VAPOR INTRUSION MITIGATION MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE CHECKLIST

Address Inspected:		Date of inspection:				
Insp	pector(s):					
As-Built drawings & commissioning values are needed when conducting inspections of vapor intrusion (VI) systems.						
<u>1.</u>	Mitigation System Operation					
1.1	Was the mitigation system operational upon arrival?	🗌 Ye	es 🗌 No	□NA		
	If "no", explain why the system was not operational and steps taken t restart the system in Section 4, Observations and Corrective Actions					
	If "no" and successful in restarting the mitigation system, complete the remainder of the checklist.	ne				
1.2	Was the mitigation system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the street of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from what is shown in the "as-built" of the system altered from the sy	drawings? ☐ Y€	es 🗌 No	□NA		
2.	Building Conditions and Use					
2.1	Has the building been modified (building additions, new sumps, French such that it may impact on the effectiveness of the VI mitigation system	•	s 🗌 No	□NA		
	If yes, list the modifications in Section 4, Observations and Corrective and determine if changes need to be made to the VI mitigation system					
2.2	If the building has had a change in use, an Indeterminate VI Pathway s vacant (when vacancy is part of the receptor control), is the mitigation		es 🗌 No	□NA		
	If no, explain in Section 4 the modifications taken to the VI mitigation that make the building still protective for receptors.					
3.	Diagnostic Measurements					
3.1	Is the current mitigation system(s) vacuum at all vapor suction points w difference of the commissioning values?		es 🗌 No	□NA		
	If no, vacuum readings from the sub-slab points must be collected ar potential reasons for the changes in vacuum readings in Section 4.	nd discuss				
3.2	If measured, were all sub-slab probe vacuum readings across the slab to or greater than 0.004 in-wc?		es 🗌 No	□NA		
	If no, system must be modified and re-commissioned (see VIT Guida Section 6.4.2). Discuss modification in Section 4, Observations & Co					
3.3	Were indoor air samples collected to confirm mitigation system perform	nance? 🗌 Ye	es 🗌 No	□NA		
	If yes, summarize the results for COCs and any mitigative actions in	Section 4.				
3.4	For crawlspace VI ventilation systems, are the system(s) target velocity at each measurement point within a 20% difference of the commissioni		es 🗌 No	□ NA		
	If no, adjust the target velocity/static pressure to commissioned values or replace/repair fan.					

4.1	Observations and Corrective Actions:					
5. O	verall VI Mitigation System Assessment					
	nitigation system protective?	_] Yes □ No			
LSRP	Name:	LSRP License #:				
LSRP S	Signature:	Date:				
See Section 6.5 of the NJDEP VIT Guidance for further instructions.						