



State of New Jersey
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
NEW JERSEY STATE PLANNING COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 820
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0820

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

THOMAS K. WRIGHT
Chairman

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
LT. GOVERNOR

DONNA A. RENDEIRO
Executive Director/Secretary

**New Jersey State Planning Commission
Minutes of the Meeting Held on April 4, 2023
Zoom Video Conference**

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Wright called the April 4, 2023 video conference of the New Jersey State Planning Commission (SPC) to order at 9:32 a.m.

OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT

It was announced that notice of the date, time, and place of the meeting had been given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act.

ROLL CALL

Members Present

Danielle Esser, Director of Governance, NJ Economic Development Authority
Douglas Fisher, Secretary, Department of Agriculture (arrived 9:38 a.m.)
Frank Gaffney, Designee for President Fiordaliso, Board of Public Utilities
Bruce Harris, Municipal member
Keith Henderson, Designee for Lt. Governor Sheila Oliver, Commissioner, Department of Community Affairs (arrived at 9:42 a.m.)
Edward J. McKenna, Vice Chair, Public Member
County Commissioner Director Shanel Robinson, County Member
Stephen Santola, Public Member
Julia Somers, Public Member
Andy Swords, Designee for Diane Gutierrez-Scaccetti, Commissioner, Department of Transportation
Elizabeth Terenik, Public Member (arrived at 9:46 a.m.)
Thomas Wright, Chairman

Others Present through Video conference

See Attachment A

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairman Wright asked everyone to stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Wright asked for a motion to approve the minutes of March 1, 2023. Danielle Esser made the motion, and Julia Somers seconded it. With no further discussion or questions, Chairman Wright asked for a roll call vote: Ayes: (9) Danielle Esser, Frank Gaffney, Secretary Fisher, Andy Swords, Bruce Harris, Vice Chair McKenna, Stephen Santola, Julia Somers, Thomas Wright. Nays: (0). Abstains: (0). The March 1, 2023 minutes were approved.

CHAIR'S COMMENTS

Chairman Wright said that there was a New Jersey APA reception in Camden on Sunday evening, which was part of the ongoing APA national conference happening across the river in Philadelphia. It was just a real delight to see so many old friends. For me, I'll just say it reminded me of what a strong planning community we have in New Jersey, and to see folks whom I have worked with over decades who are still involved in really important community plans, from large regional plans, folks looking at restoring passenger rail and on former commuter rail lines down to neighborhood planning. I left the event reinforcing how important this community is in the state of New Jersey and what excellent work it does. I am looking forward to the presentation today on the Infrastructure Needs Assessment. It is an exciting first step toward thinking about what the next state plan will look like. I think this is a precursor to that. I am delighted to be working with the outstanding folks that Rutgers on it and just very excited to see us taking these steps.

Chairman Wright referred to the Director for a report.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Rendeiro said that I'd like to introduce this group to Joe Forte. He is the new Deputy Chief of Staff to the Secretary. He will be working with us as well as the rest of the BAC and a few of the other divisions within the Secretary's office.

The Chairman received a letter of resignation from Secretary Fisher because of his July 1 retirement from State service. I want to thank him for his dedication to statewide planning and express that he will be truly missed. His last Commission meeting will be June 7.

Secretary Fisher thanked Donna. I'll save my remarks for the last meeting.

We will be moving forward with the Infrastructure Needs Assessment, which is the first step in updating the State Development and Redevelopment Plan. We had an initial implementation plan call with the Rutgers Team on March 20. The first two action items are the execution of the Memorandum of Understanding and the scheduling of a kick-off meeting with the Interagency Planning Workgroup. The Workgroup meeting is tentatively set up for April 10 to ensure that our State agency partners are fully engaged. The MOU is in process. Later in this meeting, I will present an overview of the work plan.

All 52 municipalities affected by Resolution 2022-05, expired on March 31. State agencies have been notified. Going forward, all reporting will be based on active files (defined as any municipality that has submitted a municipal self-assessment). Earlier activities (including prepetition meetings) will be reported in brief.

Since the March 1 SPC meeting:

- Four municipalities were endorsed at the March meeting (Bound Brook, Florence, Edgewater Park, and Maurice River) Bound Brook was endorsed conditioned upon local approval of the PIA and map within 60 days.
- The Office received DOT's O&C reports for Barnegat and Ocean Township
- The Office received the Municipal Self-Assessments from the Town of Dover and Ocean City;
- The Office received prepetition materials for Netcong and Mount Arlington; the Netcong prepetition meeting is scheduled for April 11 and the Mount Arlington meeting is scheduled for May 9.
- Little Egg Harbor held its first visioning session; the Office received a request for a visioning waiver from Bedminster (which we are likely to approve); Lakewood held its public hearing on the proposed map amendments they submitted as part of their biennial review.
- Atlantic Highlands opted out of the Plan Endorsement process.
- The Office received a letter from Sparta requesting an extension and expressing their intent to pursue Plan Endorsement. While we will work diligently with them toward endorsement once we receive their MSA, it is not recommended to grant the extension, given the lack of meaningful effort over the past three years.
- Allentown has completed its biennial review.

Staff met with Keith Henderson to ensure that we formalize the Area in Need of Redevelopment/Rehabilitation in our MSA requirements. To date, we have suggested that municipalities review DCA's Community Asset Map, but we will now make it a formal requirement to ensure that the State's data is consistent with local data.

The second (and final) workshop for the second cohort of FEMA's Resilience Accelerator was held on March 23. In addition, all municipalities received presentations on the work that they received (by either Rutgers or FEMA) on their vulnerability assessments. While the formal portion of the program has been completed, follow-up and continued engagement will be provided to ensure that realistic plans are developed and implemented. There will be a steering committee meeting on April 20.

The Office held an initial discussion with US EPA on their RISE program (Resilience Implementation and Strategic Enhancements). The program could be the next step in technical assistance to municipalities. To date, we have been focused on assisting municipalities to complete their vulnerability assessments. This program could offer practical solutions on how to implement solutions to those identified vulnerabilities. We are reviewing the program now and think it can be useful, although funding may be an issue. The program was implemented in New York and we will be discussing the program with our New York co-workers.

Given that Burlington County will be in front of the Commission for their regional endorsement soon, staff presented to the PIC on proposed regional endorsement guideline updates. There is still a larger discussion regarding how we address mapping in a regional endorsement when a county (or other regional entity) comes in for endorsement of their regional plans without municipal partnerships. This issue will not impact Burlington County. In the meantime, today's agenda includes a presentation by Walter Lane of Somerset County regarding their regional planning approach. Given one of our 2023 goals is a larger focus on regional endorsements, these efforts are timely.

Another one of our 2023 goals is the idea of customizing the Plan Endorsement guidelines based on the characteristics of a municipality such as being built out or having development pressure. In reviewing Allentown's first biennial report, there are some items that don't apply given that they are a built-out community. We will be looking at their PIA to determine what we could have done better (i.e., more customized) to inform our decision-making going forward.

The Office forwarded the (hopefully) final Rule proposal to the Governor's office for final approval. In the interim, the staff is working on the summary of changes.

Staff continues to work on the warehouse mapping project.

The County Planners Association has initiated a statewide planning subcommittee and I have been invited to participate. The first meeting is on April 6. This is particularly important given the upcoming Plan update.

A meeting was held on March 16, hosted by the State's Office of Emergency Operations with the Department's Director of Administration and OPA to discuss the upcoming update to the State's Hazard Mitigation Plan. Numerous stakeholders are anticipated to attend. The Office represented the Department and the Commission.

The Extreme Heat Plan for the Department has been completed and forwarded to DEP.

Staff met with staff of the Highlands Council to ensure collaboration between endorsement and conformance requirements.

An NJDOT Transit Village meeting will be held on April 6. An amended Bernardsville application is on the agenda.

NJTPA reached out to the Office to participate in several Bus Rapid Transit studies for Southern Middlesex, Northern Monmouth. Participation was solicited for studies in Perth Amby and Passaic City.

Staff is participating in an SJTPO vulnerability study review.

The Office sponsored an event at the Camden Adventure Aquarium hosted by the NJ chapter of the American Planning Association to celebrate NJ land use planning and economic development. The event was held as an auxiliary event to the National Conference of the American Planning Association held in Philadelphia.

Staff presented to a Rowan University Regional Metro Planning Class on March 9 and at the Bloustein Climate Resilience Studio Panel and the Sustainable Jersey Cape/Atlantic Hub

The Office received a request from a freelance report for Delaware Currents, an online news magazine that covers the Delaware River watershed. The request asked for information regarding the warehouse guidance. The proposed answers were approved to send and we responded to the inquiry.

All warehouse siting-related bills are in committee. S3468 (identical bill A5028) was approved by Committee 5-0, which requires the State Planning Commission to develop rules and regulations or provide regulatory guidance, or both.

Chairman Wright asked for questions or comments from the Commission members.

Commissioner Harris said that in the state budget proposal, we had talked a while ago about having planning grants included in the budget. He was wondering if we succeeded in getting that included.

Director Rendeiro said that it's under discussion at this point.

Director Rendeiro said that one interesting note is one of our local planning partners told me that during the conference, as I mentioned an APA conference, he said he highlighted the warehouse guidance to anybody he saw throughout the country. He named Utah; he named a number of different states where he is sort of promoting the warehouse guidance.

NEW BUSINESS

Introduction to the Infrastructure Needs Assessment Workflow

Director Rendeiro proceeded to the presentation.

This presentation can be found at:

<https://nj.gov/state/planning/assets/docs/meeting-materials/spc/materials/2023-0404/spc-material-2023-0404-Infrastructure-Needs-Assessment-Presentation.pdf>

Director Rendeiro introduced Jon Carnegie from Rutgers University for an overview.

Jon Carnegie, AICP/PP, Executive Director of the Alan M. Voorhees Transportation Center at Rutgers said that he is glad to be here and is looking forward to getting started with the project which definitely will be a close partnership with the relevant agencies that are represented by the sectors that have been identified for phase one. Donna did a quick summary, we are definitely going to be building on existing information and working cooperatively with you to assist to develop needed sketch-level planning methods to estimate both existing needs and prospective needs.

A lot has changed in the last 20 years, but a lot of stayed the same. One thing that has changed is the priority emphasis on resilience, healthy communities, and environmental justice. We developed a task that will give us an opportunity to explore those three policy priorities in the context of infrastructure and do a sort of screening level analysis that will help us see where there might be gaps in terms of achieving the priorities that have been set in those three areas. Each sector will have a lead on the Rutgers team and a sort of team under them to be doing this work. Our first steps will be to orient everyone to the project, including all the state agencies that are going to be partners with us in doing this, orient them to the project, meet with them, set up a schedule for a series of follow-up meetings that will allow us to understand what the current knowledge is, and what assessments have been done recently, in each of the agencies for each of the systems that are identified by each sector.

We will identify some initial gaps, do some brainstorming, and figure out if there are other stakeholders that we should be speaking with. We will then develop a proposed approach, which Donna indicated might be a synthesis of existing planning information documents that exist or might be that sketch-level planning approach, which we will agree cooperatively with the Office of Planning Advocacy and the other State agencies that are involved. We will also be kind of diving a little bit deeper into the various infrastructure funding mechanisms in terms of both state sources as well as federal sources to estimate to the extent we can utilize available revenues to meet those needs and identify where the gaps might be in those instances. This needs assessment obviously, is influenced by whatever the growth scenario is. We will not be developing necessarily the growth scenario, but we working with OPA to look at existing plans, etc., to determine what kind of assumptions we should be making about the overall external population, employment growth, the distribution of that growth on the landscape, the form of development that might occur, because all of those things will impact in particular that prospective need piece of this. To the extent necessary, we'll be revisiting the methods used in the past to undertake the needs assessment and adjust where we need to base the current priorities and identify needs and gaps in each sector. Certainly, we will be engaging more with the agencies. I suspect we'll be back with kind of interim reports to the Commission at appropriate times, based on Donna's direction.

Director Rendeiro thanked Mr. Carnegie for the overview and said that In addition to Jon, we have Clint Andrews and David Listokin, Jen Senick, and Jeanne Herb. We have a really good team working on this program. Right now, the agreement goes through a full year. We wanted to make sure we left the appropriate room in the document to make sure that we didn't have to go back and do any kind of an extension.

Director Rendeiro asked for questions or comments from the Commission members.

Commissioner Somers said that when you listed the three areas, we're going to be focusing on first, transportation, environment, and energy, and you listed reasons under those and you linked it to available funding from the federal government, which I think is a really smart way to go. There under environment, you did not mention, in fact, it was under stage 4, climate. I think it would be a really good thing to list climate along with stormwater and CSO infrastructure. Because Rutgers is absolutely ready to do that with you. I think it's important that it be highlighted as a key issue environmentally.

Director Rendeiro said that it is and in fact, climate as part of task 4.2 climate change, environmental justice, and healthy communities' gap is going to be used as sort of an umbrella for all of the pieces. It's definitely a high priority for us because that's really the major change that we have seen since the last INA.

Mr. Carnegie said that we went back and forth on where everything went into. We finally ended with climate change, environmental justice, equity, and healthy communities. These are three important policy priorities, but they are also very cross-sector. There are climate change considerations related to transportation, environment, and energy. We opted for this kind of overarching plan umbrella, with the appropriate recognition that these three topics are very important to the assessment methodology.

Andy Swords, Designee for Diane Gutierrez-Scaccetti, Commissioner, Department of Transportation said that that was a very helpful overview to very efficiently lay out what needs to be done; appreciate it. Jon, can you indicate who the sector lead for transportation is? Jon responded that he will be the lead.

Andy Swords asked the Director to share today's slides. The Director agreed to share the slides.

Chairman Wright encouraged that there be some public consultation or public briefing, and an opportunity for the general public to learn about this and weigh in or give comments. Have you thought about any steps along the way in the process where you might be able to allow that?

Director Rendeiro responded by saying that we are going to engage interested stakeholders in the first draft. Once the report is done, preliminarily, we're going to have to bring it back to the Commission for a vote. We'll have public input from that and we can certainly do a public process as well.

Chairman Wright said that he's thinking about things like the APA's redevelopment forum that is coming up in a couple of months, whenever there are going to be public forums, and there's an opportunity to arrange a panel around it or give a presentation or a status report, I just think that the more we can engage with the planning community. I just hope that we can have a robust, and frankly, try to utilize the existing events and forums that will be happening over the next six to twelve months.

Director Rendeiro said that it's important to get public input, but a lot of this is a compilation of existing material. And yes, we are already in discussions with New Jersey Future on some kind of a panel and those types of things.

Mr. Carnegie said that we didn't lay it out specifically in the scope in terms of what those consultations and potential presentations might be. But in task one, there's a sort of general catch-all at the direction of OPA, that we would be engaging with external constituents in a variety of ways.

Chairman Wright said that this will be an opportunity for many folks; this might be the first time that they hear about this, and they hear about a new State Plan. I want to make sure to capitalize on that opportunity.

Commissioner Harris said that he is trying to understand how these efforts will mesh with the various agencies and departments. For example, he referenced a copy of the State Rail Plan that was done in 2015. He questioned how something like that fits into this overall effort.

Mr. Carnegie said that in each sector, the plan is to collect any and all information that has happened over the last 20 years. In particular, any of the current planning documents from the State agencies, from regional agencies like the metropolitan planning organizations, from the Highlands and Pinelands, and anyone else who has a planning document that relates to growth, development, structure, etc. As we mentioned a couple of times, in some instances, the work will be largely synthesizing those existing documents, and bringing them together all in one place with our assessment of what the needs are that are derived from those plans. In other cases, there may be gaps, and we'll have to figure out how to address those gaps. Transportation happens to be one area where the assessment of infrastructure is a pretty common thing that happens on a regular basis from various entities. So while it may not be, remains to be seen, if it's complete, we have a really good foundation from all the plans that exist on various elements of the infrastructure systems.

Andy Swords said that I believe the State Rail Plan is prepared by NJ Transit. I'm not sure of the exact timeframe approximately every five years or so. He volunteered to drop a note to Commissioner Harris.

Director Rendeiro said that one of the reasons we're using the interagency workgroup is because, aside from the fact that it makes sense, in addition to representatives from all the ex officio positions that we have on the Commission, the State agencies are expanded in the workgroup. We include Highlands, Meadowlands, and Pinelands. In that workgroup, we also include New Jersey Transit. We include a number of different state agencies that are not represented on the Commission so that we have a much broader base of folks giving us input from the state level.

Director Rendeiro said that we will continue to keep you updated.

Mr. Carnegie said that I look forward to working with everyone as we get started. Thank you.

Presentation – Walter Lane, Director Office of Planning, Policy, and Economic Development, Somerset County: “Integrating Local and Regional Planning Efforts: Somerset County’s Collaborative Planning Initiatives

Director Rendeiro introduced the presentation by saying that we thought it would be a good idea, given the discussion on regional endorsements and the 2023 goal, to have Walter highlight some of the work that they're doing so that you can see the impact of good regional planning and how it works really well, and how they can assist their municipalities in doing good land use planning.

Director Rendeiro referred the presentation to Walter Lane.

Walter Lane proceeded with the presentation.

This presentation can be found at:

<https://nj.gov/state/planning/assets/docs/meeting-materials/spc/materials/2023-0404/spc-material-2023-0404-Somerset-County-Planning-Initiatives-Presentation.pdf>

Director Rendeiro thanked Mr. Lane for the presentation and said that this would not be possible without the support of the county commissioners. I don't see County Commissioner Director Robinson on the call. But without that support, and that understanding from the county commissioner's perspective how important this collaboration is this would be almost impossible to get done. Walter has done a phenomenal job getting everybody kind of walking in the same direction and saying the same thing.

Mr. Lane said that he started at the county as an intern in 97 and never left. Over the years, the Board of Commissioners and the former Freeholders were always super supportive of planning, saw the value in that, and really invested in making sure we bought into the vision that they want. They see the value that our office provides. They've given us the resources and the authority to help make that happen. And I have super strong support from the current Administrator and the past Administrator. As a professional planner, I couldn't ask for a better place to work. I can't do this without the incredible team that I have here. They make me look good, and the county look good on a regular basis. I just want to thank them publicly in this form with the Commissioners, I will say it doesn't

hurt having a professional planner, as a county commissioner, Paul Drake who used to work at the state planning office many years ago. That's kind of one of our secret sauce type of things having a professional planner as a commissioner definitely helps elevate these conversations.

Director Rendeiro asked for questions or comments from the Commission members.

Andy Swords said that what Mr. Lane mentioned is incredible comprehensive work throughout the county. But one thing he just wanted to highlight is the walk-bike hike in Somerset County, you know how important that is because one of the challenges is setting up trail networks around the state that connect to each other and the importance of having bicycle connectivity. Anyone who rides a bike in this state knows how frequently you run into a barrier. That's really important work. And it's great that you're also connecting that to local economic development. Kudos for all that great work.

Mr. Lane said that we worked with our municipal partners as well. In our walk-bike hikes study, there are actually municipal segments as well that incorporate this to really make that seamless system. We work very closely with our towns on that study as well.

Commissioner Somers thanked Mr. Lane for the presentation and said that it was one of the most impressive presentations she has seen in a long time. She challenged Mr. Lane on the importance of Somerset over Morris for the revolution, but I guess other people will have to Duke that out. Her question is that you're creating this wonderful island of Somerset County but Somerset County is surrounded by other counties. Do you feel the walk hike bike trails as an example? Do you get cooperation to extend those trails outside the county into your neighboring counties?

Mr. Lane responded by saying that we looked at how we can make a connection to the Patriots Path and Morris County up in the northern Somerset Hills section we looked at and one of our connections in the walk-bike hike study, which is incorporated into the county preservation plan is to look at how we can take the Raritan River Greenway link that to Duke farms and then link Duke Farms to the Sourland Mountain Preserve and then Sourland Mountain Preserve into Mercer County to the Lawrence Hopewell trail system. Actually Mercer County and Mercer Counties TMA are our steering committees for that walk-bike hike study because they were doing a similar plan. So my kind of goal was to be able to go back to our MPOs from Mercer and Somerset County saying we have this regional trail network that connects multiple towns and multiple counties.

Commissioner Somers said that her other question, now with the change in leadership at the Highlands Council, might be a little easier since Ben Spinelli has such strong connections within the agricultural community. But I know that Warren County, as an example really sees itself sees agriculture as an extremely important part of their efforts and plantings in between the extent that you can cooperate with them on agricultural issues because while 16,000 acres sounds like a lot, it's really not in the agricultural world.

Mr. Lane said that he's been participating in the Highlands Sustainable Economic Development Plan and the steering committee that's kind of meeting for, and we're looking at, one of the things that came out during COVID was the lack of local processing for agricultural. So if Warren County could come up, that would serve the entire region. We are supportive of those types of concepts. Looking at that, and a lot of this is building an ecosystem of things. Somerset County can't exist on its own island. I talked to my neighbors all the time through our economic development office through planning, I'm all about building a village type of thing, and then kind of moving these things forward.

Commissioner Somers said that the importance of finding a site for an abattoir is really important, which sounds odd to anybody who's not involved in agriculture, but the only one around is in my village and they won't expand. Thank you.

Commissioner Harris commented on the great planning work. Very informative presentation. Good luck. A couple of questions. One is a simple one. I was a little confused about what AT&Ts plans are. And then a larger question is I was wondering how your designation of growth areas, etc. mesh with the designations in the State Plan. It seemed like you were using different terminology.

Mr. Lane responded by saying that we knew that AT&T was going to be leaving, they don't own the site, the site is owned by MetLife. Working proactively with the Mayor of Bedminster, we have had conversations with them and we want to tell them that we want them to stay in Somerset County. They're downsizing from their million square feet to about 250 - 300,000 square feet and an existing office building onto a site in Bedminster. That was the first win, to keep them in Somerset County.

Director Rendeiro said that Bedminster is in the plan endorsement process and they should be coming in front of the commission and in a couple of months, we will be designating that area as a center. You'll see some of those details regarding the site at that point.

We did go on a site visit to the EDA Bioscience Center because they want to do something like that. EDA in North Brunswick has a facility where they have small facilities are kind of breakthrough life science companies that basically it's pilot space, it's small sized spaces. Then they have a step-up space where the business is not fully ready to go full-blown with their own facility, but they need bigger sites than the incubator site. And it was a very impressive facility. And what they're saying is that the step-up space is really in demand that they don't have enough space to provide that step-up space. They're looking for manufacturing at the AT&T site and clean bioscience type of laboratory and life science type things.

Mr. Lane said that we kind of view Somerset County along with Morris, Mercer, Middlesex, and some of the surrounding areas as our own version of the research triangle with Princeton and Rutgers and how we can grow the ecosystem. We've been having these joint conversations about economic development. It doesn't really matter where it goes in the region, it's going to have benefits for all. The helix in New Brunswick is going to have benefits in Somerset County. We kind of trying to grow that ecosystem similar to the agricultural ecosystem.

Then to answer your question about the designation, when we started the county Investment Framework process, that's when the State Strategic Plan was going, we took a lot of the concepts in the State Strategic Plan, which was going to be the update of the State Plan. The priority growth areas in the center and the local priority areas are highly consistent with identified centers or designated centers in the State Development Redevelopment Plan. As Donna mentioned, we're working to get the AT&T site included in an existing Bedminster Village Center to make sure it's in the Center but almost a lot of them, except for very few are not Centers. Probably a couple that would qualify as nodes where there's a concentration of office corporate campuses type of thing that are not mixed-use, but there was a lot of consistency with the State Plan. If you overlay the State Plan with the planning areas, and the County Investment Framework areas, there's a lot of consistency. The preserved areas are probably almost like fours and fives. The limited growth areas are probably where it's Planning Area 3, and then the alternative growth area are all Planning Areas 1 and 2. There's a lot of consistency with that, but also consistent with our wastewater management plan, the future sewer service areas, we've been using the investment framework as a measure if we grant sewer service extensions or not. To try to limit that sprawl into their sewer service areas sprawl or creep into Preservation Areas.

Commissioner Harris thanked Mr. Lane.

Mr. Lane said that we're trying to make sure the AT&T site is incorporated into the Center into the walk-bike hike network that Bedminster has. To give those more amenities incorporated as a part of the overall center party growth investment area in Bedminster.

Chairman Wright thanked Mr. Lane for the presentation and for the partnership.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further comments from the Committee or the public, Chairman Wright asked for a motion to adjourn. The motion was made by Bruce Harris and seconded by Danielle Esser. All were in favor. The meeting was adjourned at 11:03 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Donna Rendeiro". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent initial 'D'.

Donna Rendeiro, Secretary
State Planning Commission

Dated: May 3, 2023

ATTACHMENT A
NEW JERSEY STATE PLANNING COMMISSION ATTENDEES
DATE: APRIL 4, 2023 TIME: 9:32 AM

Walter Lane - PP, AICP Director, Policy and Economic Development Somerset County
Meg Cavanagh – NJDEP
David DuMont – NJDEP
Anthony Soriano – Morris County
Levi Klinger-Christiansen – DAG
Joe Forte – SOS
Jon Carnegie - Executive Director of the Alan M. Voorhees Transportation Center at Rutgers University
Tom Churchelow