An Unexpected Journey: Anuran decline research and the incidental elucidation of a new cryptic species endemic to the urban Northeast and Mid-Atlantic US."

Pinelands Commission Talk, December 18, 2015

Jeremy A. Feinberg

Graduate Program in Ecology and Evolution, Dept. of Ecology, Evolution, and Natural Resources, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

Jeremy.feinberg@rutgers.edu

# A long time ago in a galaxy far, far away....

# **Enigmatic Extirpations**

### Can we learn more?

- Typically already occurred
- No individuals left to study
- No clear factors
- Considerable guesswork satisfactory?
- High potential conservation value













### Leopard Frogs





Southern leopard frog Rana (Lithobates) sphenocephala





Northern leopard frog Rana (Lithobates) pipiens

Map source: IUCN Red List spatial data collection (2012) (www.iucnredlist.org).

### New York State Declines





Frank Overton. Fire Island. ca. 1911



Frank Overton. Fire Island. ca. 1911



Andy Sabin. Montauk. ca. 1991



Robert Villani. Montauk. ca. 1997

### **Historical Abundance**

"Staten Island's most common species..." Anonymous, Proceedings of. Nat. Sci. Ass. of Staten Island, 1898.

"very common on the salt marshes of [Long Island]." Frank Overton, Brooklyn Museum of Arts and Sciences, 1914.

"Common. Usually a salt marsh or coastal plain frog [New York City vicinity]." G. Kingsley Noble, AMNH, 1927.

"most abundant frog in this vicinity [Long Island]." Loring Turrell, The Natural History of Smithtown, 1939.

"the green frog was...never as abundant as the leopard frog" "could have been seen almost anywhere [Long Island]" "common in the white cedar swamp." *Roy Latham, Engelhardtia*,1971.

"common in salt marsh areas [Long Island]." Sam Yeaton, TNC, 1973.

"quite common...along the Preserve's eastern shore [Shelter Island]." TNC Biological Resource Inventory, 1982.



Introduction

# Historical Localities & Timeline



# **Biogeographic Context**





Southern leopard frog Rana (Lithobates) sphenocephala





Northern leopard frog Rana (Lithobates) pipiens

Map source: IUCN Red List spatial data collection (2012) (www.iucnredlist.org).

# Background (Cryptic Species)





Lemmon et al. 2008. Zootaxa, 1675: 1-30

# Initial Research Interests

- What factors led to this enigmatic extirpation?
- Can in situ experiments provide insight?
- Can research on this decline provide a tool for investigating other declines elsewhere?

Disease



### Contaminants\*





Habitat Invasion



# Methodological Pathway

Start  $\rightarrow$ 

### **Survival Outcomes**



Tad dies



Tad survives less growth and no metamorphosis



Tad survives. Normal development into frogs





Raising and monitoring (several months)





Brief captive rearing



Deployed to high quality sites ~Gosner 25 (5-7mm)



# Field Work





# **Field Sites**





# Initial Survival Results (Trial 1: 2007)



Chapter 1







# Molecular Investigation



Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 63 (2012) 445-455



### A new species of leopard frog (Anura: Ranidae) from the urban northeastern US

Catherine E. Newman<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jeremy A. Feinberg<sup>b</sup>, Leslie J. Rissler<sup>c</sup>, Joanna Burger<sup>b</sup>, H. Bradley Shaffer<sup>a,d,1</sup>

\* Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>b</sup> Graduate Program in Ecology & Evolution, Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Natural Resources, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Al. 35487, USA

<sup>4</sup>Center for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 August 2011 Revised 20 January 2012 Accepted 22 January 2012 Available online 2 February 2012

Keywords: Rana pipiens Rana sphenocephala Lithobates Urban ecology Amphibian decline Species delimitation

#### ABSTRACT

Past confusion about leopard frog (genus *Rana*) species composition in the Tri-State area of the US that includes New York (NY), New Jersey (NJ), and Connecticut (CT) has hindered conservation and management efforts, especially where populations are declining or imperiled. We use nuclear and mitochondrial genetic data to clarify the identification and distribution of leopard frog species in this region. We focus on four problematic frog populations of uncertain species affiliation in northern NJ, southeastern mainland NY, and Staten Island to test the following hypotheses: (1) they are conspecific with *Rana sphenocephala* or *R. pipiens*, (2) they are hybrids between *R. sphenocephala* and *R. pipiens*, or (3) they represent one or more previously undescribed cryptic taxa. Bayesian phylogenetic lineage, which represents a previously undescribed frog species, *Rana* sp. nov Statistical support for *R* sp. nov. was strong in both the Bayesian (pp = 1.0) and maximum-likelihood (bootstrap = 99) phylogenetic analyses as well as the Structure cluster analyses. While our data support recognition of *R*. sp. nov, as a novel species, we recommend further study including fine-scaled sampling and ecological, behavioral, call, and morphological analyses before it is formally described.

# Objectives

- Analyze mtDNA & nuDNA
- Four unknown populations:
  - Northern NJ (1)
  - Southeast NY (2)
  - Staten Island (1)
- Hypotheses:
  - 1. Conspecific with R. pipiens or R. sphenocephala
  - 2. Hybrids between R. pipiens and R. sphenocephala
  - 3. Neither = previously undescribed lineage





# Methods



- Tissues sampled across Tri-State area (experimental & controls)
- 3-10 frogs/site
- Sequence regions:
  - Mitochondrial:
    - ND2 and 12S–16S regions (1444 bp)
  - Nuclear:
    - NTF3 (599 bp),
    - Tyr (557–585 bp),
    - Rag-1 (647–683 bp),
    - SIA (362–393 bp)
    - CXCR4 (550 bp)
- Bayesian and Maximum Likelihood Analyses for both



# **Results: Summary**

- Both analyses = strong support for four distinct clades:
  - 1. R. sphenocephala
  - 2. R. pipiens
  - 3. R. palustris
  - 4. Rana sp. nov.
- Genetic Divergence:
  - 6.79% (*R. palustris*),
  - 11.0% (R. sphenocephala),
  - 12.5% (*R. pipiens*)
- Sister group: *R. palustris* (mtDNA)
- No hybridization
- Potential sympatry: CT (*R. pipiens*)





# **Results:** Phylogenies

### Mitochondrial phylogeny

### Nuclear phylogeny



Newman et al. 2012. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 63: 445-455

# Considerations

- Northeast/Mid-Atlantic: endemism & novel species
- Most cryptic with *R. sphenocephala* very similar visually
- Taxonomic replacement of *R. sphenocephala* in region (e.g., NY/PA/CT)
- "Firsts"
  - NY amphibian since 1854
  - Northeast amphibian (NY + New England) since 1882
  - Anuran NA East coast since 1955
  - Anuran US/Canada since cryptic *Pseudacris fouquettei* in 2008



# Taxonomy: Describing the Species

- 1. Bioacoustics
  - *R.* sp. nov
  - R. sphenocephala
  - R. pipiens
  - R. palustris
  - R. sylvatica
- 2. Additional Genetics (holotype verification)
- 3. Behavior/Phenology
- 4. Distribution/Ecology
- 5. Morphology





### A new species confirmed





# **Secondary Diagnostics**





R. sp. nov.



R. sphenocephala









# Southern LF (R. sphenocephala)







Photo credit: Chris Camacho





# Atlantic Coast LF (*R. kauffeldi*)







![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Results

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Results

# Habitats

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Atlantic Coast Leopard Frog, Rana (Lithobates) kauffeldi

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Important Questions**

How did a large, conspicuous, acoustically distinct frog remain misidentified across a global population center with strong taxonomic infrastructure?

- Short calling season, primarily cold/rainy nights
- Call variant (chorus)
- Bioacoustic curveball: the wood frog

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

Discussion

# **Cryptic Leopard Frog Species**

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Conservation Considerations**

- Uncommon endemic range (I-95 Corridor)
- Top 5 global mega-region (Florida et al. 2008)
- Expansive wetlands (clear, shallow, open-canopy)
- Industrialized landscapes (heavy impacts)
- Coastal distribution (climate change)
- Fragmented populations, clustered breeding behavior, extirpations
- Not 1, but 3 impacted species
- Reintroduction Risks

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

By Agete Blaszczak-Bore October 31, 2014 |

On a raisy night in 2009, Butgars University graduate student lare

The newfound leopard frag (Rane kauffeldi) lives in open-canopied coastal floodplains within a few miles of river mouths.

### Meanwhile...

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

October 29, 2012

Photo Credit: NOAA/NASA

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Questions

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

- 1. Were critical NYC-area populations lost or destroyed?
- 2. If not, what post-storm changes occurred to their size and vigor?
- 3. How did water chemistry, especially salinity, change among sites in the tidal storm-surge floodplain?

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Goals & Objectives**

- 1. Rapid survival assessments at five focal study areas in the NYC metro region.
- 2. Assess size and intensity of breeding choruses, and where possible, compare to pre-storm data.
- 3. Measure basic water quality attributes, and compare to pre-storm data.

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Water Quality: Salinity

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

Pre-Storm sites (2006 + 2012): Post-Storm sites (2013): *n*=14; mean=0.89 ppt ± 0.64 SD *n*=10; mean=2.74 ppt ± 1.56 SD

A threefold increase (207%); Significance: *t*=3.55, two-tailed *p* <0.01

# Considerations

- ACLF can survive large storms
- Total # of at-risk sites increased, but percentage decreased
  - Pre-storm: 17 (74% at-risk)
  - Post-storm: 20 (65% at-risk)
- No study area destroyed; impacts likely worse closer to Atlantic coastline.
- Sub-populations may not vanish but shift.
- Hurricane-aided expansion?
- Limitations inherent in pre-storm data

### Return to Original Project (Contaminants)

 Subset of enclosure-raised tadpoles tested for heavy metal levels

Chapter 1

- Sibling transplant experiment
- Experimental sites + NJ source site
- Both leopard frog species included
- Wild-caught bullfrog tadpoles

### **Species Comparisons**

C	har	oter	·1

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

	All Tadpoles (species groups)								
	Bullfrog		Leopard Frog			$\mathbf{X}^{2}(\mathbf{p})$			
	N =	11	N	= 49	)				
Arsenic	4.76 ±	2.14	1.93	± 3.	52	12.9 (<	0.001)		
Chromium	0.25 ±	0.21	1.44	± 1.	39	17.3 (<	).0001)		
Cadmium	$0.17 \pm$	0.15	0.28	± 0.	30	N	S		
Mercury	0.19 ±	0.07	0.08	± 0.	13	13.4 (<	0.001)		
Lead	2.91 ±	1.92	3.70	± 3.	50	N	S		
Selenium	2.14 ±	0.62	1.66	± 1.	56	N	S		

### Conclusions

• Siblings: truly are a product of their environment

Chapter

- Did not see significant differences between *R.* sphenocephala and *R. kauffeldi*
- Combined given unbalanced sample sizes
- Adult LFs typically had lower metal levels than tadpoles
- Substantial tadpole differences between wildcaught bullfrogs vs. enclosure-reared LFs
- NJ source site (control): highest levels Pb and Cd

# Acknowledgements

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Dissertation:**

- Joanna Burger (Advisor)
- Julie Lockwood (Committee)
- Peter Morin (Committee)
- Rick Relyea (Committee)

#### **Chapter and Research Collaborators:**

Matt Schlesinger, Brad Shaffer, Erik Kiviat, Cathy Newman, Brian Zarate, Brian Curry, Greg Watkins-Colwell, Leslie Rissler, Kim True, David E. Green, Nate Nazdrowicz. David Howe, Kristen Bartlett.

### Additional Help and Technical Support:

Tim Green, Mike Gochfeld, Chris Jeitner, Taryn Pittfield, Mark Donio, Mike Laspia, Susan Laspia, Mike Scheibel, Nate McVah, Marilyn Jordan, Mike Kovacs, Laura Newgard, David Moskowitz, Ellen Pehek, Stuart Findlay, David Skelly, Dennis Quinn, John Bunnell, Bill Pitts, Jenny Tennessen, Robert Zappalorti, Susan Stanley, Ed Johnson, Jay Westerveld, Karena DiLeo, Andrew Bernick, Eric Klaastad, John Burnley, Fred Schlauch, Larry Penny, Nick Gibbons, Al Breisch, Norman Soule, Gary Lawton, Ai Wen, Bill Bolger, Andy Sabin.

#### Interns, Volunteers, etc:

Chris Camacho, Beth Nicholls, Katie Heiser, Murray Lantner, Kaitlin Friedman, Valorie Titus, Mike Fried, Maris Smits, Seth Wollney, Rob Kimmerling, Matthew Sucher, and countless other volunteers, landowners, and concerned people.

#### Family and Friends:

Ben Geizhals, Myron Feinberg, Flo Feinberg, Jason Feinberg, Stephanie Jennings, Jeff Diamond, Jack Diamond, Jacob Gelles, Brian Higbie, Jason Moscowitz, Russ Burke, Patricia Tehan, Glenda Russell, and countless other friends and family members who have been supportive over the years.

#### Funding and Research Support :

- Long Island Community Foundation Grant
- Rutgers University, Graduate Program in Ecology & Evolution (multiple travel and research grants)
- Rutgers University, Graduate School of New Brunswick (Bevier Dissertation Fellowship)
- Brookhaven National Laboratory
- Foundation for Ecological Research in the Northeast (FERN) Grant
- NYS Biodiversity Research Institute Grant
- South Fork Natural History Museum
- NJMCA Jobbins Scholarship
- National Science Foundation GK-12 Fellowship
- Hudson River Foundation Expedited Research Grant
- NJDEP Conserve Wildlife Matching Grant
- NIEHS P30ES005022 (to Joanna Burger)

#### **Permissions:**

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, US Fish & Wildlife Service, New York State Parks, Nassau County Parks, Suffolk County Parks, New York City Parks, Peconic Land Trust, The Nature Conservancy, New York State Department of Transportation, Gloria Kirsch, John Sepenoski, Tom Eaton.