The reporting period for this report is October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

No. Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
A. General Info		
1. Recipient of funding	Middlesex County Utility Authority (MCUA)	
 Federal Funding Agency? (e.g. HUD, FEMA) 	FEMA	
3. State Funding (if applicable)	Not Applicable	
4. Award Type	Grant	
5. Award Amount	Awarded Contractors and Award Amounts for Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations are as follows: Sayreville Pump Station (SPS) award: \$67,398,000 to Northeast Remsco Construction Inc. Edison Pump Station (EPS) award: \$26,871,000 to Walsh Construction Co. II	
6. Contract/Program Person/Title	Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations Program Person: Richard Fitamant, Executive Director MCUA	
7. Brief Description, Purpose and Rationale of Project/Program	During the ongoing incident period of Post Superstorm Sandy, beginning on October 26, 2012, the Middlesex County Utilities Authority (MCUA) suffered substantial damage to its Sayreville Pump Station and Edison Pump Station. These damages prompted the sub-grantee to immediately secure emergency contracts to prevent further damage to the existing infrastructure and prevent raw sewage from being discharged into the waterways. In addition, future contracts were to be awarded for permanent restoration and flood mitigation work at the two pump stations.	
	On March 27, 2013, the Integrity Oversight Monitor Act ("the Act") was enacted for the purpose of authorizing the deployment of Integrity Oversight Monitors for recovery and rebuilding contracts resulting from Superstorm Sandy and subsequent major storms in New Jersey. The Act authorizes the State Treasurer to require integrity oversight monitor services on any State or non-State, federally funded, recovery and rebuilding contract of \$5 million or more.	
	KPMG LLP ("KPMG") was engaged by the Department of Treasury ("DOT") to serve as the Integrity Oversight Monitor ("IM") for MCUA, given that contracts for both the Sayreville Pump Station and Edison Pump Station projects exceed the \$5 million threshold required by the Act.	
	On June 24, 2016, MCUA received authorization to award Contract 14-3-2(EPS) titled Flood Mitigation, Restoration and Upgrade of the Edison Pump Station in the amount of \$26,871,000 to Walsh Construction Company II, LLC.	
	On September 1, 2016 MCUA received authorization to award Contract 14-1-4 (SPS) (REBID) titled Flood Mitigation and Permanent Restoration of Sayreville Pump Station in the amount of \$67,398,000 to Northeast Remsco Construction Inc. This contract was re-bid due to bid protests concerning completeness of apparent low-bidder PKF-Mark III's original bid. At that time the bid submitted by PKF-Mark III was \$66,410,010.	
8. Contract/Program Location	Middlesex County Utility Authority 2571 Main Street Sayreville, NJ 08872	
9. Amount Expended to Date	Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Sayreville Pump Station: \$8,687,767.61 Permanent Restoration and Mitigation work on Edison Pump Station: \$6,067,053.80	
10. Amount Provided to other State or Local Entities	Not Applicable	
11. Completion Status of Contract or Program	Emergency Work for Sayreville Pumping Station- 100% Complete. Sayreville Pumping Station Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - 13% Edison Pumping Stations Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - 23%	
12. Expected Contract End Date/Time Period	Sayreville and Edison Pumping Stations Permanent Restoration and Mitigation - November 2016 - May 2020	

The reporting period for this report is October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
В.	Monitoring Activities		
13.	If FEMA funded, brief description of	Funding for the approved Hazard Mitigation Proposals (HMP) was obligated under the respective FEMA PWs for Sayreville and Edison Pump Station.	
	the status of the project worksheet	- For Sayreville Pump Station PW 5061, the HMP represents \$61,659,218.32 of the project worksheet's total value of \$73,877,840.25.	
	and its support.	- For Edison Pump Station PW 5075, the HMP represents \$11,799,830.00 of the project worksheet's total value of \$15,760,971.59. Pursuant to the approved project worksheet, this HMP includes the	
		construction of a floodwall surrounding the Edison Pump Station, the construction of an isolation vault with a bypass pumping system, and the construction of a riser ring to elevate the Northwest Access	
		Shaft & Tunnel top slab above the design 500-year flood elevation.	
14.	Quarterly Activities/Project	October 20, 2017: Reviewed application for payment for Sayreville Pump Station in the amount of \$251,254.44.	
	Description (include number of visits		
	to meet with recipient and sub	October 30, 2017: Reviewed forecasted milestone dates included in the Sayreville Pump Station project schedule. Reviewed the initial change order and available supporting documentation.	
	recipient, including who you met		
	with, and any site visits warranted to where work was completed)	October 30, 2017: Reviewed application for payment for Edison Pump Station in the amount of \$367,618.36.	
		November 14, 2017: Reviewed applications for payment for Sayreville Pump Station in the amount of \$506,267.21 and Edison Pump Station in the amount of \$485,726.61.	
		November 16, 2017: Tested a judgmental sample of ten prevailing rates included in certified payroll reports for Sayreville Pump Station and Edison Pump Station.	
		November 21, 2017: Reviewed usage of allowances included in the most recent application for payment for Sayreville Pump Station to verify documentation was provided and costs were calculated in	
		compliance with contract terms.	
		November 28, 2017: Reviewed usage of allowances included in the most recent application for payment for Edison Pump Station to verify documentation was provided and costs were calculated in compliance with contract terms.	
		December 5, 2017: Tested a judgmental sample of site access logs against certified payroll reports.	
		December 6, 2017: Conducted a site visit of the SPS Project to review progress since previous site visit and attend project meeting. Attendees included:	
		-James Major (MCUA)	
		-Feza Cirulis (R3M Engineering)	
		-Mike Samuel (R3M Engineering)	
		-William McCarthy (R3M Engineering)	
		-Daniel Gigantino (Northeast Remsco)	
		-John Purwin (Northeast Remsco)	
		-Andrew LaPierre (KPMG)	
		-Vincent Shaw (KPMG)	
I			

The reporting period for this report is October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response	Comments
		December 7, 2017: Conducted a site visit of the EPS Project to review progress since previous site visit and attend project meeting. Attendees included: -James Major (MCUA) -Angelo Bufaino (Mott Macdonald) -Bryan Oakes (Mott Macdonald) -Matthew Paquet (Walsh) -Tara Wilk (Walsh) -Ryan Heacox (Walsh) -John Orecchio (Arcadis) -Andrew LaPierre (KPMG) December 19, 2017: Reviewed applications for payment for Sayreville Pump Station in the amount of \$648,492.44and Edison Pump Station in the amount of \$504,822.13. December 20, 2017: Reviewed the craft wage rates used in the pricing of change orders and drawdown of allowances.	
15.	Brief Description to confirm appropriate data/information has been provided by recipient and what activities have been taken to review in relation to the project/contract/program.	This quarter we frequently accessed both the SPS and EPS project SharePoint sites, which contain all key project data and information. KPMG receives notifications when additional documentation and data are uploaded in relation to these contracts and reviews accordingly.	
16.	Description of quarterly auditing activities that have been conducted to ensure procurement compliance with terms and conditions of the contracts and agreements.	This quarter we performed the following audit activities: -Reviewed certified payroll reports for the SPS and EPS projects and compared paid wages to prevailing wage rates -Reviewed site access logs and compared access logs to certified payroll reports -Reviewed pricing of a change order and allowances to verify compliance with contract documents	
17.	contract/program been reviewed? Please describe	 KPMG reviewed the following payment requisitions this quarter. Sayreville Pump Station Pay Apps - Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #8 (12/7/2017) - \$648,492.44 Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #7 (11/7/2017) - \$506,267.21 Northeast Remsco Construction Pay Req #6 (10/12/2017) - \$251,254.44 Edison Pump Station - Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #15 (12/7/17) - \$504,822.13 Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #14 (11/7/17) - \$485,726.61 Walsh Construction Company II Pay Req #13 (10/12/17) - \$367,618.36 	

The reporting period for this report is October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

No.	Recipient Data Elements	Response
18.	Description of quarterly activity to prevent and detect waste, fraud and abuse.	 This quarter we performed the following: Attended monthly project meetings. Visited project sites to assess status of work in field, resources on site, project oversight and safety. Reviewed project documentation including meeting minutes to gain understanding of project status. Reviewed payment applications. Reviewed uses of allowances Reviewed certified payroll reports Reviewed site access logs
19.	Provide details of any integrity issues/findings	No noted issues this quarter.
20.	Provide details of any work quality or safety/environmental/historical preservation issue(s).	No noted issues this quarter.
21.	Provide details on any other items of note that have occurred in the past quarter.	No other items to note this quarter.
22.	Provide details of any actions taken to remediate waste, fraud and abuse	- KPMG identified overbilling for concrete costs included in a drawdown of a contract allowance. Northeast Remsco corrected this overbilling Inv the amount of \$1,183.80
C.	Miscellaneous	
23.	Attach a list of hours and expenses incurred to perform your quarterly integrity monitoring review	Attached. See October - December 2017 Time & Expense Summary Tab.
24	Add any item, issue or comment not covered in previous sections but deemed pertinent to monitoring program.	None.
	Name of Integrity Monitor:	KPMG

Name of Report Preparer:	Kevin Max
Signature:	Kenthe
Date:	4/2/2018

	Comments
voice No. 8 which resulted in a credit to the contract in	

Time St	ummary
Title	Hours Incurred (October - December)
DIRECTOR	2.50
PROJECT MANAGER	92.50
CONSULTANT	11.00
	106.00

	100.00	
Expense Summary		
Title	Expenses Incurred (October - December)	
DIRECTOR	223.17	
PROJECT MANAGER	350.69	
	573.86	
	• •	