



## **Minutes of the Government Records Council June 25, 2024 Public Meeting – Open Session**

### **I. Public Session:**

- **Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 1:32 p.m. by Ms. Robin Berg Tabakin via Microsoft Teams.

- **Pledge of Allegiance**

All stood and recited the pledge of allegiance in salute to the American flag.

- **Meeting Notice**

Ms. Berg Tabakin read the following Open Public Meetings Act statement:

“This meeting was called pursuant to the provisions of the Open Public Meeting Act. Notices of this meeting were faxed to the Newark Star Ledger, Trenton Times, Courier-Post (Cherry Hill), and the Secretary of State on June 20, 2024.

- **Roll Call**

Ms. Bordzoe called the roll:

Present: Robin Berg Tabakin, Esq. (Chairwoman), Michael Hahn, Esq. (designee of Department of Education Acting Commissioner Kevin Dehmer), and John Alexy (designee of Department of Community Affairs Commissioner, Jacquelyn A. Suarez), and Steven Ritardi, Esq., Public Member.

GRC Staff in Attendance: Frank F. Caruso (Executive Director), Rosemond Bordzoe (Secretary), John Stewart (Mediator), Samuel Rosado (Staff Attorney), and Deputy Attorney General Steven Gleeson.

### **II. Executive Director’s Report:**

#### **Current Statistics**

- Since OPRA’s inception in July 2002, the GRC has received 7,105 Denial of Access Complaints. That averages about 323 annual complaints per 22 tracked program years. So

far in the current program year (FY2024), the GRC has received 320 Denial of Access Complaints.

- 575 of the 7,105 complaints remain open and active (8.1%). Of those open cases:
  - 11 complaints are on appeal with the Appellate Division (1.9%);
  - 33 complaints are currently in mediation (5.7%);
  - 4 complaints are proposed for the Office of Administrative Law (0.7%);
  - 26 complaints await adjudication by the Office of Administrative Law (4.5%);
  - 35 complaints are tentatively scheduled for adjudication at an upcoming GRC meeting, which includes the current meeting (6.1%);
  - 466 complaints are work in progress (81.0%); and
  - 0 complaints are being held in abeyance (0.0%).
  
- Since Program Year 2004, the GRC has received and responded to 39,202 total inquiries, averaging about 1,867 annual inquiries per 21 tracked program years (the GRC did not track inquiries in the agency's first year). So far in the current program year (FY2024), the GRC has received 1,712 inquiries (7 inquiries per workday).

### **GRC Outreaches**

- The GRC does not have any outreaches scheduled for July 2024 at this time.

### **Pending OPRA Legislation**

- On June 5, 2024, Governor Murphy signed S2930 into law as P.L. 2024, c. 16 with a 90-day delayed enactment. The new version of OPRA will thus go into effect on September 3, 2024. At this time, the GRC has several projects requiring attention and is working through them to prepare for September 3, 2024 and after.

Of immediate import, the GRC will be required by statute to adjudicate complaints within 90 days (borrowing a 45-day extension with good cause having been shown) of receipt eighteen (18) months after September 3, 2024.

As for the Council, significant changes are coming. Most significant is the appointment of eight (8) new councilmembers who will receive compensation commensurate with the Local Finance Board appointees. DCA's Commissioner (or their designee) will become Chair and the DOE Commissioner will no longer be a member of the Council. The GRC expects these changes to occur for the Council's September 2024 meeting; thus, the current Council should not expect to see any changes for the July and August 2024 meetings.

### **III. Closed Session:**

#### **IV. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meetings:**

##### **May 21, 2024 Open Session Meeting Minutes**

Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to approve the draft open session minutes of the May 21, 2024 meeting. Mr. Hahn made a motion, which was seconded by Mr. Alexy. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

Ms. Berg Tabakin noted that Mr. Ritardi would be muted for this portion of the agenda to ensure his non-participation in the items from which he was recused. Ms. Berg Tabakin confirmed to the public that Mr. Ritardi was muted prior to addressing the below agenda item.

#### **V. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Adjudication**

Ms. Berg Tabakin stated that an “Administrative Complaint Disposition” means a decision by the Council as to whether to accept or reject the Executive Director’s recommendation of dismissal based on jurisdictional, procedural, or other defects of the complaint. The reason for the Administrative Disposition is under each complaint below:

##### **A. Administrative Disposition Adjudications with Recusals (Consent Agenda):**

###### **1. Tyshawn Feaster v. Essex County Correctional Facility (2022-30) (SR Recusal)**

- No Correspondence Received by the Custodian.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the recommendations as written in all the above Administrative Complaint Disposition. Mr. Hahn made a motion, which was seconded by Mr. Alexy. The motion passed by a unanimous vote; Mr. Ritardi recused.

Ms. Berg Tabakin notified the public that Mr. Ritardi would rejoin the meeting by unmuting himself. Mr. Ritardi rejoined the meeting at that time.

##### **B. Administrative Disposition Adjudications with no Recusals (Consent Agenda):**

###### **1. Kevin Alexander v. Middlesex County Board of Chosen Freeholders (2024-100)**

- Duplicate Complaint Filed With the GRC.

###### **2. Kevin Alexander v. Middlesex County Board of Chosen Freeholders (2024-119)**

- No Records Responsive to the Request Exist.

Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the recommendations as written in all the above Administrative Complaint Dispositions. Mr. Hahn made a motion, which was seconded by Mr. Alexy. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

**C. Administrative Disposition of Uncontested, Voluntary Withdrawals by Complainant (No Adjudication of the Council is Required):**

1. **Laura Meckler v. South Orange and Maplewood School District (Essex) (2022-657)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.
2. **Paul Marinaccio v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset) (2023-178)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.
3. **Richard LaBarbiera, Esq. (o/b/o Sharon DeLucia) v. Borough of Leonia (Bergen) (2024-17)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.
4. **Christine Gurriere v. NJ Department of Health (2024-27)**
  - Complaint Settled in Mediation.
5. **Maureen Chandra v. City of Jersey City (Hudson) (2024-28)**
  - Complaint Settled in Mediation.
6. **Jacques Guire III v. West Long Branch Fire Company No. 2 (Monmouth) (2024-45)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.
7. **Michael Maselli (o/b/o Lyft, Inc.) v. Township of Willingboro (Burlington) (2024-106)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.
8. **Paul L. Tractenberg v. NJ Department of Education (2024-112)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.
9. **Laura Mistretta v. City of Jersey City (Hudson) (2024-123)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.
10. **Chelsea VanDellen v. Fair Lawn Board of Education (Bergen) (2024-135)**
  - Complaint Voluntarily Withdrawn.

**VI. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Consent Agenda Administrative Order**

Ms. Berg Tabakin stated that an “Administrative order” means an order issued by the Council requiring the records custodian or the complainant to perform a specific action in furtherance of the adjudication of a pending denial of access complaint or taking other actions deemed appropriate to adjudicate a complaint in an expedited manner. The Executive Director’s recommended reason for the Administrative Order is under each complaint below.

**A. Administrative Orders with Recusals (Consent Agenda): None**

**B. Administrative Orders with No Recusals (Consent Agenda):**

1. **Aakash Dalal v. NJ Department of Law and Public Safety, Division of Criminal Justice (2022-55)**
  - *In Camera* Review.
  - Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the recommendations as written in the above Administrative Order. Mr. Hahn made a motion, which was seconded by Mr. Alexy. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

## VII. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Individual Complaint Adjudication

Ms. Berg Tabakin noted that Mr. Ritardi would be muted for this portion of the agenda to ensure his non-participation in the items from which he was recused. Ms. Berg Tabakin confirmed to the public that Mr. Ritardi was muted prior to addressing the below agenda item.

### A. Individual Complaint Adjudications with Recusals:

1. **Stephen Schnitzer, Esq. (o/b/o Vito's Trattoria, Inc.) v. NJ Transit (2016-140) (SR Recusal)**
  - The current Custodian complied with the Council's April 30, 2024 Interim Order.
  - There is no knowing and willful violation.
  - The Complainant is a prevailing party. The parties shall confer on fees and advise the GRC within twenty (20) business days if an agreement is reached. If not, Complainant's Counsel shall submit a fee application in accordance with N.J.A.C. 5:105-2.13.
  - Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Hearing none, Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Hahn made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote; Mr. Ritardi recused.
  
2. **Art Rittenhouse, Jr. v. Borough of Sayreville (Middlesex) (2021-33) (SR Recusal)**
  - The Council should accept the Administrative Law Judge's June 6, 2024 Initial Decision approving the "Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release" and ordering the parties to comply with the terms thereof.
  - Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Hearing none, Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Hahn made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote; Mr. Ritardi recused.
  
3. **Maria Diamonte v. Rutgers University (2022-25) (SR Recusal)**
  - The Custodian's failure to timely respond resulted in a "deemed" denial of access. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i).
  - The Custodian's failure to respond immediately to OPRA request item No. 4 resulted in a violation of OPRA. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(e); Herron v. Twp. of Montclair, GRC Complaint No. 2006-178 (February 2007).
  - The Custodian's response was insufficient because she failed to address each OPRA request item individually. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); Paff v. Willingboro Bd. of Educ. (Burlington), GRC Complaint No. 2007-272 (May 2008).

- The Custodian did not unlawfully deny access to the Complainant’s OPRA item Nos. 1, 2, and 4 because all records were disclosed. Danis v. Garfield Bd. of Educ. (Bergen), GRC Complaint No. 2009-156, *et seq.* (Interim Order dated April 28, 2010).
- The Custodian lawfully denied access to the letters of reference responsive to the Complainant’s OPRA request item No. 3 under the privacy interest exemption. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1; Burnett v. Cnty. of Bergen, 198 N.J. 408 (2009); Reynolds v. N.J. Bd. of Pub. Util., GRC Complaint No. 2008-14 (August 2009).
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Hearing none, Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Hahn made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote; Mr. Ritardi recused.

4. **Stacie Percella v. City of Bayonne (Hudson) (2022-584) (SR Recusal)**

- The Custodian timely responded in writing immediately. As such, no “deemed” denial occurred. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(e); Ranallo v. City of Trenton (Mercer), GRC Complaint No. 2017-222 (July 2019).
- The Custodian’s failure to respond within the extended time frame resulted in a “deemed” denial of access. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i); Kohn v. Twp. of Livingston Library (Essex), GRC Complaint No. 2007-124 (March 2008). However, the GRC declines to order disclosure because the Custodian did so on September 14, 2022.
- There is no knowing and willful violation.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Hearing none, Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Hahn made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote; Mr. Ritardi recused.

Ms. Berg Tabakin notified the public that Mr. Ritardi would rejoin the meeting by unmuting himself. Mr. Ritardi rejoined the meeting at that time.

A brief summary of the Executive Director’s recommended action is under each complaint:

**B. Individual Complaint Adjudications with no Recusals:**

1. **Lisa Andreula-Porto v. Cape May County (2020-62)**

- The Council should reject Custodian Counsel’s request for reconsideration based on a “mistake.” However, the Council should suspend the February 27, 2024 Administrative Order pending a response to a request for additional information.

- To the extent that the Custodian disclosed records in hard copy for the appropriate per-page cost, no violation of OPRA occurred and no refund is warranted. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(b). Further, the Custodian was not required to waive or disclose additional hard copy records absent receipt of payment. Reid v. GRC & N.J. Dep't of Corr., Unpub. LEXIS 2625 (App. Div. 2013); Paff v. City of Plainfield, GRC Complaint No. 2006-54 (July 2006).
- The Custodian unlawfully denied access to the responsive e-mails (and attachments where applicable) and must disclose same in the “meaningful medium” of .pdf. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(d).
- The knowing and willful analysis is deferred.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

2. **Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o Delores Simmons, Obafemi Simmons, and Grace Woko) v. Park Ridge Police Department (Bergen) (2021-142)**

- The Custodian unlawfully denied access to the responsive records. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6; Simmons v. Mercado, 247 N.J. 24, 42 (2021). However, the GRC declines to order disclosure because the Custodian did so on March 21, 2021.
- The Complainant is a prevailing party. The parties shall confer on fees and advise the GRC within twenty (20) business days if an agreement is reached. If not, Complainant’s Counsel shall submit a fee application in accordance with N.J.A.C. 5:105-2.13.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

3. **Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data and Research Institute, Baffi Simmons, and Delores Simmons) v. Borough of Leonia (Bergen) (2021-242)**

- The Custodian’s response was insufficient because she failed to address each OPRA request item individually. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); Paff, GRC 2007-272.
- The Custodian unlawfully denied access to requested disclosable personnel information and must disclose the most comprehensive record containing same. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-10; Danis, GRC 2009-156; Valdes v. Union City Bd. of Educ. (Hudson), GRC Complaint No. 2011-64 (Interim Order dated August 28, 2012); Matthews v. City of Atlantic City (Atlantic), GRC Complaint No. 2008-123 (February 2009).
- The Custodian lawfully denied access to the portion of the Complainant’s OPRA request seeking agreements because she certified, and the record reflects, that no records exist. Pusterhofer v. N.J. Dep’t of Educ., GRC Complaint No. 2005-49 (July 2005).
- The prevailing party analysis is deferred.

- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

4. **Tucker M. Kelley v. Rockaway Township (Morris) (2021-359)**

- The Custodian's failure to timely respond resulted in a "deemed" denial of access. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i).
- The Custodian lawfully denied access to the responsive dashcam footage, which was part of an Internal Affairs investigation. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6; N.J.S.A. 47:1A-9(a); Internal Affairs Policy & Procedures; Rivera v. Union Cnty. Prosecutor's Office, 250 N.J. 124, 142-143 (2022).
- The Complainant is not a prevailing party.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

5. **Tucker M. Kelley v. Rockaway Township (Morris) (2022-36)**

- The Custodian's extensions were unwarranted and unsubstantiated; thus, a "deemed" denial of access occurred. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i); Ciccarone v. N.J. Dep't of Treasury, GRC Complaint No. 2013-280 (Interim Order dated July 29, 2014). However, the Council should decline to order disclosure of the responsive records because same were provided on March 4, 2022.
- There is no knowing and willful violation.
- The Complainant is not a prevailing party.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Rosado noted that the prevailing party analysis was added to the proposed Findings & Recommendations. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as amended. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

6. **Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data and Research Institute) v. Galloway Township Police Department (Atlantic) (2022-46)**

- The Custodian's response was insufficient because she failed to address each OPRA request item individually. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); Paff, GRC 2007-272.
- The Custodian performed an insufficient search to locate records responsive to the requested personnel information. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6; Schneble v. N.J. Dep't of Env'tl. Prot., GRC Complaint No. 2007-220 (April 2008). However, the GRC declines to order disclosure because the Custodian did so as part of Statement of Information.



- The Custodian lawfully denied access to the portion of the Complainant's OPRA request seeking agreements because she certified, and the record reflects, that no records exist. Pusterhofer, GRC 2005-49.
- The Complainant is a prevailing party. The parties shall confer on fees and advise the GRC within twenty (20) business days if an agreement is reached. If not, Complainant's Counsel shall submit a fee application in accordance with N.J.A.C. 5:105-2.13.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

7. **Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data and Research Institute) v. Atlantic City Police Department (Atlantic) (2022-47)**

- The Custodian's response was insufficient because she failed to address each OPRA request item individually. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); Paff, GRC 2007-272.
- The Custodian did not unlawfully deny access to the portion of the Complainant's OPRA request seeking disclosable personnel information because all was disclosed. Danis, GRC 2009-156, *et seq.*
- The Custodian lawfully denied access to the portion of the Complainant's OPRA request seeking agreements because she certified, and the record reflects, that no records exist. Pusterhofer, GRC 2005-49.
- The Complainant is not a prevailing party.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

8. **Andrew Garcia Phillips v. City of Rahway (Union) (2022-59)**

- The Custodian's failure to respond within the extended time frame resulted in a "deemed" denial of access. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i); Kohn, GRC 2007-124.
- The Custodian's failure to respond immediately to OPRA request item Nos. 1 and 4 resulted in a violation of OPRA. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(e); Herron, GRC 2006-178.
- The Custodian did not unlawfully deny access to records responsive to OPRA request item Nos. 1 and 4 because he disclosed them on March 8, 2022. Matthews, GRC 2008-123. Further, the current Custodian cured a technical issue with the disclosed record once made aware of the issue.
- The Custodian lawfully denied access to OPRA request item Nos. 2, 3, and 5 because he certified, and the record reflects, that no records exist. Pusterhofer, GRC 2005-49.
- There is no knowing and willful violation.

- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

9. **John Bellocchio v. Middlesex County Prosecutor’s Office (2022-60)**

- The request seeking “any and all . . . criminal records” was invalid because it failed to specify records and required research. MAG Entm’t, LLC v. Div. of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534, 546 (App. Div. 2005); Bent v. Stafford Police Dep’t, 381 N.J. Super. 30, 37 (App. Div. 2005). Thus, the Custodian lawfully denied access to the subject request. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

10. **Matthew Streger, Esq. (o/b/o Lincoln Park EMS) v. NJ Department of Health (2022-299)**

- The Custodian lawfully denied access to the subject OPRA request on the basis that it would substantially disrupt agency operations. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6; Karakashian v. N.J. Dep’t of Law & Pub. Safety, Div. of Consumer Affairs, Office Bd. of Medical Examiners, GRC Complaint No. 2013-121, *et seq.* (November 2013).
- The Complainant is not a prevailing party.
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

11. **Marc Liebeskind v. NJ Department of Transportation (2022-569)**

- The Custodian’s failure to timely respond resulted in a “deemed” denial of access. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i).
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director’s findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

12. **James M. Janone v. Borough of Victory Gardens (Morris) (2023-42)**

- The Custodian’s failure to timely respond resulted in a “deemed” denial of access. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g); N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i). See Dittrich v. City of Hoboken, GRC Complaint No. 2008-04 (March 2009).

- The Custodian unlawfully denied access to the responsive meeting recording and must disclose same. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6; Burlett v. Monmouth Cnty. Bd. of Chosen Freeholders, GRC Complaint No. 2009-49 (February 2010).
- Ms. Berg Tabakin called for any discussion on the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to accept the Executive Director's findings and recommendations as written. Mr. Ritardi made a motion and Mr. Alexy seconded the motion. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

**VIII. Court Decisions of GRC Complaints on Appeal: None**

**IX. Complaints Adjudicated in NJ Superior Court & NJ Supreme Court: None**

**X. Complaints Adjudicated in U.S. District Court: None**

**XI. Public Comment: None**

**XII. Adjournment:**

Ms. Berg Tabakin called for a motion to end the Council meeting. Mr. Ritardi made a motion, which was seconded by Mr. Hahn. The motion passed by a unanimous vote. The meeting adjourned at 2:06 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Robin Berg Tabakin, Esq., Chair

Date Approved: July 30, 2024