New Jersey Debt Rating Outlook Revised To Positive From Stable On Improved Pension Funding

August 3, 2021

NEW YORK (S&P Global Ratings) Aug. 3, 2021--S&P Global Ratings revised the outlook to positive from stable on various long-term and underlying debt ratings for the State of New Jersey. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'BBB+' rating on the state's general obligation (GO) bonds and 'BBB' rating on various other bonds secured by annual appropriations from the state. S&P Global Ratings also affirmed its 'BBB' rating on New Jersey bonds secured by appropriations for a human services provider, and its 'BB+' rating on South Jersey Port Corp.'s state moral obligation debt.

In addition, we revised the outlook to positive from stable and affirmed the 'BBB' rating on New Jersey Economic Development Authority's first-lien motor vehicle surcharge revenue bonds rated under our "Priority-Lien Tax Revenue Debt" criteria (published Oct. 22, 2018), which factor in both the strength and stability of the pledged revenues, as well as the general creditworthiness of the linked obligor, in this case the State of New Jersey (GO rating). The priority-lien rating on these bonds is limited by the state's general creditworthiness and appropriation risk.

"The outlook revision reflects our view that the decisions made by the state on how to spend surplus revenues in fiscal years 2021 and 2022 could position New Jersey to materially improve its long-term liability profile," said S&P Global Ratings credit analyst Tiffany Tribbitt. Specifically, efforts to improve pension funding could result in maintenance of a combined funded ratio of more than 40%, which we consider supportive of a higher rating.

Our 'BBB+' GO rating on New Jersey reflects what we consider the following weaknesses:

- A large structural operating deficit, which we calculate at 9.5% of appropriations in fiscal 2022;
- A large unfunded pension liability, a low pension funded ratio, and a history of substantially underfunding the state retirement systems' annual actuarially determined contribution (ADC), which, in our view, has created significant structural imbalance and puts pressure on future budgets;
- Large OPEB obligations; and
- High debt burden.

These weaknesses are offset, in our opinion, by the following GO credit strengths:

- Per capita income equal to 126% of the nation, among the highest of the 50 states;
- A diverse economic base of 8.9 million people showing, until now, recent growth at levels that have lagged the national rate;
- Budgeted reserves equal to a good 5.1% of appropriations; and

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Tiffany Tribbitt

New York + 1 (212) 438 8218 Tiffany.Tribbitt @spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

David G Hitchcock

New York + 1 (212) 438 2022 david.hitchcock @spglobal.com

New Jersey Debt Rating Outlook Revised To Positive From Stable On Improved Pension Funding

- New Jersey's efforts to reduce its debt burden and unfunded pension obligations.

New Jersey's overall score under our state rating criteria is '2.6', reflecting an indicative credit score of 'A', which can be further adjusted based on scoring overrides. As of the most recent June 30, 2020, GASB reporting, the state's combined pension funded ratio was 38.4%, triggering our one-notch adjustment for pensions with funded levels below 40%. We have made an additional one-notch override adjustment for weak structural budget performance, leading to a 'BBB+' indicative rating under our state criteria methodology.

We view New Jersey's social and governance risks as somewhat elevated due to court mandates regarding school funding in low-income districts and historical pension contribution underfunding. The state provides cybersecurity support for its local governments and school districts through the New Jersey Cybersecurity and Communication Integration Cell, which we view as a sign of good governance and risk mitigation. We view environmental risks as elevated given New Jersey's long coastal exposure and elevated levels of air pollution, in part due to emissions from other states, although the state actively works to mitigate these risks through agency planning and partnering with local governments.

Should the state make sustainable progress in improving its GASB pension funded ratio above 40%, without increasing its structural deficit and or experiencing deterioration in any other credit factors, we could raise the rating during our two-year outlook horizon.

If the state is unable to continue to fund the ADC and its structural deficit persists, because of such factors as little or no revenue growth due to a weakening of the economy, pension underperformance, or political unwillingness to make necessary adjustments, we could revise the outlook to stable.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.