



State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
101 SOUTH BROAD STREET
PO Box 819
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0819

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

TAHESHA L. WAY
Lieutenant Governor

JACQUELYN A. SUÁREZ
Commissioner

NOTICE OF MEETING Government Records Council July 30, 2024

Pursuant to the Open Public Meetings Act, notice is hereby given that the Government Records Council will hold a regular meeting, at which formal action may be taken, commencing at 1:30 p.m., Tuesday, July 30, 2024 via Office Teams. Members of the public may attend the meeting by utilizing the following call-in information:

Telephone Number: 1-856-338-7074
Conference ID: 815 013 075

The agenda, to the extent presently known, is listed below. The public session and consideration of cases is expected to commence at 1:30 p.m. remotely.

I. Public Session:

Call to Order
Pledge of Allegiance
Meeting Notice
Roll Call

II. Executive Director's Report

III. Closed Session

- Charles Arentowicz v. Township of Long Hill (Morris) (2022-169) *In Camera* Review (N.J.A.C. 5:105-2.8(g))

IV. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meetings:

June 25, 2024 Open Session Meeting Minutes

V. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Consent Agenda Administrative Complaint Disposition Adjudication *

An “Administrative Complaint Disposition” means a decision by the Council as to whether to accept or reject the Executive Director’s recommendation of dismissal based on

jurisdictional, procedural or other defects of the complaint. The Executive Director's recommended reason for the Administrative Disposition is under each complaint below.

A. Administrative Disposition Adjudications with Recusals (Consent Agenda):

1. Kirk D. Campbell v. Hudson County Prosecutor's Office (2024-133) **(SR Recusal)**
2. Whitney Strub v. Rutgers University (2024-176) **(SR Recusal)**

B. Administrative Disposition Adjudications with no Recusals (Consent Agenda):

1. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. West Milford Police Department (Passaic) (2022-66)
2. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Highland Park Police Department (Middlesex) (2022-69)
3. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Hopatcong Police Department (Sussex) (2022-72)
4. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Franklin Township Police Department (Somerset) (2022-73)
5. Luis Eduardo Vergara v. Township of Berkeley Heights (Union) (2023-25)
6. Klarida Papajani v. Pascack Valley Regional High School District (Bergen) (2024-16)
7. Charlette Bey v. Bravo Supermarkets – New Brunswick (Middlesex) (2024-161)
8. Tyrell L. Hicks v. Bravo Supermarkets – New Brunswick (Middlesex) (2024-166)
9. Benu Varghese v. Office of Assemblyman Robert Auth (2024-181)

C. Administrative Disposition Uncontested, Voluntary Withdrawals by Complainant (No Adjudication of the Council is Required):

1. Kathleen Zurich v. Madison Public Schools (Morris) (2024-40)
2. Kevin Kearns v. Township of Verona (Essex) (2024-59)
3. Debbie Lynn Fitzgerald v. Neptune Township Police Department (Monmouth) (2024-105)
4. Gladys I. Torres v. Town of Hammonton (Atlantic) (2024-143)
5. Gladys I. Torres v. City of Camden (Camden) (2024-153)
6. Matthew B. Thompson, Esq. v. Township of Edison (Middlesex) (2024-159)
7. Andrew H. Graulich, Esq. v. NJ Department of Treasury (2024-178)

VI. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Consent Agenda Administrative Order

An "Administrative order" means an order issued by the Council requiring the records custodian or the complainant to perform a specific action in furtherance of the adjudication of a pending denial of access complaint or taking other actions deemed appropriate to adjudicate a complaint in an expedited manner. The Executive Director's recommended reason for the Administrative Order is under each complaint below.

A. Administrative Orders with Recusals (Consent Agenda): None

B. Administrative Orders with No Recusals (Consent Agenda): None

VII. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Individual Complaint Adjudication

The Executive Director’s recommended action is under each complaint below.

A. Individual Complaint Adjudications with Recusals:

1. Perrault Jean-Paul v. City of Jersey City (Hudson) (2020-209) **(SR Recusal)**
2. Maria Diamonte v. Rutgers University (2022-61) **(SR Recusal)**

B. Individual Complaint Adjudications with no Recusals:

1. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o Delores Simmons, Obafemi Simmons, & Grace Woko) v. Closter Police Department (Bergen) (2021-126)
2. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o Delores Simmons, Obafemi Simmons, & Grace Woko) v. Bunea Borough Police Department (Atlantic) (2021-183)
3. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Mountainside Police Department (Union) (2021-287)
4. Kris Pawelko v. Ramapo Indian Hills High School District (Bergen) (2022-42)
5. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Ringwood Police Department (Passaic) (2022-70)
6. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Delaware Township Police Department (Hunterdon) (2022-71)
7. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Ridgefield Park Police Department (Bergen) (2022-74)
8. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Borough of Prospect Park Police Department (Bergen) (2022-75)
9. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Princeton Police Department (Mercer) (2022-77)
10. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Brielle Borough Police Department (Monmouth) (2022-78)
11. Scott Madlinger v. Berkeley Township (Ocean) (2022-114)
12. Scott Madlinger v. Berkeley Township (Ocean) (2022-115)
13. Charles Arentowicz v. Township of Long Hill (Morris) (2022-169)
14. Yehuda Miller v. County of Cape May Board of Elections (2022-232)
15. Charles Arentowicz v. Township of Long Hill (Morris) (2022-664)
16. James M. Keefer v. Township of Pennsville (Salem) (2022-669)

VIII. Court Decisions of GRC Complaints on Appeal:

IX. Complaints Adjudicated in NJ Superior Court & NJ Supreme Court:

X. Complaints Adjudicated in U.S. District Court:

XI. Public Comment:

The public comment period is limited to providing an opportunity for speakers to present suggestions, views and comments relevant to the Council’s functions and responsibilities. In the interest of time, speakers may be limited to **five (5) minutes**. Speakers shall not be permitted to make oral or written testimony regarding pending or scheduled adjudications.*

XII. Adjournment

*Neither attorneys nor other representatives of the parties are required to attend this meeting nor will they be permitted to make oral or written comment during the adjudication.