

## State of New Jersey

PHILIP D. MURPHY Governor

SHEILA Y. OLIVER *Lt. Governor* 

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
DIVISION OF PURCHASE AND PROPERTY
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
33 WEST STATE STREET
P. O. BOX 039
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0039

TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0039

https://www.njstart.gov
Telephone (609) 292-4886 / Facsimile (609) 984-2575

April 28, 2020

Via Electronic Mail Only jmorse@atlasflasher.com

Jason Morse, Vice President Atlas Flasher & Supply Co. Inc. 430 Swedesboro Avenue P.O. Box 488 Mickleton, New Jersey 08056

Re: I/M/O Bid Solicitation #20DPP00483 Atlas Flasher & Supply Co. Inc.

Protest of Notice of Intent to Award

T2908 Attenuators: Truck-Mounted, Trailer-Mounted, and Maintenance and Repair Parts

Dear Mr. Morse,

This letter is in response to your email on behalf of Atlas Flasher & Supply Co. Inc. (Atlas Flasher) to the Hearing Unit of the Division of Purchase and Property (Division), dated January 17, 2020. In that letter Atlas Flasher protests the Notice of Intent to Award letter (NOI) issued on January 14, 2020, by the Division's Procurement Bureau (Bureau) for Bid Solicitation #20DPP00483 T2908 Attenuators: Truck-Mounted, Trailer-Mounted, and Maintenance and Repair Parts (Bid Solicitation).

By way of background, on September 19, 2019, the Bureau issued the Bid Solicitation on behalf of the New Jersey Department of Transportation to solicit Quotes for Attenuators: Truck Mounted, Trailer Mounted, and Replacement Parts. See Bid Solicitation Section 1.1 *Purpose and Intent*. The intent of the Bid Solicitation was to award Master Blanket Purchase Orders (Blanket P.O.s) to those responsible Vendors {Bidders} whose Quotes, conforming to the Bid Solicitation, are most advantageous to the State, price and other factors considered. Ibid.

In accordance with the Bid Solicitation Instructions, potential Vendors {Bidders} were permitted to submit questions to the Bureau through the Division's *NJSTART* eProcurement system by 2:00 pm on October 3, 2019. See, Bid Solicitation Section 1.3.1 *Electronic Question and Answer Period*. There were no questions submitted by potential Vendors {Bidders}.

On November 1, 2019, the Proposal Review Unit opened three (3) Quotes received by the submission deadline of 2:00 pm EST, from the following Vendors {Bidders}:

- 1. Transpo Industries, Inc. (Transpo)
- 2. Traffic Safety Services, LLC (Traffic Safety)
- 3. Atlas Flasher

ELIZABETH MAHER MUOIO State Treasurer

Maurice A. Griffin *Acting Director* 

After conducting a preliminary review of the Quotes received, the Proposal Review Unit forwarded the Quotes to the Bureau for review and evaluation consistent with the requirements of Bid Solicitation Section 6.6 Evaluation Criteria.

On January 14, 2020, the Bureau completed the Recommendation Report that recommended a Blanket P.O. award to Traffic Safety. Accordingly, the Bureau issued the NOI advising all Vendors {Bidders} that it was the State's intent to award the Blanket P.O. to Traffic Safety for all groups.

On January 17, 2020, Atlas Flasher submitted a protest email to the Hearing Unit stating that:

Atlas Flasher was found to be Non-Responsive to the Attenuator bid based on the manufacturer certifications[;] however these items were scanned in with the required price sheets, along with other items called for. All Items quoted matched the specification requirements with no exceptions! Is it possible to protest in order to become a responsive bidder?

[See Atlas Flasher's January 17, 2020 email.]

In consideration of the Atlas Flasher's protest, I have reviewed the record of this procurement, including the Bid Solicitation, the Quotes received, the protest, the relevant statutes, regulations, and case law. The issues raised by Atlas Flasher are sufficiently clear such that a review of the record of this procurement has provided me with the information necessary to determine the facts of this matter and to render an informed final agency decision on the merits of the protest based on the written record. I set forth herein my Final Agency Decision.

With respect to the attenuators, the Bid Solicitation advised potential Vendors {Bidders} that the TrafFix Scorpion and Blade makes/models shall be considered responsive to the technical requirements for the attenuators sought. See, Bid Solicitation Section 3.4.2 Manufacturer's Standard Model Truck-Mounted Attenuator (Group 1, Price Line 1), Bid Solicitation Section 3.4.9 NJDOT Specific Truck-Mounted Attenuator (Group 2, Price Line 8) and Bid Solicitation Section 3.4.17 Manufacturer's Standard Model Trailer-Mounted Attenuator (Group 3, Price Line 16). The Bid Solicitation did not limit Vendors {Bidders} to submitting Quotes for only those two make/models of attenuators; however, to ensure that any proposed attenuator met the requirements identified in Bid Solicitation Section 3.0 Scope of Work, the Bureau required that Vendors {Bidders} submit a manufacturer's certification with the Quote. Bid Solicitation Section 4.4.3 Submittals stated that:

The Vendor {Bidder} shall submit with its Quote a manufacturer's certification confirming that all items offered in response to this Bid Solicitation conform to the requirements set forth in Section 3.0 of this Bid Solicitation.

Manufacturer's certification shall be submitted on company letterhead and signed by an officer of the company.

On October 31, 2019, Atlas Flasher submitted its Quote in response to the Bid Solicitation. Atlas Flasher's Quote contained the documents shown on the screenshot below:

Quote 00003700 · Atlas Flasher & Supply Co. Inc.					
General Items Questions Subcontractors Terms & Conditions	Attachments(16) Evaluations Preference Reminders Summary				
_					
Files					
Name	Description	Confidential	Order	Attached By	Attached Date
T2908 Revised Bid Solicitation (view details)	Revised Bid Solicitation		1	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Revised State Supplied Price Sheet (view details)	Price Sheet	€	2	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Offer and Acceptance (view details)	Offer and Acceptance	€	3	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Ownership Disclosure (view details)	Ownership Disclosure	€	4	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Activities in Iran (view details)	Disclosure of Investment Activities in Iran	€	5	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Investigations (view details)	Disclosure of Investigations and Other Actions Involving the Vendor Form	€	6	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Cooperative Purchasing (view details)	Cooperative Purchasing Form	€	7	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Subcontractors (view details)	Subcontractors Utilization Form	€	8	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Bidder Data (view details)	Bidder Data Form	€	9	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Business Registration (view details)	Atlas Flasher Business Registration	€	10	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Affirmative Action Compliance (view details)	Atlas Flasher Certificate of Employee Information Report	€	11	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Chapter 51 (view details)	Two Year Chapter 51 Certification and Disclosure of Political Contributions	€	12	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Checklist (view details)	T2908 Bid Solicitation Checklist	€	13	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Amendment #1 (view details)	Amendment #1	€	14	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Upgrades & Accessories (view details)	Upgrades & Accessories	€	15	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Parts (view details)	Parts	€	16	Cynthia Sabol	10/31/2019
Forms					
No form Attachments					
	Save & Continue Close Window				

After reviewing the Quote submitted by Atlas Flasher, the Bureau found that there was no manufacturer's certification included in Atlas Flasher's Quote. As noted above, Bid Solicitation Section 4.4.3 *Submittals* required that the Vendor {Bidder} submit a manufacturer's certification with its Quote. Because the Bid Solicitation mandated that the manufacturer's certification be submitted with the Quote, the Bureau did not seek a clarification or request that Atlas Flasher provide a copy of the manufacturer's certification after the Quote opening. Accordingly, the Bureau concluded that Atlas Flashers' Quote deviated from the mandatory requirements of the Bid Solicitation Section 4.4.3 *Submittals*, and determined that Quote was non-responsive. The Bureau's Recommendation Report stated that:

Atlas did not provide the required manufacturer's certification with its Ouote.

Bid Solicitation Section 4.4.3 (Specification Conformance) states, in pertinent part (emphasis added in bold): "The Vendor {Bidder} shall submit with its Quote a manufacturer's certification confirming that all items offered in response to this Bid Solicitation conform to the requirements set forth in Section 3.0 of this Bid Solicitation. Manufacturer's certification shall be submitted on company letterhead and signed by an officer of the company."

Without confirmation by way of manufacturer's certification, there was no way for the state to confirm that the products bid met the requirements set forth In Bid Solicitation Section 3.0.

As such, the Quote by Atlas is non-responsive.<sup>1</sup>

[See Recommendation Report, pg. 5-6.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Bureau also deemed the Quote submitted by Transpo to be non-responsive to the requirements of the Bid Solicitation because Transpo failed to provide the required manufacturer's certification. See Recommendation Report, pg. 6.

In its protest email, Atlas Flasher states that "manufacturer certifications . . . were scanned in with the required price sheets, along with other items called for." (See January 17, 2020 email). The Hearing Unit's independent review of Atlas Flasher's Quote reveals that there were no manufacturer's certification included with the price sheet attachment. Furthermore, the review of all of Atlas Flasher's Quote attachments reveals that there was no manufacturer's certification included with its Quote.

However, the Hearing Unit's review of the record reveals that Atlas Flasher proposed the TrafFix Scorpion attenuator in its submitted Quote, a pre-approved make/model listed in the Bid Solicitation. As noted above, the purpose of the manufacturer's certification was to ensure that the proposed attenuators (and arrow board) met the requirements of the Bid Solicitation. By submitting a pre-approved make/model, Atlas Flasher's Quote, as it relates to the attenuator, meets the requirements set forth in Bid Solicitation Section 3.0. Accordingly, Atlas Flasher's submitted Quote should not have been deemed non-responsive, due to its failure to submit a manufacturer's certification for the attenuator with its Quote.

In the light of the findings set forth above, I overturn the Bureau's Notice of Intent to Award and remand this matter back to the Bureau for further review and evaluation. This is my final agency decision with respect to the protest submitted by Atlas Flasher.

Sincerely,

Maurice A. Griffin Acting Director

MAG: RD

c: D. Warren

S. Ghorbani

K. Thomas

J. Kerchner

Transpo Industries, Inc.

Traffic Safety Services, LLC