

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT
PO BOX 716
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

CAROLE JOHNSON
Commissioner

NATASHA JOHNSON Assistant Commissioner

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW 05597-20 M.R.

AGENCY DKT. NO. **S457339006** (CUMBERLAND COUNTY BD OF SOC SVCS.)

Petitioner challenges the correctness of the Respondent Agency's reduction of her Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") benefits. Petitioner's SNAP benefits were reduced after Petitioner relocated and no longer qualified for the Heating or Cooling Standard Utility Allowance ("HCSUA") in the calculation of Petitioner's monthly SNAP benefit amount. Because Petitioner appealed, the matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law for a hearing. On September 9, 2020, the Honorable Joan M. Burke, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), held a telephonic plenary hearing, took testimony, and admitted documents. On September 16, 2020, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, affirming the Agency's determination.

No Exceptions to the Initial Decision were filed.

As Assistant Commissioner, Division of Family Development, Department of Human Services, I have considered the ALJ's Initial Decision and following an independent review of the record, the ALJ's Initial Decision is hereby ADOPTED, and the Agency determination is AFFIRMED, based on the discussion below.

SNAP is designed to promote the general welfare and to safeguard the health and well-being of the population by raising the levels of nutrition among low-income households. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-1.1(a). In order to determine an applicant's eligibility for SNAP, the applicant's income and resources must be below a certain threshold. N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16 outlines the procedures used to calculate net income and benefit levels for SNAP recipients. The regulation provides that the applicant's monthly net income is determined by adding together all earned and unearned income, then subtracting all income exclusions. Then, the standard deduction, based upon the size of the household, is subtracted from the income.

Thereafter, the household is evaluated to determine if a medical deduction is appropriate, which is if the household has medical expenses that exceed \$35.00. If the household is entitled to a medical deduction, then the amount in excess of \$35.00 is subtracted from the applicant's income. Then, the applicant is evaluated for an excess shelter deduction. Such a deduction is permitted when the individual's shelter costs exceed 50% of their net income. If this deduction is allowable, then the difference between the



shelter costs and the 50% net income, or up to the maximum allowable amount, is subtracted from the individual's income. The remaining figure is Petitioner's net income. This net income is then compared against the maximum allowable net income amount for the household's size, as outlined at N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.3, to determine eligibility. If eligible, the household's monthly SNAP allotment shall be equal to the maximum food stamp allotment for the household's size, reduced by 30 percent of the household's net monthly income. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.6(a)(1).

The record in this matter reflects that Petitioner's household consists of one person, and that the household's monthly gross income totals \$738, comprised of Petitioner's Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance ("RSDI") benefits. See Initial Decision at 2; see also Exhibit R-1 at 14, 16, and N.J.A.C. 10:87-5.5(a)(2) and -6.16(b)(3). The household has no monthly earned income. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b)(2). After subtracting the standard deduction of \$167 for a household of one, and the excess medical deduction over \$35.00, or \$101 (\$136 – \$35), Petitioner's income is reduced to \$470. See Exhibit R-1 at 14; see also N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b)(4), (5) and DFD Instruction ("DFDI") 19-09-01 at 11.

Next, is to determine if Petitioner receives a shelter deduction and if so, how much. The record indicates that, upon relocating in February 2020, Petitioner no longer contributed to the cost of utilities, which Petitioner acknowledges. See Initial Decision at 2, 3; see also Exhibit R-1. As such, Petitioner no longer qualifies for the HCSUA of \$548, and that amount is removed from the calculation of Petitioner's monthly SNAP benefit allotment. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-5.10(a)(6), -12.1(c)(1). The record shows that Petitioner's shelter costs are \$550. See Initial Decision at 2; see also N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b)(8), and DFDI 19-09-01 at 11. Subtracted from that amount is 50% of Petitioner's income after the above deductions, or half of \$470, which is \$235, (\$550 - \$235), resulting in an excess shelter deduction of \$315. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b)(8). This amount is then subtracted from Petitioner's income minus the deductions ((\$738 - \$167 - \$101) - \$315), resulting in a net monthly SNAP income of \$155. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b)(9). That amount is then multiplied by .3 and rounded up, or \$47. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.6(a)(1)(i)-(ii). That amount is then subtracted from the maximum benefit for a household of one, \$194 - \$47, or \$147. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.6(a)(1)(iii); see also DFDI 19-09-01 at 11, and Exhibit R-1 at 11-12.

Based on the foregoing, I concur with the ALJ that the Agency's calculation of Petitioner's SNAP benefits, and the resultant reduction of said benefits, due to Petitioner's relocation, was correct and must stand. See Initial Decision at 3-4; see also Exhibit R-1 at 14, and N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16.

Accordingly, the Initial Decision in this matter is ADOPTED, and the Agency's determination is hereby AFFIRMED, as outlined above.

Officially approved final version.

SEP 2 4 2020

Natasha Johnson

Assistant Commissioner

