENIERS | | | | OWNE | 10 | | | ПОППЕ | :1622 | | Homeles |
| | 日derly 1 & | | Large | All Other | Total | 1st T | ime | Total | ⊟derly | Large | Other | Small | Total | Special |
| | 2 member | Related | Related | Households | Renters | Homeo | wners | Homeowners | | | | | Homeless | Needs |
| Assistance Provided | Household | (2 or 4) | (5 or more) | | | With | All | | | | | | | |
| by Income Group | | | | | | Children | Others | | | | | | | |
| ow Income | 4.057 | 6,293 | 1,846 | 3,849 | 16,045 | 78 | 6 | 84 | 175 | 919 | 0 | 365 | 1,459 | 8,606 |
| 0 to 50% of MFI) | , | -, | , | -, | -,- | | | | - | | | | , | -, |
| /loderate Income | 103 | 323 | 56 | 69 | 551 | 2 | 0 | 2 | | | | | | 176 |
| (51 to 80% of MFI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTALS | 4,160 | 6,616 | 1,902 | 3,918 | 16,596 | 80 | 6 | 86 | 175 | 919 | 0 | 365 | 1,459 | 8,782 |
| | | | | | | | | David and Dha | ! 0 | !4! | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Racial and Ethn | nic Comp | osition | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | RACE | | | | Hispanic | Not Hispanic | Totals |
| | | | | | | | | 1. White | | | | 5,629 | 8,066 | 13,695 |
| | | | | | | | | 2. Black/African | American | | | 1,526 | 11,464 | 12,990 |
| | | | | | | | | American India | an/ Alaskaı | n Native | | 17 | 38 | 55 |
| | | | | | | | | 4. Asian | | | | 8 | 70 | 78 |
| | | | | | | | | | aiian/Other Pacific Islander | | 89 | 16 | 105 | |
| | | | | | | | | 7. All Other Mix | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Total | | | | 7,269 | 19,654 | 26,923 |
| | | | | | | | | ETHNICITY | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 1. Hispanic or La | tino | | | 7,269 | | |
| | | | | | | | | 2. Not Hispanic o | | | | 19,654 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Total | | | | 26,923 | | |

Table 2 - HOME Match Report

TABLE 2: BALANCED HOUSING/HOME MATCH REPORT 6/30/11

| IADLL Z. I | | LIVIATOTTICLEORT | 750/11 | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|------------------|-----------------------|--|--------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|---|
| Date Funded | Grantee Name | Project Name | Project Type (R/F) | Town | County | # of Units | Award Amount | Grant or Loan | NOTES |
| 6/14/2011 | 50-60 Columbia Street Urban Renewall, LLC | Richardson Lofts | Rental | Newark | Essex | 33 | \$2,800,000 | Loan | 4% LIHTC mixed income project total of 67 units |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Totals | | | | <u>. </u> | | 33 | \$2,800,000 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| JHTC - Low | Income Housing Tax Credits | 3 | | | | | | | |

Table 3: CDBG Production (Next two pages)

Table 3: CDBG Production ReportCommunity Development Block Grant

| | Grant Number | Agency | Award Amount | Match/Other Funding | | Natl. Obj. Code | People Benefiting | People L/M* Benefiting | Percent L/M Benefiting | Proposed Housing Units | Housing Units Complete | HUD Matrix Code | HUD Activity/ IDIS# | Term Begin Date | Term End Date | Grant Status | Grant Title | Grant Description |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--|---|
| 1 | 2010-02292-1261 | Lower Township ⁺ | \$232,000 | \$69,600.00 | ID/ ADA | LMC | 4,557 | 4,557 | 100 | 0 | N/A | О3 | 3272 | 10/1/10 | 9/1/12 | | ADA improvements to the historic Wildwood Air Station. | To conduct ADA accessible improvements at Cape May County Airport's Wildwood Aviati Museum by removing architectur barriers and to make the WWII- vintage hangar #1 building compliant. |
| 2 | 2011-02292-0348 | Palmyra Borough | \$500,000 | \$50,000 | PF/ADA | LMC | 958 | 958 | 100 | 0 | N/A | O3/ O3L | 3315 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | | To conduct American with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessible for the disabled at 372 intersections in the Borough. |
| 3 | 2011-02292-0350 | Gloucester City | \$500,000 | \$25,000 | PF | LMA/ survey | 24 | 24 | 100 | 0 | N/A | OSJ | 3314 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | | Monmouth Street Infrastructure Improvements | Reconstruct water and sewe lines on Monmouth Street between Broadway and East Railroad, and under the railroad. |
| 4 | 2011-02292-0354 | Woodbine Borough | \$218,000 | \$11,000 | PF Senior Ctr. | LMC | 353 | 353 | 100 | 0 | N/A | ОЗА | 3316 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Senior Center Addition | To construct a Senior Citizens Center addition to the Woodbine Community Center. |
| 5 | 2011-02292-0354 | Woodbine Borough | \$162,000 | \$8,000 | PF Water Treat. | LMA | 2148 | 1372 | 64 | 0 | N/A | O3J | 3317 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Water Treatment Improvements | To make improvements/upgrades to the well at MUA Water Treatment Plant to NJDEP standards. |
| 6 | 2011-02292-0363 | Burlington City | \$492,534 | \$49,200 | PF | LMA/ survey | 35 | 33 | 94 | 0 | N/A | ОЗК | 3318 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Lawrence Street Reconstruction | Reconstruct Lawrence Stree including drainage, roadway, sidewalks, curbing, striping and related infrastructure. |
| 7 | 2011-02292-0355 | Cape May City | \$500,000 | \$75,000 | PF/ADA | LMC | 711 | 711 | 100 | 0 | N/A | О3 | 3319 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | ADA Compliance Project Phase III | To conduct ADA accessible improvements for the disabled at the beachfront, Franklin Street Civic Center and the intersection Carpenters Lane. |

| 8 | 2011-02292-0351 | Woodstown Borough | \$119,120 | \$5,900 | PF/ADA | LMC | 558 | 558 | 100 | 0 | N/A | O3/ O3L | 3320 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | ADA Access Improvements: Park & Traffic Intersections | To conduct ADA accessible improvements for the disabled at Memorial Park and 33 intersections throughout the Borough. |
|----|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----|-------|-------|-----|---|-----|----------------|------|--------|----------|----------|--|---|
| 9 | 2011-02292-0349 | Lower Township | \$500,000 | \$75,000.00 | PF | LMA | 2,277 | 1,274 | 56 | 0 | N/A | O3I | 3321 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Villas Storm Water Outfall Project - Phase II | To reconstruct five storm water beach outfalls in the Villas Neighborhood. |
| 10 | 2011-02292-0378 | Salem County | \$366,030 | \$100,000 | PF/ADA | LMC | 9142 | 9142 | 100 | 0 | N/A | О3 | 3322 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | ADA Accessible Improvements at County Offices | To conduct ADA accessible improvements for the disabled including restrooms, public entry and doors at the Five Star Plaza. |
| 11 | 2011-02292-0362 | Penns Grove Borough | \$500,000 | \$40,000 | PF | LMA | 2940 | 2070 | 70 | 0 | N/A | 031 | 3323 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Straughen Lane Drainage Improvement Project | To reconstruct the Straughen Lane pump station and resurface the entrance road and stream bank. |
| 12 | 2011-02292-0358 | Cape May County | \$307,877 | \$46,100 | PF/ Senior Ctr. | LMC | 180 | 180 | 100 | 0 | N/A | ОЗА | 3324 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | County Senior Center Renovations: Upper Township | Renovate the interior and exterior of the existing County Senior Citizen Center located in Upper Township. |
| 13 | 2011-02292-0359 | Sea Isle City | \$179,700 | \$136,000 | PF/ADA | LMC | 510 | 510 | 100 | 0 | N/A | О3 | 3325 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | ADA Accessibility Improvements to Beach Promenade & Park | To make ADA accessible improvements for the disabled to the promenade at JFK Boulevard and at the waterfront park. |
| 14 | 2011-02292-0352 | Middle Township | \$500,000 | \$50,000 | PF | LMA | 134 | 94 | 70 | 0 | N/A | O3J | 3326 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Whitesboro Water Project - Phase II | Construction of water mains in the Whitesboro Neighborhood to eliminate reliance on individual wells that are a public health hazard. |
| 15 | 2011-02292-0356 | Wildwood City | \$500,000 | \$25,000 | PF (not ADA) | LMA | 5,472 | 3,688 | 67 | 0 | N/A | O3 | 3327 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Cedar Avenue - Boardwalk Pedestrian Access | To conduct ADA accessible improvements for the disabled to the boardwalk at Cedar Avenue, which is part of a corridor to Pacific Avenue. |
| 16 | 2011-02292-0000 | Lawrence Township | \$414,000 | \$50,000 | ID | LMC | 6,000 | 6,000 | 100 | 0 | N/A | 03/03C/ 14E | 3339 | 6/1/11 | 11/30/12 | Underway | HomeFront's Roof Rehabilitation Project | To reconstruct the roof of HomeFront's headquarters to serve the homeless better. |
| 17 | 2011-02292-0000 | Hopatcong Borough | \$146,300 | \$21,945 | PF/ Senior Ctr. | LMC | 1,334 | 1,334 | 100 | 0 | N/A | ОЗА | 3340 | 6/1/11 | 12/31/12 | Underway | Senior Center Rehabilitation Project | To rehabilitate and upgrade the building to serve senior citizens better. |
| 18 | 2011-02292-0364 | Commercial Township | \$366,350 | \$18,320 | PF | LMC | 192 | 192 | 100 | 0 | N/A | 14E | 3328 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Community Food Bank Rehabilitation Project | To rehabilitate and upgrade the food bank building to serve people at 120% and below the poverty level better. |
| 19 | 2011-02292-0360 | Egg Harbor City | \$500,000 | \$25,000 | PF (not ADA) | LMA | 4,475 | 2,460 | 55 | 0 | N/A | O3L | 3329 | 2/1/11 | 01/31/13 | Underway | Diesterweg Street Pedestrian Access Project | To construct sidewalks and elevated wooden walkways along Diesterweg Street connecting two public schools. |
| | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | l | | | |

Table 4 - Lead-Based Paint Activity Reports

| | Y 2010 | | | | | |
|----------|--------|------------|---|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Project# | | ELPR | | Project# | 1 | 14 Loan |
| Case No | | Total | | Case No | | Total |
| 622 | \$ | 805.00 | 1 | 80 | \$ | 113,367.00 |
| 624 | \$ | 2,420.00 | | 134 | \$ | 37,328.44 |
| 627 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 196 | \$ | 10,035.00 |
| 645 | \$ | 29,610.22 | | 347 | \$ | 77,119.20 |
| 649 | \$ | 6,392.85 | | 348 | \$ | 918.00, 47 |
| 650 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 447 | \$ | 35,866.00 |
| 657 | \$ | 2,159.00 | | 457 | \$ | 59,973.00 |
| 662 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 467 | \$ | 79,691.00 |
| 663 | \$ | 33,215.04 | | 470 | \$ | 52,307.24 |
| 669 | \$ | 400.00 | | 479 | \$ | 18,490.00 |
| 675 | \$ | 12,868.51 | | 491 | \$ | 80,930.95 |
| 678 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 495 | \$ | 41,655.00 |
| 693 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 514 | \$ | 139,819.50 |
| 695 | \$ | 22,570.80 | | 518 | \$ | 32,834.00 |
| 697 | \$ | 5,472.15 | | 522 | \$ | 14,583.00 |
| 700 | \$ | 2,398.90 | | 536 | \$ | 82,420.60 |
| 703 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 542 | \$ | 71,000.00 |
| 716 | \$ | 24,372.76 | | 547 | \$ | 74,204.01 |
| 719 | \$ | 1,400.00 | 1 | 548 | \$ | 52,570.00 |
| 721 | \$ | 821.10 | 1 | 556 | \$ | 23,028.75 |
| 722 | \$ | 1.400.00 | 1 | 565 | \$ | 73,322.45 |
| 726 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 568 | \$ | 37,482.50 |
| 731 | \$ | 45,784.51 | | 575 | \$ | 93,790.83 |
| 743 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 583 | \$ | 93,410.26 |
| 749 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 589 | \$ | 36,595.41 |
| 751 | \$ | 1,400.00 | 1 | 590 | \$ | 57,196.31 |
| 752 | \$ | 1,801.80 | 1 | 595 | \$ | 43,904.43 |
| 753 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 599 | \$ | 28,049.15 |
| 754 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 601 | \$ | 22,315.00 |
| 756 | \$ | 2,781.44 | | 607 | \$ | 37,393.90 |
| 759 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 612 | \$ | 67,529.20 |
| 760 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 614 | \$ | 58,977.16 |
| 765 | \$ | 2,890.00 | | 625 | \$ | 70,199.40 |
| 767 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 629 | \$ | 106,015.20 |
| 768 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 635 | \$ | 23,300.00 |
| 770 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 642 | \$ | 40,467.50 |
| 776 | \$ | 469.00 | | 652 | \$ | 42,292.00 |
| 778 | \$ | 30,070.69 | | 655 | \$ | 108,746.89 |
| 791 | \$ | 976.00 | 1 | 660 | \$ | 20,625.00 |
| 794 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 664 | \$ | 60,382.00 |
| 795 | \$ | 3.457.00 | | 668 | \$ | 121,641.74 |
| 796 | \$ | 1,400.00 | 1 | 670 | \$ | 72,837.00 |
| 797 | \$ | 1,400.00 | 1 | 683 | \$ | 64,732.00 |
| 800 | \$ | 1,549.44 | 1 | 690 | \$ | 82,584.75 |
| 802 | \$ | 2,037.00 | 1 | 691 | \$ | 43,895.00 |
| 803 | \$ | 1,400.00 | | 710 | \$ | 84,626.00 |
| 804 | \$ | 854.00 | 1 | 713 | \$ | 94,962.00 |
| 806 | \$ | 854.00 | 1 | | Ť | |
| | Ť | | 1 | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | |
| | - | 270.231.21 | 1 | | \$ 2 | ,832,413.77 |
| | . * | 0,201.21 | | | - × × | ,, |

| Project# | Date Funded | Project Address | Project City | Project Type | Project Complete (Yes or No) |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 80 | 5/6/2010 | 431 15th Avenue | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 134 | 8/18/2009 | 160 Church Street | Bridgeton City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 196 | 10/2/2009 | 939 Morton Street | Camden City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 347 | 3/24/2010 | 211 N. 4th Street - 2nd floor | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 348 | 2/8/2010 | 1801 Wheaton Avenue | Millville City | 1-4 Loan | Yes |
| 447 | 6/23/2010 | 64 Montclair Avenue | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 457 | | 526 Forest Ave. | Westfield Township | 1-4 Loan | Yes |
| 467 | 11/18/2009 | 95 S. Broad Street | Penns Grove Borough | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 470 | 7/13/2009 | 900 S. 2nd Street | Millville City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 479 | 5/7/2010 | 31 Walnut Street | Haddonfield Borough | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 491 | 10/16/2009 | 350 Augusta Street | South Amboy City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 495 | 10/26/2009 | 201-205 East Moore Street | Hackettstown Township | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 514 | 7/15/2009 | 50 Union Street | Jersey City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 518 | 8/10/2009 | 728 Berkley Street | Camden City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 522 | 7/24/2009 | 2107 W. Main Street | Millville City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 536 | 2/24/2010 | 222 Wall Avenue | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 542 | 7/15/2009 | 73 Paterson Avenue | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 547 | 7/28/2009 | 32 State Street | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 548 | 5/7/2010 | 204 Munn Ave | Irvington Township | 1-4 Loan | Yes |
| 556 | 10/6/2009 | 34 South Dean Avenue | Trenton City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 565 | 11/13/2009 | 194 North Oraton PKWY | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 568 | 9/30/2009 | 157 East Avenue | Bridgeton Citγ | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 575 | 10/16/2009 | 565 Springdale Avenue | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 583 | 9/30/2009 | 56 Prospect Street | Bloomfield Township | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 589 | 10/9/2009 | 3316 Springfield Avenue | Pennsauken Township | 1-4 Loan | Yes |
| 590 | 9/21/2009 | 16 Westville Avenue | Caldwell Borough Township | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 595 | 6/15/2010 | 172 Tichenor Avenue | South Orange Village Township | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 599 | 11/17/2009 | 16 Hillcrest Terrace | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 601 | 1/19/2010 | 336-338 Dakota St. | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 607 | 11/5/2009 | 163 Brown Avenue | Prospect Park Borough | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 612 | 6/9/2010 | 296-298 Sussex Street | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 614 | 12/22/2009 | 139 South Clinton Avenue | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 625 | 3/29/2010 | 175-177 High Street | Passaic City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 629 | | 31 Watson Avenue | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 635 | 4/6/2010 | 152 East Avenue | Bridgeton City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 642 | 2/3/2010 | 177-179 Lexington Avenue | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 652 | | 33 Netherwood Terrace | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | Yes |
| 655 | | 288 N. Walnut Street | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 660 | 3/31/2010 | 1248 Princess Avenue | Camden City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 664 | 4/7/2010 | 23 Park End Place | East Orange City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 668 | 2/18/2010 | 349 Irving Avenue | South Orange Village Township | 1-4 Loan | Yes |
| 670 | 3/9/2010 | 23 N. Marion Avenue | Ventnor City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 683 | 3/25/2010 | 236 - 238 18th Ave | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | Yes |
| 690 | 4/1/2010 | 66 Race Street | Nutley Town Township | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 691 | 5/24/2010 | 144 Spring Street | Paterson City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 710 | 4/26/2010 | 853 Berkeley Avenue | Trenton City | 1-4 Loan | No |
| 713 | 6/9/2010 | 775 Winyah Avenue | Westfield Township | 1-4 Loan | No |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | I | 1 |

ELPR- Funded Grantees (SFY'2010 Households)

| Project # | Date Funded | Project Address | Project City | Project | Project |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|-------------|
| | | | | Туре | Complete |
| 600 | 7.7.0000 | 0 61 61 | N 4211 211 - 622 | EL DD | (Yes or No) |
| 622 | | Confidential | Millville City | ELPR | yes |
| 624 | | Confidential | Bridgeton City | ELPR | yes |
| 627 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 645 | | Confidential | Plainfield City | ELPR | yes |
| 649 | | Confidential | Merchantville Borough | ELPR | yes |
| 650 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 657 | | Confidential | Bridgeton City | ELPR | yes |
| 662 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 663 | | Confidential | Irvington Township | ELPR | yes |
| 669 | | Confidential | Winslow Township | ELPR | yes |
| 675 | | Confidential | Newark City | ELPR | yes |
| 678 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 693 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 695 | | Confidential | Irvington Township | ELPR | yes |
| 697 | | Confidential | Trenton City | ELPR | yes |
| 700 | | Confidential | East Orange City | ELPR | yes |
| 703 | | Confidential | Trenton City | ELPR | yes |
| 716 | | Confidential | Cliffside Park Borough | ELPR | yes |
| 719 | | Confidential | Newark City | ELPR | yes |
| 721 | 11/30/2009 | Confidential | Orange City Township | ELPR | yes |
| 722 | 11/30/2009 | Confidential | Irvington Township | ELPR | yes |
| 726 | 12/3/2009 | Confidential | Irvington Township | ELPR | yes |
| 731 | 12/14/2009 | Confidential | Irvington Township | ELPR | yes |
| 743 | 1/5/2010 | Confidential | Hamilton Township | ELPR | yes |
| 749 | 1/18/2010 | Confidential | Newark City | REEL | yes |
| 751 | 1/19/2010 | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 752 | 1/26/2010 | Confidential | Millville City | ELPR | yes |
| 753 | 1/26/2010 | Confidential | Newark City | REEL | yes |
| 754 | 1/26/2010 | Confidential | Wildwood Crest Borough | ELPR | yes |
| 756 | 1/29/2010 | Confidential | Red Bank Borough | ELPR | yes |
| 759 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 760 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 765 | | Confidential | Mount Holly Township | ELPR | yes |
| 767 | | Confidential | Morristown Town | ELPR | yes |
| 768 | | Confidential | Newark City | REEL | yes |
| 770 | | Confidential | Newark City | ELPR | yes |
| 776 | | Confidential | Newark City | ELPR | yes |
| 778 | | Confidential | Newark City | REEL | yes |
| 791 | | Confidential | Trenton City | ELPR | yes |
| 794 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 795 | | Confidential | Newark City | REEL | yes |
| 796 | | Confidential | Paterson City | ELPR | yes |
| 797 | | Confidential | Paterson City | REEL | yes |
| 800 | | Confidential | Plainfield City | ELPR | yes |
| 802 | | Confidential | Newark City | REEL | yes |
| 803 | | Confidential | Paterson City | REEL | yes |
| 804 | | Confidential | Newark City | ELPR | yes |
| 806 | | Confidential | Newark City | ELPR | yes |
| | 0/5/2010 | Commucilitiai | promain only | IEE IX | 1 300 |

Table 5: Emergency Shelter Program- State Match Funds

| Grantee | Location | State |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| Catholic Charities of Metuchen | New Brunswick | \$39,775 |
| Catholic Charities of Metuchen | Perth Amboy | \$48,000 |
| Catholic Charities of Newark | Jersey City | \$30,400 |
| Catholic Charities of Newark | Newark | \$75,000 |
| Center for Family Services | Woodbury | \$100,000 |
| Center for Family Services | Camden | \$87,600 |
| Coalition Against Rape & Abuse | Confidential | \$310,000 |
| Covenant House of New Jersey | Elizabeth | \$529,870 |
| Domestic Abuse Services | Confidential | \$13,700 |
| Good News Home for Women | Flemington | \$56,500 |
| HomeFront TLC | Hamilton | \$202,410 |
| Homeless Solutions, Inc. | Morris Twp. | \$297,012 |
| Making It Possible to End Homelessness | Edison | \$157,000 |
| New Community Harmony House | Newark | \$243,394 |
| Positive Health Care | Newark | \$106,600 |
| Restoration Center | Newark | \$78,000 |
| Volunteers of America | Trenton | \$3,361 |
| Total | | \$2,378,622 |

Table 6: MBE/WBE

| I abic | O. MIDE/ VV | <u> </u> | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | | | Minor | ity Business Enter | prises (MBE) | | |
| | | Total | Alaskan native or | Asian or Pacific | Black | Hispanic | White |
| | | | American Indian | Islander | Non-Hispanic | | Non-Hispanic |
| Contrac | ets | | | | | | |
| | * Number | 91 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 88 |
| | Dollar Amt. | \$11,930,155 | \$0 | \$0 | \$18,390 | \$7,025 | \$11,904,740 |
| Sub-Co | ntracts | | | | | | |
| | Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Dollar Amt. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Women Business | | | | |
| | | Total | Enterprises | Male: Total | | | |
| | | | (WBE): Total | | | | |
| Contrac | ets | | | | | | |
| | Number | 91 | 6 | 85 | | | |
| | Dollar Amt. | \$11,930,155 | \$374,911 | \$11,555,244 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sub-Co | ntracts | | | | | | |
| | Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | Dollar Amt. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |

Table 7: HOME Performance Report

| | | | | | НО | IME | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-------|-------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|--|
| | | | | Hov | ısing Perfor | rmance F | Report | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | nes | | | | Brought to | | | |
| Objectives | Objectives Availability / Accessibility | | Affo | rdability Sustainability | | Total by | Objective | Standard | | | |
| | Units | Funds | Units | Funds | Units | Funds | Units | Funds | Units | | |
| Suitable Living | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Decent Housing | 0 | 0 | 380 | 5,646,517.94 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 5,646,517.94 | 380 | 5 | |
| Economic Opportunity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total by Outcome | 0 | 0 | 380 | 5,646,517.94 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 5,646,517.94 | 380 | 5,0 | |

Table 8: HOME Monitoring Report

| | | HOME PRODUCTION PROGRAM | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| LONG-TERM MONITORING OF RENTAL PROJECTS | | | | | | | | |
| | | JULY1, 2010THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
| NAME OF PROJECT | MUNICIPALITY | PROJECT OWNER | UNITS | INITIAL INSPECTI PASS(P) or FA (F) | | | | |
| Belvidere Square | Belvidere | ADTI Housing Corp | 50 | F | | | | |
| 400 Block State Street, Ph. III | Camden | North Camden Land Trust | 10 | F | | | | |
| Knox/Byron Rehab (1B) | Camden | North Camden Land Trust | 5 | F | | | | |
| State Street Corridor 1 | Camden | North Camden Land Trust | 8 | F | | | | |
| State Street Corridor 2 | Camden | North Camden Land Trust | 6 | F | | | | |
| 107-109 First Street | Elizabeth | 107 First Street Realty | 11 | F | | | | |
| Broadway Apts. 136 1st St | Elizabeth | Brand New Day | 11 | F | | | | |
| 950 East Grand Street | Elizabeth | 950 East Grand Corp. | 11 | F | | | | |
| Magnolia Mini-Mall (200 2nd St) | Elizabeth | Brand New Day | 5 | Р | | | | |
| Knoll Shared Housing | Franklin | Knoll Shared housing Inc. | 10 | Р | | | | |
| Carpenters Square | Gloucester City | Michaels Development Co. | 100 | F | | | | |
| NCR Jefferson Senior Hsg | Jefferson Twp | National Church Residences | 49 | Р | | | | |
| Affordable Homes at Bayview | Jersey City | 2-family project | 2 | Р | | | | |
| Astor Place | Jersey City | 2-family project | 6 | Р | | | | |
| Lafayette Park V | Jersey City | 2-family project | 21 | F | | | | |
| 314 Main Street | Lakewood | 314 Main Street L.L.C. | 6 | Р | | | | |
| Ocean Supportive Housing | Lakewood | O.C.E.A.N., Inc. | 10 | Р | | | | |
| Haven House @ St. John | Lower Twsp | Diocesan Hsg of Camden | 75 | F | | | | |
| Southend Neighborhood 2 | Montclair | 2-family project | 4 | Р | | | | |
| HOMECorp Multi-Unit Project | Montclair | HOMECorp. | 10 | F | | | | |
| Creed II | Moorestown | MEND | 8 | Р | | | | |
| Mount Olive Manor II | Mount Olive | Abiding Peace Senior Hsg | 43 | Р | | | | |
| Carver Hotel Apts | Neptune | Monmouth Housing Alliance | 14 | F | | | | |
| Corinthian Homes I | Newark | Corinthian Housing Development Corp. | 45 | F | | | | |
| 66 Bristol Rd aka Permanent Ho | Piscataway | ADTI Housing Corp. | 2 | F | | | | |
| Caring Senior | Pleasantville | Caring Residential Serv. | 10 | F | | | | |
| Lily Mae Apartments | Wildwood | Cape Counsiling Services | 11 | F | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 9: Emergency Shelter Support Awards

| AGENCY | COUNTY | GRANT AMOUNT | |
|--|------------|---|--|
| Catholic Charities of Metuchen-Naomi's | Middlesex | \$39,775 | |
| Waγ | | 400 ; 0 | |
| Catholic Charities of Metuchen-State | Middlesex | \$48,000 | |
| Street | | ļ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| Catholic Charities of Newark-Franciskas | Hudson | \$30,400 | |
| House | | , , , , , , | |
| Catholic Charities of Newark-St. | Essex | \$75,000 | |
| Bridget's | | | |
| Catholic Charities of Trenton/Providence | Ocean | \$230,990 | |
| House | | | |
| Center for Family Services | Gloucester | \$100,000 | |
| Center for Family Services | Camden | \$87,600 | |
| Coalition Against Rape and Abuse | Cape May | \$310,000 | |
| Communities of Faith for Housing | Hudson | \$60,000 | |
| Covenant House New Jersey | Union | \$529,870 | |
| Domestic Abuse Services | Sussex | \$13,700 | |
| Fairmont Health Services | Essex | \$65,000 | |
| Good News Home For Women | Hunterdon | \$56,500 | |
| Gospel Services | Essex | \$198,000 | |
| Homefirst Interfaith Housing | Union | \$70,000 | |
| HomeFront TLC | Mercer | \$202,410 | |
| Homeless Solutions, Inc. | Morris | \$316,400 | |
| Interfaith Hospitaility Network of | Hunterdon | \$45,000 | |
| Hunterdon County | | | |
| Jersey Battered Women's Service | Morris | \$76,165 | |
| Life House Emergency Shelter | Essex | \$80,669 | |
| Making it Possible to End | Middlesex | \$157,000 | |
| Homelessness | | | |
| New Community Harmony House | Essex | \$243,394 | |
| Positive Health Care, Inc. | Essex | \$106,600 | |
| Restoration Center, Inc. | Essex | \$78,000 | |
| Samaritan Inn | Sussex | \$101,481 | |
| St. Paul's CDC | Passaic | \$79,000 | |
| Tri-County Community Action Agency | Cumberland | \$158,317 | |
| Urban Renewal Corporation | Essex | \$110,000 | |
| Volunteers of America, IncAmani | Mercer | \$3,361 | |
| House | | | |
| Woman Aware | Middlesex | \$25,000 | |
| YMWCA of Newark | Essex | \$276,238 | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL | | \$3,973,870 | |
| | | | |

Limited English Proficiency (LEP)

While most people in the United States speak, write and understand English, there is a growing population where English is not their primary language. Those individuals having a limited ability to speak or understand English are considered to be limited English proficient (LEP). Language limitations can be a barrier to accessing important benefits or services including understanding information relevant to the programs administered by the Department of Community Affairs, Division of Housing and Community Resources (DCA).

The DCA, as a recipient of federal assistance, is required to take reasonable steps to ensure access to all programs, and has an obligation to reduce language barriers that preclude meaningful access by LEP persons to government services and programs. LEP persons are defined as persons who do not speak English as their primary language and who have limited ability to read, write or speak or understand English.

In order to address this concern, DCA provides translators to assist clients who are not proficient in English understand the program requirements and related documents. Each program maintains a directory of DCA personnel who speak a variety of languages

If the staff is unable to communicate with this person, each office has "I Speak" flash cards so that customers can identify the language they speak. Once identified, the staff will utilize the Language Line phone service. Use of the Language Line will enable the programs to provide assistance to clients in over 175 languages.