

June 25, 2026

92DC42
PO Box 6066
Newark, DE 19714-6066

667.313.2626- Telephone
302.429.3801 - Facsimile
kenneth.wan@exeloncorp.com

500 N. Wakefield Drive
Newark, DE 19702

atlanticcityelectric.com

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

sherri.lewis@bpu.nj.gov
board.secretary@bpu.nj.gov

Sherri L. Lewis, RMC
Secretary of the Board
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
44 South Clinton Avenue, 1st Floor
P.O. Box 350
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350

RE: Atlantic City Electric Company's Annual Report Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5(b)

Dear Secretary Lewis:

On behalf of Atlantic City Electric Company ("ACE"), enclosed please ACE's Updated Annual Report pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5(b).

The annual report is required pursuant to P.L.2025, c.129 (the "Act"), enacted by the New Jersey General Assembly during its 2025 Legislative Session, signed into law by the Governor in August of 2025, and codified under to N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5. Under the Act, on or before February 1 of each year, each electric public utility ("utility") in New Jersey must submit to the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities a report on each recorded vote cast by the utility and any affiliate at a meeting of PJM Interconnection, LLC ("PJM") during the immediately preceding calendar year. The report must include all recorded votes cast by the utility and its affiliates.

ACE and its affiliates cast votes at committee, subcommittee, and senior task force meetings of PJM. Attached hereto is ACE's report for the preceding calendar year that took place after the Act took effect on August 15, 2025. However, because ACE did not begin to track voting for purposes of N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5 until August 15, 2025, ACE's annual report does not contain votes cast from January 1, 2025 to August 14, 2025 except for those cast in the MC and MRC. Further, for the same reason, ACE's annual report also does not contain a description pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5(b)(3) for votes cast between January 1, 2025 to August 14, 2025.

Sherri L. Lewis, RMC

June 25, 2026

Page 2

Pursuant to the Order issued by the Board in connection with *In the Matter of the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities' Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic for a Temporary Waiver of Requirements for Certain Non-Essential Obligations*, BPU Docket No. EO20030254, Order dated March 19, 2020, this document is being electronically filed with the Secretary of the Board, the Division of Law, and the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. No paper copies will follow.

Feel free to contact the undersigned with any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kenneth L. Wan

Kenneth L. Wan
An Attorney at Law of the
State of New Jersey

Enclosures

Abbreviation in Column A	Committee Name
DESTF	Deactivation Enhancements Senior Task Force
DOESTF	DOE 202c Cost Allocation Senior Task Force
EGCS	Electric Gas Coordination Subcommittee
ELCCSTF	Effective Load Carrying Capability Senior Task Force
GDECS	Governing Docs Enhancement & Clarification Subcommittee
MC	Members Committee
MIC	Market Implementation Committee
MRC	Markets & Reliability Committee
OC	Operating Committee
PC	Planning Committee
RASTF	Resource Adequacy Senior Task Force
RCSTF	Reserve Certainty Senior Task Force
RMC	Risk Management Committee
RMDSTF	Regulation Market Design Senior Task Force
SACMSTF	Sub-Annual Capacity Market Senior Task Force

IMM

Independent Market Monitor

Atlantic City Electric Company

Report Filed with the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities in Compliance with A5463 Signed into Law on August 15, 2025**

2025 PJM Voting Transparency - Updated May 2026 to include MRC/MC for calendar year 2025 and updated information in Column K starting August 15, 2025

Committee Meeting Name	Date of Meeting	Agenda (link to detailed agenda)	Agenda Item No.	Issue Topic and Description for Vote	Issue Category (Select the main category associated with the vote)	Detailed Issue Description (link to detailed package voted on)	EDC Vote Type (Final ?)*	Vote Options	EDC Vote	Brief description explaining whether each vote identified under paragraph (1) of this subsection furthers the State's goals of prioritizing the affordability, reliability, and sustainability of electricity production, consumption, and conservation and furthers the purposes of P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.), P.L. 2007, c.340 (C.26:2C-45 et al.), and the "Global Warming Response Act," P.L. 2007, c.112 (C.26:2C-37 et al.).***	Vote Outcome (Explain the outcome of the PJM vote)	PJM Meeting Minutes (link to detailed minutes)
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 01: Control Center and Data Exchange Requirements resulting from its periodic review and conforming to the FERC Order addressing Hybrids Phase II solution.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-01-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 01: Control Center and Data Exchange Requirements addressing requirements for electronic alternate data communication method and reliability guidelines to mitigate the unplanned loss of EMS Real Time Assessment (RTA) capabilities, and changes in M01: Control Center and Data Exchange Requirements.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-consent-agenda-c---1-manual-01-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D	Proposed revisions to Manual 27: Open Access Transmission Tariff Accounting and Manual 29: Billing resulting from its periodic review.	Billing/Settlements	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-consent-agenda-d---1-manual-27-and-29-revisions---	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item E	Proposed revisions to Manual 38: Operations Planning resulting from its periodic review.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-consent-agenda-e---1-manual-38-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Manual 14H: New Service Requests Cycle Process Revisions: NedPower Mount Storm, LLC, moved and Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC, seconded deferring voting on these proposed revisions for one month.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-01---1-manual-14h-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	NedPower Mount Storm, LLC and Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC	Endorsed by Acclamation		The motion to defer voting on the proposed revisions for one month was approved by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Modeling Users Forum (MUF) Charter: PJM will review a proposed Charter to convert the current Data Management Subcommittee (DMS) to a Modeling User Forum (MUF).	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-02---1-modeling-users-forum---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The committee approved the proposed MUF Charter by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Deactivation Enhancements Senior Task Force (DESTF): PJM will provide an update regarding the activities of the Deactivation Enhancements Senior Task Force (DESTF) and will review the proposed solution package endorsed by the DESTF and corresponding Tariff revisions.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-03---1-destf-solution-package-overview---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The committee endorsed the proposed Tariff revisions in a sector-weighted vote with 3.804 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-mrc-summarized-voting-report.pdf

MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 04	ELCC Accreditation Issue Charge: PJM will review a proposed revision to the ELCC Accreditation Issue Charge addressing the exploration of potential reforms that may provide greater certainty in ELCC Accreditation between the BRA and final ELCC values determined for a delivery year	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-04---elcc-accreditation-methodology---revised-issue-charge.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The committee approved the proposed Issue Charge revisions by acclamation with no objections or abstentions upon first read.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MRC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 05	2025/2026 RPM 3rd Incremental Auction (3IA) Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) and Forecast Pool Requirement (FPR): PJM will provide an update on 2025/26 RPM 3IA IRM and FPR.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-05---1-irm-fpr-and-elcc-for-25-26-3ia---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The committee endorsed the 2025/26 RPM 3IA IRM and FPR in a sector-weighted vote with 3.587 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250123/20250123-mrc-summarized-voting-report.pdf
MC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Manual 34 Revisions: PJM will review proposed revisions to Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process addressing a pathway for stakeholder issues FERC rejected. American Municipal Power will move Delaware Division of the Public Advocate, will second the proposed revisions.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-01---1-manual-34-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The committee approved the proposed revisions by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---01232025.pdf
MC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Deactivation Enhancements Senior Task Force (DESTF): PJM will provide an update regarding the activities of the Deactivation Enhancements Senior Task Force (DESTF) and will review the proposed solution package endorsed by the DESTF and corresponding Tariff revisions.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-02---1-destf-solution-package-overview---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The committee endorsed the proposed Tariff revisions in a sector-weighted vote with 4.714 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-mc-voting-results---item-02---enhancements-to-deactivation-rules.pdf
MC	1/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-agenda.pdf	Item 03	2025/2026 RPM 3rd Incremental Auction (3IA) Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) and Forecast Pool Requirement (FPR): PJM will provide an update on 2025/26 RPM 3IA IRM and FPR.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-item-03---1-irm-fpr-and-elcc-for-25-26-3ia---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The committee endorsed the proposed 2025/26 RPM 3IA IRM and FPR in a sector-weighted vote with 3.624 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250123/20250123-mc-voting-results---item-03---3ia-irm-and-fpr.pdf
MRC	2/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 13: Emergency Operations addressing a new PJM Wild Fire Procedure to allow for coordination with Transmission Owners prior to or during a wild fire event.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-13-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention:	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---02202025.pdf
MRC	2/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 40: Training and Certification Requirements resulting from its periodic review.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-consent-agenda-c---1-manual-40-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention:	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---02202025.pdf
MRC	2/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Manual 14H: New Service Requests Cycle Process Revisions: NedPower Mount Storm, LLC, moved and Rolling Hills Generating, LLC, seconded deferring voting on these proposed revisions for one month.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-item-01---1-manual-14h-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	NedPower and Constellation Proposal	Endorsed		The motion to reconsider was approved in a sectorweighted vote with 4.084 in favor. Therefore, voting on the proposed Manual 14H revisions was deferred until the committee's next meeting.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf
MRC	2/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-agenda.pdf	Item 02	DR Availability Window: PJM will review two proposed solution packages addressing the DR Availability Window issue as endorsed by the Market Implementation Committee (MIC). The PJM Package for the 2027/2028 Delivery Year is the Main Motion with the PJM Package for the 2026/2027 Delivery Year as the Alternative Motion.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-item-02---1-dr-availability-window-proposed-solution-packages---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed	The Main Motion (2027/2028 Delivery Year implementation) was endorsed in a sector-weighted vote with 3.707 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250220/20250220-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf	

MC	2/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250220/20250220-agenda.pdf	Item 01	DR Availability Window: PJM will review a proposed solution addressing the DR Availability Window issue as endorsed by the Markets & Reliability Committee (MRC).	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250220/20250220-item-01---1-dr-availability-window-proposed-solution-packages---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The motion (the PJM package with 2027/2028 Delivery Year implementation) was endorsed in a sector-weighted vote with 3.821 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250220/20250220-mc-voting-results---item-01---dr-availability-window.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Conforming revisions to Manual 11: Energy & Ancillary Services Market Operations, Manual 18: PJM Capacity Market, and Manual 28: Operating Agreement Accounting as part of CIPF-RA: Phase 2.	CIPF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-consent-agenda-b---1-cipf-phase-2-manual-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention (item B).	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Conforming revisions to Manual 14D: Generator Requirements as part of CIPF-RA: Phase 2.	CIPF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-consent-agenda-c---1-generator-testing-manual-14d-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention (item B).	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Manual 14H Site Control Revisions: PJM provided an update regarding the recently filed settlement proposed in Docket EL25-22 (Site Control Filing): NedPower Mount Storm, LLC, moved and Rolling Hills Generating, LLC, seconded deferring voting on these proposed revisions until the shorter of 60 days or receipt of FERC approval of the proposed settlement.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-01---1-manual-14h-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	NedPower and Constellation Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The motion to defer voting on the proposed revisions was approved by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Item 02 A	ELCC Accreditation Methodology	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-02a---2-raa-schedule-92---elcc-class-reforms-package-a---redline.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Package A was endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Item 02 B	ELCC Accreditation Methodology	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-02a-b---1-elccstf-solution-packages---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		Package B failed in a sector-weighted vote with 2.753 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Item 02 C	ELCC Accreditation Methodology	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-02b---2-oatt-att-dd8---bra-to-ia-risk-mitigation-package-c---redline.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		On reconsideration, the Alternate Motion – Package C was endorsed in a sector-weighted vote with 4.036 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Manual 14H Surplus Interconnection Service Revisions: PJM will review conforming revisions to Manual 14H: New Service Requests Cycle Process addressing Surplus Interconnection Service, per FERC Docket No. ER25-778.	Interconnection	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-03---1-surplus-interconnection-services-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The proposed revisions were endorsed by acclamation with no objections and 40 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Item 04	2026/2027 Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) and Forecast Pool Requirement (FPR) Values: PJM will provide an update on the 2026/2027 Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) IRM and FPR values.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-04---irm-fpr-and-elcc-for-26-27-bra---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The proposed values were endorsed upon first read by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---03192025.pdf

MRC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Item 05	Deactivation Enhancements Sr. Task Force Update (DESTF): PJM will review proposed amendments to the DESTF Issue Charge as noted in FERC Docket No. ER25-682.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-05---1-destf-update-to-issue-charge---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The proposed update to the Issue Charge was approved upon first read by in a sector-weighted vote with 4.238 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250319/20250319-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf
MC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process resulting from its periodic review.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-34-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were endorsed/approved by acclamation with no objections and 19 abstentions (item E).	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C/Item 02A	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: Pat Bruno will review proposed solution packages addressing two sets of reforms: A. ELCC Classes Main Motion – Package A seeks to update ELCC Classes utilized in the ELCC Accreditation Methodolog	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-02a---1-raa-definitions-elcc-class-reforms-package-a---redline.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were endorsed/approved by acclamation with no objections and 19 abstentions (item E).	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D	BRA to Incremental Auction (IA) Risk Mitigation Package C to provide greater certainty in ELCC Accreditation between the BRA and final ELCC values determined for a delivery year.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-02a-b---1-elccstf-solution-packages---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were endorsed/approved by acclamation with no objections and 19 abstentions (item E).	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MC	3/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item DE/Item 03	2026/2027 Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) and Forecast Pool Requirement (FPR) Values: PJM will provide an update on the 2026/2027 Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) IRM and FPR values.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250319/20250319-item-03---irm-fpr-and-elcc-for-26-27-bra---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were endorsed/approved by acclamation with no objections and 19 abstentions (item E).	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---03192025.pdf
MRC	4/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 11: Energy & Ancillary Services Market Operations resulting from its periodic review.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-11-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---04232025.pdf
MRC	4/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 37: Reliability Coordination resulting from its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-consent-agenda-c---1-manual-37-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---04232025.pdf
MRC	4/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Black Start Base Formula Rate: PJM will review a proposed solution package addressing the black start base formula rate and corresponding Tariff Schedule 6A revisions.	Billing/Settlements	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-item-02---1-black-start-base-formula-rate---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The proposed solution and Tariff revisions were endorsed in a sector-weighted vote with 4.027 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250423/20250423-mrc-summarized-voting-report.pdf
MC	4/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250423/20250423-agenda.pdf	Item 01/Consent Agenda Item B	Black Start Base Formula Rate: PJM will review a proposed solution package addressing the black start base formula rate and corresponding Tariff Schedule 6A revisions.	Billing/Settlements	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250423/20250423-item-01---1-black-start-base-formula-rate---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A and B were approved/endorsed by acclamation with four objections (item B) and no abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250512/20250512-consent-agenda-4a---draft-mc-minutes---04232025.pdf
MC	5/12/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250512/20250512-agenda.pdf	Item 05	Election of MC Vice Chair and Other supplier Sector Finance Committee (FC) Representative	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250512/20250512-item-05---elections---presentation.pdf	Yes final	Sector Representatives	Endorsed by Acclamation		Following discussion, these candidates were elected by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---05122025.pdf

MC	5/12/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250512/20250512-agenda.pdf	Item 06	PJM Board of Managers Nominating Committee (NC): PJM will review the activities of the NC.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250512/20250512-item-06---2025---nc-announcement-regarding-board-candidates---with-cvs.pdf	Yes final	Nominating Committee Proposal	Endorsed		Following are the results of the balloting: • Terry Blackwell (three-year term) – 2.173 – not elected • Matt Nelson (three-year term) – 4.539 – elected • Mark Takahashi (three-year term) – 1.538 – not elected	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---05122025.pdf
MRC	5/21/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 01: Control Center and Data Exchange Requirements to align with NERC Standard EOP-008 and the PJM TO/TOP Matrix.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-01-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---05212025.pdf
MRC	5/21/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 03: Transmission Operations resulting from its periodic review.	Transmission	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-consent-agenda-c---1-manual-03-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---05212025.pdf
MRC	5/21/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D	Conforming revisions to Manual 6: Financial Transmission Rights, Manual 11: Energy & Ancillary Services Market Operations, Manual 28: Operating Agreement Accounting, and Manual 29: Billing addressing rules related to market suspension in FERC Docket No. ER23- 1431.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-consent-agenda-d---1-manual-updates-for-market-suspension---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---05212025.pdf
MRC	5/21/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item E	Proposed revisions to Manual 36: System Restoration resulting from its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-consent-agenda-e---1-manual-36-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---05212025.pdf
MRC	5/21/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-agenda.pdf	Item 02A	ELCC Data Transparency and CETL: PJM will review a proposed solution package addressing data transparency reforms and corresponding manual revisions.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-item-02a---1-elccstf-data-transparency-package---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The committee endorsed the data transparency solution and corresponding manual revisions by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---05212025.pdf
MRC	5/21/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-agenda.pdf	Item 02B	ELCC Data Transparency and CETL : Rolling Hills Generating, LLC will move and Constellation Energy Generation, LLC, will second a motion to defer consideration of the ELCCSTF CETL Issue Charge until a later time.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-item-02b---capacity-market-enhancements---cctl-issue-charge.pdf	No.	Rolling Hills and Constellation Proposal	Endorsed		The committee endorsed the motion to defer in a sector-weighted vote with 3.699 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250521/20250521-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf
MRC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 12: Balancing Operations resulting from its periodic review and conforming to the FERC Order (Docket # ER25-1095) addressing market rules around hybrid resources.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-12-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---6182025.pdf
MRC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA), and Operating Agreement (OA) revisions as endorsed by the Governing Documents Enhancements and Clarifications Subcommittee	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-consent-agenda-c---1-gdecg-governing-document-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---6182025.pdf
MRC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Item X	PJM will present a proposed Problem Statement and Issue Charge related to Cost Allocation Issues Related to DOE 202(c) Orders.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250618/20250618-item-x---1-problem-statement---cost-allocation-issues-related-to-doe-202c-orders.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Following discussion, the Issue Charge as amended was approved by acclamation with no objections and three abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---6182025.pdf

MC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Item 05B -A	CIFP – DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation: Review of all proposals developed through Stage 3 of the CIFP-DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation process.	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-item-05a---cifp-doe-202c-cost-allocation---options-and-packages-matrix.xls	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		Informational sector-weighted votes were taken on each proposal, with results as follows: • PJM Package A – 1.395 • Gabel Package B – 4.308 • PJM Package C – 2.042 • EKPC Package D – 0.150 • EKPC Package E – 0.571 • EKPC Package F – 0.250	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-mc-voting-results---item-05b---package-a---pjm.pdf
MC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Item 05B -B	CIFP – DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation: Review of all proposals developed through Stage 3 of the CIFP-DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation process.	CIFP/Emergent Issue	/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-item-05a---cifp-doe-202c-cost-allocation---options-and-packages-matrix.xls	Yes final	Gabel Associates Proposal	Endorsed		Informational sector-weighted votes were taken on each proposal, with results as follows: • PJM Package A – 1.395 • Gabel Package B – 4.308 • PJM Package C – 2.042 • EKPC Package D – 0.150 • EKPC Package E – 0.571 • EKPC Package F – 0.250	/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-mc-voting-results---item-05b---package-b---gabel-associates.pdf
MC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Item 05B -C	CIFP – DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation: Review of all proposals developed through Stage 3 of the CIFP-DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation process.	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-item-05a---cifp-doe-202c-cost-allocation---options-and-packages-matrix.xls	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		Informational sector-weighted votes were taken on each proposal, with results as follows: • PJM Package A – 1.395 • Gabel Package B – 4.308 • PJM Package C – 2.042 • EKPC Package D – 0.150 • EKPC Package E – 0.571 • EKPC Package F – 0.250	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-mc-voting-results---item-05b---package-c---pjm.pdf
MC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Item 05B -D	CIFP – DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation: Review of all proposals developed through Stage 3 of the CIFP-DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation process.	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-item-05a---cifp-doe-202c-cost-allocation---options-and-packages-matrix.xls	Yes final	EKPC Proposal	Opposed		Informational sector-weighted votes were taken on each proposal, with results as follows: • PJM Package A – 1.395 • Gabel Package B – 4.308 • PJM Package C – 2.042 • EKPC Package D – 0.150 • EKPC Package E – 0.571 • EKPC Package F – 0.250	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-mc-voting-results---item-05b---package-d---ekpc.pdf
MC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Item 05B -E	CIFP – DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation: Review of all proposals developed through Stage 3 of the CIFP-DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation process.	CIFP/Emergent Issue	/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-item-05a---cifp-doe-202c-cost-allocation---options-and-packages-matrix.xls	Yes final	EKPC Proposal	Opposed		Informational sector-weighted votes were taken on each proposal, with results as follows: • PJM Package A – 1.395 • Gabel Package B – 4.308 • PJM Package C – 2.042 • EKPC Package D – 0.150 • EKPC Package E – 0.571 • EKPC Package F – 0.250	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-mc-voting-results---item-05b---package-e---ekpc.pdf
MC	6/18/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-agenda.pdf	Item 05B -F	CIFP – DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation: Review of all proposals developed through Stage 3 of the CIFP-DOE 202(c) Cost Allocation process.	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-item-05a---cifp-doe-202c-cost-allocation---options-and-packages-matrix.xls	Yes final	EKPC Proposal	Opposed		Informational sector-weighted votes were taken on each proposal, with results as follows: • PJM Package A – 1.395 • Gabel Package B – 4.308 • PJM Package C – 2.042 • EKPC Package D – 0.150 • EKPC Package E – 0.571 • EKPC Package F – 0.250	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250618/20250618-mc-voting-results---item-05b---package-f---ekpc.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Conforming revisions to Manual 10: Pre-Scheduling Operations, Manual 11: Energy & Ancillary Services Market Operations, Manual 14D: Generator Operational Requirements, Manual 21B: PJM Rules and Procedures for Determination of Generating Capability, Manual 27: Open Access Transmission Tariff Accounting, and Manual 28: Operating Agreement Accounting addressing market rules around hybrid resources (Docket # ER25-1095).	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-consent-agenda-c---1-hybrids-phase-3-conforming-manual-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention:	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---7232025.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D	Proposed Manual 14C: Generation & Transmission Interconnection Facility Construction revisions as a result of its periodic review.	Interconnection	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-consent-agenda-d---1-manual-14c-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention:	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---7232025.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item E	Conforming revisions to Manual 18: PJM Capacity Market addressing capacity market rules approved in FERC Dockets: ER25-682, ER25-785, ER24-2995, and ER25-1357.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-consent-agenda-e---1-manual-18-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention:	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---7232025.pdf

MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Operating Reserves Clarification: PJM will review the PJM/IMM proposal regarding Operating Reserve Clarification Credit Reform.	Risk/Credit	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-02---01-bor-credit-reform---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Abstained		The proposal was endorsed in a sector-weighted vote with 4.611 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-02---01-bor-credit-reform---presentation.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Manual 14H: New Service Requests Cycle Process Revisions: PJM will review conforming revisions to Manual 14H: New Service Requests Cycle Process addressing the cycle process and site control modifications in FERC Order in Docket No. ER25-1544.	Interconnection	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-03---1-manual-14h-site-control-changes---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The conforming revisions were approved by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-03---1-manual-14h-site-control-changes---presentation.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 04	2027/2028 Base Residual Auction (BRA) Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) and Forecast Pool Requirement (FPR): PJM will provide an update on the 2027/2028 RPM BRA IRM and FPR.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-04---1-2027-2028-bra-fpr-and-irm---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Abstained		The proposal failed at first read in a sector-weighted vote with 3.262 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-04---1-2027-2028-bra-fpr-and-irm---presentation.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 05	Sub-Annual Capacity Market Issue Charge: Jacob Finkel, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, will review a proposed Problem Statement and Issue Charge to address a sub-annual capacity market.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-05---0-revised-sub-annual-auction-issue-charge---presentation.pdf	No.	PA Proposal	Endorsed		The Issue Charge was approved in a sector-weighted vote with 2.829 in favor (N.B.: the threshold for approval for issue charges is simple majority, or a 2.500 sector-weighted vote).	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-05---0-revised-sub-annual-auction-issue-charge---presentation.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 06	Dual Fuel Capacity Definitions: Dominion will review a proposed Problem Statement, Issue Charge and proposed solution addressing modifications to the Dual Fuel Capacity Definitions contained in the Reliability Assurance Agreement to expand the definition of dual fuel resources to encompass dedicated fuel sources that are not strictly “onsite.”	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-06---1-dual-fuel-definition---problem-statement.pdf	Yes final	Dominion Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The Issue Charge was approved, and the proposed solution and corresponding RAA revisions were endorsed as part of the Quick Fix process outlined in Section 8.6.1 of Manual 34 by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-06---1-dual-fuel-definition---problem-statement.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 07 A	Storage Integration (Phase II): Transmission Asset Utilization in Operations	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-07a---pjm-sata-phase-2-updated---issue-charge.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		The PJM Issue Charge failed in a sector-weighted vote with 2.394 in favor. Exelon withdrew the Exelon proposed alternate Issue Charge. The Constellation alternate Issue Charge was approved by acclamation with two objections and no abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-07a---pjm-sata-phase-2-updated---issue-charge.pdf
MRC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 07 B	Storage Integration (Phase II): Transmission Asset Utilization in Operations	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-07b---constellation-sata-revised-issue-charge.pdf	Yes final	Constellation Proposal	Opposed		The PJM Issue Charge failed in a sector-weighted vote with 2.394 in favor. Exelon withdrew the Exelon proposed alternate Issue Charge. The Constellation alternate Issue Charge was approved by acclamation with two objections and no abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-07b---constellation-sata-revised-issue-charge.pdf

MC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA), and Operating Agreement (OA) revisions as endorsed by the Governing Documents Enhancements and Clarifications Subcommittee (GDECS).	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250723/20250723-consent-agenda-b---1-gdecs-governing-document-revisions---presentation-(1).pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		Items A and B were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---7232025.pdf
MC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Nominating Committee (NC) Elections: PJM will review the sector nominees for the 2025-2026 Nominating Committee.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-01---elections---presentation.pdf	Yes final	Sector Proposals	Endorsed by Acclamation		The nominees were elected by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---7232025.pdf
MC	7/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250723/20250723-agenda.pdf	Item 02	2027/2028 Base Residual Auction (BRA) Installed Reserve Margin (IRM) and Forecast Pool Requirement (FPR): PJM will provide an update on the 2027/2028 RPM BRA IRM and FPR.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250723/20250723-item-02---2027-2028-bra-fpr-and-irm---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal			Exelon did not vote. The proposed IRM and FPR failed in a sector-weighted vote with 3.167 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---7232025.pdf
ELCCSTF	7/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-agenda.pdf	Item 03 A	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: PJM will lead a discussion on proposed packages.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-item-03---elcc-accreditation-methodology---options-and-packages-matrix---updated.xls	No.	Vistra Proposal	Opposed		Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20251209/20251209-item-01---draft-minutes---elccstf-07252025.pdf
ELCCSTF	7/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-agenda.pdf	Item 03 B1	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: PJM will lead a discussion on proposed packages.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-item-03---elcc-accreditation-methodology---options-and-packages-matrix---updated.xls	No.	MMU Proposal	Opposed		Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-voting-result-report---elcc-accreditation-methodology---july-31-2025.pdf
ELCCSTF	7/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-agenda.pdf	Item 03 B2	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: PJM will lead a discussion on proposed packages.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-item-03---elcc-accreditation-methodology---options-and-packages-matrix---updated.xls	No.	MMU Proposal	Opposed		Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-voting-result-report---elcc-accreditation-methodology---july-31-2025.pdf
ELCCSTF	7/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-agenda.pdf	Item 03 C	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: PJM will lead a discussion on proposed packages.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-item-03d---pjm-proposal---executive-summary.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed		Proposal Passed	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-voting-result-report---elcc-accreditation-methodology---july-31-2025.pdf

ELCCSTF	7/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-agenda.pdf	Item 03 D	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: PJM will lead a discussion on proposed packages.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-item-03e---ekpc-solution-package.pdf	No.	EKPC Proposal	Opposed		Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-voting-result-report---elcc-accreditation-methodology---july-31-2025.pdf
ELCCSTF	7/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-agenda.pdf	Item 03 F	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: PJM will lead a discussion on proposed packages.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-item-03a---odec-solution-package.pdf	No.	ODEC Proposal	Opposed		Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/elccstf/2025/20250725/20250725-voting-result-report---elcc-accreditation-methodology---july-31-2025.pdf
PC	8/5/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Manual 07 - PJM Protection Standards - Periodic Review: PJM will provide an update on the revisions to Manual 07 as part of the periodic review. The Planning Committee will be asked to endorse the proposed revisions at this meeting.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-item-03---1-manual-07-version-5-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The Planning Committee endorsed the proposed revisions by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions at this meeting.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-minutes.pdf
PC	8/5/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-agenda.pdf	Item 04	Relay Subcommittee Charter and Relay Testing Subcommittee Update: PJM will review the revised Relay Subcommittee (RS) Charter and also recommend sunseting the Relay Testing Subcommittee (RTS).	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-item-04---1-rs-charter--rts-update---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The Planning Committee approved the RS Charter and the sunset of the RTS by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions at this meeting.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-minutes.pdf
PC	8/5/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-agenda.pdf	Item 05	Manual 21B - PJM Rules and Procedures for Determination of Generating Capability Update: PJM will present revisions to Manual 21B conforming to the FERC Order (Docket number - ER25-1813) addressing additions/updates to the ELCC Classes.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-item-05---1-manual-21b-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The Planning Committee endorsed these revisions by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions at this meeting.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250805/20250805-minutes.pdf
MIC	8/6/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Offer Capping for Resources Scheduled Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market: Monitoring Analytics will present a second read of a revised problem statement and issue charge regarding Phase 2 of Offer Capping for Resources Scheduled Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-item-01-1---offer-capping-for-resources-scheduled-prior-to-the-dam---revised-issue-charge---clean.pdf	No.	IMM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The committee approved the issue charge at this meeting by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-minutes.pdf
MIC	8/6/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Manual Changes for Regulation Market Redesign: PJM will present a second read on proposed revisions addressing organizational and conforming changes for Manual 11: Energy & Ancillary Services Market Operations, Manual 15: Cost Development Guidelines, & Manual 28: Operating Agreement Accounting regarding Regulation Market Redesign.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-item-02-1a---regulation-redesign-phase-1---manual-11-revisions-redline.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The committee endorsed the revisions at this meeting by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-minutes.pdf

MIC	8/6/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Wind and Solar Resource Dispatch in Real-time Market Clearing Engines: PJM will present a second read on a joint solution proposal developed at the Distributed Resources Subcommittee regarding wind and solar resource dispatch in the Real-time market clearing engines.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-item-04---options--packages-matrix--offer-capping-for-resources-scheduled-prior-to-the-day-ahead-energy-market.xls	No.	PJM Proposal	Abstained		The committee endorsed the solution proposal at this meeting with 187 (98.9%) in favor, 2 (1.1%) opposed, and 21 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 181 (95.8%) in favor, 8 (4.2%) opposed, and 21 abstentions. The solution proposal will move on to the Markets and Reliability Committee as the main motion with 98.9% support and 95.8% preference over the status quo.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250806/20250806-minutes.pdf
OC	8/7/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Manual 12: Balancing Operations: PJM review conforming changes associated with the Regulation Market Design – Phase 1: Manual 12, Rev 56 and the Regulation Market Design changes.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-item-02---1-manual-12---revision-56---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The Operating Committee endorsed these changes at today's meeting with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-minutes---oc---872025.pdf
OC	8/7/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Manual 14D: Generator Operational Requirements: PJM will review changes to PJM's Manual 14D including the revised deactivation process approved by the DESTF phase and conforming to FERC Docket ER25-1501.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-item-03---1-manual-14d---revision-69---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The Operating Committee endorsed these changes at today's meeting with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-minutes---oc---872025.pdf
OC	8/7/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-agenda.pdf	Item 04	Manual 13: Emergency Operations: PJM will review Manual 13 changes as a part of the periodic review	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-item-04---1-manual-13---revision-96---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation		The Operating Committee endorsed these changes at today's meeting with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20250807/20250807-minutes---oc---872025.pdf
MRC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda-doc.docx	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 11: Energy & Ancillary Services Market Operations, Manual 12: Balancing Operations, Manual 15: Cost Development Guidelines, and Manual 28: Operating Agreement Accounting addressing organizational and conforming revisions for regulation market redesign changes resulting from the Regulation Market Design Phase 1 (FERC Docket ER24-1772),	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-c---01-regulation-redesign-phase-1---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes, because there were no direct identifiable impact to customers and changes are intended to support battery participation in the PJM regulation market. Supports the quality of service to NJ Ratepayers (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.).	Item C was approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250825/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---8202025.pdf
MRC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda-doc.docx	Item 02	RPM Seller Credit: PJM will review a proposed solution addressing a review of RPM seller credit provisions for market participants. The committee will be asked to endorse the proposed solution and corresponding Tariff revisions at this meeting	Risk/Credit	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-item-02---1-rpm-seller-credit---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes because ACE supports efforts to ensure robust credit and risk management practices are incorporated into PJM's markets to mitigate risk to all members, including ACE and its customers. Supports provisions of safe, adequate and proper service and furthers the goals and purposes set forth in N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5(b)(3).	Following discussion, the proposed solution and associated draft Tariff revisions were endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250825/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---8202025.pdf
MRC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda-doc.docx	Item 03	Elimination of First Use: PJM will review a proposed solution eliminating “first-use” in PJM distribution-level interconnections. The committee will be asked to endorse the proposed solution and corresponding Tariff revisions at this meeting.	Interconnection	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-item-03---1-elimination-of-first-use---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes because this allows studies to be completed by the EDC rather than the RTO, which maximizes efficiency and creates a simpler process for small generators and DER Aggregators, which supports access to affordable and reliable electric power and natural gas service (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	Following discussion, the proposed solution and associated draft Tariff revisions were endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250825/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---8202025.pdf

MRC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda-doc.docx	Item 04B	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: PJM will review its proposal (Package C) which was the main motion package.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-item-04b---1-pjm-elccstf-proposal-c---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Abstained	While ACE understands that the intention is to better value the ability for the individual resources to contribute to grid stability during tight system conditions and emergencies, the effect of a change to ELCC directly affects the capacity clearing prices. This would have an direct effect on the price of electricity and customer bills, at a time where stability and affordability are primary concern. It was unclear how the proposed changes could impact prices. (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	Following discussion, the main motion failed in a sector-weighted vote with 1.533 in favor. Package F failed in a sector-weighted vote with 3.150 in favor. Package B1 also failed in a sector-weighted vote with 1.791 in favor; https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---8202025.pdf
MRC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda-doc.docx	Item 04C	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: ODEC will move and SMECO, will second a proposed alternative solution (Package F).	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-item-04c---elcctf-alternative-solution-package-f---presentation.pdf	No.	ODEC Proposal	Abstained	While ACE understands that the intention is to better value the ability for the individual resources to contribute to grid stability during tight system conditions and emergencies, the effect of a change to ELCC directly affects the capacity clearing prices. This would have an direct effect on the price of electricity and customer bills, at a time where stability and affordability are primary concern. It was unclear how the proposed changes could impact prices. (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	Following discussion, the main motion failed in a sector-weighted vote with 1.533 in favor. Package F failed in a sector-weighted vote with 3.150 in favor. Package B1 also failed in a sector-weighted vote with 1.791 in favor; https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---8202025.pdf
MRC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda-doc.docx	Item 04D	ELCC Accreditation Methodology: The IMM will seek a mover and second for a proposed alternative solution (Package B1).	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-item-04d---1-elccstf-imm-proposal---presentation.pdf	No.	IMM Proposal	Abstained	While ACE understands that the intention is to better value the ability for the individual resources to contribute to grid stability during tight system conditions and emergencies, the effect of a change to ELCC directly affects the capacity clearing prices. This would have an direct effect on the price of electricity and customer bills, at a time where stability and affordability are primary concern. It was unclear how the proposed changes could impact prices. (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	Following discussion, the main motion failed in a sector-weighted vote with 1.533 in favor. Package F failed in a sector-weighted vote with 3.150 in favor. Package B1 also failed in a sector-weighted vote with 1.791 in favor; https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250820/20250820-mrc-summarized-voting-results.pdf	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---8202025.pdf
MC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Tariff and Operating Agreement (OA) revisions addressing Operating Reserve Clarification Credit Reform.	Billing/Settlements	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-b---01-border-credit-reform---presentation.pdf	Yes final	IMM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes, because these tariff revisions could support cost causation principles that would be beneficial to our customers, as well as ensuring overall system operations reliability. This furthers the goals and purposes set forth in P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:39 et al.)	Items A through D were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---8202025.pdf
MC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA) revisions addressing dual fuel capacity definitions contained in the RAA to expand the definition of dual fuel resources to encompass dedicated fuel sources that are not strictly "onsite".	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-consent-agenda-c---raa-article-i-definitions-dual-fuel-proposal---redline.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes, because this creates additional clarifications regarding fuel sources to ensure resources with unique fuel configurations are qualified appropriately as dual fuel resources. It is a measure that helps gas-fired generators who are exploring alternative fuel options to qualify as RAA, strengthening the grid, in support of P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.).	Items A through D were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---8202025.pdf
MC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Generation Owner (GO) Sector Whip Election: Michele Greening will review the sector nominee for 2025 GO sector whip. The committee will be asked to elect the GO sector whip upon first read.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-item-01---elections---presentation.pdf	Yes final	Sector Proposals	Endorsed	This was an administrative vote that ACE does not believe has a bearing on the subject goals.	Items A through D were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---8202025.pdf

MC	8/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D/ Items 02	Regulation Market Manual 15 Revisions: PJM will review proposed revisions to Manual 15: Cost Development guidelines addressing organizational and conforming revisions for regulation market redesign changes resulting from the Regulation Market Design Phase 1 (FERC Docket ER24-1772).	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250820/20250820-item-02---1-manual-15-revisions-regulation-redesign-phase-1---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes, because there were no direct identifiable impact to customers and changes are intended to support battery participation in the PJM regulation market. Supports the quality of service to NJ Ratepayers (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	This item was moved to the Consent Agenda. Items A through D were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---8202025.pdf
DOESTF	9/3/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/202cstf/2025/20250903/20250903-agenda.pdf	Item 04	PJM will lead a discussion on proposed Cost Allocation packages. Stakeholders will be given an opportunity to review and discuss final solution packages ahead of the offline vote.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/202cstf/2025/20250903/20250903-item-04---202cstf---options--packages-matrix.xlsx	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed	Yes, this vote furthers reliability and fair cost allocation because it spread the costs incurred under DOE 202C fairly across all load, and kept units online to address resource adequacy concerns. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/202cstf/2025/20250903/20250903-voting-results-report---doe-202c-cost-allocation-senior-task-force.pdf	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/task-forces/202cstf/2025/20250903/20250903-draft-minutes---202cstf---9032025.pdf
PC	9/9/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250909/20250909-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Manual 14H – Interim Deliverability Study Procedures: PJM will provide a second read of the Quick Fix Issue Charge and Problem Statement for revisions to Manual 14H regarding Interim Deliverability Study Procedures.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250909/20250909-item-03a---manual--14h---interim-deliverability-study-procedure---issue-charge.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed	ACE Supported this initiative because it creates a path for generators that fail interim deliverability studies, but are able to inject some energy without causing network overloads to operate as energy-only until they complete their full network upgrades. This will provide much needed support to the PJM grid during a time where PJM is experiencing more frequent emergency actions. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Planning Committee approved the Issue Charge and endorsed the proposed solution addressing Interim Deliverability Study Procedures, as amended, with 164 (93.2%) in favor, 12 (6.8%) opposed, and 0 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the proposed solution, as amended, over status quo, with 100% in favor, 0 opposed, and 11 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250909/20250909-minutes.pdf
PC	9/9/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250909/20250909-agenda.pdf	Item 04	Expansion of Provisional Services: PJM will provide a second read of the Issue Charge and Problem Statement to explore the expansion of Provisional Service to permit projects, under certain circumstances, to safely and reliably generate power on an energy-only basis prior to the completion of certain interconnection studies.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250909/20250909-item-04---expansion-of-provisional-services.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported this initiative because it creates a path for generators that fail interim deliverability studies, but are able to inject some energy without causing network overloads to operate as energy-only until they complete their full network upgrades. This will provide much needed support to the PJM grid during a time where PJM is experiencing more frequent emergency actions. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Planning Committee approved the Issue Charge by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20250909/20250909-minutes.pdf
MIC	9/10/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Resource Scheduling Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market: PJM will present a second read of a solution proposal for Phase 1 of Offer Capping for Resources Scheduled Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market within the matrix.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-item-01---options--packages-matrix---resource-scheduling-prior-to-the-day-ahead-energy-market.xls	No.	PJM Proposal	Abstained	ACE Supports this initiative as it caps offer prices for resources committed before the Day-Ahead Energy Market. ACE subsequently endorsed this measure by acclamation at the MC and MRC level. This action which is often used for conservative operations during strained conditions protects consumers from excessive electricity costs by ensuring that generation is committed at the actual fuel costs rather than inflated market prices. (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the solution proposal at this meeting with 183 (98.4%) in favor, 3 (1.6%) opposed, and 15 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 184 (98.4%) in favor, 3 (1.6%) opposed, and 14 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20251009/20251009-draft-minutes---mic---9102025.pdf
MIC	9/10/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-agenda.pdf	Item 02 - A1a	Quadrennial Review:	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-item-01---options--packages-matrix---resource-scheduling-prior-to-the-day-ahead-energy-market.xls	No.	PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource)	Endorsed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource) at this meeting with 113 (54.9%) in favor, 93 (45.1%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders did not prefer the solution proposal over the status quo with 91 (44.0%) in favor, 116 (56.0%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. The committee endorsed the LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve) at this meeting with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 114 (55.6%) in	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-minutes.pdf

MIC	9/10/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-agenda.pdf	Item 02 - A1b	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-item-01---options--packages-matrix---resource-scheduling-prior-to-the-day-ahead-energy-market.xls	No.	PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CC as the reference resource)	Endorsed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource) at this meeting with 113 (54.9%) in favor, 93 (45.1%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders did not prefer the solution proposal over the status quo with 91 (44.0%) in favor, 116 (56.0%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. The committee endorsed the LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve) at this meeting with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-minutes.pdf
MIC	9/10/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-agenda.pdf	Item 02 - B	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-item-02-1b---quadrennial-review-proposal---imm.pdf	No.	IMM Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource) at this meeting with 113 (54.9%) in favor, 93 (45.1%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders did not prefer the solution proposal over the status quo with 91 (44.0%) in favor, 116 (56.0%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. The committee endorsed the LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve) at this meeting with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-minutes.pdf
MIC	9/10/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-agenda.pdf	Item 02 - C1a	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-item-01---options--packages-matrix---resource-scheduling-prior-to-the-day-ahead-energy-market.xls	No.	LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve)	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource) at this meeting with 113 (54.9%) in favor, 93 (45.1%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders did not prefer the solution proposal over the status quo with 91 (44.0%) in favor, 116 (56.0%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. The committee endorsed the LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve) at this meeting with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-minutes.pdf
MIC	9/10/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-agenda.pdf	Item 02 - C1b	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-item-01---options--packages-matrix---resource-scheduling-prior-to-the-day-ahead-energy-market.xls	No.	LS Power Package (using 2018 VRR curve)	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource) at this meeting with 113 (54.9%) in favor, 93 (45.1%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders did not prefer the solution proposal over the status quo with 91 (44.0%) in favor, 116 (56.0%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. The committee endorsed the LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve) at this meeting with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-minutes.pdf
MIC	9/10/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-agenda.pdf	Item 02 - D	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-item-02-1a---quadrennial-review---joint-proposal---pjm---vc-barrow-pa-puc.pdf	No.	VC Barrow PA PUC Package	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource) at this meeting with 113 (54.9%) in favor, 93 (45.1%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders did not prefer the solution proposal over the status quo with 91 (44.0%) in favor, 116 (56.0%) opposed, and 24 abstentions. The committee endorsed the LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve) at this meeting with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders preferred the solution proposal over the status quo with 114 (55.6%) in favor, 91 (44.4%) opposed, and 26 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20250910/20250910-minutes.pdf

MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 07: PJM Protection Standards resulting from its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-07-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Revises Manual 07 to expand relay communication requirements, adding reporting open circuit conditions for station batteries and include additional detail on transformer high-side lead protection. ACE believes clarity on this issue furthers the state policy goals. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 13: Emergency Operations as a result of its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-c---1-manual-13-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Updated references to NERC standards and PJM OATT. Clarified PJM curtailment of external transaction during Max Emergency Gen Action. Also clarified voltage reduction is to support transfer capacities across PJM and rules related to manual load dump implementation. ACE believes clarity on this issue furthers the state policy goals. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D/ Items 02	Conforming revisions to Manual 21B: PJM Rules and Procedures for Determination of Generating Capability addressing additions and updates to the Effective Load Carrying Capability (ELCC) Classes.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-d---1-manual-21b-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	This change adds the oil-fired combustion turbine and waste to energy steam classes as separate categories for resources accreditation, starting with eh 2027/28 BRA. This aids resource adequacy by allowing PJM to provide ELCC modeling with more performance data than if each unit was looked at individually. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item E	proposed revisions to Manual 33: Administrative Services for the PJM Interconnection Operating Agreement addressing updates resulting from the Deactivation Enhancements Senior Task Force (DESTF) Phase 1 (FERC Docket ER25-1501) and from its periodic Manual review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-d---1-manual-21b-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Overall cleanup to Manual 33 providing changes to contact management and reliability ust run cost estimates. We believe clarity on this issue furthers the state policy goals. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through E were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 02	DER Regulation Market Only Participation at NEM Customer Sites: PJM will review a proposed solution addressing Distributed Energy Resource (DER) regulation market only participation at Net Energy Metered (NEM) sites.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-02---1-der-regulation-market-only-participation-at-nem-customer-sites---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Abstained	Measure would allow BTM BESS to participate in regulation markets, but this is ahead of the FERC Order 2222 compliance date of Feb 2, 2028. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The proposed solution and associated draft Operating Agreement (OA) and Tariff revisions were endorsed by acclamation with no objections and four abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Interim Deliverability Study Procedures: PJM will review a proposed solution and corresponding revisions to Manual 14H: New Service Requests Cycle Process addressing interim deliverability study procedures.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-03---1-interim-deliverability-study-procedures---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported this initiative because it creates a path for generators that fail interim deliverability studies, but are able to inject some energy without causing network overloads to operate as energy-only until they complete their full network upgrades. This will provide much needed support to the PJM grid during a time where PJM is experiencing more frequent emergency actions. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The proposed solution and associated draft manual revisions were endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 04	DOE 202c Senior Task Force: PJM will review the proposed solution addressing cost allocation issues related to DOE orders as endorsed by the DOE 202c Cost Allocation Senior Task Force (202CSTF). The committee will be asked to endorse the proposed solution and corresponding Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA) upon first read at this meeting.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-04---1-202cstf-proposal-overview---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed	Yes, this vote furthers reliability and fair cost allocation because it spread the costs incurred under DOE 202C fairly across all load, and kept units online to address resource adequacy concerns. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The proposed solution and associated draft Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA) revisions were endorsed in a sector-weighted vote with 3.623 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 05 - A1A	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-05a---quadrennial-review-joint-proposals---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource)	Endorsed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Advisory voting was conducted on all proposals with the following results: • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 1(CT) – 3.767 in favor • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 2 (CC) – 1.407 in favor • IMM Proposal – 1.854 favor • LS Power Proposal 1 (existing VRR curve) – 1.823 in favor • LS Power Proposal 2 (2018 VRR curve) – 1.37 in favor • PAPUC Proposal – 0.896 in favor	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf

MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 05 - A1B	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-05---quadrennial-review-matrix.xls	No.	PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CC as the reference resource)	Endorsed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Advisory voting was conducted on all proposals with the following results: • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 1(CT) – 3.767 in favor • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 2 (CC) – 1.407 in favor • IMM Proposal – 1.854 favor • LS Power Proposal 1 (existing VRR curve) – 1.823 in favor • LS Power Proposal 2 (2018 VRR curve) – 1.37 in favor • PAPUC Proposal – 0.896 in favor	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 05 - B	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-05b---quadrennial-review-imm-proposal---presentation.pdf	No.	IMM Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Advisory voting was conducted on all proposals with the following results: • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 1(CT) – 3.767 in favor • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 2 (CC) – 1.407 in favor • IMM Proposal – 1.854 favor • LS Power Proposal 1 (existing VRR curve) – 1.823 in favor • LS Power Proposal 2 (2018 VRR curve) – 1.37 in favor • PAPUC Proposal – 0.896 in favor	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 05 - C1a	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-05---quadrennial-review-matrix.xls	No.	LS Power Package (using existing VRR curve)	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Advisory voting was conducted on all proposals with the following results: • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 1(CT) – 3.767 in favor • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 2 (CC) – 1.407 in favor • IMM Proposal – 1.854 favor • LS Power Proposal 1 (existing VRR curve) – 1.823 in favor • LS Power Proposal 2 (2018 VRR curve) – 1.37 in favor • PAPUC Proposal – 0.896 in favor	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 05 - C1b	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-05---quadrennial-review-matrix.xls	No.	LS Power Package (using 2018 VRR curve)	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Advisory voting was conducted on all proposals with the following results: • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 1(CT) – 3.767 in favor • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 2 (CC) – 1.407 in favor • IMM Proposal – 1.854 favor • LS Power Proposal 1 (existing VRR curve) – 1.823 in favor • LS Power Proposal 2 (2018 VRR curve) – 1.37 in favor • PAPUC Proposal – 0.896 in favor	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 05 - D	Quadrennial Review	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-05---quadrennial-review-matrix.xls	No.	VC Barrow PA PUC Package	Opposed	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Advisory voting was conducted on all proposals with the following results: • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 1(CT) – 3.767 in favor • PJM/PAPUC Proposal 2 (CC) – 1.407 in favor • IMM Proposal – 1.854 favor • LS Power Proposal 1 (existing VRR curve) – 1.823 in favor • LS Power Proposal 2 (2018 VRR curve) – 1.37 in favor • PAPUC Proposal – 0.896 in favor	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MRC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 06	Regulation Market Design Manual Revision: PJM will review a conforming revision to Manual 11: Energy & Ancillary Services Market Operations addressing regulation market redesign changes resulting from the Regulation Market Design Phase 1 (FERC Docket ER24-1772).	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-06---1-regulation-market-manual-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed	Yes, because there were no direct identifiable impact to customers and changes are intended to support battery participation in the PJM regulation market. Supports the quality of service to NJ Ratepayers (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The proposed manual revisions were endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---9252025.pdf
MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process documenting the expectations and process for temporary vacancies of the MC Chair or Vice Chair.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-34-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE supports this item because it creates a method to quickly backfill the chair of the MC when vacated either temporarily or permanently before the end of a the current Chair's term. This prevents disruption in the stakeholder process. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with seven objections and ten abstentions to item E, and no objections and one abstention overall to the remainder of the items	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf
MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed Tariff revisions addressing a review of RPM seller credit provisions for market participants.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-c---1-rpm-seller-credit---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes because ACE supports efforts to ensure robust credit and risk management practices are incorporated into PJM's markets to mitigate risk to all members, including ACE and its customers. Supports provisions of safe, adequate and proper service and furthers the goals and purposes set forth in N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5(b)(3).	Items A through F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with seven objections and ten abstentions to item E, and no objections and one abstention overall to the remainder of the items	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf
MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D	Proposed Tariff revisions addressing eliminating “first-use” in PJM distribution-level interconnections.	Interconnection	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-consent-agenda-d---1-elimination-of-first-use---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes because this allows studies to be completed by the EDC rather than the RTO, which maximizes efficiency and creates a simpler process for small generators and DER Aggregators, which supports access to affordable and reliable electric power and natural gas service (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	Items A through F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with seven objections and ten abstentions to item E, and no objections and one abstention overall to the remainder of the items	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf

MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item E/Item 03 and 04	Proposed solution and associated draft Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA) and Manual 34: PJM Stakeholder Process revisions addressing cost allocation issues related to DOE orders as endorsed by the DOE 202c Cost Allocation Senior Task Force (202CSTF)	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-03---1-202cstf-proposal-overview---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes, this vote furthers reliability and fair cost allocation because it spread the costs incurred under DOE 202C fairly across all load, and kept units online to address resource adequacy concerns. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with seven objections and ten abstentions to item E, and no objections and one abstention overall to the remainder of the items	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf
MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item F/Item 05	Adopting the results of Markets & Reliability Committee advisory voting on Quadrennial Review proposals as follows	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-05---quadrennial-review-matrix.xls	Yes final	PJM & VC Barrow Package (using CT as reference resource)	Endorsed by Acclamation	Yes, because the proposals raised by PJM & VC Barrows sent the appropriate market signals to market participants that would ensure affordability for end use customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with seven objections and ten abstentions to item E, and no objections and one abstention overall to the remainder of the items	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf
MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 01	PJM Board of Managers Nominating Committee (NC): PJM will review the activities of the NC	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-01---nc-announcement-regarding-board-candidates-with-cvs.pdf	Yes final	NC Proposal	Endorsed	Yes, because ACE supports the NC recommendation to fill the vacancies on the board as it believes is in the interest of good RTO governance, which in turn supports state policy goals.. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Members proceeded with the other agenda items while ballots were cast and tallied. Following the balloting, Bob Ethier and Le Xie were elected to three-year terms.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf
MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Election of Sector Finance Committee Representative: PJM will review the sector nominee for 2025 TO Finance Committee sector representative.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-02---elections---presentation.pdf	Yes final	Sector Proposals	Endorsed	Yes, because ACE supports the election of First Energy's Jeff Stuchell to fill in the role vacated by his colleague, as it believes is in the interest of good RTO governance, which in turn supports state policy goals. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Following discussion, Jeff Stuchell was elected to complete a term as a Transmission Owner Sector representative on the Finance Committee through the end of 2026.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf
MC	9/25/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-agenda.pdf	Item 06	CIR Transfer Efficiency: PJM will review FERC's ruling on the CIR Transfer Efficiency package and will review proposed changes PJM will file to mitigate the identified issues.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20250925/20250925-item-06---1-enhance-cir-transfer-efficiency---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE supports efforts to improve CIR transfer efficiency as ability to utilize existing interconnection rights can allow new generation to interconnect more quickly helping resource adequacy and affordability.(P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Following discussion, the proposed solution and associated draft Tariff revisions were endorsed by acclamation with two objections and no abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes-9252025.pdf
GDECS	9/30/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/subcommittees/gdecs/2025/20250930/20250930-agenda.pdf	Item 03/Item 04	PJM will present proposed Tariff, Operating Agreement, and Reliability Assurance Agreement revisions. The subcommittee will be asked to vote on the proposed revisions following this meeting.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/subcommittees/gdecs/2025/20250930/20250930-item-03---pjm-market-rules-gdecs-revised-chart.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed	ACE supported the Tariff and Operating Agreement changes brought through the GDECS because they updated the PJM governing documents to be consistent with FERC's ruling in ER25-785 on Winter Capacity Performance Resources, which ACE believes has no bearing on the subject goals of (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)		N/A
OC	10/8/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251008/20251008-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Manual 03A: EMS Model Updates & Quality Assurance PJM will review Manual 03A changes as a part of the periodic review	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251008/20251008-item-02---1-manual-03a---revision-26---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 03A, which ACE believes has no bearing on the subject goals of (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	PJM, reviewed Manual 03A changes as a part of the periodic review. The Operating Committee endorsed these changes with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251008/20251008-minutes.pdf
RMC	10/22/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251022-agenda.pdf	Item 02 A	Minimum Capitalization Rules	Risk/Credit	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251022-item-02---minimum-capitalization---matrix.xls	No.	Energy Co-op	Opposed	ACE supports the PJM/IMM joint package for Minimum Capitalization Rules. We believe that it strikes the right balance between financial stability and inclusivity while preserving market access. Ensuring financially strong market participants within the PJM markets protects ACE and its customers, further supporting affordability. ACE does not support the Energy Co-op and Hexis packages. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed package G with 195 (84.1%) in favor, 37 (15.9%) opposed and 1 abstention. A second vote showed stakeholders prefer package G over status quo with 171 (73.3%) in favor, 61 (26.3%) opposed and 1 abstention. Package C failed with 16 (7.5%) in favor, 196 (92.5%) opposed and 21 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders do not prefer Package C over status quo with 11 (5.2%) in favor, 202 (94.8%) opposed and 20 abstentions. Package H failed with 29 (12.5%) in favor, 203 (87.5%) opposed and 1 abstention. A second vote showed stakeholders do not prefer Package H over status quo with 35 (15.1%) in favor, 197 (84.9%) opposed and 1 abstention.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251022-draft-minutes---rmc---10222025.pdf

RMC	10/22/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251022-agenda.pdf	Item 02 B	Minimum Capitalization Rules	Risk/Credit	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251022-item-02---minimum-capitalization---matrix.xls	No.	PJM/IMM	Endorsed	ACE supports the PJM/IMM joint package for Minimum Capitalization Rules. We believe that it strikes the right balance between financial stability and inclusivity while preserving market access. Ensuring financially strong market participants within the PJM markets protects ACE and its customers, further supporting affordability. ACE does not support the Energy Co-op and Hexis packages. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed package G with 195 (84.1%) in favor, 37 (15.9%) opposed and 1 abstention. A second vote showed stakeholders prefer package G over status quo with 171 (73.3%) in favor, 61 (26.3%) opposed and 1 abstention. Package C failed with 16 (7.5%) in favor, 196 (92.5%) opposed and 21 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders do not prefer Package C over status quo with 11 (5.2%) in favor, 202 (94.8%) opposed and 20 abstentions. Package H failed with 29 (12.5%) in favor, 203 (87.5%) opposed and 1 abstention. A second vote showed stakeholders do not prefer Package H over status quo with 35 (15.1%) in favor, 197 (84.9%) opposed and 1 abstention.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251202-draft-minutes---rmc---10222025.pdf
RMC	10/22/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251022-agenda.pdf	Item 02 C	Minimum Capitalization Rules	Risk/Credit	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251022-item-02---minimum-capitalization---matrix.xls	No.	Hexis Energy	Opposed	ACE supports the PJM/IMM joint package for Minimum Capitalization Rules. We believe that it strikes the right balance between financial stability and inclusivity while preserving market access. Ensuring financially strong market participants within the PJM markets protects ACE and its customers, further supporting affordability. ACE does not support the Energy Co-op and Hexis packages. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed package G with 195 (84.1%) in favor, 37 (15.9%) opposed and 1 abstention. A second vote showed stakeholders prefer package G over status quo with 171 (73.3%) in favor, 61 (26.3%) opposed and 1 abstention. Package C failed with 16 (7.5%) in favor, 196 (92.5%) opposed and 21 abstentions. A second vote showed stakeholders do not prefer Package C over status quo with 11 (5.2%) in favor, 202 (94.8%) opposed and 20 abstentions. Package H failed with 29 (12.5%) in favor, 203 (87.5%) opposed and 1 abstention. A second vote showed stakeholders do not prefer Package H over status quo with 35 (15.1%) in favor, 197 (84.9%) opposed and 1 abstention.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/rmc/2025/20251022/20251202-draft-minutes---rmc---10222025.pdf
MRC	10/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 03A: Energy Management System (EMS) Model Updates and Quality Assurance (QA) resulting from its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-03a-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 03A, which ACE believes has no bearing on the subject goals of (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Item B was approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---10232025.pdf
MRC	10/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Wind and Solar Resource Dispatch in Real-time Market Clearing Engines: PJM will review a joint solution proposal developed at the Distributed Resources Subcommittee regarding wind and solar resource dispatch in the Real-time market clearing engines.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-item-01---1-wind-and-solar-dispatch-proposal---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE supports the enhancement of the dispatch methodology utilized with Solar and Wind resources. These suggestions aid in grid reliability by creating a methodology to more efficiently manage these resources while also supporting reliability. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The proposed solution and associated draft Operating Agreement (OA) and Tariff revisions were endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---10232025.pdf
MRC	10/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Resource Scheduling Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market: PJM will review a proposed solution addressing Phase 1 of Offer Capping for Resources Scheduled Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251023-item-02---1-advance-scheduled-resources-phase-1---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supports this initiative as it caps offer prices for resources committed before the Day-Ahead Energy Market. This action which is often used for conservative operations during strained conditions protects consumers from excessive electricity costs by ensuring that generation is committed at the actual fuel costs rather than inflated market prices. (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	The proposed solution and associated draft OA and Tariff revisions were endorsed by acclamation with two objections and one abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251023/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---10232025.pdf
MC	10/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed Operating Agreement (OA) and Tariff revisions addressing Distributed Energy Resource (DER) regulation market only participation at Net Energy Metered (NEM) sites.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-consent-agenda-b---1-der-regulation-market-only-participation-at-nem-customer-sites---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Abstained	Measure would allow BTM BESS to participate in regulation markets, but this is ahead of the FERC Order 2222 compliance date of Feb 2, 2028. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions for item A, no objections and two abstentions for item B, and no objections and one abstention for item C	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---10232025.pdf
MC	10/23/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C/ Item 01	Resource Scheduling Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market: PJM will review a proposed solution addressing Phase 1 of Offer Capping for Resources Scheduled Prior to the Day-Ahead Energy Market.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251023-item-01---1-advance-scheduled-resources-phase-1---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supports this initiative as it caps offer prices for resources committed before the Day-Ahead Energy Market. This action which is often used for conservative operations during strained conditions protects consumers from excessive electricity costs by ensuring that generation is committed at the actual fuel costs rather than inflated market prices. (P.L. 1999, c.23(C.48:39-49 et al.)	Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions for item A, no objections and two abstentions for item B, and no objections and one abstention for item C	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251023/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---10232025.pdf

OC	11/3/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Manual 03: Transmission Operations: PJM will review Manual 03 changes as part of the periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-item-02---1-manual-03---revision-69---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 03. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Operating Committee endorsed these changes with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-minutes.pdf
OC	11/3/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-agenda.pdf	Item 03	Manual 14D: Generator Operational Requirements: PJM will review Manual 14D changes as part of the periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-item-03---1-manual-14d---revision-70---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 14D. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Operating Committee endorsed these changes with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-minutes.pdf
OC	11/3/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-agenda.pdf	Item 04	Manual 39: Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination: PJM will review Manual 39 changes as part of the periodic review.	Interconnection	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-item-04---1-manual-39---revision-24---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 39. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Operating Committee endorsed these changes with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251103/20251103-minutes.pdf
MIC	11/5/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20251105/20251105-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Manual 18 Conforming Changes: PJM will present a second read of conforming changes to Manual 18 regarding DER (FERC Order 2222) participation in the 2028/29 Base Residual Auction as well as the Expanded Load Management availability requirement effective in the 2027/28 Delivery Year.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20251105/20251105-item-01b-2---manual-18-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE supports revisions to Manual 18 related to DER participation in the capacity market which are to avoid a potential market power mitigation, preventing DER for one type from being allowed to satisfy the commitment of another DER type. This supports reliability and affordability. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The committee endorsed the revisions at this meeting by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mic/2025/20251105/20251105-minutes.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 A	Voting Proposals on CIFF - Large Load	CIFF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01a---pjm-lla-ciff-stage-4-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3a---pjm-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 B	Voting Proposals on CIFF - Large Load	CIFF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01b---imm-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	IMM Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3b---imm-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 C	Voting Proposals on CIFF - Large Load	CIFF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01c---joint-stakeholder-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	Amazon, Calpine, Google, Constellation, Microsoft, Talen Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3c---joint-stakeholder-package---amazon-calpine-constellation-google-microsoft-talen.pdf

MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 D	Voting Proposals on CIFP - Large Load	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01d---data-center-coalition-governors-exelon-and-ppl-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	Data Center Coalition, Exelon, PPL, Governors Coalition Proposal	Endorsed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3d---data-center-coalition-governors-exelon-and-ppl-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 E	Voting Proposals on CIFP - Large Load	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01e---pjm-legislators-and-nrdc-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	PJM Legislators, NDRC Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3e---pjm-legislators-collaborative-and-nrdc-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 F	Voting Proposals on CIFP - Large Load	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01f---joint-consumer-advocates-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	Joint Consumer Advocates Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3f---joint-consumer-advocates-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 G	Voting Proposals on CIFP - Large Load	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01g---ekpc-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	EKPC Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3g---ekpc-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 H	Voting Proposals on CIFP - Large Load	CIFP/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01h---dominion-energy-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	Dominion Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3h---dominion-package.pdf

MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 I	Voting Proposals on CIPF - Large Load	CIPF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01i---ls-power-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	LS Power Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3i---ls-power-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 J	Voting Proposals on CIPF - Large Load	CIPF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01j---dr-coalition-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	DR Coalition Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3j---dr-coalition-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 K	Voting Proposals on CIPF - Large Load	CIPF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01k---pseg-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	PSEG Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3k---pseg-package.pdf
MC	11/19/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-agenda.pdf	Item 03 L	Voting Proposals on CIPF - Large Load	CIPF/Emergent Issue	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-item-01l---smeco-prd-package---executive-summary.pdf	Yes final	SMECO Proposal	Opposed	Yes, because ACE collaborated with the Governor's Office's Coalition, with included NJ's Governor, to develop a proposal to include focused on load forecasting enhancements, one-year price collar extension, DR products that could unlock additional flexibility from LLAs and a voluntary/state-sponsored BYOG flexibility, which align with state policy goals . (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Proposal Failed to Pass	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251119/20251119-mc-voting-results---agenda-item-3l---smeco-package.pdf
MRC	11/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 03: Transmission Operations resulting from its periodic review.	Transmission	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-03-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 03. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through D were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---11202025.pdf
MRC	11/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 39: Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination resulting from its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-consent-agenda-c---1-manual-39-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 39. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through D were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---11202025.pdf
MRC	11/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D	Proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA), and OA revisions as endorsed by the Governing Documents Enhancements and Clarifications Subcommittee (GDECS).	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-consent-agenda-d---1-gdecs-governing-document-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to GDECS. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through D were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---11202025.pdf

MRC	11/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Load Management and PRD Event Performance: PJM will review a proposed Problem Statement and Issue Charge addressing load management and price responsive demand (PRD) event performance.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251120/20251120-item-01---1-load-management-and-prd-event-performance---problem-statement.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE supported this problem statement and issue charge being raised as better performance by PRD and load management resources will aid resource adequacy and affordability for customers. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The proposed Issue Charge was approved by acclamation with one objection and no abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---11202025.pdf
MC	11/20/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251120/20251120-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Endorse/Approve draft Tariff and Operating Agreement (OA) revisions addressing the joint solution proposal regarding wind and solar resource dispatch in the Real-time market clearing engines.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251120/20251120-consent-agenda-b---1-wind-and-solar-dispatch-proposal---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Joint Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE supports the enhancement of the dispatch methodology utilized with Solar and Wind resources. These suggestions aid in grid reliability by creating a methodology to more efficiently manage these resources while also supporting reliability. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A and B were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-b---draft-mc-minutes---11202025.pdf
PC	12/2/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-agenda.pdf	Item 04	Manual 14B Periodic Review: PJM will provide an update on PJM Regional Transmission Planning Process revisions as part of its periodic review.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-item-04---1-manual-14b-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 14B. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Planning Committee endorsed these changes by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-minutes.pdf
PC	12/2/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-agenda.pdf	Item 05	Manual 19 Periodic Review: PJM will provide an update on Load Forecasting and Analysis revisions as part of its periodic review.	Planning	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-item-05---1-manual-19-revisions---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 19. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Planning Committee endorsed these changes by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-minutes.pdf
PC	12/2/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-agenda.pdf	Item 06	Order 2222 Compliance – Manual 20A and 21B: PJM will provide an update on Manual 20A: Resource Adequacy Analysis and Manual 21B: PJM Rules and Procedures for Determination of Generating Capability conforming revisions as part of its update for Order 2222 compliance.	Interconnection	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-item-06---1-manual-20a-21b-for-order-2222---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supports the Manual 20A and 21B updates to incorporate changes to conform with FERC Order 2222. The changes associated with this order will assist in resource adequacy and affordability. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Planning Committee endorsed these changes by acclamation with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/pc/2025/20251202/20251202-minutes.pdf
OC	12/4/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251204/20251204-agenda.pdf	Item 02	Manual 02: Transmission Service Request: PJM will review Manual 02 changes as part of the periodic review.	Transmission	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251204/20251204-item-02---1-manual-02---revision-18---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 02. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Operating Committee endorsed these changes with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251204/20251204-minutes.pdf
OC	12/4/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251204/20251204-agenda.pdf	Item 03	PJM's Regional Transmission and Energy Scheduling Practices: PJM reviewed proposed revisions to the Regional Transmission and Energy Scheduling Practices document. These changes are in support of the NAESB version 4.0 Business Practice Standards, as well as administrative cleanup.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251204/20251204-item-03---1-pjm-regional-transmission-and-energy-scheduling-practices---revision-13---presentation.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to the Regional Transmission and Energy Scheduling Practices. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The Operating Committee endorsed these changes with 0 objections and 0 abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/oc/2025/20251204/20251204-minutes.pdf
MRC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item B	Proposed revisions to Manual 14B: PJM Region Transmission Planning Process resulting from its periodic review.	Transmission	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-b---1-manual-14b-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 14B. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through D and F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---12172025.pdf
MRC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed revisions to Manual 14D: Generator Operational Requirements resulting from its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-c---1-manual-14d-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 14D. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through D and F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---12172025.pdf
MRC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item D	Proposed revisions to Manual 14D: Generation Operational Requirements addressing the revised deactivation process resulting from the Deactivation Enhancements Senior Task Force (DESTF) Phase 1 (FERC Docket ER25-1501).	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-d---1-manual-14d-destf-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supports the inclusion of the revised deactivation process into Manual 14D. It supports reliability. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through D and F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---12172025.pdf

MRC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item E	Proposed revisions to Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis resulting from its periodic review.	Operations	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-e---1-manual-19-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to Manual 19. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The proposed revisions as amended were endorsed by acclamation with no objections and one abstention.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---12172025.pdf
MRC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item F	Conforming revisions to Manual 18: PJM Capacity Market, Manual 20A: Resource Adequacy Analysis and 21B: PJM Rules and Procedures for Determination of Generating Capability addressing compliance with FERC Order 2222 and DER participation in the 2028/29 Base Residual Auction as well as the Expanded Load Management availability requirement effective in the 2027/28 Delivery Year.	Markets	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-f---1-der---dr-availability-manual-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supports the Manual 20A and 21B updates to incorporate changes to conform with FERC Order 2222. The changes associated with this order will assist in resource adequacy and affordability. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through D and F were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---12172025.pdf
MRC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Minimum Capitalization: PJM will review the main motion solution package addressing Minimum Capitalization as endorsed by the Risk Management Committee.	Risk/Credit	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2025/20251217/20251217-item-01a---1-minimum-capitalization-.pdf	No.	PJM Proposal	Endorsed	ACE supports the PJM/IMM joint package for Minimum Capitalization Rules. We believe that it strikes the right balance between financial stability and inclusivity while preserving market access. Ensuring financially strong market participants within the PJM markets protects ACE and its customers, further supporting affordability. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	The main motion was endorsed in a sector-weighted vote with 4.383 in favor.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mrc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mrc-minutes---12172025.pdf
MC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Consent Agenda: Item C	Proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA), and OA revisions as endorsed by the Governing Documents Enhancements and Clarifications Subcommittee (GDECS).	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251217/20251217-consent-agenda-c---1-gdecs-governing-document-revisions---presentation.pdf	Yes final	PJM Proposal	Endorsed by Acclamation	ACE Supported the non-substantive changes to GDECS. (P.L. 1999, c.23 (C.48:3-49 et al.)	Items A through C were approved/endorsed by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---12172025.pdf
MC	12/17/2025	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251217/20251217-agenda.pdf	Item 01	Elections: PJM will review the proposed sector representatives for the 2026 Finance Committee, 2026 Sector Whips, and 2026 MC Vice Chair.	Governance	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2025/20251217/20251217-item-01---elections---presentation.pdf	Yes final	Sector Proposals	Endorsed by Acclamation	This was an administrative vote that Exelon does not believe has a bearing on the subject goals	The committee elected the Sector representatives by acclamation with no objections or abstentions.	https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/committees-groups/committees/mc/2026/20260122/20260122-consent-agenda-a---draft-mc-minutes---12172025.pdf

* For topics involving Tariff and OA Governance Documents, the Final EDC vote occurs at the Members Committee; For topics involving changes to Manuals, the Final EDC vote occurs at the Markets & Reliability Committee.

** Atlantic City Electric Company reports on behalf of itself and its affiliates.

*** N/A is used when the vote does not concern the goals and purposes set forth in N.J.S.A. 48:2-16.5(b)(3).