

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT
PO BOX 716
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

SARAH ADELMAN Commissioner

TAHESHA L. WAY Lt. Governor NATASHA JOHNSON Assistant Commissioner

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW 07087-25 F.M.

AGENCY DKT. NO. C295581007 (ESSEX COUNTY DIVISION OF WELFARE)

Petitioner appeals from the Respondent Agency's denial of Emergency Assistance ("EA") benefits. The Agency denied Petitioner EA benefits, contending that she refused appropriate housing offered by the Agency. Because Petitioner appealed, the matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law for a hearing. On April 30, 2025, the Honorable Kimberly K. Holmes, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), held a telephonic plenary hearing, took testimony, and admitted documents. The record was then held open for the submission of additional documents and closed on May 1, 2025. On May 2, 2025, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, affirming the Agency's determination.

No Exceptions to the Initial Decision were received.

As Assistant Commissioner, Division of Family Development ("DFD"), Department of Human Services, I have reviewed the ALJ's Initial Decision and the record, and I hereby ADOPT the ALJ's Initial Decision, and AFFIRM the Agency's determination, based on the discussion below.

Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 10:90-6.3(a)(1), the "agency shall determine the most appropriate form of emergency housing which is required to address the need and authorize payment of the costs of adequate emergency shelter/housing, taking into consideration individual/family circumstances and services provided." Such emergency housing may include placement in a shelter. Ibid.

Here, on April 24, 2025, Petitioner applied for EA benefits, which application the Agency denied on that same date. See Initial Decision at 3; see also Exhibit R-1. On the date of Petitioner's application, the Agency offered Petitioner placement at a shelter, which Petitioner refused as she expressed a preference to be placed in a different shelter. See Initial Decision at 3. Based on the testimony and record provided, the ALJ concluded that Petitioner had refused the shelter placement offered by the Agency, without good cause, which placement was an appropriate form of benefits to meet Petitioner's emergent need based on the facts presented, and as such, the Agency's denial of EA benefits was proper and must stand. See Initial Decision at 4-5; see also Exhibit R-1, and N.J.A.C. 10:90-6.3(a)(1). I agree.

By way of comment, Petitioner may reapply for EA benefits, but is advised that it is the Agency who shall determine the most appropriate form of housing necessary to address her emergency and individual circumstances. See N.J.A.C. 10:90-6.3(a)(1). Petitioner is further advised that if she again refuses appropriate placement offered by the Agency, she may again be denied EA benefits, and a six-month period of ineligibility for EA benefits may be imposed. See N.J.A.C. 10:90-6.1(c)(3).



By way of further comment, the Agency shall refer Petitioner to any and all agencies and organizations that may be able to assist with her current needs, including Social Services for the Homeless.

Accordingly, the Initial Decision is hereby ADOPTED, and the Agency's determination is AFFIRMED.

Officially approved final version. May 07, 2025

Natasha Johnson

**Assistant Commissioner**