Chapter 8: 1556 BCP Paunacussing Creek, Bucks County, PA



Analysis of flow differences between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods:



Flow was roughly the same between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Fewer samples were collected in the post-EWQ period, and the range of flow conditions sampled was narrower. Flow is plotted on a logarithmic scale.



The 7.9 square mile Paunacussing Creek watershed was included within the Wild and Scenic designation of the Lower Delaware. The watershed is about 50% forested, and less than 1% urban land cover. There is no carbonate bedrock in the watershed, so water quality should be similar to other Piedmont streams.

Upstream ICP: Delaware River at Milford 1677 ICP Downstream ICP: Del. River at Bulls Island 1554 ICP



Annual May to September flow statistics are plotted above. Flow is plotted on a logarithmic scale. These are flow measurements or sometimes estimates associated with the time of each water quality sample. "Normal" annual median flow is about 7.1 cfs at this location, but the summer seasonal flow is around 5 cfs. Though a wide range of flows were sampled by DRBC, these data sets appear to be most representative of low flow conditions. EWQ samples (2000-2003) were taken using a DRBC gage and rating curve established for Paunacussing Creek, but the rating was too difficult to maintain because of channel instability and bridge reconstruction. 2009-2011 flows corresponding to each water quality sample were estimated using the USGS BaSE program (Stuckey, M.H., Koerkle, E.H., and Ulrich, J.E., 2012, Estimation of baseline daily mean streamflows for ungaged locations on Pennsylvania streams, water years 1960–2008: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2012–5142, 61 p.). DRBC benchmarked water surface elevation measurements are still used to check BaSE estimates.

Alkalinity as CaCO3, Total mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 47 mg/l Lower 95% Confidence Interval 42 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 55 mg/l Defined in regulations as a flow-related parameter











No water quality degradation is evident. Alkalinity did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Alkalinity is inversely related to flow in both data sets. Post-EWQ median alkalinity fell within EWQ 95% confidence intervals. Flow is plotted on a logarithmic scale.

Ammonia Nitrogen as N, Total mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median <0.05 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval <0.05 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval <0.05 mg/l





No water quality degradation is evident. Ammonia concentrations apparently declined. However, detection limit differences, potential laboratory artifacts, and insufficient post-EWQ sampling (n=17) introduced uncertainty to conclusions.



Post-EWQ median concentration was below the EWQ lower 95% confidence interval. No independent data were available to validate the decline. DRBC's post-EWQ detection limit was lower than during the EWQ period. EWQ data set possessed many non-detect results (24 of 30 samples). Thus EWQ was established as "less than" 0.05 mg/l, the detection limit at the time. From 2009-2011 there were 4/17 non-detect results at detection limits of 0.004-0.006 mg/l. Now we can see what the real concentrations are, well-below 0.05 mg/l. Post-EWQ concentrations measured no higher than 0.014 mg/l, suggesting water quality improvement.

Chloride, Total mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 24 mg/l Lower 95% Confidence Interval 23 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 25 mg/l Defined in regulations as a flow-related parameter





Water quality degradation is evident. Chloride concentrations increased by 5 mg/l between the two periods. Post-EWQ median concentration rose above the EWQ upper 95% confidence interval.







Chloride concentrations are weakly and inversely related to flow. We can only speculate at the reason for increasing chloride concentrations. There are no new discharge permits in the watershed. While these concentrations are far better than water quality criteria levels, such a large increase in so short a time deserves further investigation in this designated Wild and Scenic watershed.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO) mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 9.42 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 8.90 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 9.81 mg/l









No water quality degradation is indicated. No measurable change took place between the EWQ and Post-EWQ periods. Post-EWQ median DO concentration fell within the EWQ 95% confidence intervals. Flow is plotted on a logarithmic scale. DO concentration is unrelated to flow in both data sets. There was an extreme low DO value in the EWQ data set. That measurement was taken from a standing pool during dry conditions in July 2000 when flow in Paunacussing Creek was 0.2 cfs. The pool was full of decomposing organic matter that drove DO concentration to 5.7 mg/l.

Dissolved Oxygen Saturation %

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 98%

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 96% Upper 95% Confidence Interval 101%





No water quality degradation is indicated. DO Saturation is unrelated to flow, and did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Post-EWQ median DO saturation rose to the EWQ upper 95% confidence interval, but not significantly. Flow is plotted on a logarithmic scale.





Some riparian trees were removed during bridge reconstruction between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. This may account for the slight increase in DO saturation. Upon tree removal more light strikes the stream and promotes algal production. During mid-day hours the plants produce oxygen super-saturation conditions, thus the observed median value of 104%.

There was an extreme low DO saturation value in the EWQ data set. That measurement was taken from a standing pool during dry conditions in July 2000 when flow in Paunacussing Creek was estimated at 0.2 cfs. The pool contained decomposing organic matter that drove DO to 5.7 mg/l, and DO saturation to 65%.

Enterococcus colonies/100 ml

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 320/100 ml

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 160/100 ml Upper 95% Confidence Interval 520/100 ml









No water quality degradation is evident. Enterococci did not measurably change between the EWQ and Post-EWQ periods. Enterococcus concentrations are unrelated to flow in both data sets. Note that concentrations and flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale. Post-EWQ median enterococcus concentrations were within the EWQ 95% confidence intervals.

Escherichia coli colonies/100 ml

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 28/100 ml

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 15/100 ml Upper 95% Confidence Interval 84/100 ml Defined in regulations as a flow-related parameter





No water quality degradation is evident. E. coli concentrations did not measurably change between the EWQ and Post-EWQ periods. Post-EWQ median E. coli fell within the EWQ 95% confidence intervals.







E. coli concentrations were positively related to flow in the EWQ data set, but unrelated to flow in the post-EWQ data set – possibly due to too few post-EWQ samples (n=18). No independent data from other agencies were available at this site to validate DRBC's conclusion.

Fecal coliform colonies/100 ml

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 80/100 ml

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 60/100 ml Upper 95% Confidence Interval 130/100 ml Defined in regulations as a flow-related parameter











No water quality degradation is evident. Fecal coliform concentrations did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Fecal coliform concentrations are positively related to flow in the EWQ data set, but not in the post-EWQ data set - because of too few post-EWQ samples (n=18).

Post-EWQ median concentrations fell just below the EWQ lower 95% confidence interval, but the data were naturally variable and post-EWQ N was low so no real change could be measured. Note that concentrations and flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale.

Hardness as CaCO3, Total mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 80 mg/l Lower 95% Confidence Interval 75 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 85 mg/l Defined in regulations as a flow-related parameter







95% 0



P 114

0.3

No water quality degradation is evident. Hardness did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Hardness is inversely related to flow in both data sets. Post-EWQ median hardness fell within the EWQ 95% confidence intervals. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale.

Nitrate + Nitrite as N, Total mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S, as Nitrate only):

Median 2.58 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 2.15 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 2.75 mg/l





No water quality degradation is evident. Nitrate concentrations apparently declined between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. However, analytical uncertainty sources included potential laboratory artifacts, insufficient post-EWQ sampling (n=18), and under-representation of post-EWQ flow conditions.







Nitrate is unrelated related to flow in the EWQ data set, but positively related to flow in the post-EWQ data set. Post-EWQ nitrate + nitrite concentrations were assumed equivalent for comparison with EWQ nitrate concentrations since EWQ nitrite concentrations were never detected. Flow is plotted on a logarithmic scale. Independent data were not available for validation of results. Post-EWQ median nitrate + nitrite concentrations fell below the EWQ lower 95% confidence interval.

Nitrogen as N, Total (TN) mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 2.96 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 2.83 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 3.15 mg/l







No water quality degradation is evident. Total Nitrogen concentrations declined between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. However, potential laboratory artifacts introduce uncertainty into conclusions. TN is positively related to flow in both data sets. TN should have been designated as a flow-related parameter for this site in Table 2S of DRBC water quality regulations. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale. DRBC results could not be independently validated. Post-EWQ median TN concentrations fell well below the EWQ lower 95% confidence interval.

Nitrogen, Kjeldahl as N, Total (TKN) mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 0.30 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 0.17 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 0.36 mg/l







No water quality degradation is evident. TKN concentrations did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Potential laboratory artifacts introduce some uncertainty into stronger conclusions. TKN concentration is unrelated to flow in both data sets. TKN ranges less widely and is less variable in the post-EWQ data set. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale. Post-EWQ median TKN fell to just above the EWQ lower 95% confidence interval, though the decline was statistically insignificant due to insufficient post-EWQ data (n=18).

Orthophosphate as P, Total mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 0.05 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 0.04 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 0.05 mg/l











No water quality degradation is evident. Orthophosphate concentrations did not change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Potential laboratory artifacts and insufficient post-EWQ sampling frequency produced uncertainty in conclusions. Orthophosphate is unrelated to flow in both data sets. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale. Post-EWQ median orthophosphate was within EWQ 95% confidence intervals. There were no independent data to confirm DRBC results.

pH, units

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 7.60 standard units

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 7.47 standard units Upper 95% Confidence Interval 7.72 standard units





Slight evidence is shown of water quality degradation, though pH did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. pH is unrelated to flow in the EWQ data set, and weakly related to flow in the post-EWQ data set, tending toward neutral during higher flow conditions.







Post-EWQ median pH was just above the EWQ upper 95% confidence interval, but the increase was not significant due to insufficient post-EWQ data (n=15). pH ranged more widely in the post-EWQ data set, where minimum and maximum values occurred in the dry 2010 season. The wide range displayed in 2010 indicates higher algal productivity and less scouring away of the algae by storms. Without regular scouring events, the algal biomass can build up to problematic levels. In the post-EWQ data, increased algal activity is also associated with removal of riparian trees for bridge reconstruction, which increased sunlight to the stream.

Phosphorus as P, Total (TP) mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 0.07 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 0.06 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 0.08 mg/l







[•] Reject the null hypothesis in favour of the alternative hypothesis at the 5% significance level.

No water quality degradation is evident. Total Phosphorus (TP) concentrations declined between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. However, potential laboratory artifacts and insufficient post-EWQ sampling frequency produced uncertainty in conclusions. Post-EWQ median total phosphorus fell below the EWQ lower 95% confidence interval. TP is unrelated to flow in both data sets. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale. No independent data were available to confirm these results.

Specific Conductance µmho/cm

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 229 µmho/cm

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 218 µmho/cm Upper 95% Confidence Interval 242 µmho/cm Defined in regulations as a flow-related parameter





Water quality degradation is evident. Specific conductance rose above the EWQ upper 95% confidence interval between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Specific conductance is inversely related to flow in the post-EWQ data set. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale.







Specific conductance was defined as a flow-related parameter in the EWQ data set (DRBC water quality regulations Table 2S), even though the relationship was very weak at this site. The rise in specific conductance may be attributable to the concurrent rise in chloride concentrations. No new dischargers are present in the watershed. We speculate that winter application of road salt may have contributed to the increase. Median specific conductance has risen from 229 to 273 µmhos/cm, a 19% increase.

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 130 mg/l Lower 95% Confidence Interval 120 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 144 mg/l Defined in regulations as a flow-related parameter











No water quality degradation is evident. TDS did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Potential laboratory artifacts produced uncertainty in comparisons. TDS is inversely and strongly related to flow in both data sets. Post-EWQ median TDS was above the EWQ upper 95% lower confidence interval, but the increase was not statistically significant. Post-EWQ detection limits were lower than EWQ detection limits, though there were no non-detect results at any time. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS) mg/l

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 1.0 mg/l

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 1.0 mg/l Upper 95% Confidence Interval 2.0 mg/l





No water quality degradation is evident. TSS did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. TSS is unrelated to flow in both data sets.



Post-EWQ median TSS was above the EWQ upper 95% confidence interval, but the increase was statistically insignificant.

Note: in DRBC water quality regulations Table 2S, the published median and confidence intervals are incorrect, and should read:

Median <u>2.0</u> mg/l (not 1.0 mg/l) Lower 95% confidence interval 1.0 mg/l Upper 95% confidence interval 2.0 mg/l

Turbidity NTU

Existing Water Quality (Table 2S):

Median 0.8 NTU

Lower 95% Confidence Interval 0.5 NTU Upper 95% Confidence Interval 1.6 NTU





No water quality degradation is evident. Turbidity did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. The post-EWQ median turbidity fell within the EWQ 95% confidence intervals of the median. Turbidity is related to flow in the post-EWQ data set, but unrelated to flow in the EWQ data set. There were insufficient post-EWQ data to fully represent Paunacussing Creek's flow regime (n=17). Note that concentration and flow is represented on logarithmic scale.

Water Temperature, degrees C

Not included in DRBC Existing Water Quality rules









No water quality degradation is evident. Water temperature did not measurably change between the EWQ and post-EWQ periods. Water temperature is related to flow in both data sets, though weakly related in the EWQ data set. There were less cool temperatures and less temperature measurements overall in the post-EWQ data set (n=15). Some temperature increase may be attributed to riparian tree removal discussed previously. Note that flows are plotted on a logarithmic scale.