The reporting period for this report is January 1, 2020 through March 31, 2020

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
Α.	General Info		
1.	Recipient of funding	Middlesex County Utility Authority (MCUA)	
2.	Federal Funding Agency? (e.g. HUD, FEMA)	FEMA	
3.	State Funding (if applicable)	Not Applicable	
4.	Award Type	Grant	
5.	Award Amount	Awarded Contractors and Award Amounts for Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations are as follows: Sayreville Pump Station (SPS) award: \$67,398,000 to Northeast Remsco Construction Inc. Change Orders through Invoice #36 = \$ 5,844,484.78 Edison Pump Station (EPS) award: \$26,871,000 to Walsh Construction Co. II Change Orders through Invoice #42 = \$1,266,920.25	
6.	Contract/Program Person/Title	Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations Program Person: Joseph Cryan, Executive Director MCUA	
7.	Brief Description, Purpose and Rationale of Project/Program	During the ongoing incident period of Post Superstorm Sandy, beginning on January 26, 2012, the Middlesex County Utilities Authority (MCUA) suffered substantial damage to its Sayreville Pump Station and Edison Pump Station. These damages prompted the sub-grantee to immediately secure emergency contracts to prevent further damage to the existing infrastructure and prevent raw sewage from being discharged into the waterways. In addition, future contracts were to be awarded for permanent restoration and flood mitigation work at the two pump stations. On March 27, 2013, the Integrity Oversight Monitor Act ("the Act") was enacted for the purpose of authorizing the deployment of Integrity Oversight Monitors for recovery and rebuilding contracts resulting from Superstorm Sandy and subsequent major storms in New Jersey. The Act authorizes the State Treasurer to require integrity oversight monitor services on any State or non-State, federally funded, recovery and rebuilding contract of \$5 million or more. KPMG LLP ("KPMG") was engaged by the Department of Treasury ("DOT") to serve as the Integrity Oversight Monitor ("IM") for MCUA, given that contracts for both the Sayreville Pump Station and Edison Pump Station projects exceed the \$5 million threshold required by the Act. On June 24, 2016, MCUA received authorization to award Contract 14-3-2(EPS) titled Flood Mitigation, Restoration and Upgrade of the Edison Pump Station in the amount of \$26,871,000 to Walsh Construction Company II, LLC. On March 1, 2016 MCUA received authorization to award Contract 14-1-4 (SPS) (REBID) titled Flood Mitigation and Permanent Restoration of Sayreville Pump Station in the amount of \$67,398,000 to Northeast Remsco Construction Inc. This contract was re-bid due to bid protests concerning completeness of apparent low-bidder PKF-Mark III's original bid. At that time the bid submitted by PKF-Mark III was \$66,410,010.	
8.	Contract/Program Location	Middlesex County Utility Authority 2571 Main Street Sayreville, NJ 08872	
9.	Amount Expended to Date	Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville Pump Station: \$63,135,567.59 Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Edison Pump Station: \$27,958,426.74	
10.	Amount Provided to other State or Local Entities	Not Applicable	
11.	Completion Status of Contract or Program	Emergency Work for Sayreville Pumping Station- 100% Complete. Sayreville Pumping Station Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - 86% Edison Pumping Stations Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - 99%	
12.	Expected Contract End Date/Time Period	Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - November 2016 - July 2020	

The reporting period for this report is January 1, 2020 through March 31, 2020

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
В.	Monitoring Activities		
13.	If FEMA funded, brief description of	Funding for the approved Hazard Mitigation Proposals (HMP) was obligated under the respective FEMA PWs for Sayreville and Edison Pump Station.	
	the status of the project worksheet	- For Sayreville Pump Station PW 5061, the HMP represents \$61,659,218.32 of the project worksheet's total value of \$73,877,840.25.	
	and its support.	- For Edison Pump Station PW 5075, the HMP represents \$11,799,830.00 of the project worksheet's total value of \$15,760,971.59. Pursuant to the approved project worksheet, this HMP includes the construction	
		of a floodwall surrounding the Edison Pump Station, the construction of an isolation vault with a bypass pumping system, and the construction of a riser ring to elevate the Northwest Access Shaft & Tunnel top	
		slab above the design 500-year flood elevation.	
14.	Quarterly Activities/Project	Jan 1-30, 20120 Reviewed both Sayreville Pump Station (SPS) and Edison Pump Station (EPS) project documentation sites for latest submitted project documentation including most recent invoices, updated	
	Description (include number of visits	project schedules, general correspondence, certificates of insurance, and certified payroll reports.	
	to meet with recipient and sub		
	recipient, including who you met	Jan 13, 2020: Conducted an EPS project review meeting with specifics to change order review and ongoing monitoring services for the remainder of the project duration. Attendees included the following:	
	with, and any site visits warranted to	John Orecchio, Arcadis	
	where work was completed)	Michael Caggiano, Mott MacDonald	
		Angelo Buffaino, Mott MacDonald	
		Bryan Oakes, Mott MacDonald	
		John Major, MCUA	
		JAck Ozaroff, KPMG	
		Justin Mazza, KPMG	
		January 13-15, 2020: Reviewed EPS potential change order 09. Review was focused on certified payroll requirements and itemized cost breakdown	
		January 14-18, 2020: Finalized schedule review of the SPS project.	
		January 20-28, 2020: Reviewed the most recent application for payment for the SPS and EPS Projects.	
		January 20-28, 2020: Reviewed allowance orders included in recent applications for payment for the EPS and SPS projects.	
		February 1 – 29, 2020: Reviewed both Sayreville Pump Station (SPS) and Edison Pump Station (EPS) project documentation sites for latest submitted project documentation including most recent invoices,	
		updated project schedules, general correspondence, certificates of insurance, and certified poorts.	
		aparticle project sortedness, general correspondence, et al maranes, and certained pays on reports.	
		Februray 13 - 29, 2020: Reviewed a sample of SPS potential change orders that are either still pending or approved but not paid. The sample PCO's totaled roughly \$4,000,000 and the review was focused on labor,	
		material, and equipment rates, as well as subcontractor costs.	
		and equipment acts as the associated costs.	
		February 14 - 18, 2020: Reviewed EPS closeout checklist and punchlist compared to the contract documents. Generated a list of contract requirements including trainings, spare parts, warranties, and others that	
		were not in the original checklist.	
		The control of the co	
		February 20 - 29, 2020: Reviewed the most recent application for payment for the SPS and EPS Projects.	
		February 20 - 29, 2020: Reviewed allowance orders included in recent applications for payment for the EPS and SPS projects.	
		February 25 - 29, 2020: Compared site access for the SPS project to certified payroll for the month ending 1/31.	
		February 26, 2020: Conducted a site visit of the EPS and SPS project to review construction progress, and attended meeting with the SPS team	

The reporting period for this report is January 1, 2020 through March 31, 2020

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
		March 1 – 30, 2020: Reviewed both Sayreville Pump Station (SPS) and Edison Pump Station (EPS) project documentation sites for latest submitted project documentation including most recent invoices, updated	
		project schedules, general correspondence, certificates of insurance, and certified payroll reports.	
		March 2 - 29, 2020: Reviewed supporting documentation for stored materials for EPS project from the entire project duration.	
		March 3 - 9, 2020: Compared the EPS project site access sheets to the certified payroll for the month ending 1/31/20.	
		March 9 - 20, 2020: Conducted schedule review on SPS Update 34 for March 2020.	
		March 13 - 29, 2020: Finalized review and issued potential questionable cost observations on a sample of SPS potential change orders (PCO), totaling \$4,792,441, that were pending or approved but not paid. The	
		review was focused on labor, material and equipment rates, and subcontractor costs.	
		March 20 - 29, 2020: Reviewed stored materials, allowances, and approved changes where applicable in recent applications for payment for the EPS and SPS projects.	
		March 20 - 29, 2020: Reviewed the most recent applications for payment for the EPS and SPS Projects.	
		March 23 - 25, 2020: Attended EPS and SPS project status update conference call focused on project's COVID-19 response.	
15.	Brief Description to confirm	This quarter we frequently accessed both the SPS and EPS project SharePoint sites, which contain all key project data and information. KPMG receives notifications when additional documentation and data are	
	appropriate data/information has	uploaded in relation to these contracts and reviews accordingly. Additional information requests provided to MCUA, R3M Engineering, and Mott Macdonald by KPMG are promptly responded to and additional	
	been provided by recipient and what	documentation is provided.	
	activities have been taken to review		
	in relation to the		
_	project/contract/program.		
16.	Description of quarterly auditing	This quarter we performed the following audit activities:	
	activities that have been conducted	-Reviewed pricing of change orders and allowances to verify compliance with contract documents.	
	to ensure procurement compliance	-Reviewed construction contractor applications for payment for compliance with contract documents.	
	with terms and conditions of the	-Reviewed invoicing for stored materials to verify that invoiced costs were supported with proper documentation.	
	contracts and agreements.	-Reviewed certified payrolls and site access documentation to verify compliance with prevailing wage determinations.	
		-Reviewed insurance documentation for compliance with contract document.	
		-Conducted site visits to assess quality, safety and compliance with contract terms.	
		-Reviewed closeout documentation and process.	

The reporting period for this report is January 1, 2020 through March 31, 2020

No	. Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
17.	Have payment requisitions in	KPMG reviewed the following payment requisitions this quarter.	
	connection with the		
	contract/program been reviewed?	Sayreville Pump Station Pay Apps -	
	Please describe	- Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #33 (1/14/2020) - \$1,252,867.88	
		- Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #34 (2/11/2020) - \$652,295.92	
		- Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #35 (3/10/2020) - \$896,762.61	
		Edison Pump Station -	
		- Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #40 (1/9/2020) - \$299,344.13	
		- Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #41 (2/7/2020) - \$596,460.61	
		- Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #42 (3/9/2020) - \$718,723.69	
18	. Description of quarterly activity to	This quarter we performed the following:	
10	prevent and detect waste, fraud and		
	abuse.	- Nisted project sites to assess status of work in field, resources on site, project oversight and safety.	
		- Reviewed project documentation including meeting minutes to gain understanding of project status.	
		- Reviewed uses of allowances.	
		- Reviewed insurance certificates.	
		- Reviewed project schedules.	
		- Reviewed certified payroll and site access documentation.	
\vdash	- Reviewed closeout and punchlist documentaton.		
19	Provide details of any integrity	No integrity issues identified this quarter.	
20	issues/findings		
20.	Provide details of any work quality of safety/environmental/historical	No noted issues this quarter.	
	preservation issue(s).		
21	Provide details on any other items of	KPMG identified issues with both EPS and SPS daily sign-in sheets tracking. Findings were presented to the respective teams and improvements have been made by both projects. KPMG conducted a review of the	
1	note that have occurred in the past	EPS & SPS closeout checklist and Punchlist for missing contractual obligations. The results were provided to the EPS & SPS teams.	
	quarter.		
22	. Provide details of any actions taken	No other items to note this quarter.	
22	to remediate waste, fraud and abuse		
C.	Miscellaneous		
23.	Attach a list of hours and expenses	Attached. See January 2019 to March 2019 Time & Expense Summary Tab.	
1	incurred to perform your quarterly		
	integrity monitoring review		
L			
24		None.	
	covered in previous sections but		
	deemed pertinent to monitoring		
L	program.		
	Name of Integrity Monitor:	KPMG	
	Name of Report Preparer:	Kevin Max	
		Kon Mil	
	Signature: Pate:	6/26/2020	
	Date.	0/20/2020	

Title	Hours Incurred (Jan-March)
PARTNER	11.00
DIRECTOR	28.00
PROGRAM MANAGER	0.00
SENIOR CONSULTANT	12.00
CONSULTANT	304.00
	355.00

Title	Expenses Incurred (Jan-March)
PROGRAM MANAGER	0.00
CONSULTANT	347.51
	347.51