

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

FIFTY THIRD

REPORT OF

THE STATE FARMLAND EVALUATION COMMITTEE

PRODUCTIVITY VALUES

FOR

2017 TAX YEAR

FARMLAND ASSESSMENT ACT OF 1964

CHAPTER 48, LAWS OF 1964

TRENTON, NEW JERSEY

OCTOBER, 2016

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The State Farmland Evaluation Advisory Committee gratefully acknowledges the assistance provided by members of the staff of School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers - The State University. Particular commendation is extended to Dr. A. Robert Koch, Professor Emeritus, Department of Agricultural Economics and Marketing; Dr. George W. Luke, Late Professor, Emeritus; Dr. Donn A. Derr, Professor Emeritus, Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics and Dr. John C. F. Tedrow, Late Professor of Soils and Crops.

Also acknowledged with the thanks of the Committee are the services rendered by Richard Belcher, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, New Jersey Department of Agriculture; Patricia Wright, Deputy Director and Marilyn Gaines, Administrative Assistant 2 from the Division of Taxation.

Address inquiries to:

Division of Taxation Post Office Box 240 Trenton, New Jersey 08695-0240

REPORT OF THE STATE FARMLAND EVALUATION COMMITTEE

The Farmland Assessment Act of 1964 (Chapter 48, Laws of 1964) created a State Farmland Evaluation Advisory Committee and designated as the members thereof the Director of the Division of Taxation, the Dean of School of Environmental and Biological Sciences and the Secretary of Agriculture. The Laws of 2013 Chapter 43 expanded the Committee to include a municipal tax assessor, county assessor or county tax administrator and a farmer who is a current or former member of the State Board of Agriculture and renamed the Committee the State Farmland Evaluation Committee. The Act prescribed the functions and responsibilities of the Committee as follows:

"... The committee shall meet from time to time on the call of the Secretary of Agriculture or the Director of the Division of Taxation and annually determine and publish a range of values for each of the several classifications of land in agricultural and horticultural use in the various areas of the State. The committee shall determine the ranges in fair value of such land based upon its productive capabilities when devoted to agricultural or horticultural uses. In making these annual determinations of value, the committee shall consider available evidence of agricultural or horticultural capability derived from the soil survey at Rutgers, The State University, the National Co-operative Soil Survey, and such other evidence of value of land devoted exclusively to agricultural or horticultural uses as it may in its judgment deem pertinent. On or before October 1 of each year, the committee shall make these ranges of fair value available to the assessing authority in each of the taxing districts in which land in agricultural and horticultural use is located."

The original methodology of capitalizing net farm income per acre in determining the ranges in fair value of the several classifications of qualified land has been continued in this report.

Sources of primary data used in determining fair values are the U.S. Census of Agriculture (1964 through 2012), annual publications of the Economics Research Service and the National Agricultural Statistics Service of the United States Department of Agriculture, the New Jersey Department of Agriculture, the Annual FA-I Data Report and research publications developed at Rutgers - The State University.

The Committee submits this 2016 report for use in the tax year 2017.

Douglas H. Fisher, Secretary of Agriculture

Department of Agriculture

Brian J. Schilling, Ph.D.

Assistant Extension Specialist

Rutgers Cooperative Extension

Patricia Wright, Deputy Director

Division of Taxation

Kathleen Hill, Assessor

Gloucester County

Ann Dorsett, Former Member State Board of Agriculture

LAND USE AND PRODUCTIVITY VALUE

The Farmland Assessment Act emphasizes the importance of land use and productivity as primary measures of value when land is devoted to agricultural production and authorizes the Committee to determine a range of fair values for the several classifications of land qualified by assessors.

Historically, farm operators have used their land in the following ways:

- 1. To produce crops and animal products for sale or feed for animals on the farm.
- 2. To remain fallow or in cover crops as part of a planned rotational program.
- 3. To remain unplowed for grazing or conservation purposes.
- 4. To remain in woods, streams, and meadows which enhances the productivity of all the land cultivated.

LAND USE CLASSES

The historical uses of farmland described above are the basis for the land use classes listed and defined below:

- 1. <u>Cropland Harvested</u> This land is the heart of a farming enterprise and represents the highest use of land in agriculture. All land from which a crop was harvested in the current year falls into this category.
- 2. <u>Cropland Pastured</u> This land can be and often is used to produce crops, but its maximum income may not be realized in a particular year. Land that is fallow or in cover crops as part of a rotational program falls in this classification.
- 3. <u>Permanent Pasture</u> This land is not cultivated because its maximum economic potential is realized from grazing or as part of erosion control programs. Animals may or may not be part of the farm operation for land to be qualified in this category.
- 4. **Non-Appurtenant Woodland** Woodland which can only qualify for farmland assessment on the basis of being in compliance with a woodland management plan filed with the Department of Environmental Protection. It is actively devoted to the production for sale of tree and forest products.
- 5. <u>Appurtenant Woodland</u> Woodland that is part of a qualified farm. Usually this land is restricted to woodlots because of slope, drainage capability, soil type or topography. Such land has limited productive use but it provides a windbreak, watershed, buffers or controls soil erosion.

SOIL GROUPS

Assuming average weather and management, the long run productive capability of farmland in any of the land use classes described previously is related primarily to the innate productivity of the soils found in those land use classes.

To keep the valuation process within reasonable limits, the 215 soil types found in New Jersey were rated and categorized into five clearly defined soil groups by the Soils Department at Rutgers. $\underline{1}^*$

^{*=}Footnotes

Those soil groups are described below:

- Group A <u>Very productive farmland</u> The most desirable soil in the area because of high yields and ease of cultivation.
- Group B <u>Good farmland</u> Desirable soil because yields are generally high and the land can be cultivated on a permanent basis.
- Group C <u>Fair farmland</u> Yields are lower than those in soil Group B because of shallowness, droughtiness, or excessive moisture. This land can be cultivated on a permanent basis.
- Group D <u>Poor farmland</u> This soil is usually too wet, stony, droughty, or otherwise unsuitable for permanent cultivation. Yields are low when cultivated.
- Group E <u>Very poor farmland</u> This land is often found in pasture or woodlands. Yields are very low because of excessive water, shallowness, stoniness, or droughtiness.

The boarding, rehabilitating or training of livestock is a qualified agricultural land use and deemed to be actively devoted to agriculture when that area is contiguous to land which otherwise qualifies for farmland assessment. One of the means to qualify a boarding, rehabilitating, or training facility is to use income imputed to land for grazing. This report includes imputed grazing values by soil group and county and may be found in column 6 of $\underline{\text{Tables 1}}$ and $\underline{\text{2}}$.

RANGES IN FAIR VALUES OF FARMLAND

When land use and estimate of soil productivity are combined, a range in fair value of farmland can be determined. These ranges in value are shown in <u>Tables 1</u> and <u>2</u> for each county in New Jersey. The values shown in <u>Table 1</u> are the ranges in good value between the land use classes. The values in <u>Table 1</u> are then modified by the soil ratings shown in <u>Table 2</u>. The values in <u>Table 2</u> are the Committee's estimates of the value of farmland based upon its productive capabilities when devoted to agricultural or horticultural use. These are the ranges in value which the Committee is making available to the assessing authority in each of the taxing districts in accordance with the provisions of Section 20 of the Farmland Assessment Act of 1964.

The general method of calculation of farmland values for the 2017 tax year is shown in the Appendix.

APPENDIX

(a) The U. S. Department of Agriculture publishes annual estimates of state farm income and expenses. The U. S. Census estimates state and county farm income every five years. These estimates as well as current data available in the Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics, School of Environmental and Biological Sciences were used in determining net farm income for New Jersey agriculture for 2016.

Estimated New Jersey Net Farm Income - 2016

N /C:11: ...

	MIIIIOII	
	Dollars	
Cash Receipts	\$792.6	<u>2</u> *
Government Payments	6.8	
Value of Home Consumption	7.0	
Change in Inventory	+ 9.3	
Farm Income	\$815.7	<u>3</u> *
Farm Expenses	<u>-783. 0</u>	<u>4</u> *
NET FARM INCOME TO LAND	\$32.7	<u>5</u> *

(b) In order to allocate State net farm income to each county, an estimate of farm income was determined for each county from data in the "Census of Agriculture 1964-2012" and published estimates of net income in previous evaluation reports.

Example of Projected County Income as a Percent of State Income

_	2013	2014	2015	2016
	Mil.\$%	Mil.\$%	Mil.\$%	Mil.\$%
County	3.57 9.0	3.43 9.0	3.114 9.0	2.943 9.0
State	39.7 100	38.7 100	34.6 100	32.7 100

(c) Ratios as determined in (b) above were used to allocate State net farm income to each county.

Example of Determination of County Net Farm Income

	Net Farm	
	<u>Income</u>	Percent
	(Mil. \$)	
County	2.943	9.0
State	32.7	100.0

(d) Net income for each county was then capitalized according to a return of 10% to estimate the total value of farmland in that county. $\underline{6}^*$

Example of Determination of Total Value of Land in Farms For a County

	Net	Capitalized
	<u>Income</u>	<u>Value</u>
	(Mil. \$)	(Mil. \$)
County	2.943	294.3
*-Footnotes		

*=Footnotes

(e) When the total capitalized value of farmland in the county is determined, a value per acre can be estimated for each land use classification by multiplying acreages in the class (cropland harvested, cropland pastured, etc.) by a weighted estimate of income potential when farmland is devoted to that land use. The number of acres used in the formula for each land use class was determined by the amount of land qualified by assessors as shown in the annual FA-1 report. Further, it is necessary to consider any anticipated changes in each of the land use classifications for the projected tax year (see e.1 below). The potential income weights were determined by agricultural economists at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey (see e.2 below). An example of these procedures are portrayed in e.1, e.2, f.1, and f.2. The data contained in e.1 represents a cross section of the 20 counties reporting qualified acreage under the Farmland Assessment Act of 1964.

(e.1)	Example of Projected	Acreages for	County Land	Use Classe	s for 2016
		<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	2016
	Cropland Harvested	38,856	35,636	36,900	34,400
	Cropland Pastured	1,080	1,034	665	625
	Permanent Pasture	2,910	2,624	2,500	2,380
	Non-Appurtenant				
	Woodland	9,800	9,900	11,100	10,600
	Appurtenant				
	Woodland	<u>9, 300</u>	<u>9,374</u>	8,000	<u>7,600</u>
	Total Qualified	61,946	58,568	59,165	55,605

(e.2) <u>Income Weights Used in the formula to Determine Value of Land Use Classes 7</u>*

Land Use Class	Income Weights
Cropland Harvested	20
Cropland Pastured	10
Permanent Pasture	4
Non-Appurtenant Woodland	3.5
Appurtenant Woodland	1

(f) When acreage in land use classes are combined with income weights for that class, a weighted estimate of acreage based upon income potential is determined for each land use class in the county (see f.1 below).

(f.1) Example of Computing Value for Land Use Classes for a County for 2016

			Income		Weighted
Land Use Class	<u>Acres</u>	X	Weights	=	<u>Acreage</u>
Cropland Harvested	34,400		20		688,000
Cropland Pastured	665		10		6,250
Permanent Pasture	2,380		4		9,520
Non-Appurtenant Woodland	10,600		3.5		37,100
Appurtenant Woodland	7,600		1		7,600
Total Weighted Acreage					748,470

^{*=}Footnotes

(f.2) Dividing total county capitalized value by total weighted acreage calculated in (f.1) determines the value of "X" shown below:

$$X = \frac{\text{Total County Capitalized Value}}{\text{Weighted Acreage}} = \frac{294.3 \text{ Million}}{748,470} = $39 \text{ per acre}$$

The "X" value is the value of woodland in the county for 2016.

(f.3) Values of all land classes are calculated below:

Average Land Use Value of Classes Where X = 39

Cropland Harvested	20	X	39	=	780
Cropland Pastured	10	X	39	=	390
Permanent Pasture	4	X	39	=	156
Non-Appurtenant Woodland	3.5	X	39	=	137
Appurtenant Woodland	1	X	39	=	39

- (g) The values calculated in (f.3) above are the ranges in value of the several classifications of land specified in the first paragraph of Section 20 of the Farmland Assessment Act which the Committee has determined for land devoted to agricultural use. These values are shown in Table 1.
- (h) When the values in Table 1 are adjusted for the productivity ratings of the soil as required in the second and third sentences of Section 20, a land value based upon land classification and soil productivity is determined. 8* The values that reflect soil productivity are the values recommended by the Committee for assessing purposes for the tax year 2017. Assessors should note that an A value is provided which is 20% above the 100% value for cropland and 10% above the 100% values for woodland and permanent pasture. This value is calculated for farmland of exceptional quality in the district. It also provides a margin of error for data used in the estimation process in this report.
- (i) Additional information on cropland harvested farm use 9*

^{*=}Footnotes

TABLE 1

2017 COUNTY VALUES PER ACRE BY LAND CLASSES

(COLUMN 6 SHOWS THE IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES PER N.J.S.A. 54:4-23.5 AND IS USED IN DETERMINING QUALIFYING INCOME, NOT VALUATION) $\underline{10}^*$

COUNTY	CROPLAND HARVESTED		CROPLAND	PASTURED	PERMANEN	PERMANENT PASTURE		NON-APPURTENANT APPURTENANT WOODLAND			IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES
	COI	COL. 1		DL. 2	co	DL. 3	CC	DL. 4	CC	DL. 5	COL. 6
	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	VALUE PER ACRE
ATLANTIC	100	920	100	460	100	184	100	161	100	46	\$152
BERGEN	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$152
BURLINGTON	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$150
CAMDEN	100	860	100	430	100	172	100	151	100	43	\$151
CAPE MAY	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$150
CUMBERLAND	100	800	100	400	100	160	100	140	100	40	\$150
ESSEX	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$152
GLOUCESTER	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$150
HUNTERDON	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$150
MERCER	100	760	100	380	100	152	100	133	100	38	\$149
MIDDLESEX	100	840	100	420	100	168	100	147	100	42	\$151
MONMOUTH	100	860	100	430	100	172	100	151	100	43	\$151
MORRIS	100	860	100	430	100	172	100	151	100	43	\$151
OCEAN	100	760	100	380	100	152	100	133	100	38	\$149
PASSAIC	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$152
SALEM	100	640	100	320	100	128	100	112	100	32	\$147
SOMERSET	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	\$150
SUSSEX	100	660	100	330	100	132	100	116	100	33	\$147
UNION	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	\$152
WARREN	100	680	100	340	100	136	100	119	100	34	\$148

TABLE 2

2017 COUNTY ESTIMATES OF RANGES IN VALUE OF FARMLAND BASED UPON LAND CLASSIFICATION

AND PRODUCTIVE CAPABILITIES WHEN DEVOTED TO AGRICULTURAL OR HORICULTURAL USE

(COLUMN 6 SHOWS THE IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES PER N.J.S.A. 54:4-23.5 AND IS USED IN DETERMINING QUALIFYING INCOME, NOT VALUATION)

	CROPLAND HARVESTED CROP		CROPLAND	PASTURED	PERMANENT PASTURE		NON-APPL WOOD		APPURTENAN	Γ WOODLAND	IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES	
		со	L. 1	COL. 2		COI	COL. 3		COL. 4		COL. 5	
COUNTY	SOIL GROUP	SOIL RATING	VALUE PER ACRE	VALUE PER ACRE								
ATLANTIC	A	120	1,104	120	552	110	202	110	177	110	51	154
	B	100	920	100	460	100	184	100	161	100	46	152
	C	70	644	70	322	80	147	90	145	90	41	149
	D	40	368	40	184	70	129	80	129	80	37	147
	E	10	92	10	46	60	110	70	113	70	32	145
BERGEN	A	120	1,080	120	540	110	198	110	174	110	49	154
	B	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	152
	C	70	630	70	315	80	144	90	142	90	41	148
	D	40	360	40	180	70	126	80	126	80	36	147
	E	10	90	10	45	60	108	70	110	70	32	145
BURLINGTON	A	120	936	120	468	110	172	110	151	110	43	151
	B	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	150
	C	70	546	70	273	80	125	90	123	90	35	147
	D	40	312	40	156	70	109	80	110	80	31	145
	E	10	78	10	39	60	94	70	96	70	27	143
CAMDEN	A	120	1,032	120	516	110	189	110	166	110	47	153
	B	100	860	100	430	100	172	100	151	100	43	151
	C	70	602	70	301	80	138	90	136	90	39	148
	D	40	344	40	172	70	120	80	120	80	34	146
	E	10	86	10	43	60	103	70	105	70	30	144
CAPE MAY	A	120	936	120	468	110	172	110	151	110	43	151
	B	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	150
	C	70	546	70	273	80	125	90	123	90	35	147
	D	40	312	40	156	70	109	80	110	80	31	145
	E	10	78	10	39	60	94	70	96	70	27	143
CUMBERLAND	A	120	960	120	480	110	176	110	154	110	44	152
	B	100	800	100	400	100	160	100	140	100	40	150
	C	70	560	70	280	80	128	90	126	90	36	147
	D	40	320	40	160	70	112	80	112	80	32	145
	E	10	80	10	40	60	96	70	98	70	28	144

8

TABLE 2 - CONTINUED

COL. 1 COL. 2 COL. 3	NG ACRE 174 158 142	COL. 5 VAL SOIL PE RATING ACI 110 50 100 45	R PER
COUNTY SOIL GROUP SOIL RATING PER ACRE SOIL RATING PER RATING ACRE ACRE RATING ACRE ACRE ACRE ACRE ACRE ACRE <t< td=""><td>DER PER ACRE 174 158 142</td><td>SOIL PE RATING ACI 110 50</td><td>R PER</td></t<>	DER PER ACRE 174 158 142	SOIL PE RATING ACI 110 50	R PER
COUNTY SOIL GROUP RATING ACRE ACRE RATING	NG ACRE 174 158 142	RATING ACI	
A 120 1,080 120 540 110 198 110 B 100 900 100 450 100 180 100 ESSEX C 70 630 70 315 80 144 90 D 40 360 40 180 70 126 80) 174) 158 142	110 50	RE ACRE
ESSEX B 100 900 100 450 100 180 100 C 70 630 70 315 80 144 90 D 40 360 40 180 70 126 80	158 142		
ESSEX C 70 630 70 315 80 144 90 D 40 360 40 180 70 126 80	142	100 45	154
D 40 360 40 180 70 126 80			
		90 41	
70		80 36 70 32	
	111	10 52	. 143
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	154	440	454
A 120 936 120 468 110 172 110 B 100 780 100 390 100 156 100		110 43 100 39	
GLOUCESTER C 70 546 70 273 80 125 90		90 35	
D 40 312 40 156 70 109 80		80 31	
E 10 78 10 39 60 94 70	96	70 27	7 143
A 120 936 120 468 110 172 110		110 43	
B 100 780 100 390 100 156 100		100 39	
HUNTERDON C 70 546 70 273 80 125 90 D 40 312 40 156 70 109 80		90 35 80 31	
D 40 312 40 156 70 109 80 E 10 78 10 39 60 94 70		70 27	
10 70 10 00 00 04 70	30		140
A 120 912 120 456 110 167 110	146	110 42	2 151
B 100 760 100 380 100 152 100	=	100 38	
MERCER C 70 532 70 266 80 122 90		90 34	
D 40 304 40 152 70 106 80		93 30	
E 10 76 10 38 60 91 70	93	70 27	7 143
A 120 1,008 120 504 110 185 110 B 100 840 100 420 100 168 100		110 46 100 42	
MIDDLESEX C 70 588 70 294 80 134 90		90 38	
D 40 336 40 168 70 118 80		80 34	
E 10 84 10 42 60 101 70	103	70 29	9 144
A 120 1,032 120 516 110 189 110		110 47	
B 100 860 100 430 100 172 100 MONMOUTH C 70 603 70 304 80 100 100		100 43	
MONMOUTH C 70 602 70 301 80 138 90 D 40 344 40 172 70 120 80		90 39 80 34	
E 10 86 10 43 60 103 70		70 30	
A 120 1,032 120 516 110 189 110	166	110 47	7 153
B 100 860 100 430 100 172 100		100 43	
MORRIS C 70 602 70 301 80 138 90		90 39	
D 40 344 40 172 70 120 80		80 34	
E 10 86 10 43 60 103 70	106	70 30) 144

TABLE 2 - CONTINUED

		CROPLAND	HARVESTED	CROPLAND	PASTURED	PERMANEN	T PASTURE	NON-APPU WOOD		APPURTENAN'	T WOODLAND	IMPUTED GRAZING VALUES
		со	L. 1	со	L. 2	CO	L. 3	COI	L. 4	COI	L. 5	COL. 6
			VALUE		VALUE		VALUE		VALUE		VALUE	VALUE
COUNTY	SOIL GROUP	SOIL RATING	PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	PER ACRE	SOIL RATING	PER ACRE	PER ACRE
OCEAN	A	120	912	120	456	110	167	110	146	110	42	151
	B	100	760	100	380	100	152	100	133	100	38	149
	C	70	532	70	266	80	122	90	120	90	34	146
	D	40	304	40	152	70	106	80	106	80	30	145
	E	10	76	10	38	60	91	70	93	70	27	143
PASSAIC	A	120	1,080	120	540	110	198	110	174	110	50	154
	B	100	900	100	450	100	180	100	158	100	45	152
	C	70	630	70	315	80	144	90	142	90	41	148
	D	40	360	40	180	70	126	80	126	80	36	147
	E	10	90	10	45	60	108	70	111	70	32	145
SALEM	A	120	768	120	384	110	141	110	123	110	35	148
	B	100	640	100	320	100	128	100	112	100	32	147
	C	70	448	70	224	80	102	90	101	90	29	144
	D	40	256	40	128	70	90	80	90	80	26	143
	E	10	64	10	32	60	77	70	78	70	22	142
SOMERSET	A	120	936	120	468	110	172	110	151	110	43	151
	B	100	780	100	390	100	156	100	137	100	39	150
	C	70	546	70	273	80	125	90	123	90	35	147
	D	40	312	40	156	70	109	80	110	80	31	145
	E	10	78	10	39	60	94	70	96	70	27	143
SUSSEX	A	120	792	120	396	110	145	110	128	110	36	149
	B	100	660	100	330	100	132	100	116	100	33	147
	C	70	462	70	231	80	106	90	104	90	30	145
	D	40	264	40	132	70	92	80	93	80	26	143
	E	10	66	10	33	60	79	70	81	70	23	142
UNION	A B C D	120 100 70 40 10	1,080 900 630 360 90	120 100 70 40 10	540 450 315 180 45	110 100 80 70 60	198 180 144 126 108	110 100 90 80 70	174 158 142 126 111	110 100 90 80 70	50 45 41 36 32	154 152 148 147 145
WARREN	A	120	816	120	408	110	150	110	131	110	37	149
	B	100	680	100	340	100	136	100	119	100	34	148
	C	70	476	70	238	80	109	90	107	90	31	145
	D	40	272	40	136	70	95	80	95	80	27	144
	E	10	68	10	34	60	82	70	83	70	24	142

FOOTNOTES

- 1. Soil types were rated and categorized by Dr. John Tedrow, Late Professor of Soils at Cook College, Rutgers. A description of New Jersey soil ratings are contained in "Productive Capability of New Jersey Soils and Crops," Rutgers The State University. A soils guide for use in connection with the valuation assessment, and taxation of land under the Farmland Assessment Act of 1964, Chapter 48, Laws of 1964 (N.J.S.A. 54:4-23.1 et seq.), p. 2.
- 2. Cash receipts are adjusted for income from floricultural crops grown under glass and poultry income which doesn't result from the land, p. 4.
- 3. Non-money income which is an imputed value for the rental value of the farm dwelling is excluded from farm income because the farm dwelling is excluded from assessment under the Farmland Assessment Act. Other income not earned from farming is also excluded, p. 4.
- 4. Expenses for the farm dwelling, floricultural crops grown under glass, and poultry are excluded from farm expenses, p. 4.
- 5. Net farm income does not include wages of management or a payment for family labor, p. 4.
- 6. The capitalization rate of 10% considers a 7 1/2% rate of return equaling a farm mortgage rate of interest of 7 1/2% and 2 1/2% return for wages of management and unpaid family labor, p. 4.
- 7. The weighting system allocates 79% of net farm income to cropland harvested and cropland pastured based upon estimates of the Soils and Crops Department and the Department of Agricultural Economics and Marketing, School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers The State University, p. 5.
- 8. See Subchapter 14 State Farmland Evaluation Committee, N.J.A.C. 18:15-14.1, p.6.
- 9. Land under farm buildings, including boarding, training and rehabilitating facilities that are being utilized for farm activity is valued as cropland harvested.
- 10. Imputed grazing values These values include the maintenance cost for permanent pasture (mowing/clipping, lime, fertilizer, over seeding and herbicide application). A land cost for permanent pasture is also included. These costs are updated periodically based on changes in labor, equipment and materials. Permanent pasture by definition is a marginal land use (low productivity and low income), which limits the return on labor and material inputs.