



LRFP Submission Data Check Report

District: Lacey Twp

District Code: 2480

County: Ocean

District Type: (Non-Abbott, Non-EPCA,
Non-Level II)

LRFP Name: Lacey Twp LRFP 2005-2010

This report highlights issues that may prevent LRFP approval by the DOE.

Cited issues should be verified for accuracy and intent prior to electronic LRFP submission.

After the LRFP is electronically submitted, this report must be signed at the bottom and transmitted to the DOE.

The transmittance of this report signals that the district has submitted all other required supporting documentation and that the LRFP is complete and ready for DOE review.

DO NOT transmit this report to the DOE unless ALL other required supporting documentation has been submitted.


| Ref. No. | Reporting Area | Issue | Statement True for Proposed LRFP? | | Comments |
|----------|--|---|-----------------------------------|----|--|
| | | | YES | NO | |
| 1 | Enrollment | Proposed District-wide Enrollments deviate from the website Baseline Cohort-Survival Projection for the cited grades. (<i>Supporting documentation must be submitted to the DOE.</i>) | X | | PK4; PK3 |
| 2 | Enrollment | Proposed District-wide Enrollments deviate from the website Baseline Cohort-Survival Projection by more than 10% for the cited grades. | X | | PK4; PK3 |
| 3 | Enrollment | Proposed District-wide Enrollments deviate from Current School Year Enrollments by more than 10% for the cited grades. | X | | SCSEPK; G8; SCSEK5; G3; G7; SCSE68; G9; SCSE912 |
| 4 | Enrollment; LRFP/School Grade Alignment | Proposed District-wide Enrollments do not equal the Proposed School Grade Alignment totals for the cited grades. (<i>This must be adjusted to equal for LRFP approval.</i>) | | X | |
| 5 | LRFP/School Grade Alignment | No students are assigned to a Proposed School. | | X | |
| 6 | Inventory/Assets | No Assets are assigned to a Site. | | X | |
| 7 | Inventory/Assets | No Instructional Buildings are assigned to a School. | | X | |
| 8 | Inventory/Assets | A preschool community provider-owned building is included in the district's Inventory. (<i>Please confirm that the district plans to request, or has already received, state facilities funding for the center. If this is not true, the center should be included in the ECCP Survey section of the website and not in the Inventory section.</i>) | | X | |
| 9 | Inventory/Rooms; LRFP/School Grade Alignment | A Proposed School's capacity, based on district practices, is not within 5% of the Proposed School Enrollment. | X | | Lanoka Harbor Elem; Lacey Twp Middle; Mill Pond Elem School; Cedar Creek Elem School |
| 10 | Inventory/Rooms; LRFP/School Grade Alignment | Proposed FES Capacity Generating Rooms in Instructional Building(s) assigned to a Proposed School do not match the Proposed School Grade Alignments. (<i>For example, room type General Classroom, grades 9-12, is included in a Proposed School that is only assigned enrollments for grades K-5; or self-contained special education enrollments are proposed for a school but there are no proposed self-contained special education classrooms in its Instructional Buildings.</i>) | | X | |



LRFP Submission Data Check Report

| | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 11 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Schools are missing Specialized or Administrative/Support FES Room Types from the Room Inventory. | X | | Cedar Creek Elem School(Physical Education/Large Group); Forked River Elem(Physical Education/Large Group); Lacey Twp Middle(Career Education/Consumer and Family Skills); Lanoka Harbor Elem(Physical Education/Large Group); Mill Pond Elem School(Career Education/Consumer and Family Skills) |
| 12 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Instructional Buildings do not have "Grossing Factor Spaces" included in the Room Inventory. | | X | |
| 13 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Instructional Buildings have a Grossing Factor, based on the Room Inventory, that is not within a 1.30-1.70 range. (Please confirm that the Room Inventory is accurately represented.) | X | | Lanoka Harbor Elementary - Instructional(1.26) |
| 14 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Instructional Buildings have Proposed Room(s) assigned a District Class Size Practice of 25 or greater. | | X | |
| 15 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Instructional Buildings contain FES Capacity Generating Rooms with no District Class Size Practices assigned. (Capacity will be automatically assigned in the reports.) | | X | |
| 16 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Instructional Buildings do not contain Rooms. (Please complete the Room Inventory.) | | X | |
| 17 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Instructional Buildings contain Net Program Spaces sized greater than 10,000 square feet. (Please check that the room size was entered correctly.) | X | | Lacey Twp High School - Instructional(E-1.17 Gymnasium) |
| 18 | Inventory/Rooms | Proposed Instructional Buildings contain FES Capacity Generating or Specialized Space room types sized less than 100 square feet. (Please check that the room size was entered correctly.) | X | | Forked River Elem - Instructional(E-40 SGI); Lacey Twp High School - Instructional(E-1.165-167 Editing Room); Lacey Twp High School - Instructional(E-1.168 Engineering); Lacey Twp High School - Instructional(E-1.181 Kitchen) |
| 19 | Inventory/Rooms; LRFP | Proposed Buildings contain Reconfiguration Actions in which the total square feet of Existing Room Reconfiguration Actions, including Support Spaces, is not within 3% of the total square feet of the Potential Room Reconfiguration Actions. (Check that the existing rooms proposed for reconfiguration have been assigned a Reconfiguration Action so that they are excluded from the Proposed Inventory.) | | X | |
| 20 | LRFP | Proposed School(s) provide less gross square feet per student than prescribed in the Facilities Efficiency Standards. | X | | Lanoka Harbor Elem; Forked River Elem; Mill Pond Elem School; Cedar Creek Elem School |
| 21 | LRFP | Building(s) proposed to be demolished are assigned work. | | X | |
| 22 | LRFP | Leased Buildings are assigned work. | | X | |

I have reviewed the contents of this report and have submitted all required LRFP supporting documentation to the Department of Education. The district's LRFP submission is complete.


 Signature, District Representative Date 10/3/05
 James G. Savage, Jr. Bus. Adm. / Bd. Sec.
 Printed Name, Title