SECTION V INDEX OFFENSE ANALYSIS

- COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
- POPULATION GROUPS
- URBAN-SUBURBAN-RURAL MUNICIPALITIES

UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGE OFFENSE DATA—1992 (All Offenses Recorded in This Table are included in the Respective Municipalities)

Wilversity of College	(All Ollelises Necolded III II											
BURLINGTON COUNTY COLLEGE	UNIVERSITY OR COLLEGE				Murder	Rape						Arson
Pemberton Township		89	1	88	-	-	1	-	3	83	2	-
Newark City		17	_	17	-	-	_	-	-	17	-	-
ROWAN STATE COLLEGE 129	Newark City				-	- -	5	-	1 1			- -
Elizabeth City	ROWAN STATE COLLEGE	129	8	121	-	3	1	4	17	100	4	_
Edison Township	Elizabeth City Hillside Township	16	- 1	15		-	_	- 1	- 6	- 5	4	- - -
Long Branch City		83	1	82	-	-	_	1	-	68	14	-
MONTCLAIR STATE COLLEGE	Long Branch City Ocean Township	2 -	-	2		-	-	_	-	2	<u>-</u>	- - -
Montclair Town	MONTCLAIR STATE COLLEGE Clifton City	_	_	_	-	1 -	-	_	-	_	46 -	<u>-</u>
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY Camden Campus Camden City New Brunswick Campus Beast Brunswick Campus East Brunswick Township East Brunswick Township Figure Brunswick City New Brunswick City New Brunswick City Now Brunswick City North Brunswick City North Brunswick Township Size Brunswick Township Size Brunswick City North Brunswick Township Size Brunswick City North Brunswick Township Size Brunswick City North Brunswick Township Size Brunswick To	Montclair Town	43	2	41	-	1	-	1	1	38	2	<u>-</u>
Camden Campus	N.J. INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	256	7	249	-	1	2	4	25	190	34	_
East Brunswick Township Edison Township Highland Park Borough New Brunswick City North Brunswick Township Piscataway Township TRENTON STATE COLLEGE Ewing Township UNIV. OF MEDICINE & DENTISTRY Camden City Newark Campus Newark City Piscataway Township William PATERSON COLLEGE 175 2 173	Camden Campus Camden City	144	4	140	-	-	3	1	3	129	8	1 -
Highland Park Borough A		_	24 -	_	<u>-</u>	8 -		10	41 -	-	29 -	1 -
New Brunswick City		13	-	13	-[-	-	_	<u>-</u>	13	_	_
Piscataway Township 368 9 359 - 2 3 4 16 334 9 1 Newark Campus 284 6 278 - - 3 3 32 230 16 - Newark City STOCKTON STATE COLLEGE 87 6 81 - 2 - 4 5 74 2 - Galloway Township 208 1 207 - - 1 - 17 171 19 - UNIV. OF MEDICINE & DENTISTRY Campus Campus 14 - 14 - - - - 14 - - - - 14 - - - - 14 - - - - - - - 14 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	New Brunswick City	473	15	458	-	6	3	6	25	414	19	_
Newark Campus 284 6 278 -	North Brunswick Township		- 9		_	- 2	3	4	16			1
TRENTON STATE COLLEGE 208 1 207 - - 1 - 17 171 19 -	Newark Campus				- 1	-						-
Ewing Township UNIV. OF MEDICINE & DENTISTRY 1,025 75 950 - 2 39 34 43 687 220 1 Camden Campus City 14 - 14 -		87	6	81	-	2	-	4	5	74	2	-
Camden Campus 14 - 14 - - - - - 14 - - - - 14 -		208	1	207	-	-	1	-	17	171	19	-
Newark Campus Newark City 928 71 857 - - 38 33 35 603 219 1 Piscataway Campus Piscataway Township 83 4 79 - 2 1 1 8 70 1 - WILLIAM PATERSON COLLEGE Haledon Borough North Haledon Borough Wayne Township 175 2 173 - - - 2 10 161 2 1 Haledon Borough Wayne Township 45 - 45 - - - - - - 45 - - - - - 45 -	Camden Campus		- 1		-	J		34 -	43 -		220	1 -
WILLIAM PATERSON COLLEGE 175 2 173 - - - 2 10 161 2 1 Haledon Borough 8 - 8 - - - - - 8 - - North Haledon Borough 45 - 45 - - - - - 45 - - Wayne Township 122 2 120 - - - 2 10 108 2 1	Newark Campus Newark City Piscataway Campus											1 -
Haledon Borough	,	175	2	173	_	_	_	2	10	161	2	1
Wayne Township 122 2 120 2 10 108 2 1	Haledon Borough	8	-1	8	,			-	_	8	-	_
TOTAL UNIVERSITY/COLLEGE 4,238 167 4,071 - 19 64 84 272 3,357 442 3					- 1	-	-		10			
	TOTAL UNIVERSITY/COLLEGE	4,238	167	4,071	-	19	64	84	272	3,357	442	3

CRIME INDEX FOR POPULATION GROUPS—1992

The presentation of crime statistics by population groups was made for the purpose of projecting, for consideration, the relative crime experience of communities of varying populations. Although the individual municipalities within the various groups may be geographically separated, their inclusion into a specific group was predicted by the similarity in population with the other municipalities within the group. Municipalities within the same group may differ widely when all factors are considered, but they do share the common bond of population size. The grouping of communities in this manner allows recognition of variances in the crime volumes, rates and clearances between the established groups. Set forth below are the established municipal groupings as used in this section:

	TOTAL CRIME			.	Aggra- vated		Larceny-	Motor Vehicle
POPULATION GROUPS	INDEX	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Assault	Burglary	Theft	Theft
GROUP I								
4 Municipalities over 100,000	81,529	142	572	9,976	7,384	14,901	25,395	23,159
Population—754,651								
Rate per 1,000 Inhabitants	108.0	.2	8.	13.2	9.8	19.7	33.7	30.7
Percent Index Offenses Cleared	16.9					l		
GROUP II								
19 Municipalities 50,000-100,000	82,511	113	591	5,866	5,417	16,693	38,142	15,689
Population—1,323,746								
Rate per 1,000 inhabitants	62.3	.1	.4	4.4	4.1	12.6	28.8	11.9
Percent Index Offenses Cleared	16.4							
GROUP III								
54 Municipalities 25,000-50,000	102,344	66	506	3,815	4,764	19,160	60,657	13,376
Population—1,878,641								
Rate per 1,000 Inhabitants	54.5	(1)	.3	2.0	2.5	10.2	32.3	7.1
Percent Index Offenses Cleared	17.5					ļ		
GROUP IV								
69 Municipalities 15,000-25,000	50,238	16	265	1,304	2,168	9,251	31,850	5,384
Population—1,320,159								
Rate per 1,000 Inhabitants	38.0	(1)	.2	1.0	1.6	7.0	24.1	4.1
Percent Index Offenses Cleared	22.4							
GROUP V								
217 Municipalities 5,000-15,000	61,314	42	349	997	2,872	11,812	40,260	4,982
Population—1,941,459								'
Rate per 1,000 Inhabitants	31.6	(1)	.2	.5	1.5	6.1	20.7	2.6
Percent Index Offenses Cleared	24.3							
GROUP VI								
120 Municipalities 2,000-5,000	13,376	14	97	242	837	3,049	8,362	775
Population—412,282								
Rate per 1,000 Inhabitants	32.4	(1)	.2	.6	2.0	7.4	20.3	1.9
Percent Index Offenses Cleared	22.9							
GROUP VII								
84 Municipalities under 2,000	3,094	4	19	20	192	670	2,021	168
Population—99,250								
Rate per 1,000 Inhabitants	31.2	(1)	.2	.2	1.9	6.8	20.4	1.7
Percent Index Offenses Cleared	23.6							

⁽¹⁾ Less than one-tenth of one percent.

URBAN-SUBURBAN-RURAL CRIME 5 YEAR COMPARISON

1988/1992 Summary Analysis

		URBAN			RURAL	RURAL			
OFFENSES	1988	1992	Percent Change	1988	1992	Percent Change	1988	1992	Percent Change
Murder	345	321	- 7	46	45	- 2	17	31	+82
Rape	1,857	1,589	- 14	559	585	+ 5	176	225	+28
Robbery	17,044	19,901	+ 17	1,554	1,962	+26	288	357	+24
Aggravated Assault	17,335	17,587	+ 1	4,388	4,605	+ 5	1,283	1,442	+12
Burglary	48,682	49,232	+ 1	21,465	21,034	- 2	5,470	5,270	- 4
Larceny-Theft	125,039	113,332	- 9	80,944	79,843	- 1	12,900	13,512	+ 5
Motor Vehicle Theft	54,526	50,354	- 8	12,966	11,875	- 8	1,478	1,304	- 12
Total Crime Index	264,828	252,316	- 5	121,922	119,949	- 2	21,612	22,141	+ 2
Violent Crime	36,581	39,398	+ 8	6,547	7,197	+10	1,764	2,055	+16
Nonviolent Crime	228,247	212,918	- 7	115,375	112,752	- 2	19,848	20,086	+ 1

The character of some municipalities has changed due to the updating of Urban, Suburban and Rural classifications.

- During the five year period, Rural communities experienced the largest percentage change in Index offenses, an increase of 2 percent.
- · Suburban and Urban communities experienced 2 and 5 percent decreases respectively.
- The largest increase in violent crime occurred in rural communities, a 16 percent increase.
- · Urban communities' nonviolent crime decreased 7 percent.
- The largest increase of any Index offense occurred in the rural communities with an increase of 82 percent for murder.

^{*}Percent change is less than one-half of one percent.

URBAN NUMBER—RATE—DISTRIBUTION 1991-1992

Municipalities: 155 Population: 3,584,731

		NUMBER		CR	IME RATE	1,000	PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL		
OFFENSES	1991	1992	Percent Change	1991	1992	Percent Change	1991	1992	Percent Change
Murder	323	321	- 1	0.1	0.1		78.8	80.9	+3
Rape	1,507	1,589	+ 5	0.4	0.4	_	66.7	66.2	-1
Robbery	20,329	19,901	- 2	5.7	5.6	- 2	89.4	89.6	
Aggravated Assault	17,760	17,587	- 1	5.0	4.9	- 2	74.9	74.4	-1
Burglary	50,966	49,232	- 3	14.2	13.7	- 4	64.6	65.2	+1
Larceny-Theft	120,908	113,332	- 6	33.7	31.6	- 6	54.5	54.8	+1
Motor Vehicle Theft	57,178	50,354	- 12	16.0	14.0	- 13	79.6	79.3	*
Total Crime Index	268,971	252,316	- 6	75.0	70.4	- 6	63.8	64.0	*
Violent Crime	39,919	39,398	- 1	11.1	11.0	- 1	81.3	81.0	*
Nonviolent Crime	229,052	212,918	- 7	63.9	59.4	- 7	61.5	61.6	*

^{*}Percent change is less than one-half of one percent.

- There were 252,316 Index offenses reported in municipalities of Urban character during 1992; this represents a decrease of 6 percent compared to 1991.
- · Sixty-four percent of all Index crimes occurred in Urban areas.
- Violent crime in Urban communities decreased 1 percent and accounted for 81 percent of the state's total violent crime.
- Nonviolent crime decreased 7 percent and accounted for 62 percent of the reported nonviolent crime in the state.
- The crime rate decreased 6 percent to 70.4 victims for every 1,000 persons.

SUBURBAN NUMBER-RATE-DISTRIBUTION 1991-1992

Municipalities: 254 Population: 3,323,053

		NUMBER		CR	IME RATE	/1,000	PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL		
OFFENSES	1991	1992	Percent Change	1991	1992	Percent Change	1991	1992	Percent Change
Murder	57	45	- 21	(1)	(1)	_	13.9	11.3	- 19
Rape	540	585	+ 8	0.2	0.2	_	23.9	24.4	+ 2
Robbery	2,028	1,962	- 3	0.6	0.6	_	8.9	8.8	- 1
Aggravated Assault	4,664	4,605	- 1	1.4	1.4	_	19.7	19.5	- 1
Burglary	22,615	21,034	- 7	6.8	6.3	- 7	28.7	27.8	- 3
Larceny-Theft	86,184	79,843	- 7	25.9	24.0	- 7	38.9	38.6	- 1
Motor Vehicle Theft	13,219	11,875	- 10	4.0	3.6	-10	18.4	18.7	+ 2
Total Crime Index	129,307	119,949	- 7	38.9	36.1	- 7	30.7	30.4	- 1
Violent Crime	7,289	7,197	- 1	2.2	2.2	_	14.8	14.8	_
Nonviolent Crime	122,018	112,752	- 8	36.7	33.9	- 8	32.8	32.6	- 1

(1) Less than one-tenth of one percent. *Less than one-half of one percent.

- During 1992, Index crimes in Suburban areas decreased 7 percent to 119,949 offenses.
- Thirty percent of reported Index crimes occurred in Suburban communities.
- Violent crime decreased 1 percent and represented 15 percent of the state's violent crime total.
- · Nonviolent crime decreased 8 percent and accounted for 33 percent of the nonviolent crime in the state.
- The crime rate was calculated at 36.1 victims per 1,000 inhabitants; a decrease of 7 percent compared to 1991.

RURAL NUMBER—RATE—DISTRIBUTION 1991-1992

Municipalities: 158

Population: 822,404

OFFENSES		NUMBER		CR	IME RATE	/1,000	PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL			
	1991	1992	Percent Change	1991	1992	Percent Change	1991	1992	Percent	
Murder	30	31	+ 3	(1)	(1)	_	7.3	7.8	+ 7	
Rape	214	225	+ 5	0.3	0.3	_	9.5	9.4	- 1	
Robbery	371	357	- 4	0.5	0.4	- 20	1.6	1.6	-	
Aggravated Assault	1,296	1,442	+11	1.6	1.8	+13	5.5	6.1	+11	
Burglary	5,278	5,270	*	6.4	6.4	_	6.7	7.0	+ 4	
Larceny-Theft	14,725	13,512	- 8	17.9	16.4	- 8	6.6	6.5	- 2	
Motor Vehicle Theft	1,471	1,304	-11	1.8	1.6	-11	2.0	2.0	-	
Total Crime Index	23,385	22,141	- 5	28.4	26.9	- 5	5.5	5.6	+ 2	
Violent Crime.	1,911	2,055	+ 8	2.3	2.5	+ 9	3.9	4.2	+ 8	
Nonviolent Crime	21,474	20,086	- 6	26.1	24.4	- 7	5.8	5.8	_	

⁽¹⁾ Less than one-tenth of one percent. *Less than one-half of one percent.

- In 1992 there were 22,141 Index crimes reported in Rural municipalities, a 5 percent decrease compared to 1991.
- Six percent of the state's Index crime occurred in Rural communities.
- Violent crime increased 8 percent and accounted for 4 percent of the state's total violent crime.
- · Nonviolent crime in rural communities accounted for 6 percent of the nonviolent crime in the state.
- The crime rate decreased 5 percent to 26.9 victims per 1,000 inhabitants.