The reporting period for this report is April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
Α.	General Info		
1.	Recipient of funding	Middlesex County Utility Authority (MCUA)	
2.	Federal Funding Agency? (e.g. HUD, FEMA)	FEMA	
3.	State Funding (if applicable)	Not Applicable	
4.	Award Type	Grant	
5.	Award Amount	Awarded Contractors and Award Amounts for Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations are as follows: Sayreville Pump Station (SPS) award: \$67,398,000 to Northeast Remsco Construction Inc. Edison Pump Station (EPS) award: \$26,871,000 to Walsh Construction Co. II	
6.	Contract/Program Person/Title	Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations Program Person: Joseph Cryan, Executive Director MCUA	
7.	Brief Description, Purpose and Rationale of Project/Program	During the ongoing incident period of Post Superstorm Sandy, beginning on October 26, 2012, the Middlesex County Utilities Authority (MCUA) suffered substantial damage to its Sayreville Pump Station and Edison Pump Station. These damages prompted the sub-grantee to immediately secure emergency contracts to prevent further damage to the existing infrastructure and prevent raw sewage from being discharged into the waterways. In addition, future contracts were to be awarded for permanent restoration and flood mitigation work at the two pump stations.	
		On March 27, 2013, the Integrity Oversight Monitor Act ("the Act") was enacted for the purpose of authorizing the deployment of Integrity Oversight Monitors for recovery and rebuilding contracts resulting from Superstorm Sandy and subsequent major storms in New Jersey. The Act authorizes the State Treasurer to require integrity oversight monitor services on any State or non-State, federally funded, recovery and rebuilding contract of \$5 million or more.	
		KPMG LLP ("KPMG") was engaged by the Department of Treasury ("DOT") to serve as the Integrity Oversight Monitor ("IM") for MCUA, given that contracts for both the Sayreville Pump Station and Edison Pump Station projects exceed the \$5 million threshold required by the Act.	
		On June 24, 2016, MCUA received authorization to award Contract 14-3-2(EPS) titled Flood Mitigation, Restoration and Upgrade of the Edison Pump Station in the amount of \$26,871,000 to Walsh Construction Company II, LLC.	
		On September 1, 2016 MCUA received authorization to award Contract 14-1-4 (SPS) (REBID) titled Flood Mitigation and Permanent Restoration of Sayreville Pump Station in the amount of \$67,398,000 to Northeast Remsco Construction Inc. This contract was re-bid due to bid protests concerning completeness of apparent low-bidder PKF-Mark III's original bid. At that time the bid submitted by PKF-Mark III was \$66,410,010.	
8.	Contract/Program Location	Middlesex County Utility Authority 2571 Main Street Sayreville, NJ 08872	
9.	Amount Expended to Date	Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville Pump Station: \$48,042,021	
10.	Amount Provided to other State or Local Entities	Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Edison Pump Station: \$19,935,796  Not Applicable	
11.	Completion Status of Contract or Program	Emergency Work for Sayreville Pumping Station- 100% Complete. Sayreville Pumping Station Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - 70%	
12.	Expected Contract End Date/Time Period	Edison Pumping Stations Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - 72% Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - November 2016 - March 2020	

The reporting period for this report is April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019

No. Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
Monitoring Activities		
3. If FEMA funded, brief description of	Funding for the approved Hazard Mitigation Proposals (HMP) was obligated under the respective FEMA PWs for Sayreville and Edison Pump Station.	
the status of the project worksheet	- For Sayreville Pump Station PW 5061, the HMP represents \$61,659,218.32 of the project worksheet's total value of \$73,877,840.25.	
and its support.	- For Edison Pump Station PW 5075, the HMP represents \$11,799,830.00 of the project worksheet's total value of \$15,760,971.59. Pursuant to the approved project worksheet, this HMP includes the	
	construction of a floodwall surrounding the Edison Pump Station, the construction of an isolation vault with a bypass pumping system, and the construction of a riser ring to elevate the Northwest Access	
	Shaft & Tunnel top slab above the design 500-year flood elevation.	
. Quarterly Activities/Project	April 4 – 17, 2019: Selected a sample of certified payroll records for the EPS and SPS Projects and tested for compliance with prevailing wage determinations.	
Description (include number of visits		
to meet with recipient and sub	April 13, 2019: Attended Construction Progress Meeting for EPS Project. Attendees included the representatives of the following firms:	
recipient, including who you met	- MCUA	
with, and any site visits warranted to	- Arcadis	
where work was completed)	- Mott MacDonald	
	- Walsh Construction	
	- KPMG	
	April 19 – 25, 2019: Reviewed the most recent application for payment for the SPS and EPS Projects.	
	April 24, 2019: Attended Construction Progress Meeting for SPS Project. Attendees included the representatives of the following firms:	
	- MCUA	
	- R3M Engineering	
	- Arcadis	
	- NRC	
	- Lehr	
	- KPMG	
	April 24, 2019: Conducted a site visit of the SPS project to review construction progress to date. Site visit was attended by R3M Engineering and KPMG.	
	April 25 – 30, 2019: Reviewed supporting documentation for drawdown of allowance orders on the SPS and EPS Projects.	
	April 29 – 30, 2019: Reviewed the most recent change orders for the EPS and SPS projects.	
	May 29, 2019: Attended Construction Progress Meeting for SPS Project. Attendees included the representatives of the following firms:	
	- MCUA	
	- R3M Engineering	
	- Arcadis	
	- NRC	
	- Lehr	
	- KPMG	
	May 29, 2019: Conducted a site visit of the SPS project to review construction progress to date. Site visit was attended by R3M Engineering and KPMG.	

The reporting period for this report is April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
		May 29, 2019: Conducted a site visit of the EPS project to review construction progress to date. Site visit was attended by Mott MacDonald and KPMG.	
		May 1 – 31, 2019: Reviewed supporting documentation for drawdown of allowance orders on the SPS and EPS Projects.	
		May 1 – 31, 2019: Reviewed the most recent change orders for the SPS and EPS Projects.	
		May 31, 2019: Reviewed the most recent application for payment for the SPS and EPS Projects.	
		June 12, 2019: Attended Construction Progress Meeting for SPS Project. Attendees included the representatives of the following firms: - MCUA - Arcadis	
		- NRC - Valiant	
		- Lehr - R3M Engineering	
		- Concord - KPMG	
		June 12, 2019: Conducted a site visit of the SPS project to review construction progress to date. Site visit was attended by R3M Engineering and KPMG.	
		June 12, 2019: Conducted a site visit of the EPS project to review construction progress to date. Site visit was attended by Mott MacDonald and KPMG.	
		June 17 – 25, 2019: Reviewed the most recent application for payment for the SPS and EPS Projects.	
		June 25, 2019: Reviewed the most recent change orders for the SPS and EPS Projects.	
15.	Brief Description to confirm appropriate data/information has been provided by recipient and what activities have been taken to review in relation to the project/contract/program.	This quarter we frequently accessed both the SPS and EPS project SharePoint sites, which contain all key project data and information. KPMG receives notifications when additional documentation and data are uploaded in relation to these contracts and reviews accordingly. Additional information requests provided to MCUA, R3M Engineering, and Mott Macdonald by KPMG are promptly responded to and additional documentation is provided.	
16.	Description of quarterly auditing activities that have been conducted to ensure procurement compliance with terms and conditions of the	This quarter we performed the following audit activities: -Reviewed pricing of a change order and allowances to verify compliance with contract documents -Reviewed construction contractor applications for payment for compliance with contract documents -Reviewed invoicing for stored materials to verify that invoiced costs were supported with proper documentation	
	contracts and agreements.	-Reviewed certified payrolls to verify compliance with prevailing wage determinations -Reviewed insurance documentation for compliance with contract document	

The reporting period for this report is April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019

No. Recipient Data Elements  17. Have payment requisitions in connection with the contract/program been reviewed?  Please describe  KPMG reviewed the following payment requisitions this quarter.  Sayreville Pump Station Pay Apps Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #24 (4/9/2019) - \$3,449,952.85	Comments
connection with the contract/program been reviewed? Please describe  Sayreville Pump Station Pay Apps Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #24 (4/9/2019) - \$3,449,952.85	
contract/program been reviewed? Please describe  Sayreville Pump Station Pay Apps Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #24 (4/9/2019) - \$3,449,952.85	
Please describe - Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #24 (4/9/2019) - \$3,449,952.85	
Nowtheast Danses County at ion Day 100 (5/44/2040) \$2.226.200.76	
- Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #25 (5/14/2019) - \$2,236,200.76	
- Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #26 (6/11/2019) - \$1,204,233.13	
Edison Pump Station -	
- Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #31 (4/10/2019) - \$1,093,931.07	
- Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #32 (5/13/2019) - \$1,075,776.60	
- Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #33 (6/10/2019) - \$834,522.43	
18. Description of quarterly activity to This quarter we performed the following:	
prevent and detect waste, fraud and - Attended monthly project meetings.	
abuse Visited project sites to assess status of work in field, resources on site, project oversight and safety.	
- Reviewed project documentation including meeting minutes to gain understanding of project status.	
- Reviewed uses of allowances.	
- Reviewed insurance certificates.	
- Reviewed project schedules.	
19. Provide details of any integrity No integrity issues identified this quarter.	
issues/findings	
20. Provide details of any work quality or No noted issues this quarter.	
safety/environmental/historical	
preservation issue(s).	to a month our months of the sa
21. Provide details on any other items of During this quarter, KPMG identified the misapplication of contractor mark-up on change orders for Walsh Construction on the EPS Project. The misapplication of contract	tor mark-up resulted in an
note that have occurred in the past overpayment to Walsh Construction of approximately \$36,688. MCUA has indicated they will correct this overpayment.	
22. Provide details of any actions taken No other items to note this quarter.	
to remediate waste, fraud and abuse	
C. Miscellaneous	
23. Attach a list of hours and expenses Attached. See April 2019 to June 2019 Time & Expense Summary Tab.	
incurred to perform your quarterly	
integrity monitoring review	
24 Add any item, issue or comment not None.	
covered in previous sections but	
deemed pertinent to monitoring	
program.	
Name of Integrity Monitor: KPMG	
Name of Report Preparer: Kevin Max	
Signature: Kenthy	
Date: 9/30/2019	

Title	Hours Incurred (April - June)	
PARTNER	9.00	
DIRECTOR	14.00	
PROGRAM MANAGER	51.25	
CONSULTANT	189.50	
	263.75	

Title	Expenses Incurred (April - June)	
PROGRAM MANAGER	110.92	
CONSULTANT	411.24	
	522.16	