

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Site Remediation Program

REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT FORM

Date Stamp

	OTION A DITT	(гогрер	artinent us	e only)					
	CTION A. SITE								
Site Name:									
	Program Interest (PI) Number(s):								
Ca	se Tracking Number(s) for this submission:								
	This form must be attached to the Cover/Certification Form								
SE	CTION B. SCOPE OF REMEDIAL ACTION REPOR	т							
1.	Does the RAR address:								
	Area(s) of Concern (AOCs) Only								
_	☐ Entire Site (Based on a completed and submitte	,							
2.	Total number of contaminated AOCs associated with	the case:							
3.	Total number of contaminated AOCs addressed in the	is submission:							
4.	Are there any outstanding contaminated AOCs association has NOT been performed?		☐ Yes	□No					
5.	Does this RAR address a discharge/release from a fo	ederally regulated UST?	☐ Yes	☐ No					
Wł	en answering the remaining questions on this for	m consider only the AOCs addressed in this	submissi	on.					
SE	CTION C. GENERAL								
1.	Does this submission include Remedial Action Permi	• • • • • •	□Yes	□No					
2.	Was a remediation initiated after May 6, 2010, for ne of the site proposed for the purpose of residential use or use as a school?	w construction / change in the use e, use as a licensed child care center		_ □ No					
	If "Yes," was an unrestricted use or a presumptive re	medy implemented?	Yes	☐ No					
3.	Was an alternative remedy approved by the NJDEP?	?	☐ Yes	□No					
	If "Yes," provide the date of the approval:								
4.	Has the remediation varied from the Technical Rules	?	☐ Yes	☐ No					
	If "Yes." provide the citation(s) from which the remed attached document where the rationale for the varian								
	N.J.A.C. 7:26E-	Page							
	N.J.A.C. 7:26E-								
	N.J.A.C. 7:26E-								
5.	Were the laboratory Reporting Limits below applicab criteria required for the contaminants of concern for t		☐Yes	☐ No					
6.	Have past NJDEP-documented deficiencies been ad	dressed in this submission? Yes	☐ No	☐ N/A					
7.	Did the remediation deviate from that proposed in the If "Yes," specify the section/page(s) in the report whe		☐ Yes	□No					
8.	Did the remedial action render the property unusable recreational use (N.J.A.C. 7:26C-6.4(b)?		☐Yes	□No					

SECTION D. SITE CONDITIONS							
1.	At any time, was there any radiological contamination detected at the AOCs addressed in this submission?						
2.	At any time, did any of the AOCs addressed in this submission contain Ordnance and Explosives/ Unexploded Ordnance (OE/UXO)?						
3.	Did the remedial action involve containment of free product?						
4.	Has dioxin been detected at levels above NJDEP's interim direct contact soil screening level of 50 ppt dioxin TEQ (TCDD Toxicity Equivalence Quotient) in any AOCs addressed in this submission?						
5.	Have any of the following contaminants <i>ever</i> been detected in sediment above the ecological screening levels at the AOCs addressed in this submission?						
	If "Yes," check all that apply:						
	☐ Arsenic ☐ Dioxin ☐ Mercury ☐ PCBs ☐ Pesticides						
6.	Is remediation complete in all affected media at the AOCs addressed in this submission?						
7.	Did contaminants from the AOCs addressed in this submission discharge to surface water?						
8.	Did contaminants from the AOCs addressed in this submission discharge to an Environmentally Sensitive Natural Resource (ESNR)?						
9.	Are any of the following conditions currently present for the AOCs addressed in this submission? (check all that apply):						
	Groundwater: ☐ Contaminated ground water in the overburden aquifer ☐ Contaminated ground water in a confined aquifer ☐ Contaminated ground water in the bedrock aquifer ☐ Contaminated ground water in multiple aquifer units ☐ Multiple distinct ground water plumes ☐ Contaminated ground water migrating off-site ☐ Contaminated ground water migrating off-site ☐ Natural background ground water contamination ☐ Contaminated ground water discharging to surface water or ☐ Environmentally Sensitive Natural Resource (ESNR) ☐ Residual or free product ☐ Natural background only above Impact to Ground ☐ Water Cleanup Criteria ☐ Natural background above Direct Contact ☐ Remediation Standards ☐ Soil contamination in an ESNR						
SE	CTION E. APPLICABLE REMEDIATION STANDARDS						
	Were Default Remediation Standards used for all contaminants?						
2.	2. Has compliance averaging been utilized to determine compliance with the Soil Remediation Standards?						
	Spatially						
	Arithmetic 95 Percent Weighted 75 Percent/ Pathway Mean UCL Average 10X Procedure						
	☐ Ingestion-Dermal Pathway ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐						
	☐ Impact to Ground Water Pathway ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐						

_			
3.	Has a compliance option been utilized to determine compliance with the Impact to Ground Water Pathway? (If "Yes," check all that apply)	☐ Yes	□No
	Immobile Compounds		
	☐ Data evaluation for metals and semi-volatiles		
4	Data evaluation for volatile organics derived from discharges of petroleum mixtures	□Vaa	□Na
	Was an interim standard used for a contaminant where a standard does not exist?		□ No
	Were Alternate Remediation Standards used for the Ingestion/Dermal Pathway?		□ No
	Were Alternate Remediation Standards used for the Inhalation Pathway?		□ No
7.	Were Site Specific Standards used for the Impact to Ground Water Pathway?	∐ Yes	□ No
	☐ Soil-Water Partitioning Equation☐ SPLP☐ Sesoil☐ Sesoil/AT123D☐ DAF Modification		
8.	Were Site Specific Ecological Remediation Goals used?	☐ Yes	□No
9.	What is the ground water classification for this site as per N.J.A.C. 7:9C? (check all that apply)		
	☐ Class I-A		
	☐ Class I-PL Pinelands Protection Area ☐ Class III-A		
	☐ Class I-PL Pinelands Preservation Area ☐ Class III-B		
SE	CTION F. ALTERNATIVE AND CLEAN FILL USE		
1.	Was alternative fill used?	. Yes	☐ No
2.	Was clean fill used?	. Yes	☐ No
3.	Was material sent off-site for use as alternative and/or clean fill?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If "Yes," specify the section/page in the RAR where it states the SRP site receiving this		
	alternative and/or clean fill:		
4.	Was material sent off-site for use as alternative and/or clean fill at a non-SRP site?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If "Yes," specify the section/page in the RAR where it states the non-SRP site receiving this		
	alternative and/or clean fill:		
5.	Was alternative fill used in excess of the amount required for the remedial action?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If "Yes," was the NJDEP's preapproval obtained pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:26E-5.2(b)3?	☐ Yes	☐ No
C.F	COTION OF DEMEDIAL ACTION DEPORT INFORMATION		
	ECTION G. REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT INFORMATION ils		
	Did the remedy include a remedial action for soils?	□Yes	☐ No
	If "No," skip to Ground Water		
2.	Is a restricted use required?	☐ Yes	□No
	If "Yes," indicate the type of restriction being implemented.		
3.		_	
	engineering controls)?		∐ No
4.	Was an engineering control required?	∐ Yes	∐ No
	If "Yes," indicate the receptor(s) each engineering control is intended to protect. <i>(check all that apply)</i>		
	☐ Human ☐ Ecological ☐ Offsite Impacts		
Ground Water			
5.	Did the remedy include a remedial action for ground water?	∐ Yes	☐ No
6	Is a restricted use required for ground water?	□Yes	□No

7.	Is a revised CEA required? Yes	☐ No				
8.	Do any contaminant levels in ground water currently exceed the vapor intrusion ground water trigger?	□No				
Ec	Ecological					
9.	Did the remedy include a remedial action for Environmentally Sensitive Natural Resources (ESNRs)?	□No				
10						
10	. Was post-remedial sampling performed to determine whether contaminant levels currently meet ecological screening levels or ecological remediation goals?	□No				
11	. Did the remedial action require filling of State open waters or wetlands? Yes	☐ No				
12.	. Have ecological risk-based remediation goals been developed?	☐ No				
	If "Yes," have the ecological risk-based remediation goals been approved by NJDEP?	☐ No				
13	. Have Risk Management Decision (RMD) goals been developed?	☐ No				
	If "Yes," have the RMD goals been approved by NJDEP?	□No				
	Have any vapor intrusion engineering controls/mitigation systems been installed in order to mitigate a vapor condition in a structure?	□ No				
SECTION H. LABORATORY DATA						
1.	Were all data submitted in the appropriate full and/or reduced formats according to the deliverables defined in N.J.A.C. 7:26E-2?	□No				
2.	Do all data submitted meet the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements incorporated by reference in N.J.A.C. 7:26E-2 for: sampling	□ No				
3.	How was it determined that the data complied with the QA/QC requirements? Laboratory non-conformance summary/narrative Laboratory correspondence LSRP review Independent contractor review					
	Other:					
4.	Has any data been qualified and used? Yes	☐ No				
5.	Has any data been rejected and used?	☐ No				
6.	Provide the page number for the "Reliability of Data" section of the report:					