

MEDICAL ASSISTANCE ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING  
New Jersey State Police Headquarters Complex  
Public Health, Environmental and Agricultural  
Laboratory Building  
3 Schwarzkopf Drive  
Ewing Township, New Jersey 08628

June 15, 2015  
10:16 a.m.

FINAL

MEETING SUMMARY

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Deborah Spitalnik, PhD, Chair  
Sherl Brand  
Mary Coogan  
Theresa Edlestein  
Dennis Lafer  
Beverly Roberts  
Sidney Whitman, DDS

MEMBERS EXCUSED:

Dot Libman  
Mary Lund  
Jay Jimenez  
Wayne Vivian

MEMBERS UNEXCUSED:

Eileen Coyne

STATE REPRESENTATIVE:

Valerie Harr, Director  
Division of Medical Assistance and Health Services

Transcriber, Lisa C. Bradley  
THE SCRIBE  
6 David Drive  
Ewing, New Jersey 08638  
(609) 203-1871  
thelscribe@gmail.com

Slide presentations conducted at Medical Assistance  
Advisory Council meetings are available for viewing at  
<http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/>

**ATTENDEES:**

Evelyn Liebman	AARP
Lynn Haynes	Advocacy & Management Group
Chelsea-Lee Hanks	Archer Law Office, LLC
Matt Minnella	Association of New Jersey Chiropractors
Linda Posta	Astellas Pharma U.S., Inc.
Nina Greier	Bancroft Neuro Rehab
Dean Roth	Burlin Consulting
Gwen Orłowski	Central Jersey Legal Services
Angela Mathis-Rhodes	Burlington County Board of Social Services
Shabnam Salih	Camden Coalition of Health Care Providers
Mary-Catherine Bohan	Community Care Behavioral Health Organization
Greg Papazian	Consultant
Virginia Plaza	Consultant
Susan Saidel	Disability Rights New Jersey
Gwen Cleary	Eli Lilly and Company
Chrissy Buteas	Home Care Association of New Jersey
Geralynn Boone	Horizon NJ Health
Joseph Manger	Horizon NJ Health
Cynthia Roberts	IntelliRide
Joshua Spielberg	Legal Services of New Jersey
Barbara Dunn	Magellan Health Care
Elizabeth Andolino	Matheny Center of Medicine and Dentistry
Rebecca Esmi	McNeely, McGuigan & Esmi, LLC
Melinda Martinson	Medical Society of New Jersey
Walter Lewis	Moss Rehab Einstein Healthcare Network
Mary Abrams	NJ Association of Mental Health and Addiction Agencies
S. Lubitz	NJ Association of Mental Health and Addiction Agencies
Stephanie Pratico	NJ Council on Developmental Disabilities
Kevin Casey	NJ Council on Developmental Disabilities
Brian Francz	NJ Department of the Treasury
Desmond Webb	NJ Department of the Treasury
Kate Clark	New Jersey Family Planning League
Anh Pham	New Jersey Health Care Quality Institute
James McCracken	NJ Office of the Ombudsman for the Institutional Elderly

Elissa Smith	NJ Office of the State Comptroller/Medicaid Fraud Division
Phillip Lubitz	NAMI of New Jersey
Karen Shablin	Optum
Matt D'Oria	PerformCare of New Jersey
Rebecca Barsom	Planned Parenthood of Central Greater Northern New Jersey
Mary Kay Roberts	Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP
Kathleen Lockbaum	Salem County Welfare Agency
Vincent C. Ceglia	UnitedHealthcare
Zinke McGeady	Values Into Action NJ
John Kirchner	WellCare
Lisa Knowles	WellCare
Nancy Day	NJ Division of Aging Services
Karen Kasick	NJ Division of Family Development
Dawn Apgar	NJ Department of Human Services
Lowell Arye	NJ Department of Human Services
Roxanne Kennedy	NJ Division of Medical Assistance & Health Services
Thomas Lind	NJ Division of Medical Assistance & Health Services
Phyllis Melendez	NJ Division of Medical Assistance & Health Services

1 DR. SPITALNIK: Good morning. We'll  
 2 officially start the Medical Assistance Advisory  
 3 Council (MAAC) meeting. I'm Deborah Spitalnik, the  
 4 Chair. And the first thing that I will start with is  
 5 the required Open Public Meetings notice, that pursuant  
 6 to New Jersey's Open Public Meetings Act, adequate  
 7 notice of scheduled quarterly meetings for the calendar  
 8 year of 2015 of the Medical Assistance Advisory Council  
 9 was issued by the NJ Department of Human Services  
 10 (DHS).

11 We have complied with all the filings. I  
 12 will give it to Ms. Bradley to include.

13 (See attachment.)

14 Because we are guests here at the Public  
 15 Health Lab of the State Police, I need to also notify  
 16 you that I need to read the public emergency evacuation  
 17 procedure, that if the fire alarm or evacuation  
 18 announcement came over the public address system, you  
 19 need to quickly leave the building by the nearest exit,  
 20 go to Lamp Post No. 9 in the parking lot. Once there,  
 21 you will report to Valerie Harr or Phyllis Melendez who  
 22 will check your names off the attendance sheet, and we  
 23 will wait in that designated area for instructions from  
 24 emergency response personnel. Thank you.

25 For those of you who are new attendees, and

1 reminders to old ones, what we will do is I will ask  
 2 the members the MAAC to introduce themselves. I will  
 3 ask the members of the public to introduce themselves.  
 4 That's not a period for public comment or questions.

5 We have been very fortunate in terms of the  
 6 collaboration between the MAAC and stakeholders that we  
 7 do not have an isolated period for public comment, but  
 8 rather around each subject area, we welcome questions  
 9 and discussion. But our ground rules are that the MAAC  
 10 members get to ask questions or make comments first  
 11 before I open it to the floor. We reserve the right to  
 12 have to structure that differently, but I appreciate  
 13 everyone's cooperation. And I think it's made for a  
 14 very rich dialog, even amongst such a large group of  
 15 stakeholders. And we're always gratified to see people  
 16 here.

17 Our agenda today first starts with the  
 18 approval of minutes and then a series of informational  
 19 updates. So with that, I will ask the members of the  
 20 MAAC to introduce themselves.

21 (MAAC members introduce themselves.)

22 (Members of the public introduce  
 23 themselves.)

24 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you. And again,  
 25 welcome to everyone.

1 Our next item of business is approval of  
 2 minutes. We have two sets of minutes. We have the  
 3 final summary from October 6th.

4 Any comments or corrections?

5 MS. ROBERTS: On October?

6 DR. SPITALNIK: Yes.

7 MS. ROBERTS: No.

8 DR. SPITALNIK: Hearing none, do I have a  
 9 motion for approval?

10 MS. BRAND: So moved.

11 MS. COOGAN: Second.

12 DR. SPITALNIK: Brand; and second, Coogan.

13 All those in favor?

14 MAAC MEMBERS: Aye.

15 DR. SPITALNIK: The October 6th minutes are  
 16 accepted.

17 We now turn to the April 13th minutes which  
 18 are a draft. Any comments or suggestions?

19 Bev Roberts.

20 MS. ROBERTS: I have a question and a  
 21 comment. I marked a couple of small little typos, and  
 22 I can give them to Phyllis. It's nothing substantive.  
 23 But my more substantive question has to do with when  
 24 the presentations have been made, the transcription  
 25 says this person made a presentation, and then it goes

1 on to whatever questions and answers there were after  
 2 that.

3 DR. SPITALNIK: Right.

4 MS. ROBERTS: So I'm wondering -- and I know  
 5 that the slides are on the website, but if somebody  
 6 didn't know that and was just looking at the minutes,  
 7 they don't have a full recording of what happened  
 8 because all of the slides are not there. So I don't  
 9 know if there's some way to reference that, because  
 10 otherwise you don't have it.

11 DR. SPITALNIK: Well, procedurally, it is on  
 12 the cover of each summary, but I would be happy repeat  
 13 that at the introduction of the speaker just as a  
 14 matter of process, if that would be helpful to people.  
 15 Slide presentations are available at:

16 <http://www.stat.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac>

17 And again, we used to be able to print  
 18 pretty much unlimited copies of the slides for the  
 19 members of the public, but that is not environmentally  
 20 friendly. So the members of the MAAC and the public  
 21 receive those electronically. When someone uses a  
 22 PowerPoint, it will be up here and then they're posted  
 23 on the MAAC website shortly after the meeting.

24 So thank you for that. I think that's not a  
 25 correction in the minutes, but I would certainly add

1 that to our process.

2 And so we have some typos that you would

3 give to Lisa or to Phyllis. Are they of such

4 significance that we could not act on the minutes?

5 MS. ROBERTS: Not at all.

6 DR. SPITALNIK: So I need a motion for the

7 approval of the minutes of April 13th.

8 MS. BRAND: I'll motion to approve.

9 MS. COOGAN: Second.

10 DR. SPITALNIK: Brand; second, Coogan.

11 All those in favor?

12 MAAC MEMBERS: Ayes.

13 DR. SPITALNIK: Opposed?

14 Abstentions?

15 The minutes of April 13th are accepted, with

16 minor corrections.

17 In terms of the efficacy of the minutes,

18 Lisa can see our names up here, but if you are speaking

19 from the floor, we ask that you identify yourself by

20 name so the minutes can reflect that.

21 So we will now move on to the substantive

22 part of our business, which are a series of significant

23 informational updates. And it's my pleasure to

24 introduce Roxanne Kennedy, who is Director of Managed

25 Behavioral Health in the Division of Medical Assistance

1 and Health Services. And as noted previously, the

2 slides will be on the MAAC website.

3 Roxanne.

4 MS. KENNEDY: Thank you. I'm here to

5 introduce the Interim Managing Entity (IME), as well as

6 to give you some updates of behavioral health under New

7 Jersey Medicaid.

8 If you remember, in the Comprehensive

9 Medicaid Waiver (CMW), we got approved in 2012, these

10 were some of the highlights for Behavioral Health.

11 (Presentation by Ms. Kennedy.)

12 (Slide presentations are available for

13 viewing at: [Http://www.state.nj.us/](http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/)

14 [humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/](http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/))

15 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you so much.

16 Are there questions?

17 Mary.

18 MS. COOGAN: Does the individual have to

19 call this number, or could an agency or social worker

20 working with an individual make a referral?

21 MS. KENNEDY: Both could. An individual

22 could call or a provider could have an individual walk

23 into their office and the provider can call for them,

24 as well.

25 MS. COOGAN: But the individual has to be

1 there?

2 MS. KENNEDY: Correct.

3 DR. SPITALNIK: Bev.

4 MS. ROBERTS: Thanks, Roxanne.

5 I'd like it to be noted that currently

6 individuals who have intellectual and developmental

7 disabilities and also have a behavioral health problem

8 are supposed to receive their behavioral health

9 services from the Medicaid MCO, different from

10 everybody else. That was a decision that was made a

11 long time ago. And also, going back a couple years

12 ago, a work group had been convened specifically to

13 look at in whatever the future system is that there be

14 a particular emphasis on improving the level of service

15 and the rates for providers, behavioral health

16 providers, providing services to individuals who have

17 intellectual and developmental disabilities. So I just

18 want that to be noted so that as this moves forward,

19 the work and the input that had been given are

20 incorporated into whatever it is that comes next.

21 DR. SPITALNIK: Bev, thanks, but I would add

22 a modifier to what you said. It's individuals who are

23 clients of the Division of Developmental Disabilities

24 whose behavioral health services are carved into the

25 MCO, not people who might bear that diagnosis but are

1 not clients of DDD.

2 MS. ROBERTS: Correct.

3 DR. SPITALNIK: So it's more specific.

4 Did you want to modify that at all?

5 MS. HARR: I thought you were going to say

6 also now with Managed Long Term Services and Supports

7 (MLTSS), anyone enrolled in MLTSS Behavioral Health is

8 the responsibility of the health plan.

9 So I believe, Roxanne, you can correct me if

10 I'm wrong, but should someone call the hotline in need

11 of substance use disorder services, the IME will be

12 checking for Medicaid NJ FamilyCare eligibility and

13 would be coordinating or referring the client to the

14 managed care program if that service is carved in.

15 MS. KENNEDY: Correct.

16 DR. SPITALNIK: So substance abuse is carved

17 into the MCO for MLTSS.

18 MS. KENNEDY: Yes. The methadone

19 maintenance service only is carved into MLTSS because

20 it's in the State plan, not the new ABP expansion

21 population services we have. Methadone maintenance is

22 the only substance use disorder benefit within the

23 MLTSS.

24 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.

25 Any other questions?

1 MR. LAFER: Since we've working with the ASO  
2 for about four years, I was wondering since it's no  
3 longer viable, what were the issues why it was pulled  
4 out?

5 MS. HARR: I think there were a number of  
6 things that sort of changed over time from when it was  
7 originally conceptualized and the RFP put together.  
8 One is we learned with MLTSS the startup of one of  
9 these major changes is significant. So there's concern  
10 around the ASO and the startup and really what is the  
11 return on investment with that type of model. And the  
12 second is now that we have carved Behavioral Health  
13 into MLTSS and all of us have learned so much from that  
14 experience that I think, again, so much has changed and  
15 so much progress has been made that collectively  
16 between Division of Mental Health and Addictions and  
17 Medicaid agency, we are rethinking the best way to  
18 really have the integrated care. And thinking that  
19 maybe going to the full risk -- there are lots of pros  
20 to that -- or carving it in -- there are a lot of  
21 advantages to that -- and have been pleasantly  
22 surprised at how well Behavioral Health is being  
23 handled under MLTSS.

24 MR. LAFER: So the options that are on the  
25 bottom of that page that Roxanne just went over, those

1 are the only two options that are being considered, or  
2 there other options on the table? The separate MBHO or  
3 when it's carved into the current HMOs? Other states  
4 have kind of moved into other directions. So are there  
5 other considerations for New Jersey?

6 MS. HARR: I think those are the two  
7 considerations.

8 DR. SPITALNIK: Anyone else from the MAAC?  
9 I would open it up to the public.

10 MR. LUBITZ: Phil Lubitz from NAMI of New  
11 Jersey.

12 During the Administrative Services  
13 Organization (ASO) project there was a -- I think we  
14 called it a "cloak of silence" that was put on it, but  
15 that had to do with the procurement process and  
16 Treasury. Since that's no longer on the table, at this  
17 point is the "cloak of silence" gone? And are we then  
18 going to reconvene the stakeholders, since we're really  
19 talking about changing directions? One concern is  
20 stakeholders put a lot of input into various  
21 subcommittees that talked about the clinical aspects,  
22 the financial aspects, and outcomes. Is that going to  
23 be incorporated? And to what extent then are we going  
24 reconvene stakeholders to be part of this process as we  
25 consider the various options.

1 MS. HARR: We will be reconvening the  
2 steering committee. I think the next step is with the  
3 Myers & Stauffer rates. We've been talking and there  
4 was a fiscal subcommittee, and that would be the group  
5 we would bring together first. Yes, we will bring the  
6 steering committee together, but right now I think  
7 there's emphasis is on getting the IME up and running.  
8 So we haven't focused on and don't have a time frame.  
9 We're really just starting to talk internally about  
10 what's next, instead of the ASO. But, yes, we will use  
11 the Steering Committee to help guide the State.

12 MS. KENNEDY: Just to add to that, we're  
13 doing a deep dive in data analysis and also  
14 utilization, given the fact that we now have the  
15 Alternative Benefits Plan (ABP) since we had originally  
16 proposed an ASO. So we want to reevaluate where we are  
17 today, do the utilization data, do a fiscal analysis,  
18 what is it we're looking at, what's the administrative  
19 cost. We're doing a very deep dive into that. That,  
20 along with the Myers & Stauffer rate, and the potential  
21 of equalizing the ABP benefit to the State Plan A  
22 benefit are all part of our consideration of what  
23 happens next. And I agree, we will convene the  
24 Steering Committee at some later point. I think  
25 initially it will be the fiscal work group to go over

1 the new Myers & Stauffer rates.

2 DR. SPITALNIK: Phil, did you want to  
3 follow-up?

4 MR. LUBITZ: Just one follow-up. Since I'm  
5 hearing that there's going to be sort of a reuse of  
6 mental health block grant funds, I'd suggest that  
7 someone come to the Behavior Health Planning Council to  
8 talk about this, because that Council is going to be  
9 commenting. And I think the federal requirement is  
10 that they have an opportunity to review a plan. That  
11 plan gets submitted September 1. So I think there are  
12 two meetings that you would have an opportunity to come  
13 and present that to the Council.

14 MS. HARR: Are you talking about the IME or  
15 the --

16 MR. LUBITZ: I heard that mental health  
17 block grant funds are going to be placed into the IME.  
18 And that will be a part of the next block, so I think  
19 the Council is going to have to have an opportunity, at  
20 minimum, to review that.

21 MS. KENNEDY: I can talk to Lynn Kovich  
22 about that.

23 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.

24 Anyone else?

25 Roxanne, thank you so much.

1 We now move to the issue of provider  
 2 credentialing with an update from Dr. Thomas Lind,  
 3 who's the Medical Director of the Division of Medical  
 4 Assistance and Health Services.  
 5 Dr. Lind.  
 6 DR. LIND: Good morning. I would like to  
 7 provide you with an update of the relatively brief  
 8 period of time that's past since our last meeting and  
 9 the progress that we've made with implementation of the  
 10 recommendations of the task force.  
 11 On May 1st, I believe the Medicaid solutions  
 12 was over at the replacement MMIS contract and  
 13 post-award Digital Harbor was selected as the  
 14 subcontractor that will handle the credentialing  
 15 function management. And we're currently working with  
 16 them to design and development and process and project  
 17 roadmap and to come up with clear objectives and  
 18 milestones. And we are currently on time for our  
 19 June 30, 2016 projected start.  
 20 And that is where we are currently.  
 21 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you so much.  
 22 Questions or comments from the MAAC?  
 23 DR. WHITMAN: Where does that put  
 24 third-party administrators in this thing with  
 25 credentialing?

1 DR. LIND: This is a third-party  
 2 administrator Digital Harbor is the third-party that  
 3 will be managing.  
 4 DR. WHITMAN: I just want to understand so I  
 5 can report back. And they will be taking care of all  
 6 of the different MCOs?  
 7 DR. LIND: That is correct, and fee for  
 8 service, but only for the medical, dental, and  
 9 behavioral health providers.  
 10 DR. WHITMAN: Okay. Thank you.  
 11 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.  
 12 Anyone from the public, any questions about  
 13 credentialing?  
 14 Thank you. And congratulations on it moving  
 15 ahead so firmly.  
 16 I now turn to Director Valerie Harr for an  
 17 update on New Jersey FamilyCare expansion enrollment.  
 18 MS. HARR: So I'll give the latest  
 19 enrollment numbers since we last met. We now enroll  
 20 over 476,000 individuals, including both the expansion  
 21 population and then what we call "woodwork." Those are  
 22 individuals that had been previously eligible for  
 23 enrollment in one of our Medicaid or NJ FamilyCare  
 24 programs that had not enrolled. I think the majority  
 25 of those individuals are children, so that's really

1 great that we're reaching and enrolling a lot of the  
 2 children that had, again, been previously eligible, for  
 3 whatever reason had not enrolled.  
 4 (Presentation by MS. Harr.)  
 5 (Slide presentations are available for  
 6 viewing at: [Http://www.state.nj.us/  
 7 humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/](http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/))  
 8 DR. SPITALNIK: Before you go to the  
 9 Accountable Care Organization (ACO), given that there's  
 10 so much information, let's look at whether there are  
 11 any questions about the NJ FamilyCare expansion and  
 12 enrollment from the MAAC.  
 13 Mary.  
 14 MS. COOGAN: First of all, I want to say  
 15 congratulations. These numbers are tremendous.  
 16 In terms of the backlog that's been  
 17 discussed at prior meetings, a lot, I understand, was  
 18 shifted to the State vendor to take care of some of  
 19 that.  
 20 MS. HARR: Yes.  
 21 MS. COOGAN: So the data that you showed us  
 22 today reflects that shift? Is there still --  
 23 MS. HARR: Yes. And I didn't bring those  
 24 numbers with me, but all of the backlog for all of the  
 25 applications that were filed online at [njfamilycare.org](http://njfamilycare.org)

1 have all been moved to the health benefits coordinator  
 2 and processed. We have asked the county welfare  
 3 agencies to report to us any backlog they may have with  
 4 paper applications. I think it's minimal in that  
 5 respect. We did have one county drive their paper  
 6 applications to the health benefits coordinator for the  
 7 health benefits coordinator to assist them. But I  
 8 think other than that, the paper backlog is, again,  
 9 minimal. So the backlog in general has been cleaned  
 10 up. Online applications have been redirected to the  
 11 health benefits coordinator with the exception of, I  
 12 think it's three counties that previously demonstrated  
 13 they did not have a backlog, so they continue to get  
 14 the online applications.  
 15 Again, we continue to work with the county  
 16 welfare agencies, encouraging and having them focus on  
 17 redeterminations. And we have over 100,000 individuals  
 18 that originally applied and got eligibility through the  
 19 Marketplace for NJ FamilyCare that need a  
 20 redetermination completed. And the health benefits  
 21 coordinator is handling those redeterminations  
 22 regardless of the county that the person resides. They  
 23 started to send the first batch of redeterminations for  
 24 Marketplace cases in May. We're expecting all of those  
 25 100-plus thousand redeterminations to have been touched

1 in terms of a letter being sent and an application  
 2 being sent, by the end of July.  
 3 MS. COOGAN: Terrific. Thank you.  
 4 DR. SPITALNIK: Dennis.  
 5 MR. LAFER: I think you showed 40 percent  
 6 increase in enrollment. What percentage increase in  
 7 cost did you experience for those people, as well?  
 8 MS. HARR: For the expansion?  
 9 MR. LAFER: Right. You said the Expansion,  
 10 40 percent enrollment which is 40 percent of the total  
 11 population. I was just wondering what is the  
 12 relationship between that and the cost associated  
 13 within that total.  
 14 MS. HARR: I don't know. I'll have to get  
 15 back to you. The only thing I have here in the  
 16 presentation are actuals. That's the actuals. I don't  
 17 know what the percent increase is. I'm going to take a  
 18 wild leap, the per member per month (PMPM) for the  
 19 Expansion population is more than a child PMPM,  
 20 definitely less than a dual eligible or someone in  
 21 Managed Long Term Services and Supports (MLTSS).  
 22 MS. LAFER: At 1.3 billion, you said. What  
 23 is that?  
 24 MS. HARR: Well, I mean, the total Medicaid  
 25 budget now is \$12 billion.

1 MR. LAFER: So it's approximately  
 2 12 percent, so it grew by 40 percent and the Expansion  
 3 by 12 percent?  
 4 MS. HARR: I'll take your word for it.  
 5 MR. LAFER: Something like that.  
 6 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.  
 7 Other questions?  
 8 Hearing none from the MAAC, are there  
 9 questions from the audience about the NJ FamilyCare  
 10 Expansion enrollment?  
 11 Gwen. And then Josh.  
 12 MS. ORLOWSKI: Hello, I'm Gwen Orłowski,  
 13 currently from Central Jersey Legal Services. Again,  
 14 thank you for all this. The numbers are wonderful.  
 15 I have a question about these  
 16 redeterminations that are going to be done for people  
 17 who came through the Marketplace originally. Some  
 18 percentage of those are going to be Expansion Medicaid  
 19 folk, and some of those folks are going to have turned  
 20 65 or have gotten their Medicare through disability  
 21 determinations since they first came in. And I'm just  
 22 wondering what systems are in place to make sure that  
 23 those people are screened for other Medicaid  
 24 eligibility?  
 25 MS. HARR: I can get back to you with more

1 detail on the actual process and requirements. But,  
 2 yes, so they would have all started out with Expansion,  
 3 the adult expansion population. For any number of  
 4 reasons, they may lose eligibility or now qualify for  
 5 another program. And so the health benefits  
 6 coordinator would need to also screen for other  
 7 programs and make a referral to the county welfare  
 8 agency if it's a non-MAGI-based eligibility  
 9 determination that needs to be made. But I'll check  
 10 with my operations folks to make sure I know exactly  
 11 how that hand-off would occur.  
 12 MS. ORLOWSKI: I just have a quick follow-up  
 13 on that.  
 14 So those folks would not be terminated  
 15 pending that redetermination or that non-MAGI  
 16 determination screening at the county level? Is that  
 17 what we should expect?  
 18 The concern I have is some of those people  
 19 may be inappropriately terminated without that full  
 20 screen based on disability.  
 21 MS. HARR: I will to check to see how that  
 22 will work. Ultimately, we would want it to be  
 23 occurring in real time so that someone can be -- if  
 24 they're found ineligible for a MAGI program, they could  
 25 be immediately reviewed for another program so there's

1 no gap in coverage. I'll have to see how seamlessly  
 2 that occurs, or will occur.  
 3 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.  
 4 Josh.  
 5 MR. SPIELBERG: Josh Spielberg, Legal  
 6 Services of New Jersey.  
 7 Valerie, you had a slide up which showed the  
 8 percentage of applications processed in 45 days.  
 9 MS. HARR: Right.  
 10 MR. SPIELBERG: So it looks like the high  
 11 point was in December of 2014 where 95 percent. And  
 12 then it's gone down most other months. It's now at  
 13 75 percent. Do you know the reason that it's going  
 14 back down instead of staying up at that higher level?  
 15 MS. HARR: I'll confirm this, but I think  
 16 it's based on volume and probably taking on the backlog  
 17 applications. I think it's also that period when it  
 18 was open enrollment on the Marketplace, so my sense is  
 19 it's based on volume. It could also just be more of  
 20 the complexity of the cases. I'll have to confirm.  
 21 MR. SPIELBERG: Thank you.  
 22 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.  
 23 Ev.  
 24 MS. LIEBMAN: Hi. Evelyn Liebman, with  
 25 AARP. Just a follow-up with Josh's question on this



1 slide.

2 At this point, what is the percentage of  
3 applications being processed by Xerox versus the county  
4 welfare agencies?

5 And two, beyond 45 days, just some sense of  
6 the 25 percent of the applications that are above  
7 45 days old, are we looking at 60 days, 90 days? And  
8 what might be the reasoning for that?

9 MS. HARR: I'll have to look into the reason  
10 and find out what percent. I'm accustomed to the  
11 applications at the health benefits coordinator, in  
12 most cases, being processes within two weeks. So I'll  
13 see what's behind these numbers.

14 What was the first part of your question?

15 MS. LIEBMAN: The percentage of applications  
16 that you process by the HBC versus the county agencies?

17 MS. HARR: Again, I don't have that off the  
18 top of my head. If we take a snapshot in time with  
19 backlog, it would be a higher percentage. But the  
20 county agencies are still processing the majority of  
21 applications.

22 DR. SPITALNIK: Anyone else?

23 Were there any questions on the  
24 transportation update?

25 If not, Valerie, we'll turn to you again for

1 the accountable care organizations update.

2 MS. HARR: Thanks. Just to remind everyone  
3 that originally we had eight organizations apply to be  
4 certified as Medicaid accountable care organizations in  
5 accordance with the statute that was passed. Two  
6 withdrew their applications, so the remaining  
7 applicants are Camden Coalition of Healthcare  
8 Providers, the Trenton Health Team, Healthy Greater  
9 Newark, New Brunswick Health Partners, Passaic County,  
10 and Healthy Cumberland.

11 We're in the final stages of review. We did  
12 an original review. We sent requests for additional  
13 information to the applicants who returned the  
14 information. Again, in the final stages of review, I'm  
15 essentially wrapping that up, drafting letters to the  
16 applicants and providing a summary to move up through  
17 the Commissioner, the Governor's Office, so they are  
18 aware and approve prior to us making any announcement.  
19 But I do expect an announcement to be made very soon.  
20 We had been targeting a July 1st effective date. I  
21 don't think that's realistic at this point, but we're  
22 very close. So, again, progress; that's some great  
23 news.

24 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you. Any questions  
25 about the ACOs from the MAAC?

1 Any questions from stakeholders?

2 Thank you so much. And these slides will be  
3 on the website.

4 I'm pleased to turn to Lowell Arye, Deputy  
5 Commissioner of DHS, for an update on Managed Long Term  
6 Services and Supports, as we approach his first  
7 anniversary.

8 MR. ARYE: Yes, we are now toddling along  
9 with MLTSS.

10 Before I go any further, let me first say  
11 that I'm supposed to be wearing purple today. If you  
12 notice, our division director, Nancy Day for the  
13 Division of Aging Services is wearing purple. Today is  
14 World Elder Justice Day, and the Division of Aging  
15 Services, ABS, is working with a number of folks and  
16 partnering on that, so I just wanted to kind of  
17 announce it. It has been a very important piece and a  
18 lot of people have been really focused on this side.  
19 So I really just kind of wanted to acknowledge and  
20 remind everybody that seniors do get exploited, abused,  
21 neglected, both by individuals outside of their family,  
22 but also by individuals inside of their family. We  
23 really need to focus on that, so just kind of FYI.

24 Second of all, in about 16 days from today,  
25 we will be year one into MLTSS, and so I'm really

1 excited and pleased about that. I've been telling  
2 people at our National Association of States United for  
3 Aging and Disability that we are moving forward. So  
4 we're very excited about that.

5 Today, I'm going to continue with our usual  
6 dashboard indicators. The MAAC had actually asked for  
7 appeals, grievances, and complaints, so we're going  
8 talk about that, as well as a bit of a provider update.

9 (Presentation by Mr. Arye.)  
10 (Slide presentations are available for  
11 viewing at: [Http://www.state.nj.us/  
12 humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/](http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/))

13 DR. SPITALNIK: Lowell, if you're about to  
14 move to appeals, what I would ask is that we stop here  
15 and take questions related to the data that you just  
16 presented. And thank you so much for it.

17 Beverly.

18 MS. ROBERTS: Thanks very much, Lowell, for  
19 all this information.

20 I have a question about the slide with the  
21 pie chart where it gave the percentage 0 to 64. As you  
22 know, the group that I am most interested in are the  
23 folks that were in the Medicaid waiver populations that  
24 were transitioned into MLTSS, the younger people,  
25 primarily younger. And I'm assuming that in that in

1 that 0 to 64.  
2 MR. ARYE: That's exactly right. And  
3 unfortunately, we haven't been able to fully break out  
4 the demographics by specific age. We're trying to get  
5 there. And I think that over the next few orders,  
6 you're going to see more and more of that. We're  
7 trying our best to kind of glean from that data and  
8 that information.

9 MS. ROBERTS: That would be terrific.

10 MR. ARYE: We are trying our best. And  
11 you'll see when we get to grievances and appeals that  
12 we've started to do that in the grievance and appeals.  
13 So we're trying to deal with those specifics. We're,  
14 of course, interested in knowing this population as  
15 well.

16 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

17 DR. SPITALNIK: Other questions?  
18 Dennis.

19 MR. LAFER: Since this is the first time  
20 Behavioral Health is being managed, I'm wondering if  
21 you are looking specifically on what's going in the  
22 mental health component of MLTSS, particularly the  
23 diagnosis, age.

24 MR. ARYE: We haven't quite gleaned that out  
25 yet. Certainly, the majority of folks who are at

1 Behavioral Health, we're going to see a lot of TDI  
2 because of their behavioral issues, as well as the  
3 seniors who are in depression and all of that. Our  
4 next hit with the demographics is to start to go  
5 through that and start to glean that stuff out.

6 I think what we are hearing anecdotally is  
7 that a lot of our success stories from the MCOs has  
8 been specifically on how they have been integrating the  
9 behavioral component into this, but we haven't quite  
10 gotten into the specific data points on that. So we  
11 will be doing that. Certainly, I'm just as interested  
12 as you to see that, actually.

13 MS. HARR: I think each week on our calls  
14 with the health plans each week, the plans report to  
15 us. They know their members that are getting  
16 behavioral health services through MLTSS and they're  
17 reporting to us the number of members, but we haven't  
18 the dove into the data yet. So we have a lot of data  
19 wish lists.

20 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.

21 Anyone else?

22 Gwen.

23 MS. ORLOWSKI: Hi. Gwen Orłowski again,  
24 Central Jersey Legal Services. Thank you so much,  
25 Lowell.

1 One of your earlier slides gave a figure of  
2 32.5 percent as Home and Community-Based Services  
3 (HCBS). Can you tell us exactly what's in that  
4 32.5 percent?

5 I'll explain what I mean. Does that  
6 include, for example, state plans PCA, state plan adult  
7 health? Or is it just people in MLTSS who are getting  
8 HCBS? And does it include the CCW waiver?

9 MR. ARYE: No, it does not include the CCW  
10 waiver. If I remember correctly, it does not include  
11 medical day or PCA. We as a state do look at PCA,  
12 medical day as a home community base services. And  
13 actually, when we look at the BIP, the Balancing  
14 Incentive Payment Program, and work with CMS on that  
15 and we report to them, we do include it. But on this  
16 it is just what you used to be just long-term care  
17 side. So even on medical day and the PCA services does  
18 support people who are in the community who have  
19 long-term care needs, it's not that part.

20 MS. ORLOWSKI: Thank you.

21 DR. SPITALNIK: Other questions or comments?  
22 Thank you.

23 Lowell, let's go okay to your next chunk of  
24 presentation.

25 MR. ARYE: Basically, what I want to say is

1 that we've been requiring data from the NCOs on  
2 grievances, appeals, and the like. Specifically, the  
3 contract specifically requires the MCOs to report on a  
4 quarterly basis to Medicaid the member and provider  
5 complaints, grievances, and appeals, resolutions,  
6 timeliness, et cetera.

7 (Presentation by Mr. Arye.)

8 (Slide presentations are available for  
9 viewing at: [http://www.state.nj.us/  
10 humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/](http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmahs/boards/maac/))

11 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you. Any questions?  
12 Sherl.

13 MS. BRAND: Lowell, thank you very much for  
14 the report.

15 For the denials, is there anything that you  
16 can add with respect to what the majority involved?  
17 Was it medical necessity, or was it some other category  
18 for denial?

19 MR. ARYE: I don't have that. I'm sorry.  
20 I'll get it for you.

21 MS. BRAND: Okay, great. Thank you.

22 DR. SPITALNIK: Bev.

23 MS. ROBERTS: Thanks, Lowell. This is  
24 really great information. I just have few questions.  
25 There's a lot of data here.

1 Going back to Slide No. 39, the appeals  
2 categories reported -- this is just assumption. My  
3 assumption is that the PDN both in the 0 to 20 and 21  
4 to 64, that there's a good chance that those were CRPD  
5 level approval?

6 MR. ARYE: Yes.

7 MS. ROBERTS: So even looking at 21 to 64,  
8 you could have people early onset Alzheimer's or  
9 whatever who are not necessarily the PDN, but are in  
10 that category with other kinds of problems different  
11 from the types of problems that you and I talk about,  
12 which are the PDN issues.

13 MR. ARYE: You could. But as you can see,  
14 only 12 grievances out of however many people are  
15 receiving are PDN, that's a very small number. So  
16 you're right, it could be some people with early onset  
17 Alzheimer's who might have it, but I'm assuming -- and  
18 as I said, we haven't teased all of this out yet and  
19 can't do it by diagnosis, per se, so we're trying to  
20 figure out how to tease it out otherwise. I'm assuming  
21 the majority of those folks are the folks who were the  
22 former CRPD folks.

23 MS. ROBERTS: A question about appeal  
24 resolutions, that terminology. People have Stage 1,  
25 Stage 2, Stage 3 Medicaid fair hearing, and they can do

1 part or all of those. Do you know if these were  
2 people, looking across the board, who did go to a  
3 Medicaid fair hearing or if it were not, is that  
4 information as well?

5 MR. ARYE: Carol.

6 MS. GRANT: I don't know that we have that  
7 data. We do know that people, because they can do  
8 several different things, go to fair hearing, file both  
9 concurrently, or go to fair hearing afterward. It's  
10 very hard to tease that out.

11 MS. HARR: This data is from the plans.

12 MS. GRANT: It's from the plan reported  
13 complaints. It wouldn't include fair hearing. But it  
14 could be the DOBI third level of appeal except for PCA.

15 MS. ROBERTS: So there's additional data if  
16 something went to a Medicaid fair hearing for any of  
17 these categories that's not included?

18 MR. ARYE: Correct.

19 MS. GRANT: It would be reported separately.

20 MS. ROBERTS: If we're going forward, that  
21 would be really good to know.

22 MS. GRANT: I think there's some difficulty  
23 because we've tried to do this before. Fair hearings  
24 get scheduled, they get unscheduled, so it's not  
25 something that happens as regularly as this would.

1 MS. ROBERTS: But if at some point if that  
2 could be gathered in whatever way, that might be really  
3 helpful information.

4 Could you just talk briefly what the  
5 exceptions is? Talk about that a little bit. That  
6 will be helpful.

7 MS. GRANT: Well, the exception process was  
8 really designed to look at individuals who were  
9 originally hitting what was a cost cap. It was really  
10 designed to look at medical necessity so that we were  
11 not really disenfranchising individuals who really have  
12 a very extreme level of need. And it's signed off by  
13 the health plan, signed off by the Medicaid medical  
14 director. And it really took, I think, a lot of sort  
15 of what had been sort of the basis for an appeal on  
16 PDN. We took a look at our own regulations and we  
17 submitted a proposed exception process to CMS and it  
18 was approved. And it appears basically from what we  
19 know and what we've heard that it's working.

20 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.

21 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

22 My understanding is these were folks -- it  
23 wasn't that they didn't have medical necessity for PDN,  
24 but the problem was that they were hitting the cost  
25 cap.

1 MR. ARYE: That's correct.

2 MS. GRANT: That's exactly right.

3 DR. SPITALNIK: Anyone else?

4 Anyone in the audience with questions?

5 Josh.

6 MR. SPIELBERG: Josh Spielberg, Legal  
7 Services of New Jersey.

8 So I think it would be helpful to have more  
9 appeal data so that it would be useful to see almost  
10 like the moderation data that you have for what  
11 category people were in, to just see when there was a  
12 determination of -- usually a reduction in hours, I  
13 think, is what you would see. How many of those are  
14 appealed, how many are resolved at Level 1, Level 2,  
15 Level 3, when that happens and then a fair hearing just  
16 to see what percentage of those cases are being  
17 appealed and how they're resolved. So if you can  
18 develop that data, I think it would be helpful.

19 MR. ARYE: I would concur with you. And as  
20 I said, this is our first cut at this literally because  
21 we did have trouble with that first set of data. So  
22 now that we're moving forward, Maribeth really got  
23 pushed by me to get me some data, and we wanted it to  
24 be clean. So I think that as we move forward, we'll  
25 cleaner and we'll be able to kind of break it out a

1 little bit more.

2 MS. GRANT: I want to point out that we  
3 don't get Independent Utilization Review Organization  
4 (IURO) or the third level of NJ Department of Banking  
5 and Insurance (DOBI) appeal information a reported to  
6 us. There's a confidentiality factor that's involved  
7 there, so that's something we would have to actually  
8 ask the plans for. They're probably notified.

9 DR. SPITALNIK: Could you spell out that  
10 acronym?

11 MS. GRANT: Independent Utilization Review  
12 Organization.

13 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.

14 MS. GRANT: It's really peer review. It  
15 looks at medical necessity. It does not look at PCA.  
16 PCA is not determined to have a medical necessity  
17 standard, even though our regulations do require a  
18 certain sign-off by a PCP. The thing is the fair  
19 hearing doesn't get reported timely, and we have to  
20 figure out a way to get that information to us. Just  
21 understand the challenges that we have.

22 MR. ARYE: Right. And I think the idea  
23 would be to just follow the clients so that you see  
24 what happens to them over time.

25 MS. GRANT: We're tracking this as well.

1 We're looking at how the policy is playing out. It's  
2 not unimportant to us either.

3 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.

4 Gwen.

5 MS. ORLOWSKI: Hi. Gwen Orłowski, Central  
6 Jersey Legal Services.

7 So I have a couple comments/questions. The  
8 first is I completely understand the problem you're  
9 describing with the first two quarters of data, that it  
10 was co-mingled. The problem I see is that everybody  
11 who was moving from the 1915 C waivers into MLTSS all  
12 had to go through a redetermination process within that  
13 first six months. So they received those reductions in  
14 services, some terminations during the third and fourth  
15 quarter of 2014 and so that may skew that these numbers  
16 are looking like because there might have been  
17 significant. It would be really great if we could just  
18 get something of that, some of that data, understanding  
19 that that data isn't perfect data.

20 MR. ARYE: We're trying. I'm just going to  
21 say we're trying. It's very difficulty to really do  
22 that. I can also say I don't believe that -- when  
23 people came through, there was a continuity of care for  
24 services, and the issue came back that even when they  
25 were being reviewed that they were supposed to, you

1 know, that there was nothing, they continue to receive  
2 services all the way through until the very end. I  
3 don't believe we heard of many appeals, decisions that  
4 a person actually lost services. In fact, the biggest  
5 issue and the reason why we put the exceptions process  
6 in was because the ones that we were seeing were the  
7 PDNs and the cost thresholds, which is why we put in  
8 the exceptions clause.

9 MS. ORLOWSKI: I will just say anecdotally,  
10 I started hearing them in my previous job in October,  
11 that that's when people started reaching out getting  
12 both the PCA reductions and the PDN.

13 The next is sort of an observation. It's  
14 interesting to see the data this way, but when I think  
15 of the annual cost threshold analysis, I really think  
16 of that as a separate appeal right because it isn't  
17 necessarily exclusively PDN. Right? You could have  
18 somebody who is receiving -- it really is, is the  
19 person hitting that cost cap and therefore needs to go  
20 through that exceptions and appeal process? It could  
21 be a balance of PDN and PCA hours. And it could be  
22 also that they're having other related services, you  
23 know, whatever, home-delivered meals, whatever else  
24 they're having. And so I just sort of offer that up as  
25 a thought. It's a challenging thought, I think, but

1 hitting that cost cap seems distinct for me from  
2 challenging a reduction in PDN. And I don't know if  
3 the data can be broken out that way going forward.

4 And a question on the exceptions. Do you  
5 have plans to formalize that in any way through either  
6 a Medicaid Communication or regulations or something  
7 like that so that that information is more freely  
8 available to everyone?

9 MR. ARYE: First of all, it's in the  
10 contract, in the January contract. We did send it out  
11 to a variety of places when we initially did the  
12 interim. Once CMS approved it, it took us a while to  
13 get there, but we did send it out. So it is in the  
14 contract.

15 MS. ORLOWSKI: And the last very quick  
16 question. I think somebody brought to my attention  
17 that all the final agency decisions are now on a  
18 website that you guys are maintaining as opposed to  
19 Rutgers where it used to be.

20 MR. ARYE: That's correct.

21 MS. ORLOWSKI: And can we also get the  
22 initial agency decisions, as well? Because sometimes  
23 the substance is really in those initial agency  
24 decisions. The final agency's decision will uphold the  
25 decision of the Administrative Law Judge, so the

1 factual and legal analysis is really in that interim  
 2 decision.

3 MS. HARR: We'll have to consult with our  
 4 legal office.

5 MS. ORLOWSKI: They were on the Rutgers'  
 6 site.

7 MS. HARR: Okay.

8 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.

9 MS. LIEBMAN: Evelyn Liebman, AARP. I want  
 10 to thank you all for the presentation.

11 I think it would be helpful to see perhaps  
 12 in the future meetings of how we're doing on  
 13 rebalancing in terms of cost. So we're now toddling,  
 14 as you say, and part of the goal here of the  
 15 demonstration is to see whether or not we can provide  
 16 better quality of care at lower or perhaps the same  
 17 cost. So I've been trying to find out how we're doing  
 18 in that way. It's great that we're seeing almost 37  
 19 percent of people accessing services in their own  
 20 community. But it also would be very helpful to see  
 21 how we're doing, are we shifting the dollars.

22 MR. ARYE: I think the Balancing Incentive  
 23 Program (BIP) data is probably the best way to do it.  
 24 We can certainly look at that, to presenting that. I  
 25 can also say that it's also difficult, especially given

1 that last year the nursing home industry got an  
 2 additional chunk of money into the budget, so it's kind  
 3 of hard to really look at that. That's why it's not  
 4 just expenditures to look at, but you also have to look  
 5 at the percentage of people who are getting home  
 6 community based services versus nursing facilities,  
 7 because the dollars aren't just the only -- there's two  
 8 pieces to that.

9 MS. LIEBMAN: I agree, there are two pieces,  
 10 but it would be good even with qualifications, budget  
 11 changes, to see where we are.

12 MR. ARYE: One more quick thing. So this is  
 13 the retroactive cost share. MCOs have begun to  
 14 implement the retroactive processing of claims from  
 15 July 1, 2014, through December 30, 2015, for assisted  
 16 living facilities and nursing facility providers based  
 17 on the corrected patient pay liability. Providers  
 18 should have received it. If they haven't, they'll  
 19 receive it very shortly, communications from the MCOs  
 20 specifically on this. So each MCO is different in the  
 21 way in which they're providing. That's why we've  
 22 listed each of the four MCOs. And I'm saying four  
 23 because AETNA was not in MLTSS prior to or during this  
 24 retroactive time, so that's why they're not listed  
 25 here. So specific questions should go to those

1 specific MCO contact folks because they're the ones who  
 2 are handling this. And basically, any corrections with  
 3 regard to the PPL, any further claims, as well, they  
 4 need to be adjusted because there may be corrections  
 5 that were done by the county welfare agencies  
 6 specifically. So if there is a change in the PPL by  
 7 the county welfare agency for an individual at any time  
 8 of the year, it's reflected in the cost share info that  
 9 the State shares with the MCOs. And the MCOs will then  
 10 apply that updated information to future claims. So I  
 11 just wanted to get that information out to you. We  
 12 have been working with the MCOs specifically on this  
 13 specific issue. I just wanted to make sure that we  
 14 gave you that.

15 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you for all that  
 16 information.

17 What we do at the end of every meeting is to  
 18 raise the agenda items that came up during the meeting  
 19 in planning for the next meeting. And while the last  
 20 meeting felt very close, the next meeting feels  
 21 somewhat far away, but it's part of our annual  
 22 schedule. We'll meet again on October 19th here.

23 What I have, and I'd ask other members to  
 24 identify things, is that things that were raised as  
 25 future items, not necessarily able to be on the agenda

1 for October, was more details in terms of the interim  
 2 management unit at Rutgers Behavioral Health, more  
 3 details of the management of Medicaid services.

4 A point was made about the mental health  
 5 block grant and the importance of information in the  
 6 interim setup coming to that, and also the request for  
 7 stakeholder input, as we're in a redesign phase of how  
 8 Behavioral Health will be handled.

9 We'll keep track of the provider  
 10 credentialing, but we certainly have a very successful  
 11 effort. And we'll look for an update on the ACOs.

12 There was interest in MLTSS in having more  
 13 information within the 0 to 64 age group.

14 I'd also like add for the next agenda an  
 15 update on the Balancing Incentive Program. It would  
 16 seem appropriate to have an update from the Division of  
 17 Developmental Disabilities on the Supports Program as  
 18 part of the comprehensive waiver, and also from the  
 19 Department of Children and Families on their three  
 20 waivers.

21 There was interest in additional information  
 22 to the extent that it's available around the appeals  
 23 and grievance process and also data on migration from  
 24 MLTSS, and also to the maximum extent feasible,  
 25 rebalancing data. We have the population numbers, but

1 they're interest in both continuing to have that  
 2 information and whatever financial information can be  
 3 brought to bear in terms of the waiver.  
 4 Other items for our agenda?  
 5 Beverly.  
 6 MS. ROBERTS: The transportation RFP.  
 7 DR. SPITALNIK: That will be an update, yes.  
 8 MS. ROBERTS: And then when Valerie was  
 9 giving her presentation, there was some Q and A where  
 10 she wasn't sure of the answer. If she could respond to  
 11 those questions in giving her presentation next time,  
 12 that would be very helpful.  
 13 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.  
 14 SPEAKER: To the extent possible, a  
 15 description of changes in the MCO contract that take  
 16 effect in July, and discussion perhaps of the main  
 17 points of the CMS management proposed rule.  
 18 DR. SPITALNIK: Thank you.  
 19 I really want to commend the Department and  
 20 the Division of Medical Assistance for the quality of  
 21 the information and the clarity of the presentation and  
 22 PowerPoints. It's very helpful. Let me reiterate that  
 23 those will be posted by the end of today on the  
 24 website.  
 25 Do I have a motion to adjourn?

1 Roberts. Second, Whitman.  
 2 All those in favor?  
 3 MEMBERS: Aye.  
 4 DR. SPITALNIK: We are adjourned. Have a  
 5 good, safe summer. We look forward to seeing you  
 6 October 19th. Thank you.  
 7 (Meeting concluded at 11:45 a.m.)  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

1 CERTIFICATION  
 2  
 3 I, Lisa C. Bradley, the assigned transcriber,  
 4 do hereby certify the foregoing transcript of the  
 5 proceedings is prepared in full compliance with the  
 6 current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and  
 7 is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings as  
 8 recorded.  
 9  
 10 \_\_\_\_\_  
 11 Lisa C. Bradley, CCR  
 12 The Scribe  
 13  
 14 Date: 2/22/16  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25