Summary

This report is the first of two reports over a 24-month period of the Comprehensive Accountability Office (CAO) concerning the final phase of the transition of the Jersey City Public Schools (JCPS) from being a state supervised school district to full local control. It reports on the district's progress in meeting metrics described in an "Accountability Scorecard that is part of the Transition Plan to local control.

The CAO finds that the JCPS has achieved limited progress on its path to achieving "substantially implemented" to "fully implemented" scores on most of the required metrics. 18 of 23 scorable metrics have been evaluated as *not implemented* or only *partially implemented*. The district must pay attention over the rest of calendar 2020 to meet the Transition Plan's Accountability Scorecard metrics in order to achieve its goal of obtaining full control.

Background

After more than nearly two decades under full supervision by the State Department of Education, Jersey City Public Schools (JCPS) began its process of transitioning to local control in 2008 with the return of Governance and Fiscal Management, followed by plans for the return of Personnel and Operations in 2016. Equipped with local control over all NJQSAC components, the district asserted in 2018 that it was ready and willing to fully transition pending the final decision of the State Board of Education in 2020, which is predicated upon the district successfully meeting requirements of the Transition Plan (Plan). Given the evidence supplied by the district of the last year, those initial assertions did not result in actions that met many of the required metrics.

The Plan "is predicated on optimism that progress will continue in the future." Since 2017, the District has demonstrated academic progress and improvements across the five areas measured by the New Jersey Quality Single Accountability Continuum (NJQSAC) evaluation system. This has led to progress in all of the NJQSAC areas, more specifically in the areas of Instruction & Planning, and Operations & Personnel; all of which were returned to local control in 2008, 2015, and 2017 respectively. The plan created an "Accountability Scorecard" to measure the district's success in implementing these improvements.

The Plan notes that over the last few years the District has seen significant success in achieving its goals and demonstrating that progress is sustainable. At the end of the long process, the Plan requires the Department to continue its monitoring to ensure the plan is successfully implemented and is sustainable. The Plan is scheduled to expire on October 18, 2020, but the State Board of Education must find that JCPS has satisfied all components of the Plan before the transition to local control is complete.

To support the transition effort, the state appointed one "Highly Skilled Professional" (HSP) to assist in the implementation of the plan. A final element of support is the State's "Comprehensive Accountability Office" role in monitoring the Accountability Scorecard. Taken together, these elements, working in concert with the District and its Board of Education and the Superintendent are expected to lead the way to continued success for the students of Jersey City.

The Comprehensive Accountability Office

The Plan called for the State and the District to work with its Comprehensive Accountability Office (CAO). The CAO has "the capacity to collect, analyze, and publish data regarding the ongoing operations and performance of school districts and boards recently exited from State intervention and returned to local control." The JCPS is the third district the CAO is tasked to support (they are preceded by the Newark and Paterson Public School districts).

In 2018 the Commissioner of Education, who oversees the work of the CAO, engaged the Bloustein Local Government Research Center, a unit of the Rutgers University Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy to serve as the CAO under a contract with the Department. Bloustein Local is composed of individuals with deep experience in New Jersey state and local government activities, along with an understanding of public school administration that enables them to perform this task. The Commissioner has expressed full confidence in the capacity of Bloustein Local to fulfill the unique position of the CAOs¹.

The CAO is charged with gathering and analyzing data from the District and State (supported by the HSP) to monitor progress and compliance with the metrics established in the Plan's Accountability Scorecard "to determine if the expectations for sustained progress and continual improvement continue to be met."

¹ The biography of the CAO's Principal Investigators, Marc Pfeiffer and Leila Sadeghi are included as an Appendix to the report. http://go.rutgers.edu/NJSDScorecard Page 2 of 17 1/30/20

Specifically, the CAO is responsible to:

- 1. Compile relevant data and information to establish the annual status of the District compared to the Scorecard metrics and providing information necessary to assess annual progress on the Plan.
- 2. Publish and present the data to the Department and to the public in a credible, digestible, and actionable way, such as through the development of an easy to understand dashboard of District performance that will be publicly available.

The CAO is to present observations and findings based on evidence, but not to express value interpretations concerning Scorecard compliance. Under the Plan, the CAO report findings (along with other inputs), if warranted, allows the Department to establish interventions to address concerns or deficiencies.

This report is the first of two required annual reports under the Plan. The CAO is also required to create a website that contains this report and samples of the evidence it relied upon to reach its conclusions. The CAO website is found at: <u>http://go.rutgers.edu/NJSDScorecard</u>. This report, a web "dashboard" version of it, including links to documentary evidence is found at that site.

The Scorecard and its Evaluation

The Accountability Scorecard is the core of the CAO's role. This table represents the expectations contained in the Transition Report on which the CAO conducts its efforts. Specifically, it is "to track and measure the District's progress toward implementing this transition plan, and a timetable for activities relating to and leading to the withdrawal of State intervention, which identifies and sequences the benchmarks laid out throughout this Plan in the appropriate sections."

The Scorecard tracks the Plan through five sections:

- Part 1 Fundamental Considerations
- Part 2 Governance
- Part 3 Instruction and Program
- Part 4 Fiscal Management
- Part 5 Personnel

The Scorecard covers most, but not all the requirements in the Plan. It includes specific metrics and mechanisms for measurement that are aligned with the Plan. The work of the CAO is built on top of it. The Plan requires the CAO to assess compliance with the metrics through its measurement standards. The CAO's assessment is to be accompanied by documentation that supports its observations.

The Plan notes that it is not only the Scorecard, but that the Plan itself should be "seen as a complimentary process to the QSAC²."

The Plan calls for each metric to be evaluated on the following scale:

- 1 Not Implemented: There is no significant evidence that the standard is implemented.
- **2 Partially Implemented**: A partially implemented standard is being met in only a limited way.
- 3- Substantially Meeting: Most elements of the metric are being met and are sustainable.
- **4 Fully Implemented**: All elements of the standard are substantially implemented, are sustainable, and have a process for ongoing evaluation.

For metrics rated less than 3, the CAO is obligated to provide an explanation of the District's progress and gaps. These explanations are noted *in italics* in the report.

Given the timing of this report and circumstances concerning various metrics, the CAO has added to the list a Not Applicable (NA) category, indicating that the metric is not applicable any more or cannot be measured at this time but is expected to be measurable for subsequent reports.

The full Scorecard with the evaluation results as of this time is provided as Table 1.

The Plan requires the CAO report to "score" the District's efforts toward maintaining "substantial and sustained" progress toward meeting the expectations of the Plan. In its agreement with the Department, the work of the CAO does not include any interpretation or analysis of the data (i.e., could something have been done differently, or evaluating the quality of a presentation). It further reflects that the CAO "must remain neutral and not engage in interpretation, other than its role to ensure, to the extent reasonably possible, the reliability of the data."

While the Plan's expectation of the CAO to not interpret data is conceptually well-intended, in practice, the obligation to evaluate the status of each metric requires some level of limited judgment. Judgment, however, is inherent when it comes to meeting the intent and requirements of the project. The CAO has taken care to remain neutral in making these assessments. Upon review of the online documentation, interested parties may have different interpretations, as reasonable people may view content, data and observations from different perspectives.

² QSAC, the "Quality Single Accountability Continuum" is the N.J. Department of Education's monitoring and district selfevaluation system for public school districts. <u>www.state.nj.us/education/genfo/qsac/</u>

CAO Review and Observations

In conducting its task, the CAO relies on the HSP to gather and provide documentation for evaluation, as well as observations on district activities. Judgment was exercised to request supplemental data as determined necessary to make observations. Further, while the Plan anticipated that district officials would provide certain reports, it was found that the routine activities of these individuals did not result in the specific reports anticipated by the Plan.

Communications between the CAO and the HSP were comprised of two meetings and two conference calls in addition to several email communications. Ongoing CAO review of document submissions observed deficiencies that resulted in the district being granted three extensions for them to re-submit documents. The conference calls with the HSP reviewed the incomplete submissions and the CAO's requests for clarification or additional information. Overall, this process involved inconsistent submissions of evidence, mis-identified documents, limited reporting, and repeated requests resulting in several rounds of evidence submission. During this process it became clear to the CAO that the district lacked adequate evidence to document compliance with a number of metrics.

The inability to provide documents relating to the HSP's work and district activities related to the Plan and specific metrics created unnecessary confusion and challenges in evaluating the district's status. The report highlights this underlying lack of available documentation as a deficiency that can be remedied. Considering the above, the CAO has concluded that Jersey City fell short of achieving acceptable scores in a majority of metrics as evidence was inadequate to allow a higher score.

Although the CAO is unable to identify the precise reason for this lack of corroborating evidence, the district needs to focus time and attention on meeting Scorecard requirements and documenting their compliance with the metrics.

There will be an interim report reflecting compliance as of the end of April 2020, followed by a second (and potentially final) annual report reflecting status as of next October. District personnel and the HSP still have the opportunity to meet the benchmarks leading to substantial or full sustainable implementation for all the metrics. Section 5 of the Transition Plan has a section for "Consequences for Not meeting the expectations of the Full Transition Plan." District officials should review this section of the Plan as part of their review of this report.

During this time, the Board, senior district staff, and the HSP should carefully review this report and the Accountability Scoresheet requirements. They need to take actions to ensure that their efforts going forward

will meet expectations. Establishing systems for internal reporting process that creates work plans, tracks actions and reports progress will move the transition documentation forward. This should also include identifying relevant evidence that they can submit to the CAO for the subsequent reports.

Evaluating and Scoring Each Metric

The observations of the CAO are reflected on the following tables. There is a separate table for each metric. Each metric is shown by category and Plan element, along with the associated Mechanism for Measurement. Where evidence has been provided the <u>website</u> contains a documentation list for each metric; these are the documents the CAOs used to reach its observations. In some cases, the table combines two related metrics in the same section (but separately scored) as they are closely linked.

Each metric includes an "Evaluation Comment" and "Finding" on the metric; this is the CAO's observation on the information and the conclusion reached. This is reflected in the 1-4 numeric score (referred to earlier) assigned to the metric. *As required, items scored less than 3 have additional information (shown in italics)*.

Acknowledgements

The CAO expresses appreciation of the HSP for his support and assistance in providing information.

The online version of this and other report in the series and supporting documents are available at:

http://go.rutgers.edu/NJSDScorecard

Part 1: Fundamer	ntal Considerations	
Section 2: State Engagement with the District During Transition		Score
Metric # 1	The Board and Superintendent provide the HSP with a sufficient level of access and transparency into decision-making activities such that the HSP can monitor the progress of plan implementation and have adequate information to meet its responsibilities as liaison to the state regarding the Board's ethics practices.	2
Mechanism for	Observation and report by HSP. The CAO may additionally verify this information	
Measurement	through conversations with the Superintendent and board members.	
	nent: The report by the HSP indicates that there has been extensive interaction	
Board, Superintendent, senior staff, monthly Principal meetings, and a wide range of other meetings.		etings.
District practices,	however, have not involved taking attendance, using agendas, taking minutes	or
otherwise reporti	ng on these meetings or recognizing the HSPs involvement.	
The district should formalize various meetings to document the involvement of all participants, including		ncluding
the HSP, as well as develop agendas, document attendance, and retain work material related to the		
meeting.		

Finding: This metric is partially implemented.

Part 1: Fundamen	tal Considerations	
Section 5: Consequ	iences for Not Meeting the Expectations of the Full Transition Plan	Score
Metric # 2	The Board commits zero violations of the School Ethics Act.	1
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation and report by HSP, School Ethics Commission Report.	
Evaluation Comm	ent: The required School Ethics Commission Report has not been submitted.	The HSP
observation that no ethical violations have been committed in the last six months and a letter submitted		ubmitted
by the Superintend considered as evid	dent indicating that there was a violation are in conflict, however neither can lence.	be
The required Ethic	s report need to be request from the Commissioner and submitted for the new	t renort

The required Ethics report need to be request from the Commissioner and submitted for the next report. **Finding:** This metric has not been fulfilled.

Part 1: Fundamen	tal Considerations	
Section 5: Consequ	uences for Not Meeting the Expectations of the Full Transition Plan	Score
Metric # 3	The Board does not administer the schools, but confines its actions to policy making, planning and appraisal.	1
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation and report by HSP	
Evaluation Comment: The HSP observation that "Board limits its interactions with District		
Administrators through sub-committee meetings" is important, but evidence of an adopted policy or		icy or
meetings and the associated agendas or minutes has not been provided. The HSP made a presentation		ntation
on the Transition Plan in August of 2019 that included this issue.		
An adopted policy, and a practice of creating meeting agendas, sign-in sheets, and minutes of		
subcommittee me	etings need to be adopted.	
Einding: There is r	no evidence that this metric is being met	

Finding: There is no evidence that this metric is being met.

Part 1: Fundamen	tal Considerations	
Section 5: Consequ	ences for Not Meeting the Expectations of the Full Transition Plan	Score
Metric # 4	The Board maintains appropriate oversight, without inappropriate interference, into personnel decisions.	1
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation and report by HSP	
Measurement Evaluation Comment: The HSP observed that Board members "discuss personnel moves in the HR sub- committee meetings and closed sessions in the Board Meetings." The observation is important, but evidence of committee meetings has not been provided. Minutes from BOE meeting was provided from February 11, 2019 detailing 20 sub-committees of the board. An adopted policy, and a practice of creating meeting agendas, sign-in sheets, and minutes of subcommittee meetings need to be adopted and implemented.		but
Finding: There is no evidence that this metric is being met.		

Part II: Governanc	e	
Section 2: Ethics Tr	aining for BOE and Senior Officials	Score
Metric # 5	All board members complete all the training programs required by N.J.S.A. 18A:12-33 within one month of the applicable deadlines.	2
Mechanism for Measurement	Sign-in sheets, transcripts from New Jersey School Boards Association, obse and report by HSP	ervation
board member tra month of the appli complied. The HSP attendance issues <i>Given the ongoing</i>	ent: The School Board Mandated Training Status Report was provided as evid- ining. Not all board members completed the required training programs with cable deadlines. The documentation does not provide certainty if members u reports that much of this confusion was due to board turnover and differing with some members. turnover with board members, the district should assign a staff member to er e scheduled and attendance properly documented at training sessions.	nin one Iltimately

Finding: This metric is partially implemented.

Part II: Governanc	e	
Section 2: Ethics Tr	raining for BOE and Senior Officials	Score
Metric # 6	The Superintendent, in cooperation with the HSP, develops a training module to be provided to all board members at a retreat that addresses the components and subject areas listed on pages 17-18 of Section 2 of the Plan.	2
Mechanism for Training module, Sign-in sheets, observation and report by HSP		
Measurement		
Evaluation Comme	ent: The HSP reported that the Board Retreat with the HSP addressed the req	uired
elements. However, documentation such an agenda or presentation demonstrating the requirements		ements
were fulfilled has not been provided. The sign sheet did not note that all board members attended the		led the
fill session.		
Full documentation of these sessions must be kept and made available as necessary. Separate sessions		essions
should be planned	for Board members who did attend the retreat.	
Finding: This metr	ic has not been implemented.	

http://go.rutgers.edu/NJSDScorecard Page 8 of 17

Part II: Governand	e la	
Section 3: Professi	onal Development for the BOE and District Leadership Regarding	
Governance Best H	Practices	Score
Metric # 7	All board members, the Superintendent, and Senior Officials attend a professional development session regarding governance best practices.	2
Mechanism for Measurement	Sign-in sheets, observation and report by HSP	
Evaluation Comm	ent: Professional development workshops on governance best practices were	e not
attended by all bo	ard members, the superintendent, and senior officials. Additionally, the traini	ing must
address the comp	onents outlined on pages 18-19 of Section 3 of the Transition Plan.	
Full documentatio	n of these sessions must be kept and made available as necessary. Separate se	essions

should be planned for Board members and senior staff who did attend the retreat.

Part II: Governanc	e	
Section 6: Search f	or Successor Superintendent	Score
Metric # 8The superintendent search meets all five requirements in conducting the search, as described in the Plan.		NA
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation and report by HSP, observation and report by state representative on search committee, report by selected search firm	
Evaluation Comment: The district is no longer being evaluated on this metric. The State Commissioner of		ssioner of
the DOE rescinded the search process.		
Finding: None		

Part II: Governance		
Section 6: Search f	or Successor Superintendent	Score
Metric # 9The Board and search committee substantially meet timelines and deadlines laid out in the Plan.		NA
Mechanism for Measurement	Report by state representative on search committee, report by selected search firm	
Evaluation Comm	Evaluation Comment: The district is no longer being evaluated on this metric. The State Commissioner o	
the DOE rescinded the search process.		
Finding: None		

Part III: Instruction	n and Program	
Section 2: Student	Performance Data	Score
Metric # 10	The District analyzes its student achievement data in line with the current NJQSAC Instruction and Program Indicators.	2
Mechanism for	Meeting agenda(s), observation and report by HSP	
Measurement		
Evaluation Comment: The HSP report provided little documentation to support compliance with this metric. The two presentations provided as evidence these did not support compliance. No other		
evidence was provided.		
Documentation supporting the analysis should be provided.		
Finding: This metric is partially implemented.		

Part III: Instruction	n and Program	
Section 2: Student	Performance Data	Score
Metric # 11	The District makes a decision and communicates to the State by November 1, 2019 about whether to apply for an extension of its equivalency or its intention to be evaluated going forward by the current NJQSAC Instruction and Program Indicators.	4
Mechanism for Measurement		
Evaluation Comment: While the documents were submitted late, they are in compliance.		
Finding: The district has fulfilled this metric.		

Part III: Instructio	n and Program	
Section 4: Professi	onal Development for the BOE and Senior Staff regarding Instruction and	1
Program Best Prac	tices	Score
Metric # 12a	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.	2
Metric # 12b	All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.	2
Mechanism for Measurement	Sign-in sheets, Observation and report by HSP	
Evaluation Comm	ent:	
12a: The state req	uired School Board Mandated Training Status Report was provided as eviden	ce of
board member tra	ining. All members have not completed the required training programs on til	me.
Given the ongoing	turnover with board members, the district should assign a staff member to en	nsure
board members a	e scheduled and attendance properly documented at training sessions.	
12b: The School B	oard Mandated Training Status Report was provided as evidence of board me	mber
training. All mem	pers have not completed the required training programs within one month of	the
applicable deadlin	es. Given the ongoing turnover with board members, the district should assig	n a staff
member to ensure	board members are scheduled and attendance properly documented at train	ing
sessions.		-

Part IV: Instruction and Program		
Section 2: Basic F	iscal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	Score
Metric # 13a	The District prepares a balanced annual budget based on expense analysis, discussions with individual departments, and incorporation of anticipated new needs and cost increases.	2
Metric # 13b	The Board approves a balanced budget on time.	
Metric # 13c	The Board engages appropriately with the District in the development of the Budget.	
Mechanism for Measurement	Board meeting and Finance Committee minutes, observation and report by report by Superintendent, report by School Business Administrator and Chie Financial Officer.	-

Evaluation Comment:

Re: Metrics 13a-13c Generally: The following requirements for Metrics 13a-13c were not submitted: Board meeting and Finance Committee minutes, ...report by Superintendent, report by School Business Administrator and Chief Financial Officer. The HSP report and observation provided a detailed explanation of the budget process.

13a) Sample of individual budget requests were provided, but there is no indication on how budget request decisions were made by the Administration, Board Committee, or the Board as a whole. *Evidence showing how budget requests move through the review system and how final decisions are made needs to be provided*.

13b) The budget was approved on time, but minutes and other documents show operating shortfalls resulting in transfers to maintain balance during the school year. *From an outcome perspective, the presence of extensive transfers during the year indicates weaknesses in the budget process.*

13c) There is no evidence to support this metric because documents reflecting the Board's review and decisions concerning the budget were not provided, e.g., Board Budget or Finance committee meeting minutes. *Documents supporting the Board's engagement in decision making need to be provided*

Findings:

13a: This metric is partially implemented

13b: While this metric requires a continuing effort, the district is substantially meeting it at this time.13c: There is no evidence that this metric is being met.

Part IV: Instructio	n and Program	
Section 2: Basic Fi	scal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	Score
Metric # 14Schools are allocated funding based on school-based budgeting focused on equity and transparency in school allocations.		2
Mechanism for Measurement	Review of District's budget development files and School Business Administ board and public presentations	rator's
documents explain schools on district	Evaluation Comment: Similar to Metric 13, samples of budgets prepared by several schools and general documents explaining the budget process were provided as evidence. While budgets are prepared by schools on district-wide standards, there is no evidence of equity-based decisions. There were no public	
presentations con	cerning the budget submitted.	

Evidence showing how budget requests move through the review system and how final decisions are made needs to be provided. Documents supporting the Board's engagement in decision making need to be provided.

Part IV: Instruction and Program		
Section 2: Basic Fis	scal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	Score
Metric # 15a	The monthly Board Secretary's report is completed and reconciled without exceptions as described in the Plan.	3
Metric # 15b	c # 15b Three times a year the District presents a fiscal forecast for the remainder of the year to the Board, as described in the Plan.	
Mechanism for Measurement	Board meeting minutes	
Evaluation Comment: Board secretary reports are provided. Though but no evidence of minutes where		
they were accepted, we assume there was Board action to accept them.		
Finding: While these metrics requires a continuing effort, the district is substantially meeting them at		
this time.		

Part IV: Instruction and Program		
Section 2: Basic Fis	cal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	Score
Metric # 16	At least monthly, the district prepares and analyzes fiscal year cash flow management for all funds, all payments are made on a prompt basis, and reimbursement requests for federal grant awards are submitted in a timely manner for the actual amount of incurred expenditures.	4
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation and report by HSP, Report by School Business Administrator	
Evaluation Comme	ent: A cash flow report is prepared, and the Board approves payments regular	rly.
Documents showir	ng timely and regular grant reimbursement requests were provided.	
Finding: At this tir	ne the district is in full compliance with this metric.	

Part IV: Instruction	n and Program	
Section 2: Basic Fis	cal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	Score
Metric # 17	The District ends the year with no deficit balances and no line item over appropriated expenditures by more than 10% in the general fund, special revenue fund, capital projects fund or debt service fund (other than permitted under state law and GAAP).	2
Mechanism for Measurement	(Review of the district's budget and financial reporting documentation)	
Evaluation Comm	ent: The Districts CAFR for SY 18-19 was submitted and reported overall comp	liance
with state law. Ho expenditures.	wever, line item transfers that exceeded 10% were made in order to avoid over	er
	mount of transfers made is indicative of inaccurate budget projections. Carefu Irtment budget needs will reduce the needs for transfers.	ıl analysis

Part IV: Fiscal Mar	agement			
Section 2: Basic Fis	cal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	Score		
Metric # 18a	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.			
Metric # 18b	All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline			
Mechanism for Measurement	Sign-in sheets, Observation and report by HSP			
Evaluation Comment: The School Board Mandated Training Status Report was provided as evidence of board				
member training. A	A majority of the members have not completed the required training programs of	n time.		
Given the ongoing t	urnover with board members, the district should assign a staff member to ensure	board		
members are scheduled and attendance properly documented at training sessions.				
Finding: These metrics are partially implemented.				

Part V: Personnel		
Section 2: Establis	hing Expectations for Decision-Making and a Working Relationship between	
the Board and Staff		Score
Metric # 19	The District submits all contracts (new and amended) to the Executive County Superintendent for review and approval for the following employees in accordance with N.J.S.A. 18A:7-8(j) and N.J.A.C. 6A:23A- 3.1(a): superintendents, deputy superintendents, assistant superintendents, school business administrators, and any other interim, acting, or person otherwise serving in these positions. The Board does not approve or execute the contracts until the Executive County Superintendent approves the contracts.	3
Mechanism for Measurement	Board minutes, approval letters from the Executive County Superintendent	
Evaluation Comm	ent: Contracts for the Superintendent and School Business Administrator wer	e
approved by the E	xecutive County Superintendent were provided as evidence to support this m	etric. No
other contracts ap	proved by the County Superintendent during the transition period were provi	ded.
Finding: While this metric requires a continuing effort, the district is substantially meeting it at this time.		

Part V: Personnel		
Section 4: Expectations Regarding Additional Personnel Initiatives		Score
Metric # 20	The District continues to implement AchieveNJ. This includes training school leaders to rigorously and fairly evaluate teachers, supporting teachers based on their evaluations, and exiting low-performing staff when appropriate.	3
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation and report by HSP	
Evaluation Comment: Observation samples, teacher observation schedules, exit reports, BOE action, and presentations from training workshops for teachers and administrators were provided as evidence to support this metric. Additionally, the HSP provided a general statement and opinion that the district meets this metric.		
Finding: While this	metric requires a continuing effort, the district is substantially meeting it at t	this time.

ACCOUNTABILITY SCORECARD

RESULTS AS OF NOVEMBER 2019 OBSERVATION

The Department of Education anticipated that the JCPS was moving ahead to implement the Plan and anticipated progress on the metrics. The scores bear out this anticipation.

SECTION OF PLAN	#	METRIC	STATUS
Part 1: Fundamental Conside	erations		
Section 2: State Engagement with the District During Transition	1	The Board and Superintendent provide the HSP with a sufficient level of access and transparency into decision-making activities such that the HSP can monitor the progress of plan implementation and have adequate information to meet its responsibilities as liaison to the state regarding the Board's ethics practices.	2
Section 5: Consequences	2	The Board commits zero violations of the School Ethics Act.	1
for Not Meeting the Expectations of the Full Transition Plan	3	The Board does not administer the schools, but confines its actions to policy making, planning and appraisal.	1
	4	The Board maintains appropriate oversight, without inappropriate interference, into personnel decisions.	1
Part II: Governance	_		
Section 2: Ethics Training for BOE and Senior Officials	5	All board members complete all the training programs required by N.J.S.A. 18A:12-33 within one month of the applicable deadlines.	2
Section 2: Ethics Training for BOE and Senior Officials	6	The Superintendent, in cooperation with the HSP, develops a training module to be provided to all board members at a retreat that addresses the components and subject areas listed on pages 17-18 of Section 2 of the Plan.	2
Section 3: Professional Development for the BOE and District Leadership Regarding Governance Best Practices	7	All board members, the Superintendent, and Senior Officials attend a professional development session regarding governance best practices.	2
Section 6: Search for Successor Superintendent	8	The superintendent search meets all five requirements in conducting the search, as described in the Plan.	N/A
	9	The Board and search committee <u>substantially</u> meet timelines and deadlines laid out in the Plan.	N/A
Part III: Instruction and Prog	ram		
Section 2: Student Performance Data	10	The District analyzes its student achievement data in line with the current NJQSAC Instruction and Program Indicators.	2
	11	The District makes a decision and communicates to the State by November 1, 2019 about whether to apply for an extension of its equivalency or its intention to be evaluated going forward by the current NJQSAC Instruction and Program Indicators.	4
Section 4: Professional Development for the BOE	12a	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.	2
and Senior Staff regarding Instruction and Program Best Practices	12b	All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.	2

SECTION OF PLAN	#	METRIC	STATUS
Part IV: Fiscal Management			
Section 2: Basic Fiscal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best	13a	The District prepares a balanced annual budget based on expense analysis, discussions with individual departments, and incorporation of anticipated new needs and cost increases.	2
Practices	13b	The Board approves a balanced budget on time.	3
	13c	The Board engages appropriately with the District in the development of the Budget.	1
	14	Schools are allocated funding based on school-based budgeting focused on equity and transparency in school allocations.	2
	15a	The monthly Board Secretary's report is completed and reconciled without exceptions as described in the Plan.	3
	15b	Three times a year the District presents a fiscal forecast for the remainder of the year to the Board, as described in the Plan.	2
	16	At least monthly, the district prepares and analyzes fiscal year cash flow management for all funds, all payments are made on a prompt basis, and reimbursement requests for federal grant awards are submitted in a timely manner for the actual amount of incurred expenditures.	4
	17	The District ends the year with no deficit balances and no line item over appropriated expenditures by more than 10% in the general fund, special revenue fund, capital projects fund or debt service fund (other than permitted under state law and GAAP).	2
Section 3: Professional Development for the BOE	18a	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.	2
and Senior Staff regarding Fiscal Management Best Practices	18b	All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.	2
Part V: Personnel			
Section 2: Establishing Expectations for Decision- Making and a Working Relationship between the Board and Staff	19	The District submits all contracts (new and amended) to the Executive County Superintendent for review and approval for the following employees in accordance with N.J.S.A. 18A:7-8(j) and N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-3.1(a): superintendents, deputy superintendents, assistant superintendents, school business administrators, and any other interim, acting, or person otherwise serving in these positions. The Board does not approve or execute the contracts until the Executive County Superintendent approves the contracts.	3
Section 4: Expectations Regarding Additional Personnel Initiatives	20	The District continues to implement AchieveNJ. This includes training school leaders to rigorously and fairly evaluate teachers, supporting teachers based on their evaluations, and exiting low-performing staff when appropriate.	3

Appendix

Leila Sadeghi, Ph.D. Representative, Comprehensive Accountability Office

B.A. in Education, California State University; M.A., in Educational Administration, Saint Peter's University; Ph.D., Urban Education Policy, Rutgers University-Newark

Contact

33 Livingston Avenue, Suite 300 (848) 932-2830 (office) (862) 206-9166 (cell) <u>sadeghle@rutgers.edu</u>

Leila Sadeghi is a New Jersey based consultant with over 15 years of experience in education spanning K-12 and higher education. Dr. Sadeghi has published extensively in education policy over the years and taught graduate courses in teacher and administrative training in state higher education systems. She most recently headed a government affairs institute for a former Congressman, and is currently in her second career as a business development consultant where she works for clients in a variety of sectors.

Marc H. Pfeiffer, Senior Policy Fellow

B.S. in Urban Affairs, American University; MPA, New York University Assistant Director, <u>Bloustein Local Government Research Center</u>

Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers University

Contact 33 Livingston Avenue, Suite 300 (848) 932-2830 <u>marc.pfeiffer@rutgers.edu</u>

Research Interests

Municipal Governments Finance and Property Taxation Public Contracting Shared Government Services Technology Energy management

Marc H. Pfeiffer retired in 2012 from a 37-year career in New Jersey local government administration, having served as a municipal administrator in several municipalities, and 26 years of service in the State's local government oversight agency, the Division of Local Government Services. At DLGS he served as Deputy Director for 14 years, and periodically as Acting Director. He is now in this third public service career at the Bloustein School where he continues to assist state and local government officials and the public on a range of public policy and administrative matters.

Marc has broad experience in many areas of local government policy and administration, including specific expertise in areas such as finance and property taxation, public procurement, shared services and consolidation, technology, energy, labor relations, and general local and state government administration. He also has deep experience in the legislative process and as a regulatory officer.

In addition to participating in Bloustein Local, Marc makes his extensive government experience available as a guest lecturer, conference presenter, and other collaborative efforts. He is also assisting the Rutgers School of Public Affairs and Administration with the State's Certified Public Manager Program in curriculum development and instruction.

He also serves as the Principal Investigator for Bloustein Local in its capacity as the N.J. Department of Education's Chief Accountability Office for state supervised school districts in the process of exiting state supervision.