# **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

# Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-Assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

**Responsible Entity:** New Jersey Department of Community Affairs (DCA), Richard Constable III, Commissioner

**Applicant Name**: Multiple (see List of Sites table in the Description of the Proposed Project)

**Project Location:** <u>Multiple (see List of Sites table in the Description of the Proposed Project), Old Bridge</u> Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey

**Conditions for Approval** [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]: (List all mitigation and project modification measures required by the Responsible Entity to eliminate or minimize adverse environmental impacts. These conditions must be included in project contracts and other relevant documents as required. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.)

#### General

- 1. Acquire all required federal, state and local permits prior to commencement of demolition and comply with all permit conditions.
- 2. If the scope of work of a proposed activity changes significantly, the application for funding must be revised and resubmitted for reevaluation under the National Environmental Policy Act.

#### **Historic Preservation**

- 3. Comply with the FEMA Best Practices for Lower Impact Debris Removal and Demolitions (see BA\_Old Bridge LowerImpactDebrisRemovalDemolitions BA TO4002).
- 4. Leave the foundation walls in place at sites 9-13 and 19-25 in Area B (25, 28, 29, and 30 Bayshore Avenue, 34, 36, 37, 38, and 39 Cliffwood Way, and 8, 10, and 11 Shoreline Avenue). The foundations will be brought to ground level and best management practices based on the foundation material (i.e. brick, stone, or concrete) will be used by the contractor to ensure that the ground not be disturbed. If the foundations need to be removed, archaeological monitoring will occur (see BA\_Old Bridge SHPOResponse BA TO4002).

# **Endangered Species**

5. Avoid tree removal from sites 2, 19, and 22 in Area B so as to not to disturb potential habitat for the northern long-eared bat (see BA Old Bridge ENSPResponse BA TO4002).

#### Noise

- 6. During the temporary demolition activities, outfit all equipment with operating mufflers.
- 7. During the temporary demolition activities, comply with the applicable local noise ordinance.

#### **Air Quality**

Project activities must meet the regulatory requirements of New Jersey's Air Rules and Air Pollution Control

requirements (see BA Old Bridge AirQualityMemo BA TO4002). In addition, the following must be met:

- 8. Use water or chemical dust suppressant in exposed areas to control dust.
- 9. Cover the load compartments of trucks hauling dust-generating materials.
- 10. Wash heavy trucks and construction vehicles before they leave the site.
- 11. Reduce vehicle speed on non-paved areas and keep paved areas clean.
- 12. Retrofit older equipment with pollution controls.
- 13. Establish and follow specified procedures for managing contaminated materials discovered or generated during demolition.
- 14. Employ spill mitigation measures immediately upon a spill of fuel or other hazardous material.
- 15. Obtain an air pollution control permit to construct and a certificate to operate for all equipment subject to N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c). Such equipment includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - a. Any commercial fuel combustion equipment rated with a maximum heat input of 1,000,000 British Thermal Units per hour or greater to the burning chamber (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)1);
  - b. Any stationary storage tank for volatile organic compounds with a capacity of 2,000 gallons and a vapor pressure of 0.02 pounds per square inch or greater (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)9);
  - c. Any tank, reservoir, container, or bin with capacity in excess of 2,000 cubic feet used for storage of solid particles (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)10); and
  - d. Any stationary reciprocating engine with a maximum rated power output of 37 kW or greater, used for generating electricity, not including emergency generators (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)21).

(Note: One or two family dwellings and dwellings of six or less family units, one of which is owner occupied, are exempt pursuant to NJSA 26:2C-9.2.)

- 16. Minimize idling and ensure that all on-road vehicles and non-road construction equipment operated at or visiting the project site comply with the applicable smoke and "3-minute idling" limits (N.J.A.C. 7:27-14.3, 14.4, 15.3 and 15.8).
- 17. Ensure that all diesel on-road vehicles and non-road construction equipment used on or visiting the project site use ultra-low sulfur fuel (<15 ppm sulfur) in accordance with the federal Non-road Diesel Rule (40 CFR Parts 9, 69, 80, 86, 89, 94, 1039, 1051, 1065, 1068).
- 18. Operate, if possible, newer on-road diesel vehicles and non-road construction equipment equipped with tier 4 engines, or equipment equipped with an exhaust retrofit device.

# Wetland Protection, Erosion, Drainage/Storm Water Runoff, and Surface Water

- 19. Implement and maintain erosion and sedimentation control measures sufficient to prevent deposition of sediment and eroded soil in onsite and offsite wetlands and waters and to prevent erosion in onsite and offsite wetlands and surface waters.
- 20. Minimize soil compaction by minimizing project activities in vegetated areas, including lawns.
- 21. If practicable, auxiliary structures and enclosures, such as sheds and fences, should be removed by hand for sites with wetlands present (i.e. within 20 feet).
- 22. Do not remove or damage vegetation growing in wetlands.
- 23. Do not operate heavy equipment in wetlands.
- 24. Reestablish vegetation on exposed soil as soon as possible.

#### Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Disposal/Recycling

25. All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding asbestos, including but not limited to the following:

- National Emission Standard for Asbestos, standard for demolition and renovation, 40 CFR 61.145
- b. National Emission Standard for Asbestos, standard for waste disposal for manufacturing, fabricating, demolition, and spraying operations, 40 CFR 61.150
- c. NJAC 7:26-2.12—Generator requirements for disposal of asbestos containing waste materials
- d. New Jersey Asbestos Control and Licensing Act, N.J.S.A. 34:5A-32 et seq.
- 26. Applicant must comply with all laws and regulations concerning the proper handling, removal and disposal of hazardous materials (e.g. asbestos, lead-based paint) or household waste (e.g. construction and demolition debris, pesticides/herbicides, white goods).
- 27. All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead-based paint, including but not limited to HUD's lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR Part 35 Subparts B, H, and J.
- 28. Comply with all laws, regulations, and industry standards applicable to removing the aboveground storage tank located at site 9 in Area B.

FINDING:		
<b>⊠Finding of No Significant</b>	Impact (FONSI) [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 4	10 CFR 1508.27]
☐ Finding of Significant Im	in a significant impact on the quality o pact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2); 40 CFR 1508 tly affect the quality of the human env	3.27]
CERTIFICATIONS:	DHO	
Patrick Dunn, CDM Smith	Tatal Dum	November 14, 2014
Preparer Name and Agency	Preparer Signature	Preparer Completion Date
Richard Constable, III	- <u></u> -	
RE Certifying Officer Name	RE Certifying Officer Signature	RE CO Signature Date

#### **Funding Information:**

<b>Grant Number</b>	HUD Program	Funding Amount
B-13-DS-34-0001	Blue Acres Acquisition Program	\$8,700,000

#### **Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:**

The estimated total HUD funded amount is \$8,700,000.

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: (HUD and non-HUD funds)

The estimated total project cost is \$8,700,000.

# **Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal** [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The State of New Jersey was included in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program pursuant to the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Public Law 113-2, approved January 29, 2013). In October 2012, Superstorm Sandy made landfall over the New Jersey coast. Old Bridge Township suffered substantial real estate damage due to flood and storm damage. The purpose of the proposed project is to reduce the number of people affected by future flooding and storm events in Old Bridge Township. The proposed project will provide an urgent need to allow the property owners the opportunity to move to safe and livable housing outside of flood-prone areas.

**Description of the Proposed Project** [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32, 40 CFR 1508.25]: (Include all contemplated actions that are logically either geographically or functionally a composite part of the project, regardless of the source of funding. As appropriate, attach maps, site plans, renderings, photographs, budgets, and other descriptive information.)

Project type: Acquisition and demolition of housing

Project description: The proposed project would acquire residential properties on a voluntary basis and demolish, remove, and dispose of all man-made features on the properties, such as dwellings, foundations, sheds, garages, fences, and driveways. The properties would be graded and left in a stabilized condition (i.e., grass growth covering the property). The acquired properties would be permanently preserved as open space and serve as natural buffers against future storms and floods.

The proposed project would be located at 29 residential properties in Old Bridge Township in Middlesex County. Twenty-eight of the properties are near the coastline of Middlesex County, while one property is inland near the South River. To aid in the identification and mapping of the proposed activity sites, each site was assigned a unique Site ID. References to specific sites throughout this document and on attached maps will be made using the assigned Site ID. Three distinct clusters of sites are part of the proposed project and sites are further distinguished from each other based on the cluster that they fall into. The clusters are identified as Area A, Area B, and Area C. The general location map shows the location of the assigned areas and the site location maps show the location of each proposed activity site with its assigned Site ID (see

BA\_Old Bridge\_GeneralLocationMap\_BA\_TO4002 and BA\_Old Bridge\_SiteLocationMaps\_BA\_TO4002). The following List of Sites table identifies the area, site ID, owner, address, block, and lot of each proposed activity site that is part of the proposed project.

	ι	ist of Sites		
Site ID	Owner	Address	Block	Lot(s)
Area A				
1	William Klopsch	17 Appleby Street	26049	27
Area B				
2	William Cryan	1 Cliffwood Way	1	1.11
3	Mary Chaniewycz	3 Cliffwood Way	1	1.12
4	Annelie Litchkowski	4 Cliffwood Way	1	4
5	Maryjane Germek	5 Cliffwood Way	1	5
6	Gary Sona	6 Cliffwood Way	1	6
7	Robert Zimmer	7 Cliffwood Way	1	7
8	Kathy & Drew Litchkowski	9 Cliffwood Way	1	g
9	Mike Sienko	39 Cliffwood Way	1	39
10	Bryan Garnett	38 Cliffwood Way	1	38
11	Fred Hanzman	37 Cliffwood Way	1	37
12	Jame Indelicato & Jennifer West	36 Cliffwood Way	1	30
13	Shaun Armhold & Connie Ortiz	34 Cliffwood Way	1	34
14	Jame Weir	32 Bayshore Avenue	1	32
15	Edward Csak	14 Bayshore Avenue	1	13
16	Cheryl Abrams & Frank Vozek	17 Bayshore Avenue	1	17
17	Chris & Debra Spinelli	19 Bayshore Avenue	1	19
18	Angelia Reta	21 Bayshore Avenue	1	2:
19	Geraldine Mckim	25 Bayshore Avenue	1	25
20	Martin Maul	30 Bayshore Avenue	1	30
21	Osher Management	29 Bayshore Avenue	1	29
22	Valerie Yannacci & Brian Jones	28 Bayshore Avenue	1	28
23	Jennie Morga	11 Shoreline Avenue	1000	13
24	Ben & Linda Becker	10 Shoreline Avenue	1000	10
25	Frances Conlon	8 Shoreline Avenue	1000	
Area C			_	
26	Brenda Carbone	62 Pomona Boulevard	1075	62, 63, 64, 69
27	Sharon & Jame Williams	66 Raritan Boulevard	1075	66, 67, 68, 69, 70
28	Norman C. Crowe	71 Raritan Boulevard	1075	71, 72, 73
29	Jacek & Elizabeth Malinowski	75 Raritan Boulevard	1075	74, 75, 70

**Existing Conditions and Trends** [24 CFR 58.40(a)]: ( Describe the existing conditions of the project area and its surroundings, and the trends likely to continue in the absence of the project.)

The project areas are in residential neighborhoods. Area A is inland and near the South River, while Areas B and C are near the coastline. The homes proposed for buyout were damaged as a result of Superstorm Sandy in October 2012. Many of the homes are unoccupied and have fallen into disrepair since the storm. In the absence of the proposed project, the home sites will continue to deteriorate and will remain at risk for future flooding and damage.

# PART I: STATUTORY CHECKLIST [24 CFR 50.4, 24 CFR 58.5]

DIRECTIONS - For each authority, check either Box "A" or "B" under "Status."

"A box" The project is in compliance, either because: (1) the nature of the project does not implicate the authority under consideration, or (2) supporting information documents that project compliance has been achieved. In either case, information must be provided as to WHY the authority is not implicated, or HOW compliance is met; OR

"B box" The project requires an additional compliance step or action, including, but not limited to, consultation with or approval from an oversight agency, performance of a study or analysis, completion of remediation or mitigation measure, or obtaining of license or permit.

**IMPORTANT:** Compliance documentation consists of verifiable source documents and/or relevant base data. Appropriate documentation must be provided for each law or authority. Documents may be incorporated by reference into the ERR provided that each source document is identified and available for inspection by interested parties. Proprietary material and studies that are not otherwise generally available for public review shall be included in the ERR. Refer to HUD guidance for more information.

Statute, Authority, Executive Order, Regulation, or Policy cited at 24 CFR §50.4 & §58.5	STATUS A B	Compliance Documentation
1. Air Quality [Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly sections 176(c) & (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]		The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed project is in Middlesex County, which is listed as being in nonattainment or maintenance for five National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Middlesex County is listed as being in moderate nonattainment of the 1997 8-hour ozone standard and in marginal nonattainment of the 2008 8-hour ozone standard. Middlesex County is listed as being in maintenance of the 1997 and 2008 PM-2.5 standard and the carbon monoxide standard. NAAQS maps are provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_AirQualityMaps_BA_TO4002.  In a memorandum dated January 23, 2014 from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Division of Air Quality, residential and commercial construction activities associated with CDBG-DR efforts are well below de minimus thresholds and are presumed to conform to the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The memorandum further states that compliance with the regulatory requirements of New Jersey's Air Rules and the State's Air Pollution Control requirements continue to remain in effect. Although the memorandum references the Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation and Mitigation (RREM) and the Landlord Rental Repair (LRR) residential programs, the memorandum also applies to the proposed project. The proposed project would demolish homes and convert residential properties to permanent open space. Therefore, the proposed project would conform to the SIP and meet the requirements stated in the NJDEP Division of Air Quality memorandum (see BA_Old Bridge_AirQualityMemo_BA_TO4002).
		Source:

	1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			USEPA, Green Book, National Area and County-Level Multi-Pollutant
			Information,
			http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/multipol.html
2. Airport Hazards (Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones) [24 CFR 51D]			The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed project area, at its closest, is approximately 15 miles from Newark Liberty International Airport and approximately 65 miles from Atlantic City International Airport (see BA_Old Bridge_AirportHazardsMap_BA_TO4002). Lakehurst Naval Air Station is the only military airport of concern in New Jersey. The proposed project area, at its closest, is more than 25 miles from this military airport.  Sources:
			NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1; aerial imagery accessed in Google™ Earth Pro
3. Coastal Zone Management [Coastal Zone Management Act sections 307(c) & (d)]			The proposed project is in compliance. Site 1 in Area A is located within the Upland Waterfront Development Zone and sites 2-29 in Areas B and C are located within the Coastal Area Facility Review Act (CAFRA) zone (see BA_Old Bridge_CoastalZoneManagementActMaps_BA_TO4002). Sites 2, 23-25, and 26-29 are located within the mean high water line 150-foot buffer. The proposed project was submitted to the NJDEP Department of Land Use Regulation (DLUR) for a jurisdictional determination. In a letter dated September 11, 2014, the DLUR made the following determinations:  • A Waterfront Development permit is not required and the proposed project is exempt from the Waterfront Development provisions pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:7-2.3(d)3.  • A CAFRA permit is not required and the proposed project does not meet the definition of a "development" in a CAFRA area pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:7-1.3.  • A Coastal Wetlands permit is not required.  A copy of the letter is provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_CoastalJurisdictionalDetermination_BA_TO4002. The letter further states that the jurisdictional determination does not relieve the applicant of the responsibility of obtaining any other required State, Federal or local permits or approvals as required by law.
			Source:
4. Contamination and Toxic Substances [24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]			NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1  The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed activity sites may be within the 3,000-foot radius of a hazardous waste cleanup site, landfill, solid waste cleanup site or hazardous waste facility that handles hazardous materials or toxics substances, however, all sites that were determined by NJDEP to be "non-threatening" to the potential HUD project are not depicted as a hazardous site of concern on the NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1. Only sites determined to be "threatening" by the NJDEP are depicted on the tool. Site 1 in Area A is the only proposed activity site within the 3,000-foot radius of a "threatening" site (see BA_Old Bridge_ToxicHazardousandRadioactiveSubstancesMaps_BA_TO4002). The "threatening" site is Englishtown Petroleum Inc. (Site ID 15369). An email and spreadsheet provided by Kim McEvoy of NJDEP on

		August 21, 2014, indicate that NJDEP has found the Englishtown Petroleum Inc. site to be in substantial compliance with NJDEP regulations, and that NJDEP therefore considers it under control and not a threat to the proposed activity site (see BA_Old Bridge_ToxicsResponse_BA_TO4002).
		The proposed activity sites are not listed on a State or Federal hazardous waste sites database.
		Site reconnaissance, conducted by Sadat Associates on September 26, 2014 and October 1, 2014, revealed no visible recognized environmental conditions (RECs) on or in the vicinity of the proposed activity sites. A rusted aboveground storage tank (AST) was found in the backyard under a deck at site 9. The owner, present at the time of the site reconnaissance, stated that the AST was not in use. The AST must be properly disposed of in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. A photo log for each proposed activity site is provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_SiteInspectionPhotologs_BA_TO4002.
		Asbestos is of concern if a structure was constructed prior to 1980 and lead-based paint is of concern if a structure was constructed prior to 1978. Based on the age of construction, the homes on all sites except sites 27 and 29 in Area C may contain asbestos and lead-based paint (see BA_Old Bridge_HazardousMaterialsConcernList_BA_TO4002). Contractors must determine if these toxics are present. If present, these toxics must be addressed in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.
		The proposed project is exempt from radon consideration because the proposed project does not involve structures intended for human habitation. The proposed project would demolish homes and convert residential properties to permanent open space. Therefore, a radon map is not needed as additional supporting documentation.
		Source:
5. Endangered Species [Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR 402]	X	NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1  The proposed project is in compliance. Sites 19 and 22 in Area B intersect with the bats threatened and endangered species layer. Site 2 in Area B borders the bats threatened and endangered species layer. None of the proposed activity sites in Area A and Area C intersect with the bats threatened and endangered species layer. Site 1 in Area A and sites 23, 24, and 25 in Area B display a "Yes" in their centroid attributes table for State Listed Endangered Species. The centroid attribute table for the rest of the proposed activity sites did not indicate the presence of any threatened and endangered species. None of the proposed activity sites intersect with the red knot or piping plover threatened and endangered species layers (see BA_Old Bridge_ThreatenedandEndangeredSpeciesMaps_BA_TO4002).
		A request for a threatened and endangered species review was submitted to the NJDEP Endangered and Nongame Species Program (ENSP). In an e-mail response dated August 25, 2014, the ENSP

		determined that the proposed activities at sites 19 and 22 will not affect the northern long-eared bat since tree removal will not occur. Although trees are present at proposed activity sites, demolition activities would be confined to the developed areas of the sites and would not impact trees that may be potential habitat for the northern long-eared bat. Since site 2 borders the bats threatened and endangered species layer, it is recommended that tree removal be avoided on that site as well. In a follow-up e-mail response dated August 25, 2014, the ENSP stated that no negative impacts are anticipated to State-listed species at site 1 in Area A and sites 23, 24, and 25 in Area B, and ENSP concluded their review of the submitted sites. ENSP correspondence is provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_ENSPResponse_BA_TO4002.
		A request for a Natural Heritage Program (NHP) database review was submitted to the NJDEP Office of Natural Lands Management. In a letter dated August 29, 2014, the NHP provided tables showing the data request search results. No rare plant species, ecological communities, rare wildlife species, or wildlife habitat were found to be present on any of the proposed activity sites. In the immediate vicinity (within ¼ mile), the South River Marshes Natural Heritage Priority Site and several animal species based patches were found. The proposed project would not affect any of the identified offsite species or the priority site. A copy of the letter is provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_NHPResponse_BA_TO4002. BA_Old Bridge_NaturalHeritagePrioritySite_BA_TO4002 is a map and description of the South River Marshes site.
		The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information, Planning, and Conservation System (IPaC) was consulted to obtain a preliminary USFWS species list for the proposed activity sites. Two species were listed: the swamp pink and the northern long-eared bat (see BA_Old Bridge_USFWS_IPaC_BA_TO4002). There were also USFWS listed migratory birds. These species are not found on developed home sites and therefore, the proposed project would not impact the two listed species or migratory birds. In addition, ENSP cleared the proposed project for the northern long-eared bat and the centroid attributes table for the proposed activity sites did not indicate the presence of any federal threatened and endangered species.
		Sources:  NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1; USFWS, Information, Planning, and Conservation (IPaC) System, <a href="http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/">http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/</a>
6. Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	⊠	The proposed project is in compliance. Less than 10 percent of the population within Area A and its immediate vicinity is considered minority and living below the poverty threshold. Less than 20 percent of the population within Area B and its immediate vicinity is considered minority, and less than 10 percent is considered to be living below the poverty threshold. Area C has a less than 10 percent minority population; however the area immediately to its east has a minority population between 40 and 100 percent. Less than 10 percent of the population in Area C and its immediate vicinity is considered to be living in poverty (see BA_Old Bridge_EnvironmentalJusticeMaps_BA_TO4002). As indicated by the

			other sections of this environmental assessment, the proposed project would have no significant adverse environmental impacts. The proposed project would therefore have no significant disproportionate adverse environmental impact on minority and low-income residents of the proposed project area (see BA_Old Bridge_EnvironmentalJusticeChecklist_BA_TO4002).  Source:  USEPA, EJView, <a href="http://epamap14.epa.gov/ejmap/entry.html">http://epamap14.epa.gov/ejmap/entry.html</a>
7. Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	$\boxtimes$		The proposed project is in compliance. HUD's restrictions on siting of HUD-assisted projects near hazardous operations do not apply to demolition and removal projects (see 24 CFR 51.200 and the definition of "HUD-assisted project" in 24 CFR 51.201). Therefore, these restrictions do not apply to this proposed project.
8. Farmland Protection [Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly section 1504(b) & 1541; 7 CFR 658]			The proposed project is in compliance. Several of the proposed activity sites are on soils classified as prime farmland and farmland of unique importance (see BA_Old Bridge_FarmlandProtectionMaps_BA_TO4002). However, these sites are previously developed residential properties. The proposed project would acquire residential properties, demolish the structures on these properties, and convert these properties to permanent open space. Therefore, there would be no effect on farmland.
9. Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55; Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a)]	X		The proposed project is in compliance. All proposed activity sites are within an A floodplain zone as shown on Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) (see BA_Old Bridge_FloodplainManagementMaps_BA_TO4002). Per 24 CFR 55.12(c)(3), the 8-step floodplain decision making process does not apply to property buyout projects such as the proposed project.  The proposed project was submitted to the NJDEP DLUR for a jurisdictional determination. In a letter dated September 11, 2014, the DLUR determined that the proposed project appears to meet the requirements of a permit-by-rule pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:13-7.2(b)2 and therefore would not require a Flood Hazard Area permit. A copy of the letter is provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_CoastalJurisdictionalDetermination_BA_TO4002.  Source:  NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1
10. Historic Preservation [National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 & 110; 36 CFR 800]		×	The proposed project is in compliance. All proposed activity sites, except site 1, are within an historic property exemption zone (see BA_Old Bridge_HistoricPreservationExemptionZoneMaps_BA_TO4002). Field evaluations were completed and a determination that historically significant properties would not be affected by the proposed project was made. Additionally, significant ground disturbance to archaeologically sensitive locations would not occur provided that the FEMA Best Practices for Lower Impact Debris Removal and Demolitions are adhered to. The proposed project was submitted to the NJDEP Historic Preservation Office (HPO), requesting concurrence with a no adverse effect determination. In a letter dated October 31, 2014, the Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer concurred that the proposed project will not adversely affect historic resources

			provided that the FEMA Best Practices for Lower Impact Debris
			Removal and Demolitions guidelines are applied. The HPO also recommended that the foundation walls of 12 properties be left in place due to their proximity to historic and archaeological resources.
			These 12 properties are sites 9-13 and 19-25. A copy of the letter is provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_SHPOResponse_BA_TO4002. The proposed project must comply with the FEMA Best Practices for
			Lower Impact Debris Removal and Demolitions (see BA_Old Bridge_LowerImpactDebrisRemovalDemolitions_BA_TO4002) and foundation walls should remain in place at sites 9-13 and 19-25. The
			foundations will be brought to ground level and best management practices (BMPs) based on the foundation material (i.e. brick, stone,
			or concrete) will be used by the contractor to ensure that the ground not be disturbed. If the foundations need to be removed, archaeological monitoring will occur.
			Source: NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1
11. Noise Abatement and Control [Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR 51B]			The proposed project is in compliance. HUD standards for noise exposure do not apply to acquisition and demolition projects such as the proposed project because they are not noise sensitive uses (24 CFR 51.101).
			Demolition noise will be a temporary impact that will be controlled by BMPs. Demolition noise will be within applicable city, state and federal codes. Thus, demolition noise is not expected to have an impact to the project or surrounding areas.
12. Sole Source Aquifers [Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR 149]	$\boxtimes$		The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed activity sites are within the Coastal Plain sole source aquifer (see BA_Old Bridge_SoleSourceAquiferMap_BA_TO4002). According to a letter
			dated August 13, 1996 from the USEPA Region III, proposed projects that do not have the potential to create a significant hazard to public health by adversely impacting groundwater are considered exempt
			from a sole source aquifer review. The proposed project would remove urban development and convert residential properties to permanent open space. The proposed project would not adversely
			impact groundwater. A copy of the letter is provided as attachment BA_Old Bridge_EPASoleSourceAquiferLetter_BA_TO4002.
			Source: NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1
13. Wetland Protection [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 & 5]		⊠	The proposed project is in compliance. A review of USFWS's National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Online Mapper, a web-based application tool that draws wetland data from the National Spatial Data
			Infrastructure, was conducted to identify the location of NWI wetlands on or adjacent to the proposed activity sites. In addition to the NWI review, site photographs, aerial imagery, and site inspection reports for each site were reviewed to determine the presence or absence of wetlands.
			For the site in Area A (i.e. site 1), NWI and NJDEP-HUD (NJDEP HUD
			Environmental Review Tool 2.1) mapping indicates the presence of palustrine forested wetlands to the west of the site along the east bank of the South River downstream of Duhernal Lake (see BA_Old

Bridge\_WetlandProtectionMaps\_BA\_TO4002). Review of aerial imagery and site records confirms the presence of these forested wetlands, which appear to be seasonally flooded and consist largely of broad-leaved deciduous trees.

For sites 2-22 in Area B, NWI and NJDEP-HUD mapping indicates the presence of vegetated wetlands to the west and south, and estuarine, open water present to the north – Raritan Bay. The vegetated wetlands to the south, located on the other side of Route 35, are estuarine, intertidal emergent (i.e. herbaceous) wetlands located along the north shore of Stump Creek (see BA Old Bridge WetlandProtectionMaps BA TO4002). These wetlands are irregularly flooded (i.e. less often than daily) and are partially drained or ditched to accommodate roadways and residential areas. The vegetated wetlands to the west are palustrine emergent wetlands that are seasonally-flooded by freshwater from the nearby Cheesequake Creek-Stump Creek watershed. For sites 23-25 in Area B, NWI and NJDEP-HUD mapping indicates the presence of vegetated wetlands to the west and south along the north shore of Stump Creek. These are the same wetlands as those located to the south of sites 2-22, and consist of estuarine, intertidal emergent wetlands. Review of aerial imagery and site records confirms the presence of these wetlands in Area B and indicates the palustrine emergent wetlands to the west of sites 2-22 extend farther to the north than what is mapped.

For the four sites in Area C, NWI and NJDEP-HUD mapping records the presence of vegetated wetlands to the south and east along the west bank of Whale Creek (see BA\_Old Bridge\_WetlandProtectionMaps\_BA\_TO4002). Those wetlands closest to the Whale Creek channel are estuarine, intertidal emergent wetlands. These emergent wetlands are irregularly flooded and are partially drained or ditched to accommodate roadways and residential areas. Review of aerial imagery and site records confirms the presence of emergent wetlands in Area C, and indicates that, as you move away from Whale Creek and towards the sites, wetlands transition from emergent to forested wetlands. These broad-leaved, deciduous forested wetlands parallel the creek and range in width from 20 to 75 feet, providing Whale Creek with a narrow riparian buffer

Wetland presence and the proximity of wetlands to proposed activity sites are provided in BA\_Old Bridge\_WetlandTable\_BA\_TO4002.

The potential for wetland impacts exists for work conducted on sites in Area A, Area B and Area C, particularly those parcels closest to wetland areas. Sites within 150 feet of wetlands are considered adjacent and require further discussion and evaluation. Wetlands are considered present on a site if they exist within 20 feet of site boundaries. For several sites (sites 9-10, 26-28), the nearest wetlands are located within 150 feet but are separated from the site by elevated roadways or development. This separation decreases the potential that proposed activities would result in significant wetland impacts. Sites located greater than 150 feet from wetlands are

T	Tanadanad farananah arasa khak watan dibaran atau wa 1971
	considered far enough away that wetland impacts are unlikely and
	unexpected.
	The following summarizes wetland locations for Areas A, B, and C:
	Area A (1 site)
	Wetlands Present – 0 sites
	Wetlands Adjacent – 1 site
	No Wetlands – 0 sites
	Area B (24 sites)
	Wetlands Present – 4 sites
	Wetlands Adjacent – 9 sites
	No Wetlands – 11 sites
	Area C (4 sites)
	Wetlands Present – 1 site
	Wetlands Adjacent – 3 sites
	No Wetlands – 0 sites
	Though 18 sites are within 150 feet of a wetland, per 24 CFR
	55.12(c)(3), 24 CFR Part 55 Floodplain Management and Protection of
	Wetlands does not apply as the proposed project would involve the
	acquisition of these properties, the clearance of all existing structures
	and related improvements, and the permanent preservation of these
	sites as open space.
	For those sites with wetlands present and wetlands adjacent, BMPs
	and mitigation measures should be implemented to avoid, minimize
	or compensate for potential wetland impacts, including erosion and
	sediment control and wetland protection measures. These can
	include, but are not limited to:
	Implement and maintain erosion and sedimentation control
	measures sufficient to prevent deposition of sediment and
	eroded soil in onsite and offsite wetlands and waters and to
	prevent erosion in onsite and offsite wetlands and surface
	waters
	Minimize soil compaction by minimizing project activities in
	vegetated areas, including lawns.
	• If practicable, auxiliary structures and enclosures, such as sheds and fences, should be removed by hand for sites with wetlands
	present (i.e. within 20 feet)
	Do not remove or damage vegetation growing in wetlands
	Do not operate heavy equipment in wetlands
	<ul> <li>Reestablish vegetation on exposed soil as soon as possible</li> </ul>
	Source:
	USFWS, NWI Wetlands Mapper,
	http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.HTML
14. Wild and Scenic Rivers	The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed project area is
[Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly	not near any designated wild and scenic river. The proposed project
section 7(b) & (c); 36 CFR 297]	area, at its closest, is approximately 27 miles from the designated
	segment of the Lower Delaware River, approximately 37 miles from
	the designated segment of the Musconetcong River, approximately

http://www.rivers.gov/new-jersey.php

Agency Name: DCA CDBG-DR Program: Blue Acres Acquisition Program Application ID Number: BA Old Bridge

# PART II: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

[24 CFR 58.40; 40 CFR 1508.8 & 1508.27]

For each impact category, evaluate the significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features, and resources of the project area. Enter relevant base data and credible, verifiable source documentation to support the finding. Note names, dates of contact, telephone numbers, and page references. Attach additional material as appropriate. **All conditions, attenuation, or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.** 

#### **Impact Codes:**

- (1) no impact anticipated
- (2) potentially beneficial
- (3) potentially adverse- requires documentation
- (4) requires mitigation
- (5) significant/potentially significant adverse impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Impact Categories	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation, Source Documentation and Mitigation or Modification Required
Land Development		
Conformance with Comprehensive and Neighborhood Plans	1	Old Bridge Township operates under an adopted master plan. The proposed project is consistent with the plan.
Land Use Compatibility and Conformance with Zoning	1	The open space created by removing homes would be compatible with remaining homes and the removal of homes would not have an urbanizing effect. The acquired properties would be permanently preserved as open space.
Urban Design- Visual Quality and Scale	2	The proposed project would acquire and demolish homes that have been flooded and damaged by Superstorm Sandy. The proposed project would create permanent open space and improve visual quality by removing damaged and deteriorating homes.
Slope	1	The proposed project areas do not contain steep slopes and the proposed project would not create steep slopes. The stability of the project areas would not be a concern for the proposed project.
Erosion	4	The proposed project has the potential to cause erosion. BMPs must be implemented to minimize erosion and sedimentation (see Conditions for Approval).
Soil Suitability	1	Soil suitability is not a significant factor for the acquisition of properties and demolition of structures.
Hazards and Nuisances, Including Site Safety	2	Residents of the proposed activity sites are currently exposed to hazards associated with living in the floodplain. The proposed project would help residents move out of a flood and storm affected area.
Drainage/Storm Water Runoff	4	The proposed project would create the potential for stormwater runoff to cause erosion and sedimentation. BMPs would be required to minimize erosion and sedimentation (see Conditions for Approval).

Noise-Effects of Ambient Noise on Project & Contribution to Community Noise Levels	4	There would be temporary, unavoidable increases in noise levels at nearby residences during the demolition of structures. Noise impacts would be mitigated to the greatest extent feasible (see Conditions for Approval). The completed project would not generate noise.
Energy Consumption	1	The proposed project would not consume a significant amount of energy, except for a typical amount during the demolition. Energy consumption would be reduced in the proposed project areas since the residential properties would be permanently preserved as open space.
Socioeconomic Factors	<b>'</b>	
Demographic Character Changes	1	The proposed project would shift population away from a flood and storm affected area, but would not cause a significant change in the characteristics of the population.
Displacement	1	The proposed project would allow property owners to plan for residential stability outside a flood and storm affected area.  Participation in the Blue Acres buyout program is voluntary and preflood fair market value would be offered for the properties.
Employment and Income Patterns	1	The proposed project would have no effect on employment and income patterns in the proposed project areas.
Community Facilities and Services		income patterns in the proposed project dreas.
Educational Facilities	1	Since the residential properties would be permanently converted to open space, the proposed project would likely reduce the demand for educational services because of a decrease in total population in the areas. The proposed project would not interfere with delivery of educational services. The nearest educational facility to Area A is Bowne Munro Elementary School, a kindergarten through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade school, located at 120 Main Street, East Brunswick, approximately 0.3 miles northwest. The nearest educational facility to Area B is Memorial Elementary School, a kindergarten through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade school, located at 11 Ely Avenue, approximately 0.9 miles southeast. The nearest educational facility to Area C is Leroy Gordon Cooper Elementary School, a kindergarten through 5 <sup>th</sup> grade school, located at 160 Birchwood Drive, approximately 0.8 miles southwest.  Sources: Old Bridge Township Public Schools, http://www.oldbridgeadmin.org/; East Brunswick Public Schools, http://www.ebnet.org/; aerial imagery accessed in Google™ Earth Pro
Commercial Facilities	1	Since the residential properties would be permanently converted to open space, the proposed project would likely reduce the demand for commercial facilities. The proposed project would not interfere with operation of commercial facilities. The nearest commercial facilities to Area A are small commercial shops along Old Matawan Road, approximately 0.1 miles east. The nearest commercial facilities to Area B are small commercial shops and restaurants clustered around the intersection of Route 35 and Laurence Parkway, approximately 0.3 miles southeast. The nearest commercial facility to Area C is Addison Park, an event hall, on Route 35, approximately 0.5 miles southwest.  Source:  Aerial imagery accessed in Google™ Earth Pro

Health Care	1	Since the residential properties would be permanently converted to open space, the proposed project would likely reduce the demand for health care. The proposed project would not interfere with delivery of health care. The nearest hospital to Area A is Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, located at 1 Robert Wood Johnson Place, New Brunswick, approximately 7 miles northwest. The nearest hospital to Area B is Raritan Bay Medical Center, located at 530 New Brunswick Avenue, Perth Amboy, approximately 4 miles northwest. The nearest hospital to Area C is Bayshore Community Hospital, located at 727 N. Beers Street, Holmdel, approximately 3 miles southeast.  Sources: Old Bridge Township, <a href="http://www.oldbridge.com/">http://www.oldbridge.com/</a> ; aerial imagery accessed in Google™ Earth Pro
Social Services	1	Since the residential properties would be permanently converted to open space, the proposed project would likely reduce the demand for social services. The proposed project would not interfere with delivery of social services. Social services are provided through Old Bridge Township. The Old Bridge Senior Center is located at 1 Old Bridge Plaza, approximately 4 miles east of Area A, approximately 4 miles southwest of Area B, and approximately 5 miles southwest of Area C.  Sources: Old Bridge Township, <a href="http://www.oldbridge.com/">http://www.oldbridge.com/</a> ; aerial imagery accessed in Google™ Earth Pro
Solid Waste Disposal/Recycling	4	The proposed project would generate demolition debris, but would not increase long-term generation of solid waste. Asbestos is of concern if a structure was constructed prior to 1980 and lead-based paint is of concern if a structure was constructed prior to 1978. Based on the age of construction, the homes on all sites except sites 27 and 29 in Area C may contain asbestos and lead-based paint (see BA_Old Bridge_HazardousMaterialsConcernList_BA_TO4002). These hazardous materials, as well as construction and demolition debris, must be handled and disposed of in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations (see Conditions for Approval).
Waste Water/Sanitary Sewers	1	The proposed project would not affect the wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal system. The residential properties would be permanently preserved as open space and therefore lower the demand for wastewater services in the proposed project areas.
Water Supply	1	The proposed project would not consume an unusual quantity of water and would not affect the water supply system. The residential properties would be permanently preserved as open space and therefore lower the demand for water in the proposed project areas.
Public Safety:	1	Since the residential properties would be permanently converted to open space, the proposed project would likely reduce the demand for public safety services such as police, fire, and emergency medical in the proposed project areas.
Parks, Open Space & Recreation:	2	The proposed project would create additional open space in the proposed project areas.
Cultural Facilities	1	The proposed project would not affect any cultural facility.

# Agency Name: <u>DCA</u> CDBG-DR Program: <u>Blue Acres Acquisition Program</u> Application ID Number: <u>BA Old Bridge</u>

Transportation & Accessibility	1	The proposed project would not generate significant traffic, create a significant additional demand for transportation services, or interfere with transportation.
Natural Features		
Water Resources	1	The proposed project would not involve significant water withdrawals and would not have a significant effect on water resources.
Surface Water	4	The proposed project would create the potential for stormwater runoff to cause erosion and sedimentation. BMPs would be required to minimize erosion and sedimentation (see Conditions for Approval).
Unique Natural Features & Agricultural Lands	1	The proposed activity sites are in residential neighborhoods and the proposed project would not affect agricultural lands. The NHP database review identified that the South River Marshes Natural Heritage Priority Site is located within a quarter-mile of site 1. The proposed project would have no impact of the identified priority site. There are no unique natural features on or in the vicinity of the proposed activity sites.
Vegetation and Wildlife	2	The proposed activity sites are residential properties and vegetation is limited to grass, scattered trees, and conventional landscaping. After demolition, the created open space could serve as additional habitat for wildlife.

# **PART III: 58.6 CHECKLIST** [24 CFR 50.4, 24 CFR 58.6]

# 1. AIRPORT RUNWAY CLEAR ZONES AND CLEAR ZONES NOTIFICATION [24 CFR Part 51.303(a)(3)]

Does the project involve the sale or acquisition of property located within a Civil Airport Runway Clear Zone or a Military Airfield Clear Zone?

**No.** Cite or attach Source Documentation:

The proposed project is in compliance. The proposed project area, at its closest, is approximately 15 miles from Newark Liberty International Airport and approximately 65 miles from Atlantic City International Airport (see BA\_Old Bridge\_AirportHazardsMap\_BA\_TO4002). Lakehurst Naval Air Station is the only military airport of concern in New Jersey. The proposed project area, at its closest, is more than 25 miles from this military airport.

[Project complies with 24 CFR 51.303(a)(3).]

☐ Yes. Notice must be provided to the buyer. The notice must advise the buyer that the property is in a Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone, what the implications of such a location are, and that there is a possibility that the property may, at a later date, be acquired by the airport operator. The buyer must sign a statement acknowledging receipt of this information, and a copy of the signed notice must be maintained in the ERR.

**2. COASTAL BARRIERS RESOURCES ACT** [Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)]

Is the project located in a coastal barrier resource area?

**No.** Cite or attach Source Documentation:

The proposed project is in compliance. All proposed activity sites are located outside a coastal barrier resource area (see BA\_Old Bridge\_CoastalBarrierResourcesMaps\_BA\_TO4002). The Area C sites (sites 26-29) are within the buffer zone of the Cliffwood Beach Unit NJ-03P, an otherwise protected area, and therefore, the official coastal barrier resources act map for this area was reviewed. The official map confirmed that the sites are outside the Cliffwood Beach Unit NJ-03P (see BA\_Old Bridge\_CoastalBarrierResourcesMaps\_BA\_TO4002).

[Proceed with project.]

☐ **Yes.** Federal assistance may not be used in such an area.

**3. FLOOD DISASTER PROTECTION ACT** [Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 (42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a)]

Does the project involve acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation of structures located in a FEMA-identified Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA)?

**No.** Cite or attach Source Documentation:

[Proceed with project.]

**Yes.** Cite or attach Source Documentation:

The proposed project involves the acquisition and demolition of homes located in the 100-year floodplain as shown on the FEMA preliminary FIRMs (see

BA Old Bridge FloodplainManagementMaps BA TO4002 and the Floodplain Management section in Part 1: Statutory Checklist above). Demolition projects do not require flood insurance. Is the community participating in the National Insurance Program (or has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards)? Yes. Flood Insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program must be obtained. If HUD assistance is provided as a grant, insurance must be maintained for the economic life of the project and in the amount of the total project cost (or up to the maximum allowable coverage, whichever is less). If HUD assistance is provided as a loan, insurance must be maintained for the term of the loan and in the amount of the loan (or up to the maximum allowable coverage, whichever is less). A copy of the flood insurance policy declaration must be kept on file in the ERR.

☐ No. Federal assistance may not be used in the Special Flood Hazard Area.

# **Summary of Findings and Conclusions**

**Additional Studies Performed:** (List the reports, studies, or analyses performed for this assessment, and attach studies or summaries.)

No additional studies were performed.

Field Inspection (Date and completed by):

Field inspections were completed for the proposed project on September 26, 2014 and October 1, 2014 by Sadat Associates.

**List of Sources, Agencies, and Persons Consulted** [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]: (List sources, agencies, and persons consulted for this assessment.)

East Brunswick Public Schools. Accessed at http://www.ebnet.org/.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Best Practices for Lower Impact Debris Removal and Demolitions, New Jersey, Sandy Disaster Response, Version 3.7, June 6, 2013.

Google™ Earth Pro

National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. Explore Designated Rivers, New Jersey. Accessed at <a href="http://www.rivers.gov/new-jersey.php">http://www.rivers.gov/new-jersey.php</a>.

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Division of Air Quality. Memorandum received from William O'Sullivan, Director, January 23, 2014.

NJDEP Division of Fish and Wildlife Endangered and Nongame Species Program. E-mail received from Patrick Woerner, GIS Specialist, August 25, 2014.

NJDEP Division of Land Use Regulation. Letter received from Ryan J. Anderson, Supervisor, September 11, 2014.

NJDEP Historic Preservation Office. Letter received from Daniel D. Saunders, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer, October 31, 2014.

NJDEP HUD Environmental Review Tool 2.1. Accessed at

http://www.arcgis.com/explorer/?open=ac492b24c7cc472ea5cf2f57cfaf65ab&extent=8643120.11643555,4661682.34020292,-7976191.21469309,5121911.72760389.

NJDEP Site Remediation Program. E-mail received from Kim McEvoy, August 21, 2014.

NJDEP State Forestry Service Natural Heritage Program. Letter received from Robert J. Cartica, Administrator, August 29, 2014.

Old Bridge Township. Accessed at http://www.oldbridge.com/.

Old Bridge Township Public Schools. Accessed at <a href="http://www.oldbridgeadmin.org/">http://www.oldbridgeadmin.org/</a>.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Region X Environmental Office Environmental Justice Checklist. Accessed at <a href="http://www.hud.gov/local/shared/working/r10/environment/justice.pdf">http://www.hud.gov/local/shared/working/r10/environment/justice.pdf</a>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). EJView. Accessed at http://epamap14.epa.gov/ejmap/entry.html.

USEPA. Green Book, National Area and County-Level Multi-Pollutant Information. Accessed at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/multipol.html">http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/multipol.html</a>.

USEPA Region III. Letter received from Barbara Smith, SSA Project Manager, August 13, 1996.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Coastal Barrier Resources System Mapper. Accessed at <a href="http://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html</a>.

USFWS. Information, Planning, and Conservation (IPaC) System. Accessed at <a href="http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/">http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/</a>.

USFWS. National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Wetlands Mapper. Accessed at http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.HTML.

# **Lists of Permits Required:**

No permits were determined to be required through the consultations completed for this environmental assessment. This does not alleviate the requirement of the applicant obtaining all required federal, state, and local permits before beginning demolition.

#### **Public Outreach** [24 CFR 50.23 & 58.43]:

A combined public notice for the proposed project (Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds) will be published in the Star-Ledger and a Spanish translation of the notice will be published in the Reporte Hispano. Any substantive comments received will be addressed and incorporated into the final environmental assessment document.

# **Cumulative Impact Analysis** [24 CFR 58.32]:

The proposed project would not contribute to adverse cumulative impacts on environmental resources. The proposed project would remove urban development and convert residential properties to permanent open space. Removing urban development will have a cumulative benefit in the area by creating open space, which would act as a natural buffer for other homes in the area against future storms. Middlesex County is currently undergoing extensive efforts to recover from the damage caused by Superstorm Sandy in October 2012, and the proposed Blue Acres Acquisition Program is a part of those efforts. Cumulatively, these activities may have temporary impacts on surface waters, air quality, noise, and traffic during the implementation phase of these recovery efforts, but these activities will have the long-term benefit of restoring the floodplain and enabling New Jersey residents to move out of flood-prone areas.

**Project Alternatives Considered** [24 CFR 58.40(e), 40 CFR 1508.9]: (As appropriate, identify other reasonable courses of action that were considered and not selected, such as other sites, design modifications, or other uses of the subject site. Describe the benefits and adverse impacts to the human environment for each alternative and the reasons for rejecting it.)

The following alternatives were considered:

- No action alternative (see below)
- Rehabilitation or reconstruction, including elevation, of homes

Rehabilitating or reconstructing, including elevating, the homes instead of demolishing them was considered and rejected. Although this alternative would avoid the short-term demolition impacts, there would be equivalent short-term construction impacts associated with this alternative. The major disadvantages of this alternative are that the homes and their occupants would remain at risk for future flooding and damage and there would be no restoration of the natural values of the floodplain.

# No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

The no action alternative would avoid the short-term demolition impacts, but the residential properties would remain at risk for future flooding and damage. Many of the homes are unoccupied and have fallen into disrepair since the storm. In the absence of the proposed project, the home sites will continue to deteriorate. There would also be no restoration of the natural values of the floodplain. Therefore, the no action alternative was rejected.

# **Summary Statement of Findings and Conclusions:**

The proposed project would address the need to reduce the number of people affected by flooding and storm damage in Old Bridge Township. The proposed project is in compliance with all applicable statutory authorities and would have no significant impact on the environment. Mitigation measures to minimize any potential adverse environmental impacts and to ensure that the proposed project is in compliance with the statutory authorities are identified in the Required Mitigation and Project Modification Measures section below and the Conditions for Approval section at the beginning of this environmental assessment.

**Required Mitigation and Project Modification Measures:** [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1505.2(c), 40 CFR 1508.20] (Recommend feasible ways in which the proposal or its external factors should be modified in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts and restore or enhance environmental quality.)

#### General

- 1. Acquire all required federal, state and local permits prior to commencement of demolition and comply with all permit conditions.
- 2. If the scope of work of a proposed activity changes significantly, the application for funding must be revised and resubmitted for reevaluation under the National Environmental Policy Act.

#### **Historic Preservation**

3. Comply with the FEMA Best Practices for Lower Impact Debris Removal and Demolitions (see BA\_Old Bridge\_LowerImpactDebrisRemovalDemolitions\_BA\_TO4002).

4. Leave the foundation walls in place at sites 9-13 and 19-25 in Area B (25, 28, 29, and 30 Bayshore Avenue, 34, 36, 37, 38, and 39 Cliffwood Way, and 8, 10, and 11 Shoreline Avenue) The foundations will be brought to ground level and best management practices based on the foundation material (i.e. brick, stone, or concrete) will be used by the contractor to ensure that the ground not be disturbed. If the foundations need to be removed, archaeological monitoring will occur (see BA\_Old Bridge\_SHPOResponse\_BA\_TO4002).

# **Endangered Species**

5. Avoid tree removal from sites 2, 19, and 22 in Area B so as to not to disturb potential habitat for the northern long-eared bat (see BA Old Bridge ENSPResponse BA TO4002).

#### **Noise**

- 6. During the temporary demolition activities, outfit all equipment with operating mufflers.
- 7. During the temporary demolition activities, comply with the applicable local noise ordinance.

#### **Air Quality**

Project activities must meet the regulatory requirements of New Jersey's Air Rules and Air Pollution Controls requirements (see BA\_Old Bridge\_AirQualityMemo\_BA\_TO4002). In addition, the following must be met:

- 8. Use water or chemical dust suppressant in exposed areas to control dust.
- 9. Cover the load compartments of trucks hauling dust-generating materials.
- 10. Wash heavy trucks and construction vehicles before they leave the site.
- 11. Reduce vehicle speed on non-paved areas and keep paved areas clean.
- 12. Retrofit older equipment with pollution controls.
- 13. Establish and follow specified procedures for managing contaminated materials discovered or generated during demolition.
- 14. Employ spill mitigation measures immediately upon a spill of fuel or other hazardous material.
- 15. Obtain an air pollution control permit to construct and a certificate to operate for all equipment subject to N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c). Such equipment includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - e. Any commercial fuel combustion equipment rated with a maximum heat input of 1,000,000 British Thermal Units per hour or greater to the burning chamber (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)1);
  - f. Any stationary storage tank for volatile organic compounds with a capacity of 2,000 gallons and a vapor pressure of 0.02 pounds per square inch or greater (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)9);
  - g. Any tank, reservoir, container, or bin with capacity in excess of 2,000 cubic feet used for storage of solid particles (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)10); and
  - h. Any stationary reciprocating engine with a maximum rated power output of 37 kW or greater, used for generating electricity, not including emergency generators (N.J.A.C. 7:27-8.2(c)21).

(Note: One or two family dwellings and dwellings of six or less family units, one of which is owner occupied, are exempt pursuant to NJSA 26:2C-9.2.)

- 16. Minimize idling and ensure that all on-road vehicles and non-road construction equipment operated at or visiting the project site comply with the applicable smoke and "3-minute idling" limits (N.J.A.C. 7:27-14.3, 14.4, 15.3 and 15.8).
- 17. Ensure that all diesel on-road vehicles and non-road construction equipment used on or visiting the project site use ultra-low sulfur fuel (<15 ppm sulfur) in accordance with the federal Non-road Diesel Rule (40 CFR Parts 9, 69, 80, 86, 89, 94, 1039, 1051, 1065, 1068).
- 18. Operate, if possible, newer on-road diesel vehicles and non-road construction equipment equipped with tier 4 engines, or equipment equipped with an exhaust retrofit device.

#### Wetland Protection, Erosion, Drainage/Storm Water Runoff, and Surface Water

- 19. Implement and maintain erosion and sedimentation control measures sufficient to prevent deposition of sediment and eroded soil in onsite and offsite wetlands and waters and to prevent erosion in onsite and offsite wetlands and surface waters.
- 20. Minimize soil compaction by minimizing project activities in vegetated areas, including lawns.
- 21. If practicable, auxiliary structures and enclosures, such as sheds and fences, should be removed by hand for sites with wetlands present (i.e. within 20 feet).
- 22. Do not remove or damage vegetation growing in wetlands.
- 23. Do not operate heavy equipment in wetlands.
- 24. Reestablish vegetation on exposed soil as soon as possible.

# Hazardous Materials and Solid Waste Disposal/Recycling

- 25. All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding asbestos, including but not limited to the following:
  - e. National Emission Standard for Asbestos, standard for demolition and renovation, 40 CFR 61.145
  - f. National Emission Standard for Asbestos, standard for waste disposal for manufacturing, fabricating, demolition, and spraying operations, 40 CFR 61.150
  - g. NJAC 7:26-2.12—Generator requirements for disposal of asbestos containing waste materials
  - h. New Jersey Asbestos Control and Licensing Act, N.J.S.A. 34:5A-32 et seq.
- 26. Applicant must comply with all laws and regulations concerning the proper handling, removal and disposal of hazardous materials (e.g. asbestos, lead-based paint) or household waste (e.g. construction and demolition debris, pesticides/herbicides, white goods).
- 27. All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead-based paint, including but not limited to HUD's lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR Part 35 Subparts B, H, and J.
- 28. Comply with all laws, regulations, and industry standards applicable to removing the aboveground storage tank located at site 9 in Area B.