Agenda Date: 12/12/16 Agenda Item: VIIB



STATE OF NEW JERSEY Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 3rd Floor, Suite 314 Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350 <u>www.nj.gov/bpu/</u>

TIMOTHY SHERRY, Petitioner,

CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE

ORDER OF EXTENSION

V,

NEW JERSEY NATURAL GAS COMPANY, Respondent. BPU DOCKET NO. GC15101194U OAL DOCKET NO. PUC 2089-16

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

The Initial Decision of the Administrative Law Judge was received by the Board of Public Utilities (Board) on December 6, 2016; therefore, the 45-day statutory period for review and the issuing of a Final Decision will expire on January 19, 2017. Prior to that date, the Board requests an additional 45-day extension of time for issuing the Final Decision in order to adequately review the record in this matter.

Good cause having been shown, pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 52:14B-10(c) and <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 1:1-18.8, <u>IT IS</u> <u>ORDERED</u> that the time limit for the Board to render a Final Decision is extended until March 6, 2017.

DATED: BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES CHARD S. MROZ¹ RESIDENT ATTEST: IRENE KIM ASBUR SECRETARY

¹ Authorized by Board to execute this Order of Extension on its behalf.

Agenda Date: 12/12/16 Agenda Item: VIIB

Date Board mailed Order to OAL:

cc: Service List Attached

DATED: 12/14/16

aur Danders

LAURA SANDERS, ACTING DIRECTOR & CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Date OAL mailed executed Order to Board:

12/14/16

Date Board mailed executed Order to Parties:

BPU DOCKET NO. GC15101194U OAL DOCKET NO. PUC 2089-16

IN THE MATTER OF TIMOTHY SHERRY, PETITIONER V. NEW JERSEY NATURAL GAS COMPANY, RESPONDENT – REQUEST FOR EXTENSION

DOCKET NOS. BPU GC15101194U AND OAL PUC 2089-16

SERVICE LIST

Timothy Sherry 105 Asbury Ave. Ocean Grove, NJ 07756

Eileen F. Quinn, Esq. 1415 Wyckoff Rd. P.O. Box 1464 Wali, NJ 07719 equinn@njresources.com

Eric Hartsfield, Director Division of Customer Assistance Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 3rd Floor, Suite 314 Post Office Box 350 Trenton, NJ 08625-0350 <u>Eric.hartsfield@bpu.ni.gov</u>

Julie Ford-Williams, Chief Division of Customer Assistance Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 3rd Floor, Suite 314 Post Office Box 350 Trenton, NJ 08625-0350 Julie.Ford@bpu.state.nl.us

Veronica Beke, Esq. Division of Law 124 Halsey Street Post Office Box 45029 Newark, NJ 07101-45029 Veronica.Beke@dol.lps.state.nj.us

3

RECEIVED

DEC 0 6 2016



BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES MAIL ROOM

Cms

State of New Jersev OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

INITIAL DECISION

SUMMARY DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. PUC 2089-16 AGENCY DKT. NO. GC15101194U

TIMOTHY SHERRY,

Petitioner,

٧.

NEW JERSEY NATURAL GAS COMPANY,

at the state

0 6 2016

DEC.

;;;

Respondent.

V. Haynes D. Leethon Timothy Sherry, petitioner, pro se E. Hantsfield J. Ford Eileen F. Quinn, Esq., for respondent C. Jorda R. Lambert Record Closed: November 15, 2016 Decided: December 6, 2016 J- Gertsma B.Agee C. Vachier BEFORE JOSEPH LAVERY, ALJ t/a:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is an appeal brought by Timothy Sherry, petitioner, asking for relief from certain billing by respondent for natural gas which he denies consuming at his property.

New Jersey is an Equal Opportunity Employer

Respondent, New Jersey Natural Gas Company (NJNG; the Company) insists that petitioner did consume the gas in issue. It contests the appeal, and asks for summary decision pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 1:1-12.5.

Today's initial decision grants summary decision, dismissing the appeal, with prejudice.

Procedural History:

This matter was filed in the Office of Administrative Law by the agency head as a contested case on February 5, 2016. It was assigned by the Acting Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge to Robert Bingham II, Administrative Law Judge, for hearing. Judge Bingham conferred with counsel by phone on April 28, 2016, and then issued a prehearing order dated May 2, 2016, setting the date for plenary hearing at November 15, 2016. Respondent Company then filed the present motion seeking dismissal of the appeal in the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on September 6, 2016.

Subsequently, Judge Bingham was appointed to the Superior Court bench, and the case was transferred by letter of November 9, 2016, to the undersigned pursuant to <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 1:1-14.13. The hearing date of November 15, 2016, was adjourned to dispose of respondent's motion, and on the latter date the record is marked as closed.

Background:

The facts material to this motion may be succinctly stated:

In his letter petitioning the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities for plenary hearing, filed there on October 5, 2015, **petitioner**, **Timothy Sherry**, appears to argue simultaneously that his bills were inordinately high; but also that, because of Hurricane Sandy, the property was left vacant due to the storm, and that he had been without gas for an unclear period of time. In particular, petitioner contends:

2

The gas company simple [sic] will not admit that it turned my gas off never turned it back on and has been giving me estimated reading and claiming they were physical ones. [Appeal letter to New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, dated October 5, 2015, at p. 2]

Petitioner for this reason denies any obligation to render to NJNG the accumulated bill payments it seeks. He further suggests that NJNG might at some point be liable to repay him for water damages proximately attributable to lack of gas.

Respondent NJNG maintains that petitioner was appropriately billed for consumption of natural gas at the property located at 105 Asbury Avenue, Ocean Grove, New Jersey. The period in issue for which petitioner denies responsibility for payment, according to NJNG, extends from October 2012 through May 30, 2014, when the meter was removed. The meter was shortly thereafter determined by respondent to have been operating properly at all times. During the periods in question, according to NJNG, respondent consumed its gas product and accrued a payment debt of \$1,768.51.¹ It denies any connection with, or liability for, any ostensible water damages yet to be identified by petitioner. Based on these asserted facts², respondent NJNG moves for summary dismissal of petitioner's case.

Petitioner did not at all respond to the NJNG's motion, and here the matter stands.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I FIND that the above narrative of procedural events is correct, and supports respondent's motion for dismissal for reasons of law discussed below.

¹ Exhibit A, respondent New Jersey Natural Gas Company's answer to petition, at p. 4, itemized par. 4. At variance with this amount is the final bill of record covering the period March 16 through April 14, 2016. (Exhibit C, NJNG00122). ² Exhibits A through D accompanying respondent's motion and brief.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Legal analysis:

Summary decision is the administrative counterpart to summary judgment in the judicial arena. <u>R</u> 4:46., <u>et seq</u>. The correlating administrative rule, <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 1:1-12.5, provides that summary decision should be rendered if the papers and discovery which have been filed, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact challenged and that the moving party is entitled to prevail as a matter of law. In order to defeat a summary decision motion, the adverse party must respond by affidavits setting forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue which can only be determined in an evidentiary hearing. Use of the summary procedure is aimed at the swift uncovering of the merits and either their effective disposition or their advancement toward a prompt resolution by trial. <u>Judson v. Peoples Bank and Trust Co. of Westfield</u>, 17 N.J. 67, 74 (1954).

The New Jersey Supreme Court encouraged trial-level courts not to refrain from granting summary judgment when the proper circumstances present themselves. <u>Brill v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am.</u>, 142 <u>N.J.</u> 520, 541 (1995). While cautioning that a judge should not weigh the truth of the evidence or resolve factual disputes at this early stage of the proceedings, the Court clarified that when the evidence is so one-sided that one party must prevail as a matter of law, the trial court should not hesitate to grant summary judgment. <u>Id.</u> at 540. Appellate courts recognize that "[a]n evidentiary hearing is mandated only when the proposed administrative action is based on disputed adjudicatory facts." <u>Contini v. Bd. of Educ. of Newark</u>, 286 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 106, 120 (App. Div. 1995), certif. <u>denied</u>, 145 <u>N.J.</u> 372 (1996).

While there may be disputed adjudicatory facts left unaddressed through plenary hearing as petitioner has requested, this is not the fault of respondent NJNG. Respondent submitted exhibits which it maintains portrays the careful tracking of actual

4

gas usage, but for one billing period, which was estimated. It submits documents³ purporting to confirm its affirmative claim.

Petitioner, who thereafter is obliged under the rule to rebut or be subject to an adverse conclusion, for his part has offered nothing to counter the motion. Further, he has provided no exculpatory reason for failing to comply with the rule. In the face of this lack, he cannot hope to succeed in his petition.

Conclusion of law:

Given the foregoing legal analysis, it must be concluded that the record on motion demands that the relief sought by respondent NJNG through its motion for summary decision be granted, and that petitioner's appeal be dismissed, with prejudice.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that respondent's motion for summary decision be GRANTED, and,

It is hereby further ORDERED that the petition brought by Timothy Sherry be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

I hereby FILE my initial decision with the BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES for consideration.

This recommended decision may be adopted, modified or rejected by the **BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES**, which by law is authorized to make a final decision in this matter. If the Board of Public Utilities does not adopt, modify or reject this decision within forty-five days and unless such time limit is otherwise extended, this recommended decision shall become a final decision in accordance with <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 52:14B-10.

³ Exhibits A through D included in respondent's letter brief on motion

Within thirteen days from the date on which this recommended decision was mailed to the parties, any party may file written exceptions with the SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, 44 South Clinton Avenue, P.O. Box 350, Trenton, NJ 08625-0350, marked "Attention: Exceptions." A copy of any exceptions must be sent to the judge and to the other parties.

December 6, 2016 DATE

JOSEPH LAVERY, ALJ t/a

Date Received at Agency:

Date Mailed to Parties:

mph