

dismiss and any responses thereto are reviewed by the Commission on a summary basis. N.J.A.C. 6A:28-8.3.

Thus, the question before the Commission was whether the complainant alleged facts which, if true, could support a finding that the respondent violated N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(a), (e) and (g) of the Code of Ethics for School Board Members. In so doing, the Commission notes that, for complaints alleging a violation of the Code of Ethics for School Board Members, the complainant has the burden to factually establish a violation in accordance with the standards set forth at N.J.A.C. 6A:28-6.4(a). Granting all inferences to the complainant, and even assuming all facts to be true, the Commission finds that the complainant has failed to meet this standard.

There appears to be no dispute on this record that, prior to the Board's April 30, 2008 reorganization meeting, the respondent received an anonymous letter mailed to her home address which made allegations about the Superintendent of Schools of the Allamuchy School District, Timothy Fredericks, who also served as the Superintendent of the Green Township School District, as well as Kenneth Noll, a Board member who did not run for re-election and whose term was expiring at the April 30, 2008 reorganization meeting. (Complaint/Letter attachment at pages 1-2; Motion to Dismiss at pages 1-2) The respondent provided Dr. Fredericks with a copy of the anonymous letter on April 28, 2008. (Complaint/Letter attachment at page 2; Motion to Dismiss at page 3) Ms. Cooke informed the Board at the April 30, 2008 reorganization meeting that she had provided Dr. Fredericks with a copy. (Complaint/Letter attachment at page 2)

The complainant first alleges that the respondent's actions violated N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(a), which provides:

- a. I will uphold and enforce all laws, rules and regulations of the State Board of Education, and court orders pertaining to schools. Desired changes shall be brought about only through legal and ethical procedures.

The Commission notes that its regulations require that:

Factual evidence of a violation of N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(a) shall include a copy of a final decision from any court of law or administrative agency of this State demonstrating that the respondent(s) failed to enforce all laws, rules and regulations of the State Board of Education, and/or court orders pertaining to schools or that the respondent brought about changes through illegal or unethical procedures. N.J.A.C. 6A:28-6.4(a)1.

At no time does the complainant assert that a final decision has been rendered with respect to this respondent from any court of law or administrative agency of this State demonstrating that Ms. Cooke failed to enforce all laws, rules and regulations of the State Board of Education, and/or court orders pertaining to schools or that the respondent brought about changes through illegal or unethical means. Therefore, even accepting as true all facts alleged by the

complainant, the Commission determines that these facts would not constitute a violation under N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(a).

Next, the Commission notes that N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(e) provides:

e. I will recognize that authority rests with the board of education and will make no personal promises nor take any private action that may compromise the board.

The Commission notes that its regulations require that:

Factual evidence of a violation of N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(e) shall include evidence that the respondent made personal promises or took action beyond the scope of his or her duties such that, by its nature, had the potential to compromise the board. N.J.A.C. 6A:28-6.4(a)5.

The complainant does not allege that the respondent made a personal promise. Presumably, the complainant asserts that the respondent's actions were in violation of N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(e) because the Board had not yet had an opportunity to discuss the anonymous letter or take any action with respect to its allegations when the respondent shared the letter with Dr. Fredericks. (Complaint/Letter attachment, pages 2 and 3). However, the Commission finds that the undisputed facts alleged in this complaint support the conclusion that the respondent was fairly acting within the scope of her duties as a Board member when she shared a copy of the anonymous letter with the Superintendent, and then advised the Board of the same at its April 30, 2008 meeting. Indeed, as the respondent notes, it is her duty pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(j) to refer all complaints to the chief school administrator. (Motion to Dismiss at page 7) Therefore, even accepting as true all facts alleged by the complainant, the Commission determines that these facts would not constitute a violation under N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(e).

The complainant next claims that the respondent violated N.J.S.A.18A:12-24.1(g), which provides:

g. I will hold confidential all matters pertaining to the schools which, if disclosed, would needlessly injure individuals or the schools. In all other matters, I will provide accurate information and, in concert with my fellow board members, interpret to the staff the aspirations of the community for its school.

The Commission notes that its regulations require that:

Factual evidence of a violation of the confidentiality provision of N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(g) shall include evidence that the respondent(s) took action to make public, reveal or disclose information that was not public under any laws, regulations or court orders of this State, or information that was otherwise

confidential in accordance with board policies, procedures or practices. *** N.J.A.C. 6A:28-6.4(a)7.¹

The complainant has not alleged that the respondent publicly disclosed the letter beyond members of the Board. Neither does the complainant assert that the letter included “information that was not public under any laws, regulations or court orders of this State, or information that was otherwise confidential in accordance with board policies, procedures or practices.” Rather, the complainant merely asserts that the letter contained “serious allegations” about the Superintendent. According to the complainant, the letter was sent to all Board members and specifically shared by the respondent with the Superintendent, who has a seat on the Board. N.J.S.A. 18A:17-20a. Therefore, even accepting as true all facts alleged by the complainant, the Commission determines that these facts would not constitute a violation under N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(g).

REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS

Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:28-8.2, the respondent alleged in her Motion to Dismiss that the complaint herein is frivolous. Thus, at its meeting on September 22, 2009, the Commission considered the respondent’s request that the Commission find that the complaint was frivolous and impose sanctions pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:12-29(e).

The Commission can find no evidence which might show that the complainant filed the complaint in bad faith solely for the purpose of harassment, delay or malicious injury. The Commission also has no information to suggest that the complainant should have known that the complaint was without any reasonable basis in law or equity or that it could not be supported by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law. For the foregoing reasons, the Commission finds that the complaint is not frivolous and denies the respondent’s request for sanctions against the complainant.

DECISION

Based on the foregoing, the Commission grants the respondent’s Motion to Dismiss the complaint. This is a final decision of an administrative agency, appealable to the Superior Court, Appellate Division. See, New Jersey Court Rule 2:2-3(a).

Robert Bender
Chairperson

¹ There is no allegation that the respondent provided “inaccurate information.” Therefore, just the confidentiality provision is addressed herein.

Resolution Adopting Decision – C22-09

Whereas, the School Ethics Commission has considered the complaint, the Motion to Dismiss filed on behalf of the respondent, together with the response filed by the complainant; and

Whereas, at its meeting on September 22, 2009, the Commission granted the respondent's Motion to Dismiss the allegations that respondent violated N.J.S.A. 18A:12-24.1(a), (e) and (g) of the Code of Ethics for School Board Members and found that the complaint was not frivolous; and

Whereas, the Commission has reviewed and approved the decision memorializing said action;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Commission hereby adopts the decision and directs its staff to notify all parties to this action of its decision herein.

Robert Bender, Chairperson

I hereby certify that the Resolution was duly adopted by the School Ethics Commission at its public meeting on October 27, 2009.

Joanne Boyle
Executive Director