321-24SEC SEC Dkt. No. T16-24 Agency Dkt. No. 239-7/24

## **New Jersey Commissioner of Education**

# **Final Decision**

In the Matter of Gisselle Bond, Roselle Board of Education, Union County

The record of this matter and the decision of the School Ethics Commission (SEC) have been reviewed. The SEC found that respondent violated *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 by failing to timely complete the required board member training. The SEC's decision was forwarded to the Commissioner for final determination on the recommended penalty pursuant to *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-29(c). The SEC recommended a sanction of removal effective upon the adoption of the decision by the Commissioner. Alternatively, if respondent completed the required training before the issuance of the Commissioner's final decision and notified the SEC accordingly, the SEC recommended a sanction of a thirty-day suspension. To date, respondent has not notified the SEC that he has completed the required training. Respondent has not instituted an appeal of the SEC's underlying finding of violation pursuant to *N.J.A.C.* 6A:4-1 *et seq.* but has filed exceptions to the recommended penalty.

In her exceptions, respondent indicates that all correspondence regarding this matter was sent to her personal email address, rather than her Board email address, and, as such, she was unaware of any issue until the SEC issued its decision on July 23, 2024. Respondent argues that she completed her Governance III training in October 2023, but the proof of the completed courses was never uploaded by the New Jersey School Boards Association (NJSBA), which offers the training programs.<sup>1</sup> Respondent also contends that she completed the substantive portions of the Governance II training online, but mistakenly did not finish the signature section.<sup>2</sup> Respondent includes copies of what appear to be automated emails from the NJSBA on July 29 and 30, 2024, which confirm that she completed the trainings, as well as a copy of her transcript showing the courses as being completed on July 29 and 30, 2024. Respondent urges the Commissioner to reduce her penalty from a removal or suspension to a reprimand or warning.

Upon review, the Commissioner concurs with the penalty recommended by the SEC for respondent's failure to timely honor an obligation placed upon school officials by law. Initially, the Commissioner finds petitioner's argument that she did not see any notices about this matter unavailing. The record reflects that the SEC sent all emails regarding the training requirement, as well as the OTSC, to the same email address to which it sent the July 23, 2024 decision, which respondent clearly received. This email address is also the one that respondent used to forward the NJSBA's automated emails to her attorney, as demonstrated by Exhibit C to her exceptions, on August 1, 2024. As the email address used by the SEC was correct and active, there is no reason that respondent should not have received the SEC's emails, and the fact that respondent apparently overlooked them is not sufficient reason to reduce her penalty.

While respondent argues that she completed the training prior to the SEC's deadline, the record reflects that the NJSBA did not confirm that the training was completed until July 29 and 30, 2024 – after the SEC issued its decision. The Commissioner therefore concludes that respondent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent does not provide any documentation regarding her attendance at an October 2023 Governance III course.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Respondent's certification does not indicate the date on which she allegedly competed the online Governance II course.

completed the training after the SEC issued its decision and, pursuant to *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.3(c), a 30day suspension is the appropriate penalty.

Accordingly, respondent is suspended from the Board for 30 days for violating the School Ethics Act.

IT IS SO ORDERED.<sup>3</sup>

2.A

ACTING COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION

Date of Decision:September 4, 2024Date of Mailing:September 5, 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This decision may be appealed to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court pursuant to *N.J.S.A.* 18A:6-9.1. Under *N.J.Ct.R.* 2:4-1(b), a notice of appeal must be filed with the Appellate Division within 45 days from the date of mailing of this decision.

# *I/M/O Gisselle Bond*, Roselle Board of Education, Union County

## I. Procedural History

This matter arises from an Order to Show Cause (OTSC) that was issued by the School Ethics Commission (Commission) in connection with the above-captioned matter at its special meeting on June 17, 2024, directing Gisselle Bond (Respondent), a "board member" as defined in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-23 of the School Ethics Act (Act), *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-21 *et seq.*, to show cause as to why the Commission should not find Respondent in violation of the Act, for failing to complete training as required by *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1.

In the OTSC that was served on June 17, 2024, the Commission notified Respondent that she had twenty (20) days to respond to the OTSC. Respondent was further advised that failure to respond would be deemed an admission of the facts set forth in the OTSC, and the Commission may take action on a summary basis, in accordance with *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-1.6(c).

#### II. Analysis

The Act was enacted by the New Jersey State Legislature to ensure and preserve public confidence in members of local boards of education and local school administrators. *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1 require every board member to complete a training program prepared and offered by the New Jersey School Boards Association (NJSBA).

Respondent is a member of the Roselle Board of Education, located in Union County. As a result of her position as a board of education member, and in accordance with *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1, Respondent is required to complete a mandated training program on an annual basis. For convenience and ease, the training is offered online so any board member may complete it at any time, from anywhere. According to NJSBA, Respondent was required to complete the Governance 2 training program by December 31, 2023.

On or about May 7, 2024, the NJSBA provided the Commission with the names of those board of education members who failed to complete mandated training by December 31, 2023, and the list provided included Respondent. Prior to that time, multiple communications about mandated training were sent to Respondent from the NJSBA through a variety of means, including targeted e-mail messages on January 4, 2023, as well as postings in *School Board Notes* on 19 occasions. Notwithstanding all of these communications, Respondent did not complete mandated training.

As a final warning, the Commission's staff sent e-mails to Respondent dated May 8, 2024, May 21, 2024, and June 5, 2024, advising Respondent that the Commission required completion of

the training by June 13, 2024, to avoid the issuance of an OTSC for non-compliance. Again, notwithstanding this correspondence, Respondent did not complete the required training. As a result, an OTSC was issued by the Commission at its special meeting on June 17, 2024.

To date, there is no dispute that Respondent did not complete her required training by December 31, 2023, and did not complete the training prior to the Commission's final deadline of June 13, 2024, and therefore, the Commission issued an OTSC at its meeting on June 17, 2024. After having been served with the OTSC, Respondent failed to respond to the Commission's OTSC as to why she did not complete training by December 31, 2023, and also failed to complete training as required by *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1.

### III. Decision/Penalty Recommendation

*N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.2(d) provides that school board members and charter school trustees who fail to comply with their training mandate shall be considered in violation of *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33. Based on the record as set forth above, at its meeting on July 23, 2024, the Commission found that Respondent violated *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33. Respondent received repeated notifications of her training requirement, and had ample opportunity to complete the mandated training in a timely fashion; nonetheless, Respondent failed to complete training as required.

Where a violation of the Act is found by the Commission, it may recommend to the Commissioner of Education that a penalty be imposed. The recommended penalty can include a reprimand, censure, suspension or removal of the school official. *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-9.11. Specifically, unless good cause is shown or the school official previously has been the subject of an OTSC, "the Commission shall recommend that a board member or trustee who completes training after the Commission issues its decision, but before the Commissioner issues a final decision, receives a suspension for 30 days." *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.3(c). However, "the Commission will recommend this penalty only if the board member or the trustee provides to the [NJSBA] and the Commission written notice before the Commissioner issues a final decision, the Commission will recommend removal of the date the Commissioner issues its final decision, the Commission will recommend removal of the board member or trustee from his or her position. *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.3(d).

For the reasons set forth above, the Commission recommends that the Commissioner of Education impose a penalty of **removal**, with such removal to become effective immediately upon issuance of the Commissioner of Education's decision. However, if Respondent completes training before the Commissioner of Education adopts a final decision (which will be within forty-five (45) days after the mailing date of this decision), then the Commission recommends that the Commissioner of Education, in lieu of removal, impose a **thirty (30) day suspension**, with such suspension to become effective immediately upon issuance of the Commissioner of Education's decision, provided the board member or trustee provides written notice of successful completion of the training requirement.

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:12-29(c), this decision shall be forwarded to the Commissioner of Education for review of the Commission's recommended penalty. Respondent may either: 1) file exceptions to the recommended sanction; 2) file an appeal of the Commission's finding of a violation; or 3) file both exceptions to the recommended sanction together with an appeal of the finding of a violation.

Parties taking exception to the recommended sanction of the Commission but not disputing the Commission's finding of a violation may file, within thirteen (13) days from the date the Commission's decision is forwarded to the Commissioner, written exceptions regarding the recommended penalty to the Commissioner. The forwarding date shall be the mailing date to the parties, as indicated below. Such exceptions must be forwarded to: Commissioner of Education, c/o Bureau of Controversies and Disputes, P.O. Box 500, Trenton, New Jersey 08625, marked well "Attention: Comments on Ethics Commission Sanction." as as to (ControversiesDisputesFilings@doe.nj.gov). A copy must also be sent to the Commission (school.ethics@doe.nj.gov) and all other parties.

Parties seeking to appeal the Commission's finding of violation *must* file an appeal pursuant to the standards set forth at *N.J.A.C.* 6A:4:1 *et seq.* within **thirty (30) days** of the filing date of the decision from which the appeal is taken. The filing date shall be three (3) days after the date of mailing to the parties, as shown below. In such cases, the Commissioner's review of the Commission's recommended sanction will be deferred and incorporated into the Commissioner's review of the finding of violation on appeal. Where a notice of appeal has been filed on or before the due date for exceptions to the Commission's recommended sanction (thirteen (13) days from the date the decision is mailed by the Commission), exceptions need not be filed by that date, but may be incorporated into the appeallant's briefs on appeal.

Beet 12, Bender

Robert W. Bender, Chairperson

Mailing Date: July 23, 2024

# Resolution Adopting Decision for Failure to Complete Mandatory Training Requirement in a Timely Manner Docket No.: T16-24

*Whereas*, Gisselle Bond (Respondent) is a "board member" as defined in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-23 of the School Ethics Act (Act); and

*Whereas*, as a board member, Respondent is required to complete a training program prepared and offered by the New Jersey School Boards Association (NJSBA) on an annual basis; and

Whereas, Respondent failed to complete her training program by December 31, 2023; and

*Whereas*, at its special meeting on June 17, 2024, the School Ethics Commission (Commission) issued an Order to Show Cause (OTSC) directing Respondent to show cause as to why she failed to complete her mandatory training by December 31, 2023, as required by *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1; and

*Whereas*, after having been served with the OTSC, Respondent failed to respond to the Commission's OTSC as to why she did not complete training by December 31, 2023, and also failed to complete training as required by *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1; and

*Whereas*, at its meeting on July 23, 2024, the Commission found that Respondent violated *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1 by failing to complete the training requirement she was obligated to complete; and

*Whereas*, at its meeting on July 23, 2024, the Commission recommended that the Commissioner of Education impose a penalty of removal, with such removal to become effective immediately upon issuance of a final decision by the Commissioner of Education. However, if Respondent completes training before the Commissioner of Education adopts a final decision (which will be within forty-five (45) days after the mailing date of this decision), then the Commission recommends that the Commissioner of Education, in lieu of removal, impose a thirty (30) day suspension, with such suspension to become effective immediately upon issuance of a final decision by the Commissioner of Education, provided the board member or trustee provides written notice of the successful completion of the training requirement; and

*Whereas*, at its meeting on July 23, 2024, the Commission agreed that the within decision accurately memorializes its findings and recommendations; and

*Now Therefore Be It Resolved*, that the Commission hereby adopts the within decision and directs its staff to notify all parties to this action of the decision.

et D. Bender

Robert W. Bender, Chairperson

I certify that the within Resolution was duly adopted by the School Ethics Commission at its public meeting on July 23, 2024.

rugel Marco

Brigid C. Martens, Director School Ethics Commission