



State of New Jersey

MIKIE SHERRILL
Governor

DR. DALE G. CALDWELL
Lt. Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT
PO BOX 716

TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

STEPHEN CHA, MD, MHSR
Commissioner

NATASHA JOHNSON
Assistant Commissioner

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW 18807-25 A.V.

AGENCY DKT. NO. C872749007 (ESSEX COUNTY DIVISION OF WELFARE)

Petitioner challenges the correctness of the Respondent Agency's calculation of Petitioner's monthly Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") benefits allotment. Because Petitioner appealed, the matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law for a hearing. On November 21, 2025, the Honorable Mumtaz Bari-Brown, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), held a telephonic plenary hearing, took testimony, and admitted documents into evidence. On the date of the hearing, Petitioner declined to provide testimony, however, the following day Petitioner submitted documents and the record was closed. On December 12, 2025, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, affirming the Agency's calculations.

No Exceptions to the Initial Decision were received.

As Assistant Commissioner, Division of Family Development ("DFD"), Department of Human Services, I have considered the ALJ's Initial Decision and following an independent review of the record, the ALJ's Initial Decision is hereby MODIFIED and the Agency determination is AFFIRMED, based on the discussion below.

Regulatory authority applicable to SNAP benefits cases, defines income as "all income from whatever source unless such income is specifically excluded." See N.J.A.C. 10:87-5.3. Additionally, for SNAP benefits cases, unearned income includes survivors, disability, and Social Security benefits for both adults and children in the household. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-5.5(a)(2).

In order to determine an applicant's eligibility for SNAP, the applicant's income and resources must be below a certain threshold. In accordance with N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(d)(1), households which contain an elderly or permanently disabled individual, as defined by N.J.A.C. 10:87-2.34, must meet the net income test for SNAP eligibility. N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(d)(2), states that households that do not contain an elderly or permanently disabled household member must meet both the gross income test, as well as the net income test, meaning that the respective income amounts must be below the established standards. See also N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.3, -12.4.

N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b) further outlines the procedures used to calculate both gross and net income for SNAP benefits purposes, and the applicable benefit levels, if eligible. The regulation provides that the applicant's monthly net income is determined by adding together all earned and unearned income, then subtracting all income exclusions. Then, the standard deduction, based upon the size of the household, is subtracted from the income.

Thereafter, the household is evaluated to determine if a medical deduction is appropriate, which is if the household has medical expenses that exceed \$35.00. If the household is entitled to a medical deduction, then the amount in excess of \$35.00 is subtracted from the applicant's income. Then, the applicant is evaluated for an excess shelter deduction.



Such a deduction is permitted when the individual's shelter costs exceed 50% of their net income. If this deduction is allowable, then the difference between the shelter costs and the 50% net income, or up to the maximum allowable amount, is subtracted from the individual's income. The remaining figure is Petitioner's net income for SNAP benefits purposes. This net income is then compared against the maximum allowable net income amount for the household's size, as outlined at N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.3, to determine eligibility. If eligible, the household's monthly SNAP allotment shall be equal to the maximum food stamp allotment for the household's size, reduced by 30 percent of the household's net monthly income. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.6(a)(1).

Here, Petitioner is a household of one person and the household's income is solely comprised of unearned income in the form of Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance ("RSDI") benefits. See Initial Decision at 2; see also Exhibit R-1. As Petitioner's household contains a permanently disabled person, only the net income standard must be met for continued SNAP eligibility. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(d)(1); see also N.J.A.C. 10:87-2.34(b)(2) (defining a disabled household member as one who receives Social Security disability benefits, including those under Title II, known as RSDI benefits). After factoring the monthly unearned income from RSDI benefits into the SNAP eligibility calculations, and after application of the standard deduction for a household of one person of \$209, together with Petitioner's monthly rental expenses of \$700, which are inclusive of utilities, the household's net income, for SNAP eligibility purposes, was calculated to be \$593. See Initial Decision at 2; see also Exhibit R-1 and N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b)(8). That amount is then multiplied by .3 and rounded up, or \$178. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.6(a)(1)(i)-(ii). That amount is then subtracted from the maximum benefit for a household of one, \$298 - \$178, resulting in an allotment amount of \$120. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.6(a)(1)(iii); see also DFDI 25-09-02 at 12. Based on the record presented, the ALJ in this matter concluded that the Agency had properly calculated Petitioner's SNAP benefits allotment. See Initial Decision at 2-3; see also Exhibit R-1. I agree, but modify the Initial Decision in this case to include the above analysis and findings.

Accordingly, the Initial Decision in this matter is hereby MODIFIED, and the Agency's determination is AFFIRMED, as outlined above.

Officially approved final version. January 22, 2026

Natasha Johnson
Assistant Commissioner

