## Historic Preservation Assessment Regulatory Background

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Ac t of 1966 (NHPA), as a mended, requires the lead federal agency with jurisdiction over an undertaking to consider impacts to historic properties, before the undertaking occurs. Undertakings in this sense include activities, projects, or programs that are directly or indirectly funded by a federal agency, such as the CDBG funding source from Housing and Urban Development for this application's improvements. The implementing regulation of Section 106 is 36 CFR Part 800, overseen by the Department of Interior's Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP).

The NHPA defines a historic property as any archeological site, district, building, structure, or object that is listed in, or eligible for inclusion in, the N ational Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Under this definition, other cultural resources may be present within a project's Area of Potential Effects but are not historic properties if they do not meet the eligibility requirements for listing in the NRHP. To be eligible for the NRHP, a propert y generally must be historically significant and greater than 50 years of age, although there are provisions for listing recent cultural resources if they are of exceptional federal, state or local importance.

36 CFR 800 establishes the three-step processes for: (1) identifying whether historic properties will be affected by the proposed undertaking; (2) assessing the undertaking's effects on identif ied historic properties, and (3) engaging in consultat ion with stakeholders to avoid, reduce, or m itigate any adverse effect from the undertaking. Adverse effects include, but are not lim ited to (per 36 CFR 800.5): destruction or alteration of all or part of a property ; isolation from or alteration of its surrounding environment; introduction of visual, audible, or atmos pheric elements that are out of character with the property or that alter its setting; transfer or sale of a federally owned property without adequate conditions or restrictions regarding preservation, maintenance, or use; and neglect of a property resulting in its deterioration or destruction.

36 CFR Part 800 specifies that certain parties must be consulted during the process. These parties include: the State Hi storic Preservation Officer (SHPO) who is appointed by each state to protect the interests of its cultural heritage; and federally-recognized Native American Tribes that have stated a claim to the area. Sections 101(b)(3) and 101(d)(6)(B) of the NHPA provides each SHPO and Tribe, respectively, a prominent role in advising the responsible federal agencies and ACHP in their efforts to carry out Section 106 requirements. Federal agencies usually consult with the SHPO and Tribes when developing methodologies related to cultural resource investigations and are required to notify SHPO and Tribes when making findings related to the establishment of an undertaking, findings of NRHP-eligibility of identified cultural resources, project effects to hist oric properties, and resolution of adverse effects. That process has been formalized for this New Jersey Hurricane Sandy disaster recovery program through the execution of a Programmati c Agreement signed in 2013. For projects located within m unicipal boundaries, the assessment and resolution of adverse effects must also be comply with local building codes and ordinances, and any local historic district requirements that are mandated by a Certified Local Government or local Historic Preservation Commission.

The Programmatic Agreement stipulations state that each S HPO and Tribe generally are required to respond within 15 days of receiving a request to review a proposed action, or a request to make a finding or determination regarding historic properties located within the project's Area of Potential Effect. In the event that the SHPO/Tribe does not respond within n this time frame, 36 CFR 800. 3(c)(4) states that the lead agency (DCA) can decide to (1) proceed to the next step in the application process bas ed on any earlier findings or determinations that have been made up to that point; or (2) consult directly with the ACHP in lieu of the SHPO/Tribe. If, after this step is followed, the SHPO or Tribe decides to re-enter the Section 106 process, 36 CFR 800.3(c)(4) further states that the lead agency may continue the consultation proceeding without being required to reconsider previous findings or determinations.

## Assessment of Section 106 Compliance

The proposed project complies with NHPA Section 106 requirements. Consultation with the New Jersey Historic Preservation Office (NJHPO, also SHPO) was initiated by URS on behalf of the program in an email dated August 26, 2014. The email included the form developed by NJHPO for Section 106 disaster recovery evaluations, specifically the "For m 1" which indicated that no historic properties or intact archaeological sites were on the property (Form 1 URS Submit).

The Form 1 subm ission presented information on the existing building and its viewshed compiled by Lorin Farris, a SOI-qualified architectur al historian from URS. The Form 1 noted that the property was not in a NRHP-listed or eligible historic district, so indirect affects to those types of hist oric properties was not possible. While the tax record indicates that the structure was built in 2000, it is present on 1907 Sanborn maps for that area and designated as the Monmouth Beach Fire Department. Although more than 100 years old, applying the NRHP criteria for evaluation (36 CFR 60.4) the URS professional determined the building now lacks sufficient historic integrit y to be individually eligible for listing due t o extensive alterations and its lack of distinction. These alterations include a one-and-one-half story addition placed on the southwest (side) elevation of the building between 1979 and 1995, as noted from viewing historic aerials. The NJ HPO replied by signing the Form 1 on September 3, 2014 that they concurred with the assessment made by URS and the undertaking would not impact historic properties (Form 1 N JHPO Response).

The Programmatic Agreement also states that an arch aeological investigation of the project area is not required if it is a rehabilitation project, if no significant new land disturbance will result. That allowance is stated under Appendix B, Tier I Stipulation I, which states:

## **Tier I Allowances**

I. GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES AND SITE WORK, when proposed activities described below substantially conform to the original footprint and/or are performed in previously disturbed soils, including the area where the activity is staged.

Following discussion between NJHPO, NJDEP and NJDCA, that allowance was defined to mean that archaeological investigations are not r equired if the project activity involves the rehabilitation of a building (including elevation) that was extant at the time Hurricane Sandy struck. The proposed project activity is limited to rehabilitation activities, therefore no archaeological studies were required, nor was consultation with NJHPO or Native American Tribes.

The proposed project is not situated within a local hist oric district and so consultation with the municipal government regarding potential historic preservation concerns was not required. Further more, as the proposed program action is limited to rehabilitating an existing building, consultation with the Native American Tribe signatories to the Programmatic Agreement was not needed.

Sources: Programmatic Agreement for New Jersey Hurricane Sandy Disaster Recovery. Form 1 Section 106 Consultation form submitted to NJHPO and signe d reply from NJHPO.