

## STATE OF NEW JERSEY OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY

Public Hearing Re:

Criteria for Voter-Verified Paper Record for Direct Recording Electronic Voting Machines

Stenographic Report of Public Hearing Held

The National Guard Armory

155 Eggerts Crossing Road

Lawrenceville, NJ

Wednesday, October 10, 2007 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: RICHARD C. WOODBRIDGE, ESQUIRE

## PUBLIC HEARING - IN RE: CRITERIA FOR VOTER-VERIFIED PAPER RECORD FOR DIRECT RECORDING MACHINES

	2
2	MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE
3	
4	Daryl P. Mahoney
5	John Flemming
6	
7	APPEARANCES:
8	Donna Kelly, Esquire
9	Gary Greenhalgh, PhD, ES&S
10	Jane Greenhalgh, ES&S
11	Willie Wesley, Jr., ES&S
12	Kevin Kerrigan, ES&S
13	Steve Pearson, ES&S
14	Margaret L. McCabe
15	Abbey Kluska
16	Karen Dumars, Deputy Attorney General
17	Jason Bistone, Deputy Attorney General
18	Mitchell Darer
19	Nirwan Ansari
20	Ari Jain
21	Pitipatana Sakarindr, PhD
22	Chunhua Chen
23	Chao Zhang
24	
25	

attention, please. I think we are going to start this hearing. My name is Donna Kelly. I've been doing elections for the state for about 28 years maybe, I'm not really sure. But this is like the fourth voting machine hearing we're attending. The way it works is that the voting machine committee which is Mr. Woodbridge, he has been Daryl Mahoney who is assistant supervor for the assistant. Mr. John Flemming who is the officer of the training, what is your title.

MR. FLEMMING: Managing specialist.

MS. KELLY: But today what is going to happen is the ES&S voting machine is only used in Sussex county, it's going be brought before the committee for examination. We're only here for purposes of examining the machine for voter verified paper trail. That is an issue, that is the sole reason we're having the committee. State legislature has passed a law that says by January 1, 2008 we're supposed to have paper trail, so we will not likely meet that deadline, however, we're

moving forward with our process. The
committee has already looked at two other
machines, the one machine is used in both of
our counties. And also the iVotronic machine
but the procedure today will be the committee
will address the vendor, we will demonstrate
the machine, we certainly will allow public
comment. In fact, I'm very happy to see the
members of the Sussex County Board is here
because it's critical to listen to the
administrators who actually run the machines.
The way Mr. Woodbridge operates is very
informal. He is very good. He is very
patient. Even though initially when we
decided to have this hearing we thought it was
five minutes per speaker, that's not how it
turned out the last time. Where is our public
advocate representative. But anyway and then
also the NJIT representative New Jersey
Institute of Technology in our state
graciously accepted the state's challenge to
do the testing of the machine vis-a-vis the
state criteria, so they will also be here for
the benefit of the committee. Just

procedurally the way it works after the conclusion of today's hearing, the committee will write a report recommendation about whether or not the machine should or should not be accepted in terms of this paper trail. The machine itself is already accepted by the The attorney general will take it state. under advisement and she will make the final decision. The prior machines looked at by the committee were not acceptable. The attorney general accepted that determination, so those machines are very likely going to go back for a second amount of testing. It's the first round on these. So without any further delay, Mr. Woodbridge.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you very much, Donna. It's good to be here. My name is Dick Woodbridge. Just a little bit of additional background. Title 19 of the New Jersey statute has a requirement for committee to review new types of voting machines in the State of New Jersey, proposed State of New Jersey and recommend through the attorney general's office whether or not in our opinion

established by the Attorney General's Office and what we'll do at some point in the proceeding is to walk through each and every part of this and then we'll write a report. It's not going to be an instant data exercise, it will take probable a week or so to get the report together and then of course we don't really approve or disapprove. We make the recommendation and the attorney general with the assistance of Donna and the rest of the staff decide what to do with it.

We entered a new phase last July when we started taking over paper trail devices and this is a continuation of that.

We didn't have specific paper trial criteria until this year, so we're using criteria that are different from those applied to typical DREs and to scan devices. So it's a bit of a new world for us. I would like to thank the Attorney General's Office for setting this up and for Donna for a nice introduction. Karen Dumas I think is with the AG's office. Hello Karen and Jason. You're new, is that right.

MR. JASON: Yes.

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Good to have you here. Anyone else from the AG's office? You've been introduced to Darren Mahoney on my right your left and John Flemming on my left your right with our parts committee. The statute oddly enough requires that one of the participants in the committee be a half attorney, I don't know any state in the nation that does that but I was asked and my committee wanted to sit on a committee and so I don't know how many hearings it's been through, I do know I've been through about 34 since 1998 and probably another three prior to that. So I've seen just about everything I've seen maybe twice. We've had the pleasure of ESS come before us prior five or six times the last ten years for a variety of different items and I would say on the record that we all were provided John and Darrell two, two large boxes of documentation probably. The most thorough documentation you see to date for the machines.

Couple of ground rules. We try to

keep the format clearly tight because we have a lot of ground to cover. We make sure we give all the vendors an opportunity to provide input. These proceedings are recorded by Kim Horsley over here who patiently tries to take down every word. I try to remember to talk in the microphone and speak fairly slowly and so if you do have comments, make sure that Kim can hear them. I've asked Kim to interrupt if at any time she can't understand what's going on. So, that may happen.

The procedure is that we'll go through the same procedure as we did last time. Well after the initial introductions I'm going to give members of the audience to just introduce themselves for the record. We don't have a large audience today, then we'll ask the vendor to make a presentation just a general summary presentation of their device then we'll ask NJIT to give their presentation specifically three part presentation with Mitch giving an overview and Dr. Jan, I guess providing the general procedure for the testing and Dr. Amar isn't here, Asari, I will

be giving specifics after that's done we will
probably ask if the representative from the
state with regard to disabilities is here, are
you here, very good. Make his presentation
and with some luck by eleven o'clock we'll
start marching through the specific criteria.
I ask your indulgence in the outset not to ask
any questions until we get the public comment
section, the public comment section portion.
There will be an opportunity for anybody with
comments or questions to do that. All
questions should be addressed to the chair, so
that we have some organization to it. One
other question, yes. We ask you to, remind
you to turn off your cell phones. I would
also so I'm going to start off by you've met
everybody from the AG's office. I ask the
people in the audience some of who are
familiar faces but since we have a fairly
small audience to introduce themselves for the
record and why don't you start off in the
front row.
MP CDEENHALCH. Cary Croonhalah

		10
2	MS. GREENHALGH: Jane Greenhalgh,	
3	Election Systems and Software.	
4	MR. WESLEY: Willie Westley,	
5	Election Systems and Software.	
6	MR. KNOUES: Flavio, F-L-A-V-I-O	
7	Knoues, K-N-O-U-E-S, Assistant Deputy Public	
8	Advocate.	
9	MR. GLADNEY: Alexander Gladney,	
10	G-L-A-D-N-E-Y, Assistant Deputy Public	
11	Advocate.	
12	MS. KLUSKA: Abbey Kluska,	
13	K-L-U-S-K-A, manager of elections technology	
14	for Sussex county.	
15	MS. McCABE: Marge McCabe,	
16	administrator Sussex county board of	
17	elections.	
18	MS. DUMARS: Karen Dumars, Deputy	
19	Attorney General.	
20	MR. BISTONE: Jason Bistone, Deputy	
21	Attorney General.	
22	MS. MULDER: Michelle Mulder.	
23	MR. R. WOODBRIDGE: Then we have	
24	Donna.	
25	MR. MILLSTEIN: David Millstein,	

state ADA administrator with the Department of Treasury.

2.0

MR. R. WOODBRIDGE: I'm going to ask Mitch to introduce his group when we get to that part of the program if that's okay. And David, good to have you back by the way. So with no further ado, Gary, are you going to be the master of ceremony. Steve, why don't you introduce your team and if you don't mind walking us through, give a summary of the advisory.

MR. PEARSON: Thank you. I would be happy to do so. My name is Steve Pearson. I am the vice president of certification for Election Systems and Software. Joining me on my right is Kevin Kerrigan. He is part of our certification team and has been involved in at least the initial kick off of the NJIT review of our system and overview for them has been involved in this process for initial review.

Before we get into a demonstration or presentation of the system, I just wanted to give you a little bit of background of what we're presenting today and what we're offering

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12

is that the electronic, electronic DRE equipped with the real time audit log referred to as the RTAL system. The version that is operating on the system today is version 92.0.0 which is currently in the final steps of testing with the election assisted commission new testing and certification procedures. We have completed all of the function testing successfully. We're just in the final stages. We believe we'll be complete with the final testing and all documentation, discrepancy clean up, hopefully within the next two weeks, two to three weeks and should have a final testing at least a completion letter from the ESTL which is assistant lab as to performing the testing on this system.

THE COURT: We will go back to Donna Kelly about that.

MR. PEARSON: Sure. As soon as we have a full text report from the ESTL we will offer that at the same time.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Probably easier to go up there. You might be separated from the

microphone a bit.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KERRIGAN: My name is Kevin Kerrigan. I am the state certification manager. I've been working with NJIT through the review responding to any of their requests or information or issues that arose during the testing. Again what we have here today is the iVotronic DRE solution and then we have our real time audit log referred to as RTAL. We'll go through kind of how the system would be implemented chronologically in an election and kind of talk about some of the security systems along that path. So initially from our software we're able to create a PEB that's called the election PEB. This contains a 16 bit encryption algorithm that is specific for every election. At the warehouse personnel will go through each iVotronic and load this key onto the terminals. Now these terminals contain that key specific for that election and what we've immediately implemented at that stage is any person the PEB is not specifically for will not be able to activate that terminal. That key has to match up that

key that is put on for every PEB has to match up to the election. So if that would happen at the warehouse level the assistant would be transported to the polling location and this election key never enters into the field.

It's just a warehouse security level PEB for applying that.

MR. WESLEY: Let me add to what he said that's very important because one of the concerns a lot of folks have there are a lot of these PEBs out there. How do we know someone isn't going to come and tamper with this key. The encryption and the PEB code is loaded is specific for this election is used one time and one time only. So the one that the poll worker has is the only one that's legitimate. If you put another PEB for another election in it will reject it right away.

MR. KERRIGAN: Just to clarify this poll worker would never have the terminal that is never implemented to the field. What they then would have at the polling election is what we have is the supervisor PEB. This

contains a code as well. It's called our
EEC-3 code, our motion polling location. It's
terminal has a key on it. All the terminals
has been cleared and tested before it's sent
out to the field to make sure it is out at the
field, then you would open the election with
the supervisor PEB. How we track these we
implement these in two ways; they are
administered with the use of lables, one we
label as a master, say this is our typical
polling location set up, we have four machines
the polling supervisor an hour before would go
that terminal serial number is noted on the
PEB we label that PEB as master. It's only
function is to be used for the opening and
closing of the terminals. You open your
terminals, secure that master key until the
end of election day and then the PEB would be
administered to the pole workers. We call I
think that's supervisor PEB or advocators PEB
activator. So that PEB would be held by the
poll workers. They would greet the voter at
the registration desk, see which ballot they
are supposed to vote, escort them physically

to the machine and the poll worker themselves would activate the ballot in our system which is required to as poll worker. The voter never comes in contact with any media so they would activate the ballot by inserting the PEB into the system.

MS. McCABE: Marge McCabe, Sussex
County board of Election. When we issue the
PEB to the poll worker and we experience them
and use it, they hold it like a pacemaker.
They don't let it go. It's not on the table
where somebody could pick it up. They hold it
so tight that's how seriously our poll workers
take the job.

MR. KERRIGAN: I ask any procedural comments you make are very welcome as with any election whether it's tape or PEB a lot are wrapped around the procedure and how you implement, so it's very important.

MR. WESLEY: The comment I want to make sure, that there would be a signal that says, please remove supervisor PEB the that is so that we help the poll worker remember to keep it in their possession.

2	MR. KERRIGAN: So at this point as
3	indicated the poll worker with the PEB would
4	go back to the registration desk leaving the
5	voter to vote for privacy. If there was a
6	gynasium for the voting location these would
7	be oriented 180 degrees the other way. In
8	other words, your back would be to the wall
9	preventing any access or any loitering which
10	again that is a procedural thing to administer
11	by the poll worker they need to follow a
12	standard set up and logic for how to set up
13	their voting machine, but at this point I
14	remove the PEB, the voter is now left to vote
15	the indication says do you want to vote
16	initially on the screen or do you want to use
17	the audio ballot. The audio ballot could be
18	used by plugging in headphones, there's a jack
19	here on the right side toward the bottom then
20	they would interact with our four key panel
21	here, this is the model by electronic it's
22	what we refer to as the four key id, an
23	electronic navigation bullet we have an up and
24	down arrow for we have select button for
25	making RED and this circular purple button is

a level of volumes. So first off pull up a visual by touching the screen there is going to be a ballot level voter first given a one two three voting instruction screen and I now brought up the first page of contest. We have several different templates for how we could initially lay this out what you see here is a one column template it's in color that means the voter candidate field takes up the entire width of the screen you can touch anywhere in that screen to activate that it's visually shown with a check mark in that field and what our real time monolog every time I make a selection on the screen whether that is a vote for or cancellation for an initial election it's noted in real time on the screen.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PEARSON: Can I ask you when you started the voter sessions what transactions were printed on on the real time printer.

MR. KERRIGAN: In real time it's probably 12 lines here at the beginning of every voting session what's printed on the real time monolog the terminal is activated and the time is activated the serial terminal

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as well as the serial number of the PEB that was used to activate it. We have the battery voltage and we have the mode of the terminal which during election day it's referred to as open, open for voting. Then we also have some voting session identification numbers there are three sets of numbers which will probably adjusted for the NJIT system and then the final line after that initial opening session my first election president selected Peter B. Randall. So that those initial lines are printed at the beginning of every voting session. Now in this vote for one the way it works if I make a different selection than what is initially, two actions are going to happen. It first deselected my first and then it is applies my action to the second candidate so simply put on the real time it says president canceled Peter B. Randall and then it says president selected it noted both actions and the selection vote, vote what happens if you hit the line. MS. MULDER: Which line.

MR. KERRIGAN: Any line between any

line between. It will probably go one way or the other I don't know, there is actually dead space so you are going to get one or the other.

MS. MULDER: Does it assume you want a write in.

MR. KERRIGAN: I was in between the write in and the last candidate.

MR. WESLEY: The important thing is it gets you immediate feedback of what did occur.

MR. KERRIGAN: You not only have the additional feedback but you have the paper identification trail of any action made. So to proceed through here we have a six page ballot indicated at any point I could hit the question mark and bring up the initial voting instructions back to the ballot. This is the vote for one. You want to vote for two I kind of supply how the logic works on this if I make my first two selections now we can't have an auto deselect feature because there is no way for the logic to know which is automatically deselected. So if someone were

to make too many selections or an overvote
they will be provided a warning that you have
already made the maximum number of selections
in this contest. You must first deselect one
of your candidates to make a further
selection. So if I come back to my original
two selections I would first have to deselect
them and select the other one and as we keep
saying everything is noted in our real time
audit log as well as I was prompted that is
also noted exact words it says overvote
attempt on this contest colon and when it
gives the contest name of freeholders. We
proceed I will leave a couple blank here.
Here is a vote for five. I will vote a write
in my write in selection is to select here and
we have seven propositions on this election
and just for note these are the same elections
that we use for the selection by NJIT. They
did require two different elections to be
coded and these first three machines closest
to me have the I believe this is the general
and the one on the end is a primary and it has
a different visual layout that uses two

columns for the contest, so you will see two columns for information.

MR. PEARSON: When you select a write in it chose a write in. What was the transaction that took place on the printer itself.

MR. KERRIGAN: On the printer
there's no law that you enter the write in.
It just notes your selection. It treats it as
that name was originally. The contest the
exact, so it says character commission
selected it says I selected see a request it
proceeds that with the star. That is the only
indication that was a write in selection and
not one that was originally on the ballot but
it doesn't note that I entered write in
because we're noting all voter choices or
deselections, so it notes the selection and
writes the name proceeded with a star.

So I am now at page six of six for voting mode. The next button the button that's now been stopped out rather than saying next it says review. When I hit review, I'm showing all my choices not all the candidates

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

selection but just the choices that were made for every contest as well as any contest that I undervoted it is written in red. No selection made. I can enter that contest or reenter contest and I'm brought up the candidates for that contest and make a reselection. When I did first enter the summary mode it did make a note enter summary page so now I have pressed cancelled, you're able to audit that change or that alteration of choice was made after I entered the summary ballot. When I hit review, I'm taken back to the review screen and my alteration is shown here. We have a couple undervotes and this is three pages and just note right now this is our vote button this is how we cast a ballot it's paper equivalent of dropping your paper ballot into a ballot box which is a point of no return for the voter and right now it is did he activated the second page.

MR. WESLEY: Actually what was I was going to show I was going to show what it would do if you try to cast your vote prematurely, so if a voter before giving all

that it would give instructions go on the page they must first view all of the pages of the ballot and all the pages of the summary portion before they can cast their ballot.

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KERRIGAN: I believe I showed some of that at the nine o'clock demo. So now that I have entered the third page this little button it is a little button and even if I go back it can make changes as I like before you you end the end of that summary screen we now allow to you vote. At that point a voter can press the red vote button just kind of some logical interfacing of why we did it this way the voter is sitting here touching the screen for the entire voter section we make to press the hardware button as opposed to an on screen test. Just so that there's no confusion of well I was voting and then I hit voter something like that it's a separate item located on alterate location. I'm going to hit vote. At this point my vote summary is printed and as Steve mentioned this prints all of your selections that were made including a barcode and that's readable by any RTS barcode

and the selection scrolls up nine inches right now as programmed to leave the paper blank for the next voter that comes. So that vote summary is not a rejectable form or anything. It's use for audit purposes so a poll worker during an audit wouldn't have to go through any added audit here and wouldn't have to do pluses and minuses you can have a very easlily reviewable audit trail.

MS. MULDER: If you saw something on the paper that didn't match what you did what would your remedy be?

MR. KERRIGAN: That doesn't have -it's fully accurate whatever selection you
made is.

MS. MULDER: If you're using the head set is there a way for the blind voter to verify from the paper or are they verifying from the digital feed.

MR. KERRIGAN: They are given an audio feedback for every selection made just like a visual voter as well as the review screen they are too forced to go through the

their ballots and they will be read all their previous ballots.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WESLEY: What he said you have to determine locally how you're going to handle covering this screen in the event that visual impaired person was voting it does record their choices on here as well.

MS. MULDER: To protect the privacy.

MR. KERRIGAN: Another feature that I would like to note I think this is pretty important for some of the issues we'll be discussing later. Right now we have this machine programmed for a nine inch window. what it has to at the end of every voting session in order to leave this nine inches of wasted paper, not wasted because you're ensuring the privacy of the vote. This software contains four point five window cutting it in half and what we do we attach a steel plate that matches this material with adhesive and that would be on the top portion and then the machine will ask for the four point five section that leaves a blank section, so essentially you are saving four

point five inches of paper per vote cast so it's pretty significant amount of paper savings in this firm ware version compared to some of the previous ones in the state. testing the machines are already programmed for nine inches. So, there will be some discussions about how many votes can you get per roll. There are a lot of issues when trying to come to that number, but that is a very significant fact in terms of how many votes or sessions you can get on a roll. Continuing the roll its a 300 feet of thermo paper it is the only consumable in this because it is a thermo printer you're not dealing with ink on election day or anything you just have a roll of paper.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WESLEY: There are some things about this paper also there's sensors inside the printer that will alert you when you have leveled the amount of paper gets low there is a rooster tail that is at the very end a red strip to give you a visual indication your getting closer.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: How hard is that to

change a roll. You got 300 feet if the takes
18 inches per voter do the math but 200 voters
so if you get to that level, how do you how
hard is it to change rolls there.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KERRIGAN: I will take that question and kind of wrap it into a lot of different security issues. First of all with the printer it is behind a lock and key mechanism which unlocked the door flips up and anybody is welcome to walk back here right now it will be a little crowded, there are cords to contend with. So here is a printer along the right side from the back we do have a lock and key to security it. This is the door that will clip up on the hinge, you will apply a tamper area sticker seal over that door. So when a paper roll needs to be changed or there are any issues you can unlock the printer and its a reel-to-reel our feed reel what is the first one referred to as paper roll the paper roll goes on this top portion and here is your pick up reel all your results are being accumulated onto. There is instruction how to load as well as on the printer and what that

entail is when your roll gets low you would take out your take up reel which is on a spool you would administer the transportation of that whatever local procedure calls for that can mean putting it in I believe Gary said is how they handle it in West Virginia and then you would take your new paper roll, slide it on here it gets fed through a little gap once the paper hits that recognizes it grabs the paper and brings it up to a length about here enough you can then attach that in onto your tape up spool, you get it wound up and started put the spool in and you're good to go.

You're up and running with a new roll.

I think we're kind of esteemed in the field. A person that has done this several times can probably change a roll from anywhere from 30 seconds to a minute. A first time user the only trick is getting it started on the take up reel so it may take him a minute and a half to two minutes to change the reel. It's fairly quick. The other procedural thing aside from changing the reel you would have to break that seal following

any procedures you would have to do for applying a new seal document all your security systems there.

1.3

MR. PEARSON: What have some of the other states done with that the reel of the votes that have been cast.

MR. WESLEY: There's usually some sort of chain of custody established as far as how you secure that, but once that's removed you put it in an envelope or some sort of ziplock bag where you can put a seal on it a numbered seal so you can ensure the chain of the custody is not broken for that because that is the official ballot in some states so would you treat it the same way you would treat a paper ballot. You would drop through an optical scan read error through a ballot box.

MR. KERRIGAN: Continuing on with some of the abilities to seal and such of course your primary focus is your election results our your stored onto a remove panel flash media at the top of the screen we store our votes internally throughout voting day

they are stored on three internal chips which
are two of them are sodered flash chips the
other one is removable at the start of voting
sessions those three internal memories check
each other on our system and they make sure
they are all reading and writing the
information before it allows any votes to
proceed. We have the internal memory source
when you close polls all that data is written
to your master PEB that you open and close
with as well as to the compact flash. Vote
totals are stored on the EPD on the compact
flash where compact as well as the audit log
from all actions performed on this system. So
those two pieces of media would then be
transported to central for tabulation. So,
that compact flash card or we've already
talked about the maintenance of the PEB in
Sussex Count, kind of explained how dearly
they protect that. With the compact flash
themselves it's protected through a sliding
door which has some loops here you could apply
a wire seal to or sticker seal over that as
well. This gray cord that you see here which

is kind of sticking out that could be tucked
under the top portion would be visible to the
voter we apply seals over that tamper evidence
seals as well as the power connection is
located on the top. These are battery powered
and we plugged them in. The battery is
powdered by the AC/DC. If I were to
disconnect the power during a voting there's
no distinction or anything you're still
running on battery powdered it's just now the
battery is not charged.
MR. WOODBRIDGE: How long is the
battery life on that.
MR. KERRIGAN: An active use for
federal use we have to pass at three hours.
MR. PEARSON: I was thinking it was
two hours that we had.
MR. KERRIGAN: Two hours active use
and I think ten hours inactive use. I
honestly can't say the exact number.
MR. WESLEY: I can add this he is

correct it does run off batteries when it is

plugged in it does two things, one it does a

triple charge to the battery as well as power

the flash screen when you disconnect at end of the session you don't see this screen the screen that's up here now that goes away to prolong the battery life. My experience in working with these depending on the amount of activity you have whether you're using an audio you get a little more than three hours on active use on the terminal.

MS. MULDER: If the battery ran out before the election was over what would be the result.

MR. WESLEY: You wouldn't vote on the machine.

MS. KLUSKA: Abbey Kluska from
Sussex County. Since we do already use the
machine but not with the paper trial we can
answer that question pretty well. If the
battery were to run out and voter were in mid
vote it would tell you battery is too low to
continue. The poll worker would be alerted,
the voter would then plug it back in and then
it works. It's as simple as that.

MR. PEARSON: Once power was restored. And and once powdered was restored.

MS. MULDER: All the cast vote ending for that voter. And and every vote that is cast is recorded to.

2.2

MR. PEARSON: They are security.

MR. KERRIGAN: Another note about that at any time visually confirm that there is a public count at the end of the electronic that tells your number of votes count.

MS. McCABE: Marge McCabe Sussex

County because of the size, it's the size of a laptop and there's no information in the machine when it goes out it is an empty machine. We verify zero total before it goes out, we have technicians on the road who can actually have them in their car and if there is an issue with a machine, if there is an issue with a battery we have between two and five machines in every district so they can be switched off and technician can like go to a district with another machine and replace it out because they are so easy to transport.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: If the public counter is there, is there a private counter functional somewhere in there.

MR. KERRIGAN: There is a life time counter as well called protective counter.

But all that's displayed on the screen right now is the opening flat screen is we have the name of the election the polling location so you can always verify that make sure the machine is in the right way public count and then on the right side we have the time and date as well as the status of the power which is right now plugged in, so you can also verify, okay, I am on DC power. I need to check maybe flip a light switch to get that socket whatever the case may be.

MR. WESLEY: Let me backtrack a little bit. I don't know if he is going to get into it I'm going to jump in. He talked about the TRC the triple redundancy check one of the issues I face a lot of places we're installing how do we know our vote how do we know the machine is working. It is very important because it is a bit of functionality that's performed at the beginning you activate a ballot where it actually does a comparison of the records that is on each one of the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

chips those three internal memory chips and it ensures that they are equal at the start of a ballot when a ballot is after the voter completes it and push the vote button it goes through that TRC again it does that is sequentially before you see a screen on here and it says thank you for voting. If before that screen comes up it detects it did not report the votes accurately to all three of those memory locations it will shut down that machine the importance of that is before the voter leaves the precinct would notify him that threes a problem were there machine, notify poll worker and at that point it will actually tell you on the screen it will close down this machine and tell you which one of the chips contains the total record and you can actually take that voter to another machine before they leave the precinct which is very important feature on this electronic it assures you would never lose a vote. MS. MULDER: I'm sorry, it tells if you the chip didn't record your vote.

MR. WESLEY: Yes, it will tell them

that they need to contact the poll worker or election official because there is a malfunction on this machine. So it gets their attention poll worker looks a the screen, he can then take them to another terminal so they could cast their ballot prior to leaving the precinct.

MS. MULDER: This is after the test that said they each were making duplicate records.

MR. PEARSON: Yes, it is. A hardware failure can occur at any time with any electronic equipment it's got the test before the session starts during the session as the votes are being written it also validates they were written successfully and that they are all functioning properly. So at any point if it detects a hardware failure it will notify and protect.

MR. WESLEY: And the importance of that is it's important for us that we notify the voter and the poll official before that voter leaves that precinct because we're protecting the vote for that individual.

MS. MULDER: Let's pretend it is some other vendor machine and they have a software glitch you guys don't have. If the software had that glitch and it recorded the wrong person but it did so accurately in three places, does this machine tell you that, does it is there any way for it to know that.

MR. WESLEY: I can let Sussex respond to this as well, local procedure you always perform what's called a logic and accuracy report. The purpose for that pre-election prior to you casting any votes on this machine if I vote for John Doe, that vote is recorded for John Doe and you perform that that is a requirement and good procedure. And and I think a good example of this would be the way we explain it and I think this may address your question. If someone were to try to hack it and they were to somehow write one of the chips the other two chips would say I have 50 votes, I have 50 votes, I have 51 votes I have a problem, I need to should down.

MS. MULDER: I was assuming the hacker would hack the software and then the

identical information would go everywhere but it would be wrong and and I don't know how you do that.

MR. WESLEY: You would have to gain access to the machine. You would have to have the encrypted election key.

MS. KLUSKA: The people that sign in the box in their roster books and their voting authority slips that total would be the right total.

MS. MULDER: It would be the right total the only way to do it would have to be in-house some other vendor.

MR. WESLEY: That's the reason we do the program. Its critical that you perform a logic and accuracy test to ensure those type of scenarios aren't taking place. I've attempted with individuals that say how do we know it got it, it doesn't kick in I will change the time on the machine and let's run this logic and accuracy again just to show you that's the purpose for a public logic and accuracy test to enhance the competency of the voter to ensure they are all being recorded

properly.

MS. McCABE: We set our machines ahead to election day to make sure there are no easter eggs.

MR. PEARSON: And then you seal once you complete, then everything is sealed.

MS. McCABE: And and may interject this as well. One of the things we kind of do unique to Sussex county after we do our logic and accuracy test, we do manually by hand to prove votes aren't being switched up or down or all around we print a zero total from each machine and that zero total is proof not just visually but also from the machine it's completely empty before the poll workers and when they open it they also verify everything that we've said with ballot control logs.

MS. MULDER: So the only recording issue that comes up on this is the one where there's a hardware failure to that that you described.

MR. WESLEY: If it were to occur.

MR. KERRIGAN: That's if we check in

the CRC.

MS. McCABE: That is a VVPAT system correct the triple redundant.

THE COURT: We may want to move this along.

MR. KERRIGAN: I think we've covered everything. Why don't we go back.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: This is a little bit different than our usual procedure and I am trying to do something slightly out of order but I think it's going to be for the benefit and I'm going to ask Mitchell Darer if he wouldn't mind hearing from the New Jersey Department of Disabled -- I can't remember the exact name, it's easy to take him on first because he is out of state for the rest of the time. David, if you wouldn't mind giving us your thoughts on this machine?

MR. MILLSTEIN: Good morning. My name is David Millstein. I am the state ADA administrator with the Department of Treasury. The purpose for this discussion is on the accessibility of the iVotronic voting machine. This system is a stand alone, sits on a tripod with a viewing screen that is touch sensitive

with the ability to allow a person who is
blind or visually impaired to follow
instructions using a four button system to
make a selection for voting purposes. For the
purpose of my evaluation, accessibility is for
under Title Two of the American for
Disabilities Act. The opening of the machine
is wheelchair accessible and has an opening of
45 inches which then goes down to a 36-inch
opening which allows the user to pull directly
in front of the machine. The forward reach
gives the user the ability to utilize a touch
sensitive screen with the final button to
tabulate the user's vote at 51 inches in
height. The machine has the ability to both
visual users and persons with visual
impairments utilizing the audio input for a
person who is blind or visually impaired. The
voter uses a headset. Each of the
instructions as well as the ballot itself is
done in real time voice prerecorded. The
machine does not use any type of voice
synthesizer. Each selection is then repeated
to the user along with the paper trail that is

printed out and based upon my recommendations, the machine also has the ability for font size, color contrast, black and white background, can be utilized by all users and I would accept this machine as a usable machine for a person with a disability.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you, David.

Last time you were here you also indicated you that you had at the facilities another test machine. Did you bring that here at this time?

MR. MILLSTEIN: No, I did not with Marilyn Rosenthal who I brought with me who was visually impaired and blind, she showed me what she was actually looking for. I did the process and the test this morning as a person who was visually impaired. So I did do the machine, voted on the machine as a visually blind person utilizing the audio input.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: And you are satisfied it meets the requirements with regard to disability?

MR. MILLSTEIN: That is correct, I

25 am.

		44
2	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you.	
3	Mr. Millstein is kind enough to do this during	
4	a busy day. I don't usually do this, if	
5	anyone has any questions on the disability	
6	issue perhaps you may want to phrase them now.	
7	If not, David, thank you very much.	
8	MS. McCABE: If I may.	
9	Mr. Millstein, the comments that you just made	
10	about the usability of the machine applies to	
11	the iVotronic itself?	
12	MR. MILLSTEIN: That is correct.	,
13	MS. McCABE: And not the paper	
14	trail.	
15	MR. MILLSTEIN: I'm simply going by	
16	the iVotronic, the hardware and the software	
17	part of the machine.	
18	MS. McCABE: Thank you.	
19	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any other	
20	questions? Thank you again, David. Good to	
21	see you.	
22	MR. MILLSTEIN: Thank you.	
23	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Mitch, would you	
24	please be so kind to introduce your crew and	
25	give us your report. Mitch Darer from New	

Jersey Institute of Technology.

MR. DARER: Thank you. Good morning, thank you for this opportunity to discuss our project and report. I'm Mitchell, M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L Darer, D-A-R-E-R, executive director of NJIT Center for Informational Technology. I'll provide an overview, professor Ari Jain will provide the test, the sample sizes and balance scenarios and Nirwan Ansari will discuss the specific findings for this machine under examination today.

After the criteria was issued in May, the Attorney General's Office asked NJIT to develop and conduct testing to evaluate certain machines against the criteria. The requirements addressed design, procedures usability security, reliability and these formed the performance criteria used in our test. Some are qualitative and subjective such as "allow the voter to easily review his or her paper record" and others are quantitative matters of fact such as shall not externally communicate with any system. Each of almost 70 individual requirements in the

criteria was subjected of up to four different
types of tests. Twelve exceptions defined as
a situation where in testing against the
criteria did not completely or did not clearly
near the requirement expected results were
reported. The project team included senior
faculty and staff with extensive experience
and information technology cyber securities
and statistical analysis. The secure lab was
established to design and perform the testing
and to house all vendor items. The vendors
supplied machines, documentation and other
peripheral equipment such as barcode reader
and audio components, vendor staff was very
level in explaining machine operations and
procedures and answering technical questions
although they were not present at or involved
in the actual testing, nor did the attorney
general's office influence or participate in
the testing. The team spent thousands of
hours over two months on this project
scientifically and objectively evaluating and
documenting the machines performance against
the criteria. We also documented the

configurations and versions of the NJIT tested machines versus the ITA tested machines. To support the testing sample ballot scenarios were developed, four different test procedures as I mentioned were designed and used to evaluate different elements of the performed criteria and for different reasons. The four tests on the single test and three volume tests, the 1200 vote test, the 14 hour test and the 52 vote test.

Now I would like to introduce

Professor Ari Jain to discuss the test balance scenarios and tallies.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you, Mr. Darer. Welcome back Dr. Jain, good to have you here.

MR. JAIN: Good morning and thank
you. I'm professor Ari Jain, a senior
University lecturer in NJIT division of
mathematical sciences. As Mitch mentioned
I'll describe the tests, the sample sizes, the
ballots, the scenarios and four types of
tallies.

We developed four test procedures;

the single test, the 1200 vote test, the 14
hour test and the 52 vote test. The single
test is a one time examination, inspection or
review of equipment, operations and random
documentation. It includes a physical
inspection of various components of the DRE
and the VVPR voting system as well as an
examination and comparison of paper records,
electronic records and barcodes. There are
three volume tests a 1200 vote test, a 14 hour
test and a 52 vote test. We use two ballots a
long ballot with 19 items and a short ballot
with nine items. Both of these ballots are
displayed in the ESS machines here, Kevin gave
his demonstration by using the long ballot.
We used 12 voting scenarios for each ballot.
Eight scenarios are completely balanced with
respect to the two parties for various
positions and the yes no votes for several
questions. These 12 scenarios are
representative of over a million possible
scenarios that you can have. In addition, we
had four scenarios that focused on testing,
writings and undervotes. The 14 hour emulates

actual physical voting situations over a total
time period of 14 hours representing entire
election day in any of our counties. Mark
voters were recruited to cast various
scenarios, this test resulted in about 300
votes for the ESS machine. Immediately
afterwards we asked these marked voters to
answer some questions about their experience
with the reviewing, changing and accepting
their votes. The 1200 votes simulated test
generates votes continuously based on a short
ballot. The ballot that is on the other
machine in a scripted program which is set up
electronically. However, since the ESS
machine did not have the script capability, we
ran this test by doing a manual test. A
number of votes, the 1200 votes is chosen to
exceed the guideline limit of one machine for
750 registered voters resulting in paper
records, electronic records, closed poll
reports and the barcodes we examined and
compared them. The 52 vote test is designed
to check the multiple page ballot which is not
applicable to the ESS machine because it has a

roll of paper. We obtained four sets of
tallies derived from the counts of paper
records, scanned paper records, closed poll
reports and the electronic records. In case
of the 14 hour test and the 1200 vote test, a
few paper ballots were not printed because of
paper jams. Therefore, we could not compare
all four counts, all four tallies. We were
able to compare the paper records with the
scanned paper records and they match
perfectly. Similarly, we were able to tally
the closed poll reports with the electronic
records and they matched perfectly. Thank
you.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you. Can I ask you one question. The procedures that you used with this machine ES&S were the procedures the same as procedures used just last July to with the Cusakoia and the Deboney machines?

MR. JAIN: Yes, they were identical machines.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you.

Dr. Ansari, I think you're up next.

MR. ANSARI: Good morning and thank
you. I am Nirwan, N-I-R-W-A-N, Ansari,
A-N-S-A-R-I. I am a professor of electrical
and computer engineering at NJIT. And since
the ES&S an electronic and retail machine that
is quite different from the previous machines
we have tested. So let me first describe the
characteristics of these machine. Actually
Kevin described it pretty nicely. So this
uses a design we refer to as real time audit
log system. In which each voter selection is
printed as the voter makes each selection on
the DRE routed and after the voter makes all
his selections in all contacts on the DRE each
transaction selected, deselected or changed is
printed immediately after the choice is made.
It was demonstrated I think by Kevin earlier
so undervotes are not indicated at this point
on the paper record. A change or deselection
of any prior choice can be made at any time
before the vote button as you can see on the
machine is pressed. So the voter has
unlimited opportunities to change a vote,
selection and review the printout one by one

of an individual selection before the vote
button is pressed. However, the ballot with
all the contact selections including
undervotes is printed only after the vote
button is pressed. So at that point the voter
does not have an opportunity to reject the
final print up of the ballot. So, according
to the criteria and the voter verified paper
record called the VVPR or the paper record is
defined in section one as follows. It is
physical piece of paper on which the voter's
ballot choices are recorded cast and preserved
for later use in any recount manual audit. So
for testing purposes this definition is
integrated as referring to the entirety of the
print out of the paper vote on the voting
session of a voter. The cast ballot point on
the paper route which cannot be rejected is
referred to as the vote summary. This vote
summary is electronically saved in the text
format referred to as the voter image which is
essentially referred to in the criteria the
New Jersey criteria as the electronic record
or the electronic voter ballot and official

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ballot of the voter. So, having integrated had the paper record, let me briefly go over the 12 exceptions in which the machine fails to comply with the test criteria and each exception refers to every criteria cited in the report. So briefly for exception once it's related to the facilitation of reviewing accepting and rejecting paper records. So for this exception one there's only one paper record printed per voter. The vote does not have opportunities to recast the ballot up to two additional times as required by the criteria. And the voter has unlimited opportunities to review each individual line as it is printed immediately after each selection, deselection or change. However, the undervotes are not printed in that line by line printing following individual selection, deselection or changes and therefore the voter cannot see or review the undervotes at that time on the print out. The voter can then completely review the completed ballot on the screen on the DRE screen. If acceptable, press the vote button on top of the DRE screen

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that cause the ballot to be cast then the vote summary showing the entire ballots, entire ballot cast is then printed on the paper record but it's not reviewable by the voter since it rapidly advances to the print out spindle. Even if the voter were able to read the vote summary in that short period of time there is no mechanism for the voter to reject the voter record at that time and recast the ballot. Exception number two is essentially related to the secrecy of all votes cast. you can see from the machine there are two side panels, but by themselves they do not provide privacy. An observer may be able to read the screen or by standing behind or next to the voter. Exception number three is related to voter privacy. Once the voter presses the vote button to cast the ballot, the printer prints out the vote summary with the exact date and time of the voting session on the paper record. So if hypothetically if this time stamped information is compared to the poll log which records the time when the voter checks in, it is possible that the

record could be matched to the voter therefore
compromising the voter privacy. Exception
four is related to the provision of 750 voters
per machine. The paper replacement is
expected for an election with more than 120
votes. In our experience it ranges from 117
votes to 135 votes in all the tests that we
did. Each selection, deselection or change
generates one or two lines of prints plus
blank space approximately four lines and also
there are about four by nine inch blank space
to run for the privacy purpose. Exception
four is related to security of various
components of VVPRS. The roll of printer
paper is accessible upon unlocking the printer
cover but at that time the paper records
accessible. The cable connecting the VVPRS to
the DRE is exposed and can be easily
disconnected from the printer port on top of
the DRE. Exception four is related
MR. WOODBRIDGE: May I interrupt you
one moment. The cable on the top, is that a
power cable or is that a printer cable?
MR ANGART. That is a printer

cable.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: There are two cables, okay, I got you.

MR. ANSARI: Exception number six is related to this low paper indicator. So if the amount of paper reaches the amount of limited limit during the voter session the DRE does not give the voter opportunity to finish the voting and the DRE automatically voids that vote; that is the system cancels the selections and lock the system and the voter has to restart the voter session. And one of the criteria require that you need to allow the voter to finish the vote in the middle of the voting. Exception number four, number seven concerns about the font size. We tried and we demonstrate the VPRs cannot show the font rate 6.3 to 9 millimeter. The maximum size we tried that we can see using the magnification device supplied by the vender is in the range to three to five millimeter range. Exception eight pertains to the linkage between the electronic image records and paper records. While the documents

doesn't provide us the procedure how to
reconcile the electronic ballot records with
the paper record, however we find out that the
electronic ballot image records are saved in
ascending order of the election identification
number. This is a memory address pointer
referred by the vendor that the EIN is printed
on the paper record and contained on the back
of the record, therefore the EIN is the
linkage of the electronic ballot image record
to the corresponding paper record. However,
matching it the to the corresponding paper
records is quite difficult if you have a large
volume of votes and we can elaborate on that
later on and it is possible only if no paper
records are lost. If there is a paper record
is lost there could be a mismatch. Exception
number nine is related to printer malfunction
such as paper jams, so neither the DRE nor the
VVPRS can detect a paper jam. When the paper
jam occurs, the voter can still make or change
selection on the DRE and cast the ballot as
normal. However, the printer keeps printing
on the same area on the paper roll making it

illegible. No audio or visual warning system
is given to either the voter or the poll
official when there is a paper jam. The vote
is electronically recorded and counted. The
paper jams with respect observed in both
single test and two voting test. One paper
jam during the 14 hour test even resulted in
paper torn apart in which selections are
backwards or not printed. Exception number
ten concerns the cable disconnection. If the
printer cable is connected after the voter
presses the vote button, the ballot is
electronically recorded and counted in the
closing poll report. Because the cable is
disconnected so there's no printing. No back
up is printed on the and the cancellation is
indicated on the DRE screen and even lock
report. Exception eleven and 12 are some
miscellaneous exceptions not really associated
with any of the particular criteria. These
are just our observations. Exception 11
stated that a person who possesses a
supervisor PEB can activate a ballot in a few
seconds without any cross-checking with the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

poll log so using a supervisor PEB any person can cast as many ballots as he or she wants. Exception 12 I think is related to the operations. I think during the volunteers after approximately 250 votes has been casted the DRE produces a warning message. When the same supervisor PEB was once again instructed to activate the subsequent ballots. warning message continued for subsequent votes. While this warning does not preclude the voter from voting, the even lock shows a warning message that was not understandable. We can elaborate on that a little more. That's all I have to report at this point. Thank you very much. Very, very good detailed report. For the record I should indicate that this report was furnished to the vendor. vendor has supplied to the committee its response to these issues that were raised by NJIT and the easiest way to address, to deal with it is address each of these concerns or exceptions raised by NJIT raised to a specific portion of the statute, we walk through the statute that's the best opportunity for the

vendor to respond and people to elaborate as case may be.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just a matter of housekeeping, in addition to that response from the vendor to the NJIT report, the committee was furnished with a comprehensive collection of documents that were included in a seven, or summarized in a seven page fax to me from the Attorney General's Office. I'm going to Karen Dumars if she wouldn't perhaps be kind enough to provide an extra copy to the court reporter of this document. I'd give her mine, but my is too well annotated and too well covered to give an official record so that pretty much summarizes it. We have two large boxes of materials that have changed the characteristic of my SUV. I'm not saying they weren't interesting material. As a matter of fact I would probably go on to say this is one of the most comprehensive thoroughly documented hearings that we've had the pleasure of presiding over. So if that could go in the record and you get that from Karen.

I've also asked Mitch if he would

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kindly give us a list of the people who are attending so that we could put that in the record too. With no further ado we're going to try to take a break around 12 for a one hour lunch period and then come back and finish our job. Since we are moving along pretty smoothly, I'm to go to start with the going through the statute, not the statute, but the criteria. What we do is we literally take each section, each relevant section of the criteria and read it out loud and then where necessary ask for responses from the The statute we're reading from is keyed into NJIT exception, so we could deal with the exceptions and go through the criteria. So the committee is ready, we'll do that for the next few minutes and then around 12:00 o'clock we will take an one hour break for lunch.

There are portions of the criteria that really aren't relevant to the machine, I will point those out as we go along. So, if the committee -- and what we're working from by the way is the material from last July it's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

entitled, State of New Jersey Criteria for Voter-Verified Paper Record for Direct Recording Electronic Voting Machines and it's I guess about 13 pages long. The first page is definitions and beginning at the top of the second page is more definitions and then the part that we start in the substantive elements related to the machines in question begin on page two, Section B operation. So we're going to begin with that. And the operation -- and I'll provide a copy to you, Kim of what I'm reading so you can duplicate the corrections on it. And what is also convenient for us is NJIT's report keys in not only to the sections by a number of the text, so you can follow along exactly what we're dealing with.

B. Operation. Paragraph number one. The VVPRS are designed in various configurations. In all configurations, prior to casting the ballot, the voter shall have the ability to verify his or her selections on a paper record and in a private and independent manner. This was noted as one of the exceptions, exception number two in the

NJIT report and the comment there and exception number two comes up in three other locations, the relevant comment there is quote, "Two side panels exist, but by themselves they do not provide privacy. An observer may be able to read the screen or Paper Record Display Unit by standing behind or next to the voter." And I ask the vendor if they have any response to that.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: This is Kevin
Kerrigan. As we noted in our responses and in
our briefs that I provided earlier, we do have
the doors of the booth itself which provide
protection from the side, and there are some
administrative procedures in polling places
set up that would ensure voter privacy as well
as the standard practice which prevents
loitering around the voting station whether
that's paper or DRE solution being
implemented. So the combination of the booth
set up itself which would protect a voter from
the site as well as how you set up the section
itself. I believe I mentioned it would be
rotated 180 degrees the way we have it set up

here today, so the voter's back is to the wall preventing anybody who come from behind. As far as the voters using an audio ballot, when the audio ballot is enabled, the screen does go blank as well Wesley mentioned there will be local procedures of how to protect the privacy of the real time audit log when an audio ballot is being used.

MR. PEARSON: This is Steve Pearson. One thing we did not demonstrate is the flexibility of the wings. They are intended and by design to provide privacy. They pull in right up to surround the voter if they choose to. So when you're standing in front of the terminal, you bring the wings in tight and it's also if the systems are set up in a manner that they are protected so people aren't walking or loitering and there is traffic behind them, we believe it provides sufficient privacy.

MR. WESLEY: Steve, could I also add this has been an issue that has been kind of ongoing and we've been doing some additional research to try to find ways in spite of the

things they said we recommend you do, some folks still are looking for additional security. So this is actually a device if anyone wants to try this on the touch screen we're doing the research on that will polarize and only allow you to look in one direction if you get a voter that is concerned about privacy or someone loitering around the area you can lay this on the screen and if you want to try it, you're more than welcome. It will only allow you to view what's on the ballot if you're directly standing over it so that is an option.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I'm going to ask
Marge McCabe, what's your experience with
this?

MS. McCABE: I have a few issues that pertain primarily to handicap voters. The way that our machines are now designed the booths that they sit in, the machines themselves the iVotronic as you can see are about the size and weight of a laptop computer. If a handicapped voter comes in in a wheelchair or a voter is unable to lift his

or her arms to reach the screen, that unit can
be unplugged and brought to a table and the
voter can sit at the table with a privacy
screen and can vote. There are no issues of
anyone seeing how they voted at this point. I
have a major concern about visually impaired
voters and their privacy being compromised
with any kind of printer not just in one, but
any of the VVPATS that are proposed. I really
think that this for a security reason so, and
one other big issue if a paper jams and a
voter has to call a poll worker over for
assistance, the voter's choices are readily
viewable on the paper not just were this but
with any paper trail that compromises their
privacy as well.
MR. WOODBRIDGE: That's not peculiar
to this machine.
MS. McCABE: That's all of them.
MR. WOODBRIDGE: Is it possible to
add curtains if anyone wanted to?
MR. PEARSON: Yes.

up and help as a poll worker would, those

MS. KLUSKA: If someone were to walk

voter selections would be visible.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: It's an error that occurs. The screen would not be showing, they would be prompting something with regard to the error whether there's a printing malfunction or whatever the case may be, the voter privacy wouldn't be prohibited by it or compromised by the terminal but she is correct with our real time audit log you're going to have that line of any selection made the ballot is an impasse, somebody viewing those selections, those current selections have no way of knowing whether it is the final intent.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Even with the mechanical sheet someone needs some assistance with the CLC.

MS. McCABE: That's what's stated if there is a mechanical problem the machine goes blank.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: If somebody had a problem with the old fashion machine, they came and they would be able to see.

MS. McCABE: We don't deal with those. That's why we went to this.

		00
2	MR. KERRIGANIGAN: Another	
3	distinction with her current system they are	
4	able to remove the unit and you can have	
5	what's referred to as curbside voting or in	
6	the lab voting with this unit and with it	
7	requiring a decast solution that is no longer	
8	possible with this solution because as we	
9	mentioned if you disconnect the printer the	
10	machine shuts down for security purposes. We	3
11	don't allow any voting to occur without an	
12	audit trail, a paper audit trial being	15
13	produced. So by this legislation being	
14	adopted you've eliminated the possibility of	
15	disconnecting the unit from the printer.	
16	MR. GREENHALGH: Gary Greenhalgh	
17	ES&S. In the approximate six elections that	
18	you've run in the iVotronic, have there been	
19	any real concern with the privacy of the	
20	iVotronic?	
21	MS. McCABE: Never.	
22	MR. GREENHALGH: Good.	
23	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any other	
24	questions, thank you. Do you have any	
25	comments or opinions, okay. B. 2. The VVPRS	

shall be designed to allow the voter to easily		
review, accept, or reject his or her paper		
record. Section a. The DRE shall not record		
the electronic record until the paper record		
has been approved by the voter. This was		
noted both of these were noted under section		
number one on the NJIT report. Comment for		
the record, Only one paper record (vote		
summary) is printed per voter. The voter does		
not have the opportunities to recast ballot up		
to two additional times as required by the		
Criteria. The voter has unlimited		
opportunities to review each individual line		
as it is printed immediately after each		
selection, deselection of change. However,		
undervotes are not printed in that		
line-by-line printing following the individual		
selections, deselections or changes, and		
therefore the voter cannot see or review		
undervotes at that point on the printout. And		
last part, the voter can then completely		
review the completed ballot on the screen and,		
if acceptable, press the "VOTE" button on top		
of the DRE screen, causing the ballot to be		

cast. The vote summary showing the entire ballot cast is then printed on the paper record, but is not reviewable by the voter, since it rapidly advances to the "take-up" spindle. Even if the voter were able to read the voter summary in that short period of time, there's no mechanism for the voter to reject the paper record and to recast the ballot.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: I think at this point it would be appropriate to make a note or an issue I have with the formality of this test report and I noted this in my exceptions and I'm not trying to be difficult or anything, but it's kind of specific here. I did note an exception, there are eight criteria references and we have three bullet points speaking to them and I would argue and I believe everybody would agree that not all of these listed in the exceptions these criteria points do they truly have exceptions with our system and I'm not sure as to why all eight are listed when it seems the three bullet points that are noting what the

exception is seems specific to three

particular criteria points. So I'm just

worried about the interpretation if someone

wants to look at this report and they see

eight criteria references and if they add up

and the exceptions it would maybe exaggerate

the number of criteria that they have

exception to. But what I can do is respond ---

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Comments.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: What I can do is respond to each of the bullets in the first one which is in reference to the RTAL accepting or rejecting the ballots. You've actually explained this the first time, with this type of system there's no need for the recast the ballot. You have unlimited opportunities to change or make selections or deselections. I guess I can just read verbatim what I've previously submitted that the voter does have the opportunity to review, accept or reject each of their selections throughout the entire voting session. Once a voter has made all their selections, they do enter into a review screen that is noted on

the printing log as well and they are then			
also in that session able to make a number of			
selections and deselections and all random			
choices they have made and all these actions			
are noted on the real time audit log. There			
is a vote summary at the end which is used for			
auditing purposes, not necessarily for voting			
review. We feel that with the way we design			
our system being a real time audit log we have			
a designed which provides verification to the			
voter, provides immediate feedback with			
immediate action. They are given an			
opportunity at the end, well actually not an			
opportunity, its a requirement, our system			
requires them to review all their selections			
at end before we allow them to cast the			
ballot.			

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  WOODBRIDGE: The selection goes on the paper or selection goes on the screen.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: Both.

Verification of any action and the action is recorded on the paper trail.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: How much time do they have to review the summary.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: We do not have a time out. We do not limit the time to a voting session for a voter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So the voter gets to the end of the vote and is satisfied with the vote, how long do they have to read the summary that the paper trail represents.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: Our machine will not itself discontinue the voting session due to voter inactivity, but what we do have in place we do have a voter I believe it's preprogrammed for three minutes of voter inactivity the machine will begin to chirp audible enough for a voter to hear, the voter will notify that interaction is required by that machine. This could be the case if the machine is abandoned, so at that point the machine would be blank and it would be chirping. The poll worker would insert their PEB and that will take them to a vote cast or If they select cancel, they will be prompted why they are cancelling the ballot and the ballot would be cancelled and that will be noted to the real time audit log.

it would be up to legislation on how to handle abandoned ballots whether they are to be cast or cancelled. I'm actually not familiar with New Jersey law, with the procedures.

MR. FLEMMING: John Flemming. I think I can answer your question. The printer, you are not able to review at all I think that's what he is asking, the actual summary of the print out, how long does the voter have to review that, the answer to that would be none because it's just scrolling, right?

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: The vote screen they only have the time for it to go by. It's not for voter registration purposes.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You press a red button and it scrolls up and disappears.

MR. PEARSON: The opportunity to review your ballot before it is cast is on the summary screen as well as any selection or action you've taken on the real time basis with the printer itself.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Could you adjust, for the record, could you adjust the well

behind the data summary system to look at that.

MR. PEARSON: The system was designed to emulate someone voting with paper or any other device. There is no time limitation. Everybody votes at different speeds and different capabilities with that, so we did not want to put on any restrictions to restrict any type of voter. This would be using the system just like you would with a paper system.

MR. MAHONEY: If the attorney general says that the voter has to be able to see the votes in its entirety before it cast any votes, would it be possible for you guys to alter your machines to do that and if it is possible, how long would it take to do that?

MR. PEARSON: If I could rephrase your question. What you're asking, we do provide that capability on the summary screen and on the screen itself. What you're asking for us to do then would be to print the summary and allow them the opportunity to review the printed summary as well as what's

on the visual summary.

MR. MAHONEY: And then cast their vote if they are satisfied.

MR. PEARSON: And then cast their vote. That is a feature that could be implemented. It would require modification of the system if that were the case.

MR. GREENHALGH: Gary Greenhalgh of ES&S. The real problem we have there is the length of the ballot because if the ballot is beyond the size of that screen, you won't be able to see the entire ballot beyond it. It's all the function of the ballot that the voter is voting on. Some of the states, I know West Virginia has a very, very long ballot. There's no way you could get the summary on any printer because the thing would be about eight feet long. That's the problem.

MS. McCABE: Marge McCabe, Sussex
County. I think the problem we have is not so
much with the machine as the legislation. At
least 18 of our counties have full faced
screens without a summary opportunity on the
DRE itself and the legislation I believe was

voter I'm specific to one and certainly
apologies if anything we've written isn't
exactly clear. First comment applies to all
the exceptions, every criteria section,
subsection cited has been not met by the
bullets below. It may not be a one-to-one
match in this case there are eight criteria
sections that have not been met by three of
the observations and findings that we have
below and here is why we feel why. There are
criteria in all these different places refers
to the voter has to have the opportunity to
review the paper record and to say, yup, it
reflects my vote should it or it doesn't, let
me try again. The paper record in the
criteria is defined as the piece of paper
where the choices are recorded cast and
preserved, so that's the summary. It's not
the ins and out or chicken scratches or the
pluses or minus that came before it and that
under that definition and our interpretation
of it the voter having to have the opportunity
to review the paper record, none of these
criteria sections are met and even if the

deselection or change generates one line or

25

two lines of print, plus a blank space equal to a few lines in there and then there is the summary and we saw three barcodes come out. I don't know what that comes to.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Perhaps we will do the math afterwards. This is a 300-foot roll, you have 120 votes.

MR. DARER: 3600 inches.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: The distinction made here while on trying to determine that number which is discussed, one you can't determine voter activity. It's difficult to determine that. We don't have a standard receipt, it's a real time audit log. The election sites can vary per election obviously and that is going to alter your number when trying to come up with the magic number per voter as well as our programmable window versus a nine inch window.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You have a 300-foot roll and help me out, you have 120 votes average so you're talking over two feet of paper per voter.

MR. DARER: I would agree with you,

but in theory and in our testing but it really depends on the size of the ballot, if the voter gets in and out, if there's lots of changes, but it seems the impression one can discuss what the number is but the impression is it takes a lot of paper.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Fair enough.

MR. PEARSON: This is Steve Pearson and I do want to address the criteria of Title 3.0.9 which is III B. 2 where it states, If the paper record cannot be viewed entirely in the Display Unit at one time, referring to the paper record display unit, the voter shall have the opportunity to verify the entire paper record prior to the electronic or paper ballot being stored and recorded. NJIT has cited this as a non compliant issue. interpret that as we are absolutely compliant to that. Their interpretation, you have to provide a summary and view the entire summary but the language does not state that you have to provide a summary. It states that if the paper record cannot be viewed entirely and Display Unit in this case with this device at

one time the voter shall have the opportunity
to verify the entire paper record prior to the
electronic or paper ballot being stored and
recorded.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Absolutely. You're ahead of where we are. We're actually going through the statute rather than the NJIT comments. We will get to that.

MR. PEARSON: That is kind of a rebuttal. It lapses.

MR. DARER: I have some additional information. Let's continue with this. The first ballot, the printed ballot on this roll is the short ballot with no changes or deselections and it's 27 inches and it is something to be looked at. The last point I don't think got mentioned in the discussion of the exception one was the fact about undervotes. I don't think undervotes got mentioned here.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  KERRIGANIGAN: That was the next bullet point.

MR. DARER: We're not completely done with one.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: We have two more bullet points to deal with in regard to this. It's a little bit complicated the way the we will read the statute and each part of the criteria and so these things may come up again and your comments vis-a-vis the III B. 2. will come up again at that stage. You want to continue with your comments?

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: In my bullet point two I believe is a later criteria.

We're not at the undervote section of the criteria if I'm correct, are we on B.2.A.

THE COURT: We're on B.2 and B.2.A.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: Which this does not mention the undervotes, so I believe we will address that later.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Okay. And also there's an issue on the third bullet too. You want to take that up, discuss that? We talked a little bit about the ability or inability perhaps to read the summary.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: It's kind of back to what Steve was just mentioning. We feel we're compliant to the language on the ability

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for voter to review because we provide that immediate verification as opposed to a design and some of the legislation may seem a little bit like it's worded toward the design of the printers which is in reference to it being viewed at the end which is allowed in the verbiage of the criteria contract for reel to reel. It doesn't work like that it's real time verification of any selection. So, in other words with the verbiage as written they must be able to view everything before casting. We are compliant because they have been showing everything prior to being casted plus multiple opportunity to change any selections and again be provided paper verification of that change. MR. WOODBRIDGE: One point of clarification. Do I understand correctly that there are nine states that use this paper trail system; is that correct?

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: Yes.

MR. PEARSON: We're certified in nine states with real time audit log system.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You say eight of

them	had	previously	used	
------	-----	------------	------	--

MR. PEARSON: That's conjecture. I believe there's eight. I don't recall. I have to go back and see. Some of the states have been implemented and used in election of those nine.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: This is the first state level. It's certainly in federal testing but this version of it is not implemented anywhere.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: It's just about, actually a little bit after twelve. Why don't we take a break for an hour, reconvene here let's make it five minutes after one and take a break for lunch. Then we'll start up from where we left off. We'll start up with section B3.

MS. McCABE: If I may, we have a hand out that we would like to give everyone that just reviews our testing and validation.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You can do that now or if you wanted to give it out, you could place it on the back table.

(At which time a luncheon recess was

		- 1	
		86	Color William
2	taken.)		16 20 . Live MA.
3	(Time noted: 12:05 p.m.)		N. W. W. S.
4	<b>-</b>		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
5	AFTERNOON SESSION		Part of the last
6	(Time noted: 1:12 p.m.)		Carried Section
7	MR. WOODBRIDGE: We're going to go		Com distance.
8	back on the record. We have a special request		- W. C. S. W.
9	from an individual who wants to make a		William Comment
10	comment. When she comes back, we'll take her.		The second second
11	Why don't we start where we had left off and I		14. 1 A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
12	believe, John, you had some comments on		The State of the second
13	section 2A before we get to section three.		A SAME SAME
14	MR. FLEMMING: I just want to make		The state of the s
15	sure I understand your position with 2 and 2A.		The state of the state of
16	As it states that the VVPRS is designed to		Charles and the Control of
17	easily review, reject his or her paper record		かける こく かんしんかんかつ
18	and I'm trying to understand where you see		The Control of the last of the
19	that the voter does that?		Charles and an experience
20	MR. PEARSON: Let me catch up.		となるないのできる
21	MR. WOODBRIDGE: We'll take you in a		the subsection 2 and a second
22	minute.	·	The second of the second
23	MR. PEARSON: Could you repeat your		To the street of
24	question.		12 1 10 Sec. 11
25	MR. FLEMMING: Go to Criteria Two.		The second second
			ρ

Based on what it states is the VVPRS shall be designed to allow the voter to easily review, accept or reject his or her paper record. And I'm trying to find out where you believe that that is accomplished in your implementation in this. I can understand how it is electronically, that makes all the sense. I'm not sure, I want to make sure I'm not missing something.

MR. PEARSON: There was specifically with the real time audit log system the voters provided to me.

MR. FLEMMING: For each vote never or ever it will accept or reject, you don't vote on, correct.

MR. PEARSON: Yes, they are. As they go through and when they get to the summary portion that is when they are given the opportunity to ensure first of all we ensure that they have seen all the races and that's where they would have opportunity to either choose to go back in and modify or elect to not vote.

MR. FLEMMING: Electronically I

		88
2	could see that you do a good job in that, but	
3	the way it's written I want to know, you could	
4	do that paper, think of it as two separate	
5	MR. PEARSON: Which specific	
6	criteria are you referring to. 2.09 is that	
7	the criteria sheet that we're working, through	
8	or.	
9	MR. WOODBRIDGE: I think	
10	Mr. Flemming is going back to section B.2.A.	
11	MR. PEARSON: 2.B.2.	
12	MR. FLEMMING: 2.B.2, yes. Actually	
13	I was reading two.	
14	MR. PEARSON: Are we working from	
15	this sheet?	
16	MR. FLEMMING: I'm working from the	
17	criteria sheet. My argument was on that, is	
18	there a provision in this statute and that's	
19	where I was kind of jumping ahead. You	
20	cautioned me to not jump ahead, that's where I	
21	read point 09 where it was 3B3 where it	
22	states, if the paper record cannot be viewed	
23	entirely in the Display Unit at one time	
24	MR. PEARSON: The voter shall have	
25	the opportunity to verify the entire paper	

record prior to the electronic or the paper ballot being stored or recorded. We comply with that because they have been given that opportunity to review the entire paper record up to that point to where they're ready they have had that opportunity at every juncture right before they push the vote button.

MR. FLEMMING: If they made a selection on anything they left out, they have not verified that they have left it out on paper.

MR. PEARSON: The system notifies you of undervotes, that's the undervote protection. Anything you have not voted on it shows you that. It tells you that.

MR. FLEMMING: Electronically, not on paper.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: The lack of any selection in that contest indicates a number of voters, that's for the real time portion. Then of course there's a vote portion for auditing services.

MR. FLEMMING: I understand that's where you would see that, but the voter would

not see that.

MR. PEARSON: So you're asking that a system tell the voter, tell the voter every opportunity they didn't select.

MR. FLEMMING: On paper not as opposed to in addition to.

MR. PEARSON: So every undervote situation scenario you're asking for on paper that that system could tell the voter.

MR. FLEMMING: The way I interpret this piece of it is that your summary as it is printed out is what the voter needs to be able to verify before the vote gets cast.

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

MR. FLEMMING: The one that slides through, the voter cannot see needs to be able to see and not cast until they could see that and have a chance to change it.

MR. PEARSON: That's what I was pointing to the criteria 3.09 it allows for a system that don't display the entire.

MR. FLEMMING: So they would have a multi-page in other words if it's three pages long, would you come up the first nine inches

to be able to verify your votes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PEARSON: But it doesn't say that in language that it's summary. It says they have the opportunity to review prior to casting the electronic vote. That's what that says. It doesn't assume that it's a summary.

MR. KERRIGANIGAN: I suppose if we're speaking correctly to B2 in question here. First of all, it is qualified by the subset A which does say, the DRE shall not record the electronic record until the paper record has been approved by the voter. Our approval process is a constant process because it's a real time verification. So that's, I believe we are not in argument on the ability to review our system or accept. I think your direct controversy here is how could you deny that record and our opportunity for the voter to deny before casting their vote is that they are given paper verification of any actually made and if they don't like what they saw verified on the paper, they could make an alteration on the touch. So that's the exception when reviewing and rejecting the

2	statement.
3	MR. FLEMMING: My concern is that it
4	is not a full set of their votes.
5	MR. KERRIGANIGAN: That would be a
6	receipt.
7	MR. FLEMMING: I'm just thinking as
8	it is written trying to fit it into where you
9	have it.
LO	MR. ANSARI: Which we fully meet the
11	criteria what we're speaking specifically to
12	right now even subset A qualifying that voter
13	should either accept or reject, they have an
14	opportunity to do all of those things.
15	MR. FLEMMING: You're missing the
16	last two words accept and reject.
17	MR. KERRIGAN: They disagree.
18	MR. FLEMMING: But you're basing it
19	on the electronic side of it.
20	MR. KERRIGAN: On the paper record.
21	If I select Randall as one of the candidates
22	for president, I see it on paper record. If I
23	don't want that, I wish to reject that option
24	on the paper, I deselect it. When you saw I

changed selections it will show a negation of

25

Randall's selection as well as the new selection that I've made because it's a real time auditaudit log, we track every action. There's an auditaudit trail of every action made.

MR. FLEMMING: How does a voter surely by paper, not electronic, notify that they did not vote for either a question or a contest.

MR. KERRIGAN: Undervote in my eye would be defined as lack of action and our printer is recording action. If there's no action recorded on a contest, you have undervote. An undervote technically can't be committed until you cast a ballot because until that point it's a contest that hasn't been interacted with. We would agree an undervote cannot be committed until a vote is cast. It's equivocal you can't alter your choices. So, I personally have trouble with the wording of being able to verify your undervotes and make an alteration after that because an undervote cannot be committed until it is actually cast and at that point it can

be altered, but I still stick to the point we monitor all actions. An undervote could be recognized on a paper trail by a lack of action recorded in that contest.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: If I could make a point. Some of the things we're talking about here and particularly seeing a paper record and how many times it comes up, comes up more particularly later on in criteria and these are sort of more general comments. So you might want to move along to get to those specific issues. Undervotes always come up as being specific too, just on the thought that would be more appropriate trying to deal with what you're dealing with, I think. normally don't do this. We do have a special request from an individual due to other obligations only have a short period of time and promises is going to be a five minute presentation. So I'm going to ask Stephanie Harris to make your presentation.

MS. HARRIS: Thank you and thank you for making this exception for me. We only found out about this hearing yesterday, so I

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

was unable to rearrange my schedule so thank you. While we are pleased by the more thorough certification process for the printers, we at the Coalition for Peace Action continue to protest attaching a certified printer to an electronic voting machine which has not been certified to 2005 standards. further object to privatizing the vote by the not having an open source code. We do not understand why this committee has not been empowered to review other technologies such as presynced based optical scan simultaneously to the certification of the DRE printers. Having tried the ES&S DRE and printer, I would concur that the real time audit log as configured here does not truly meet the requirements of being a VVPAT. The voter must be able to see a printed summary of the ballot decisions for more than ten seconds and have an opportunity to change the paper ballot which will ultimately become the paper ballot of record. Furthermore, because of voting

Furthermore, because of voting privacy issues, the reel-to-reel printer is not acceptable in New Jersey. Moreover, the

paper roll is very short just allowing 70 votes and would have to be continuously changed during the course of election day. It does not only require enough poll workers to perform this task, but also raises the question of the added security steps necessary in the chain of custody. The universal key to open the printer is another source of insecurity. Finally, the lack of notification of a paper jam is unacceptable. If voters are inattentive and a paper jam occurs, their votes would become illegible and would be lost in a recount or audit.

In conclusion, we have not yet seen any VVPAT technology presented to this committee which has been acceptable. And we request that alternative technologies be sought out for certification in the State of New Jersey in order to give counties a real choice of how to meet the mandate of the law and provide a VVPAT by 2008. And once again thank you so much for hearing my words.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you
Stephanie. We appreciate your input. Just

number of states require the source code to be escrowed in an escrow account approved of by the state.

2.2

2.4

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Okay. Slow down a little bit. We need to pick up the pace a little bit. We're onto Section B3A. This is not a count, but section B3B says, Continuous Spool. Method: The voter views the paper record on a spool-to-spool paper roll. This method shall be used in a manner that fully protects the secrecy of all votes cast. This is also subject to exception number two that we read before and the exception number five raised by NJIT. In regards to the criteria.

MR. KERRIGAN: This doesn't meet criteria which were cited in the exceptions that I don't think are applicable in the exceptions itself. For instance, this is simply stating the spool will be used we have continuous spools. The only qualification in this criteria is it has to be used in a manner that fully protects us of all modes and I think in the overview it showed the physical security around the system.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: With regard to Exception Number Five, the two bullet points reads, first one, the roll of printed paper records is accessible upon unlocking the printer cover. Second part, the cable connecting the VVPRS to the DRE is exposed and can be easily disconnected from the printer port on the top of DRE. I think this was one of the issues with regard to security. And would you care to address that, Mr. Kerrigan?

MR. KERRIGAN: Yes. One of the comments we made in the exception in our response to the exception as pointed out during the demo, they did mention that it is behind a lock and key mechanism. I do have a bit of trouble with the wording. That first bullet could have been worded, the roll of printed records is not acceptable without a lock and key. That change of verbiage kind of shows that someone cannot have access to the printer without the key which is to be maintained by the authorized personnel. I believe the first one is stating how we are compliant to the standard which is requiring

physical restriction to the system. We do have a lock and key mechanism and we do encourage the use of security tamper emphasis.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Now we may address, we're jumping ahead and maybe Mr. Darer may want to amplify things but I think one of the concerns may be you actually have poll workers physically touching the ballot results. We've seen in other vendors where for example, the rolls are protected in a cartridge where you don't see it change the cartridge. I see your head nodding in an up and down direction there I think that's probably the issues they may be addressing.

MR. KERRIGAN: Again there is a manual procedure. The most common reason you would even open up the printer if you did have to replace the reel, all the results are backwards facing onto the reel so they are not facing outward, they are facing inward on the reel so you are able to remove that used portion or that take up reel without ever viewing the results so that would be a manual procedure for them, for you to be able to

trust they are not then going to unravel the take up reel and begin looking at the results. I believe Wesley with the help of the Sussex County people that are here spoke a bit about the procedures without the system being implemented here it's not really a New Jersey example, but in west Virginia they would be taking that take up reel place it in an envelope for any sort of oddity that may be done on that reel. In other words I've spoken to the physical restriction of the system and there are manual procedures on securing that reel.

2.4

MS. McCabe: Marge McCabe from
Sussex County. I think those procedures need
to be dealt with on a local basis as far as
security issues on the poll. Anything that
happens with our voting machine is done by a
republican and democratic worker together. If
those roles have to be changed it would be
part of our criteria that two poll workers go
together and would put a tampered evidence
seal at the end of the roll before it is
stored away in a sealed packet.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I think you would want to try to keep the audience question until at the end if that's okay. Would you care to address the issue of printer cables at the top of the machine; one is a power cable, one is a printer cable.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KERRIGAN: Both of which can be secured down with the use of tamper evidence seals that would just enable you to be able to verify if anybody does tamper with your cords. If someone does break a seal and removes either one of those accessible cords, at that point there's no loss taken to the votes. The votes are secured behind a separate sliding door which a wire seal can be placed over. What the result of disconnecting the printer would lock down the machine. The machine when programmed for use with an RTAL printer does not operate when the printer if that communication line is broken the machine cease as a function. So somebody who would tamper with that cord would not have any vote casting ability, nor have access to the votes that are stored internally. It's like a power cord. I

mentioned during the demo it does run on battery power. It's able to transition seamlessly from AC to DC power. So if you lost power that doesn't tamper your voting session. There's no integrity to the voting session.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: How come they are on the back of the machine, not on the front.

MR. KERRIGAN: Design choice made. I can't speak to that.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Seems to be a lot cleaner if they weren't toward the audience like that, but.

MR. KERRIGAN: Also in the original booth configuration it wasn't necessarily ADA compliant but the machine was more of you look down at it and those cords would have been towards the back of an enclosed in booth that have walls on both sides and those cords would have been toward the back of that set up, so, this is kind of evolution of a new move to incorporate real time audit log so this design wasn't necessarily for this just the evolution that goes with the legislation.

MR. MAHONEY: If the power cord has been moved or loose, does it give a warning so they know this before the battery goes?

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KERRIGAN: That's one nice thing about our implementation of the system being a forward graph dated. We have constant polling official interaction with the machine and it is indicated on the machine at all times during that open screen machine whether it's on AC or on batteries and there also is a low battery indicator. If the battery does get low it's not recognized it's on battery if the machine determines there's not enough power to complete a voting session, it doesn't allow one to begin. There are warning messages leading up to that saying you're on low but we are going to allow you to vote. Then there's that one final critical one because it's at a risk of not being over finished.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Section B 4 and 5 together it's sort of the same exception. B4, No electronic paper record shall indicate the identity of the voter or be maintained in a way that allows a voter to be identified. B5.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

105

The electronic and paper records shall be created and stored in ways that preserve the privacy of the record. We have Exception Number Three in both cases here which reads, Once the voter presses the quote "VOTE" unquote button to cast the ballot, the printer prints out the vote summary with the exact date and time of the voting session on the paper record. If this timestamp information is compared to the Poll Log which records the time when the voter checks in, the paper ballot record could be matched to the specific voter, therefore compromising voter privacy. I see what this means is that when the voter comes in sequence A through Z or one through 200, that the audit trail keeps the record in that same sequence; is that a correct statement?

MR. KERRIGAN: I didn't completely follow your scenario there.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I'm just saying the issue that they are getting to in regard to Exception Number Three if I understand it correctly is that the audit, the paper audit

trail is kept at the exact same sequence as the voter that comes in. It's possible to reverse engineer if you have the Poll Log, you have the ballot what you can do and what you can't do.

MR. KERRIGAN: I believe that's what they are proposing but in reference to this exception, in the version that's going through federal testing the version we spoke to implement in the State of New Jersey the version of 9200 the time date stamp has been taken off the printer.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Okay.

MR. KERRIGAN: I did have one question I never got to address was whether it was legally allowed to record the time that the voter checks in at the registration. I never found out. I don't know if Sussex County could say whether that's a common practice to record the actual the time.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The problem is what happens and I can't speak for Sussex County but you come and you vote if you're the 30th voter, you have a piece of paper that has a

30. The person runs the machine that puts it on a spindle so they know where you are in sequence.

MR. PEARSON: That would be you have one device and also there was no interaction of the poll workers or voters used for multiple machines, theoretically you can run it randomly and not trace it back, but rather than belabor this issue we chose to go ahead and move that from the firm ware.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Taking the date stamp off was part of the problem. Part of the other issue was the broken sequence that shows up in a log that way. You have to have more than one machine, it helps.

MS. McCABE: We have between two and five.

THE COURT: We have two sets of machines depending on the district it could be two machine.

MR. PEARSON: I think that perceived problem is more pronounced in low turnouts. elections. In electronic voting, we have the same challenge as well as your traditional

paper optical scanning systems where you have low turn outs too. You have that same issue really with any voting system so you need to implement procedures that help protect the voter.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any other comments on those.

MR. DARER: No.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: B6. The VVPRS components shall conform to federal and state accessibility requirements. They have all seen and testified this morning with regard to that issue. Six A. These requirements shall include, but not be limited to, an audio component that shall accurately relay the information printed on the paper ballot to the voter. And we seen the demonstration of that too. Seven: The VVPRS device shall draw its power from the DRE or the same electrical circuit from which the DRE draws its power.

MR. KERRIGAN: They both are plugged into the same surge protection. It's viewable from the back. The way we have these set up right now or at least originally I don't know

if they stayed that way, you're able to daisy chain them and they are all technically able to run off surge protector. We can have up to 12 units being plugged into a line. They can all run on the same line.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: If that's the case, if the machines go down, does it go on the same battery.

MR. KERRIGAN: If you lose AC power they would all be run independently on a battery. This is not a network system use of a daisy chain. This is independent of the hardware itself. You're just literally plugging into each other's surge protector.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Eight, The voting machine shall provide a standard, publicly documented printer port, or the equivalent, using a standard communication protocol.

MR. KERRIGAN: And we have a serial port that is standard I believe NJIT noted the exact cord number RS32 that is our cord for the printer.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Nine is, The VVPRS shall mark the paper record precisely as

110 indicated by the voter on the DRE and produce 2 an accurate paper record and corresponding electronic record of all votes. 4 MR. KERRIGAN: Compliant. 5 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Ten, The DRE 6 7 electronic ballot image records shall include all votes cast by the voter, including 8 write-ins and undervotes. 9 10 A. Write in votes are votes cast by a voter for an individual not listed on the 11 ballot as a formal candidate. 12 B. Undervotes are elective 13 14 officials and/or public questions on the 15 ballot for which the voter has not cast a 16 vote. MR. KERRIGAN: All of our ballot 17 18 images are recorded to the auditaudit file which is on a flash. 19 20 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Just a question on 21 the voter image. What kind of image is it? 22 Is it a PDF which is it? 23 MR. KERRIGAN: It is not an image where it's a snapshot. It's simply 24 25 unformatted text laid out and it highlights

the selections. It just notes the selections made by the voter.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So it's an image in the sense it's a duplicate of the record of what's printed. John, I think you have some questions on undervotes. I don't know if you want to deal with that issue.

MR. FLEMMING: On ten, not yet.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Let's go to eleven. An electronic ballot image record shall have a corresponding paper record. The paper record shall be printed and the voter shall have the opportunity to verify the paper record in its totality prior to the final electronic record being recorded.

- B. The DRE electronic ballot image record shall correspond to the paper record in a manner that does not reveal the voter's identity.
- C. The paper record shall contain all voter selection information stored in the electronic ballot image record. And just to come back for a second, I think you just testified they are planning on moving the date

stamped on the record.

MR. PEARSON: It is removed.

MR. FLEMMING: Going to Eleven A basically what it's saying, the paper record in its totality prior to the final election recorded which would for me mean that it would be the whole selection on the paper before you actually hit the last portion to get the vote to the record.

MR. KERRIGAN: I think you're assuming it all has to occur at the same time. You're saying you have to be able to paper record it in its totality all at the same time. We do not take that interpretation, we do allow the voter to verify the entire record, the entire paper record when they get cast and their vote is cast they have viewed everything that is printed to the log, they have the opportunity to view.

MR. FLEMMING: On paper prior to getting the vote they are not given the opportunity to show them on paper that they have any votes that they have not voted on.

MR. PEARSON: This language does not

address undervotes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FLEMMING: Let's take the undervotes out of there. How is your paper showing the voter every candidate that they voted for and I want to take undervote word out of here having a non vote for a candidate. How does your paper show exactly because really if you think about it if a person votes or decides not to vote for a candidate or an election, that's the same as voting and that's your decision to either vote or not vote and what I'm trying to figure out is the whole thing here is voter verifiable paper trail which is the intention of the voter for every contest along the line so that they could see that prior to that last vote actually once you go on here it will actually ask you, you have three chances at that. So not only do we have the first one but we have that multiple time until you hit that last final vote and it's really to make sure this whole thing in my mind is to make sure the voter is comfortable that who they voted for electronically and electronically I whole heartedly understand

everything is shown to them, but this hearing is more on the paper side. How are we showing them all their intentions.

MR. PEARSON: I think this would be a good time to show you the iVotronic. Go ahead, Kevin. I would like to bring it back to the language and not make any other broader interpretation of what the language requirement states. I think it is an interpretation issue and obviously we believe we comply with this language in Eleven A.

MR. KERRIGAN: I would mention the real time audit log provides comfort that everything they are doing is being captured electronically that they have a paper verification of that and the usability studies that were conducted at the birth of this type of technology it was found that with the real time audit log we have a far less need to have to educate voters on adding another system or a receipt at the end, for instance, that would alter the use of DRE as it is today. For instance, for Sussex County if they implemented the RTAL printer, they would not

have to change anything. They are currently using that system, all it is now you have verification immediately on the side of the paper of everything that you've already been doing for years in that county. So there's no learning curve for the voter. There's no extra process and there's no casting or proceeding. They are providing a real time record of every action so that I would like to go onto the other issue, but we will address undervote when it comes up.

To this language we are compliant that we allow them to review everything printed on paper.

MR. PEARSON: In its totality prior to electronic record being recorded.

MR. KERRIGAN: And therefore to offer selections and see that on paper and verify it again.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I think John mentioned before this issue of how many ballots you get actually comes up later on. I think on page eight, so we're getting into the nitty-gritty a little more when we get at that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

116

stage. Any other comments on section eleven? If not, we're going to start getting into some heavier water. The design requirement for The printer shall be designed to have a sufficient amount of paper, ink, toner, ribbon or like supply for use in an election, taking into account an election district should have at least one voting machine per 750 registered voters. I stop there. relates to Section Number Four and that states as follows. Paper replacement is expected for an election with more than 120 votes. selection, deselection or change generates one or two lines of print plus blank space equal to approximately four lines. I should say this issue came up in July when we spoke to other vendors and the first question was, did your machine have to be able to accommodate 750 voters before you changed its paper and I think subject to committee's improving my memory on this, I think we ultimately decided it didn't state specifically that we had to go through 750 votes before you changed paper. That is one interpretation of it. And I think

maybe part of what they are getting at here and Mitch, feel free to jump in if you wanted, I think that's primarily what you were talking about in this particular exception simply what we have seen in a couple of those machines.

MR. DARER: Yes, but I would say 140 votes can go by pretty quickly. It does seem to me what the average machine, maybe it isn't 750 registered voters which may be on a high side, it still looks to me you are going to change that machine six times during a 12, 14 session voting period I would think.

MR. KERRIGAN: If I may, two comments on this. First I would just like to read our response to this exception where we do feel the NJIT assertion that the paper will last for 120 voters is subjective and misleading. We spoke about why that number can toggle, but the amount of paper used will vary on voter activity which includes number of voters, length of the ballot therefore the amount of voters per roll of paper varies from election to election and from voter to voter. As you've already mentioned, we do also feel

that the regulation do not state the paper roll must accommodate 750 votes, but in terms of how many voters can vote on a machine, I will disagree it's 120 and we have numerous options, several of which weren't employed for the testing that could significantly alter the paper receipt.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Can you get a larger roll than some of the vendors have.

MR. KERRIGAN: No because of the physical constraints of where that roll fits in. As of now we do employ the 350 foot roll but one other option is change it from a nine inch window to 4.5, another one percent before you go on so they know what that means so per 100 voters you are saving 4.5 inches of paper per voter, so that's a significant amount of saving right there if you choose to use that option.

MR. MAHONEY: Would that be a change in the software machine also.

MR. PEARSON: It's actually a programmer setting and that's done originally in the coding. You either have the nine inch

or four and a half inch window.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAHONEY: So the ability is already there that's in the system.

MR. KERRIGAN: Some other options that were available in this version and have been brought forward, you have the ability to turn on and off whether a summary is printed at the end of each session that isn't for the voter, so it could be the county's option to not have it and then they did have the audit, the paper trail they would have to go through and look at all the accepted and rejected options and figure out what the final vote was or scan the hardware so that vote we have tested that probably took up about 12 inches of what Mitch stated was 27 inches per voter. Also a portion of that 27 inches I believe, was part of an initial take up spool. It was at the end of the roll you were showing us so I would disagree with the 27 inch and almost have of it could probably be cut off by toggling some of the options so you can turn on and off the vote summary, you can turn on and off whether it does an entire election

summary at the end and you could also change it from a nine inch to 4.5 inch.

MR. MAHONEY: I notice when it printed out, you made a new selection there was a large space. Is it possible to bring the lines closer together?

MR. KERRIGAN: We have made significant reduction in between lines in this version. Previously there was probably ten lines of space in between each selection, with this we did reduce it down to what we feel is a good amount of space to clearly have each selection or deselection spaced out.

MR. FLEMMING: How much space for a person does it usually take for a voter to have their whole election changed and so forth, how much is that?

MR. KERRIGAN: That's back to all those different variables keyed into that.

MR. FLEMMING: If you're at nine inches of viewable space, going back to the other question. The totality, could it have all those changes in front of them in that nine inches.

MR. KERRIGAN: Depends on the size of the selection. If the election was small I suppose the quantitative way to answer that we should measure the number of lines that fit on a nine inch window and then you could figure out what maximum number of contests would be in an election that would fit a nine inch window of just making the selection of each contest. That would be the scientific way to answer that, I don't know.

MR. PEARSON: There is also an option not to print the barcodes after each session. That wouldn't be an option.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You have to make sure that the paper trail can recreate your whole election.

MR. PEARSON: And not all states is that required for each voter session to have that barcode.

MR. KERRIGAN: So when we first kicked this off, we were giving them a probability something I know we together with NJIT struggled with how should we test these machines given the amount of parameters

because it's implemented across the city and we have a lot of customer demands which parameters to enable or disable and the testing proceeded.

2.2

MR. WOODBRIDGE: National standards to deal with, is that what you're talking about, the national requirement.

MR. KERRIGAN: There could be some that affect the system, but there are some that are state mandated. We as a national vendor actually international, we have to come to a lot of different demands and state mandates have to determined how to turn on and off restrictions.

MR. PEARSON: I will say there was significant amount of discussion and investigation on this performed by this PPC in this development of the newest and latest standard not only released in the review, there was an effort to look at ways, better ways technology to look at this and there was nothing that really significantly came out of their evaluation. So the standard going forward they are not going to see a whole lot

		147
2	of changes as far as the printer technology	
3	other than the end review.	
4	MR. WOODBRIDGE: My recollection is,	
5	I don't want to digress, my recollection is	
6	the standards have been voluntary to date; is	
7	that correct? Is there going to be national	
8	standards?	
9	MR. PEARSON: They are all	
10	voluntary.	
11	MR. WOODBRIDGE: There's no one size	
12	fits all standards.	
13	MR. GREENHALGH: If the passed	
14	legislation requires mandating 30 states out.	
15	In other words, even though they are	
16	voluntary. They apply in West Virginia.	
17	That's the state that Jane deals with. You've	
18	got to conform with the standards as it is	
19	written up.	
20	MR. WOODBRIDGE: They have to meet	
21	federal standards.	ı
22	MR. GREENHALGH: Correct. West	
23	Virginia code says you've got to meet the	
24	federal standards. The most recent federal	
25	standards or they cannot be used in West	

Virginia. As written in the code they've made the voluntary standards mandatory.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: For purposes of edification of the next step, could you adopt the federal standards and in addition to that adopt additional standards above and beyond the federal standards; is that possible?

MR. GREENHALGH: Yes.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Going onto 3(A)2. If any addition or replacement of paper, ink, toner, ribbon or other like supply is required, it shall be don with minimal disruption to voting and without circumvention of the security features of the Printer and Storage Unit which protect cast ballots and the secrecy of the vote. This is also noted with the exception of the four and I think the part that was relevant there was to comment the roll paper record is accessible on unlocking the printer cover I think it's an issue. Do we have any comments on that? Okay.

Roman numeral III A 2. The VVPRS shall have a low-paper indicator that will

allow for the timely addition of paper so that each voter can fully verify, without disruption, all of his or her ballot selections. And there is an exception of number six noted by NJIT and that reads: If the amount of paper reaches the minimum limit during a voting session, the DRE does not give the voter the opportunity to finish voting and the DRE automatically voids the vote. That is, the system cancels the selections and locks the screen, and the voter has to restart the voting session.

MR. KERRIGAN: I would say that we are compliant because we do know if it's low paper, low paper or low power it is true that that voting session is canceled. The problem would need to be rectified replacing the paper or reestablishing AC power to the unit and then the voter would be able to conduct their voting session in its entirety and place all of its voting selections on the system. In a sense because of this hardware issue that session, due to the hardware issue no longer in progress, the voting session is suspended

and then a new voting session is activated for them.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The voting they've done to that point is basically voided and they revote again; is that correct?

MR. KERRIGAN: The intention of our paper trail is to have it with a reel-to-reel system. You want to have it concurrent, not pasted together, two separate pieces of paper concurrent and a trail made by the voter. The paper runs out, you got to start back over deal with the paper issue, give them the opportunity to have an entire fully uninterrupted voting session.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I think I understand that. I think what I'm a little confused about is the low paper indicator rather than out of paper. You've got ten feet of paper, that should be enough for someone to then vote. And so it seems to me the whole idea, there should be enough of a warning indication to give the voter an opportunity to vote before you got to change the paper.

MR. KERRIGAN: And Wesley was

speaking about this during our demo. There is a paper indicator which would indicate the problem the paper should be dealt with at that point. If voting resumes which it will allow you to resume up to a certain point, in other words it's kind of saying low paper but it is not a fine line. There's a point where it's going to begin notifying you and notify voting and then it's going to notify you the voting session to end because there is risk that you might not be able to finish.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You have a two light mode voting symbol.

MR. KERRIGAN: Visual to contact the poll worker.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Visual to?

MR. KERRIGAN: Visual on the screen as well as if someone was marking a ballot there would be a warning method associated audio file and also Will spoke to physically we can have paper that has a rooster tail that would begin to note receipt printed. You can see the beginning.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: That will notify

128 2 the voter. How does that tell the poll worker 3 that you're getting --4 MR. GREENHALGH: The precinct could 5 see that when you're activating a ballot. 6 MR. WOODBRIDGE: If they came in the 7 booth after someone has voted and they are in 8 the rooster tail, how much paper do you have 9 on the rooster tail? 10 MR. WESLEY: I don't know the exact, 11 I can't recall. We could get that for you. I 12 think about eight feet. 13 MR. WOODBRIDGE: What I'm still not 14 entirely clear about, so how do you detect 15 other than the rooster tail when your paper is 16 locked. 17 MR. PEARSON: A sensor on the paper. 18 It's a low paper sensor. 19 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Across the paper. 20 When you sense that, at that low point can the voter continue voting at that point? 21 22 MR. PEARSON: I don't know that. 23 Kevin, do you know if it would be possible 24 when we hit the low paper stage? I don't specifically have the answer. We can get you 25

the answer but I know that it was a design feature in the system and we always default to ensure the protection and integrity of that voter, that vote session so we always kind of err on the side of caution and precaution.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I understand that but I think the criteria appears to be very clear if you get the low paper indicator, it appears to me that there should be enough paper so the voter could continue and complete the vote before you change it. That's sort of my reading of it. I don't know what others say.

MR. PEARSON: I would believe that that would be the case and that is something that you could test in certification testing examination to see if you're comfortable with the way the system operates and if it meets your criteria there.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Mitch, you want to comment on that? I guess there's an exception. I think what I understand the exception, it says, If the amount of paper reaches the minimum limit during a voting

130 2 session, the DRE does not give the voter the opportunity to finish voting and the DRE 3 automatically voids the vote; is that correct? 5 MR. DARER: That's what we found. MR. WOODBRIDGE: If that's correct 6 that does not seem to meet the letter of the 7 criteria here. 8 MR. KERRIGAN: Perhaps I could speak 9 10 to voting allowed. 11 MR. FLEMMING: What indication did 12 you have that paperwork was getting low. 13 MR. WOODBRIDGE: How do you know 14 when the paper got low. 15 MR. DARER: There was a display 16 message on the DRE. 17 MR. WOODBRIDGE: So if you were 18 inside the booth, it would say paper low, something like that. 19 MR. DARER: Yes, something like 20 21 that. 22 MR. WOODBRIDGE: If something like 23 that came up, did it say you can't vote any 24 further? What did it tell the voter, do you 25 know?

MR. ANSARI: Nirwan Ansari from

NJIT. The error message stated like the

printer is not working correctly place in

supervisor PEB and the paper ballot printer

has malfunctioned, your ballot will be

canceled, please ask your poll worker for

assistance. But then afterwards we go to

check the electronic records, the votes there

are voided the votes whatever the votes have

been done in the middle of the session are not

recorded so you start a whole new session

begin.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So the voter may come in, start voting, get this message in the middle of voting it says come out of the voting booth.

MR. ANSARI: Right.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: If that is not a proper interpretation, let us know but that appears to be difficulty in using this to the criteria.

MR. PEARSON: We are seeking the precise rules that we apply, so I should have an answer for you today.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: We have some other materials to catch up.

Roman numeral 3(A)3 still on the printer section. The printer shall be secured by security seals or locking mechanisms to prevent tampering. The printer shall be accessed only by those election officials authorized by the county commissioner of registration. And that also relates to the Exception Number Five. NJIT indicated that problem with those sections relating to the unlocking of the printer covering and also the exposed cables. And I know you testified and you did a demonstration.

MR. KERRIGAN: I think we've addressed this issue. As required, we have a locking mechanism and the ability to apply security to it.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: And I think you also indicated if you remove either the power or the printer cable that the machine would stop; is that correct?

MR. KERRIGAN: Not the power.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The printer.

MR. KERRIGAN: But in an election program you use the RTAL printer it does not allow functioning without the printer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: 3(A)4. printer, last section. The VVPRS shall be capable of showing the information on the paper record in the font size of at least 3.0 millimeters and should be capable of showing the information in at least two font ranges 3.0 to 4.0 millimeters and 6.3 to 9.0 millimeters under the control of the voter or poll worker. This criteria can be met by providing a magnification device with the VVPRS. And there was no other exception. Under seven, it says, The VVPRS cannot show the information in font range of 6.3 to 9.0. The maximum size with the vendor supplied magnification device is in the 3.0 to 5.0 millimeter range.

MR. KERRIGAN: We feel that observation is inaccurate. You can achieve various lengths from the printout by guiding the magnification by the window. So, the range we feel is within required range in

		134
2	criteria and we would be interested to see the	
3	test method for how they acquired the range,	
4	the view of those characters through the	
5	magnification device.	
6	MR. WOODBRIDGE: It talks about	=
7	supplying a magnification device. Do you	
8	supply magnification devices.	
9	MR. KERRIGAN: NJIT had it	
10	available.	
11	MR. WOODBRIDGE: What is it.	
12	MR. KERRIGAN: A piece of plastic.	
13	MR. PEARSON: Portable overlay.	
14	MR. KERRIGAN: It holds that bearing	
15	length to get the desired magnification.	
16	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Is it like a glass	
17	lens?	
18	MR. KERRIGAN: It's a plastic	
19	transparent sheet.	
20	MR. PEARSON: Rigid magnification	
21	sheet.	
22	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Mitch, you want to	
23	comment on how you determined that it didn't	-
24	meet the larger size font?	
25	MR. ANSARI: Nirwan Ansari from	

NJIT. With the use of ruler put on the magnifying devices varying the distance, we tried to get as thick as possible without ambiguity, the letter may be blurred, when do you different systems the range we could get is what we actually documented. We did it quite a few times, many times.

2.1

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So much that's the methodology. How do you measure?

MR. KERRIGAN: I guess my answer to it is the font size used are standard with current technology and with the magnifying glass held up at varying ranges it will enlarge.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: When they tested it they still didn't get it in that range of being readable to paraphrase it correctly.

MR. KERRIGAN: I think that's where we cease to be in the quantitative of when it cease to be illegible. In my use I could get the letters to be very large. I haven't measured with the ruler.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Quantifiable, it says NJIT is quantifying.

MR. KERRIGAN: Since it's never been an issue before. I'm sure there are magnifications that could be used.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: If there are make sure it is in your supplemental piece. Any questions? Moving onto section Roman Numeral 3(B). Paper Roll Display Unit. Paragraph one, The paper record shall be displayed in a way that allows the voter to privately and independently inspect it. And that was assuming to section number two of your report which has a number of sections. Do you have any comments about III (B)1. Page four.

MR. KERRIGAN: Yes. Our response is that we are complying with the RTAL can be viewed throughout through the window on the left-hand side of the electronic, the privacy shield. So it knows to be adjusted so you could contain yourself within the voting area which both of those help voter privacy.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: John, any comments?

Roman numeral III(B)2. If the paper record

cannot be viewed entirely in the Display Unit

at one time, the voter shall have the

opportunity to verify the entire paper record prior to the electronic or paper ballot being stored and recorded. I think John you had touched upon this before.

MR. KERRIGAN: I think this is where Steve was speaking to the language in particular.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Daryl, you have any additional comments? Okay.

Roman numeral III(B)3. The display unit shall have a protective covering which shall be transparent and shall not obscure the voter's view of the paper record. This covering shall be in such a condition that it can be made transparent by ordinary cleaning of its exposed surface.

MR. KERRIGAN: Made of durable transparent plastic.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Top of page five.

Roman numeral III(C). This relates to paper.

One, Any paper record produced by a VVPRS shall be readable by voters and election officials.

Two. All paper records shall be

stored in accordance with vendor specifications. I believe the documentation you gave us provided some indication of how the paper is to be stored; am I correct?

MR. KERRIGAN: Yes.

2.2

MR. WOODBRIDGE: C.3. If stored in accordance with vendor specifications, the paper used produce to produce a paper record shall be readable for a period of at least two years after the election in which it is used. Do you have any comments with regard to section C you want to highlight?

MR. KERRIGAN: Just quickly, the printout is legible computer generated font. We've spoken about the variance of that font size. They are listed in your iVotronic guide which have been given to customers and lastly we did provide documentation specs from the paper user which states that the paper can last anywhere from five to seven years which we list in our documentation.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The last part of section Roman numeral III, Paragraph Three.

Paper Record Storage Unit. Subparagraph one.

Security protections including, but not limited to, security seals or locking mechanisms, shall be built into the storage Unit to prevent tampering at all times, including preelection, election day, and post election. The Attorney General, through the Department of Law and Public Safety, will issue chain of custody guidelines regarding the storage unit. And this is noted with regard to exception number five.

The second part there, The roll of printed paper records is accessible upon unlocking the printer cover.

MR. KERRIGAN: Unlocking the printer cover, you would have to have access to the key as well as seals may be administered by the counties as legally or deemed necessary.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: By storage unit I assume you're talking about the entire printer mechanism. Is that the case here you don't have a second storage unit, integrated printer.

MR. KERRIGAN: Integrated with the booth itself from the booth set up, yes, the

printer is maintained within the booth set up, so procedures would have to be established for how to maintain that booth, but we've already spoken about the chain of custody for the take up wheel and I don't know if you can interpret the signs pertaining to the maintenance of that as well, but the unit itself is secured.

2.1

MR. MAHONEY: I have a question about the paper roll. Since the paper roll holds as many as 700, you're going to have to replace it several times throughout the day. Let's assume we do. Is there any way that the roll is determined to be one of two, two of two, three of three so if one is missing is there any way that it's said, okay, this paper roll is replaced, this is the second paper roll going into the machine or this is the third.

MR. KERRIGAN: We've mentioned some of the administrative ways that could be handled. One, first I should mention in all my real world elections I've never had to replace a paper roll. That's my experience with the system. As we mentioned, if you were

to put a sealseal over that, there are certain guidelines that go with that and maybe that's where the procedure could be documented, but in the end it comes down to local administration so the decision could be made by them.

MR. MAHONEY: What you're saying there's no way for the machine to put like one of one, two of two on this whole roll. You're saying it's procedural roll has nothing to do with that.

MR. PEARSON: Yeah, the machine standpoint does not mark a roll or know when one roll, how many rolls have been used throughout the election. It tells you when a roll is low. It won't allow you to continue voting, but it's not going to mark okay this is the second one. But the roll does have the serial number of the machine.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: But you can match the roll of the machine but you can't tell what the sequence is.

MR. KERRIGAN: You could with the time date stamp.

		142
2	MR. WOODBRIDGE: The next section	
3	Roman numeral IV on pages five and this	
4	section don't include any exceptions from	
5	NJIT, so I'm going to read them quickly and	
6	see if we have any responses or concerns.	
7	A. Paper Records. The paper record	
8	shall include identification of the particular	
9	election, the election district, and the	
10	voting machine. We have compliance on that.	
11	MR. PEARSON: Yes, we're compliant.	
12	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Paper cord. The	
13	paper record shall include a barcode that	
14	contains the human-readable contents,	
15	shorthand is acceptable, of the paper record.	
16	I'm not sure what that means, of the paper	
17	record. We did see a barcode on the machine	
18	for this print out.	
19	MR. KERRIGAN: It is a standard PDF	
20	format.	
21	MR. PEARSON: Regular.	
22	MR. WOODBRIDGE: What is the	
23	information on the barcode.	
24	MR. KERRIGAN: It's identical to the	
25	ballot image.	

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You can read the 2 3 barcode and it tells you what was the 4 information in the ballot cast, right. 5 MR. KERRIGAN: The ballot cast, the 6 voter's final selection. It's not a print out 7 of all the voter activity. MR. WOODBRIDGE: It's a print out of 8 9 the summary there. 10 Two A. The barcode shall use 11 industry standard format and shall be able to 12 be read using readily available commercial 13 technology, correct? 14 MR. PEARSON: Yes. 15 MR. WOODBRIDGE: B. If the 16 corresponding electronic record contains 17 digital signature, the digital signature shall 18 be included in the barcode on the paper 19 record. 20 One. A digital signature is extra 21 data appended to an electronic document which 22 identifies and authenticates the sender and 23 message data using public key encryption, or 24 other means approved by LPS. I want to 25 digress here a second. I think Kevin

144 indicated that he used a low bit, 16 bit 2 3 encryption. I'm a little confused on what you 4 used. MR. KERRIGAN: Maybe I misspoke so 5 eight bites to a bit and two, 56 divided by 6 7 eight. It is 256 bit low fiche. MR. WOODBRIDGE: I believe that is 8 9 the gold standard. 10 MR. KERRIGAN: This encryption is 11 standard. 12 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any comments? C. The top of six. The barcode shall not contain 13 any information other than an accurate 14 15 reflection of the paper record's 16 human-readable content, error correcting 17 codes, and digital signature information. 18 MR. KERRIGAN: Yes. 19 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Three. For the Cut 2.0 and Drop Method, if the paper cannot be displayed in its entirety on a single page, 21 each page of the record shall be numbered and 22 shall include the total count for that ballot. 23 24 Four. The image created on the 25 paper record shall include every contest that

2	is displayed to the voter on the DRE,
3	including write-ins and undervotes.
4	MR. KERRIGAN: Right.
5	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Five. The paper
6	record shall be created such that its contents
7	are machine readable.
8	MR. KERRIGAN: Compliant.
9	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Six. The paper
10	record shall contain error correcting codes
11	for the purpose of detecting read errors and
12	for preventing other markings on the paper
13	record from being misinterpreted when the
14	paper record is machine read.
15	A. A read error is a separate piece
16	of data that can be used to indicate whether
17	the data printed on the paper record is
18	different from the data created on the
19	electronic record.
20	What is the data error record code.
21	MR. KERRIGAN: I'm not able, I'm not
22	really able to speak to that.
23	MR. WOODBRIDGE: What are they?
24	MR. KERRIGAN: What is it in
25	reference to process, it is kind of a learning

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

146

process as we worked with NJIT. It's in reference to the machine's ability when printing the barcode to detect if any sort of alterations have been made. If somebody tried to mark it with ink over the barcode, the threshold or ability of whatever barcode standard you're using instability to determine that data and see whether it's been manipulated in any way. So our answer to this was because we are implying barcode dated would be dated by a COTS system that's outside of our control to allow for a vendor independent auditing of this, so that you're correct the code would come from barcode standard itself. I think I've accurately explained that.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: We haven't gotten any exceptions from NJIT. This was sort of a sprint on the assumption the statements are accurate. But so I don't want to beat a dead horse on that issue, why don't we take a quick five minute break and then we could read and see if we can't finish the rest of the sections here.

(Whereupon a brief recess was taken.)

MR. WOODBRIDGE: We are going to go back on the record again and pick up from where we left off which was section Roman Numeral Number III, I believe Four. DRE Electronic Records. The electronic ballot image record and paper records shall be linked by including unique identifiers so that an individual paper record can be identified with its corresponding electronic record. Unique identifiers are tools that will allow LPS to measure the reliability and accuracy of the voting system, as necessary. The electronic ballot image and the paper record shall not reveal the identity of the voter.

A. Unique identifiers shall not be displayed in a way that could be easily memorized. This is related to Section Eight in the NJIT report. Says, The vendor documentation does not provide the procedure to reconcile the electronic ballot image records within the paper record. However, the project team determined that the electronic

ballot image records are saved in the ascending order of the electronic

Identification Number (EIN) a memory address pointer referred by the vendor); the EIN is printed on the paper record and contained in the barcode corresponding paper record. Thus, the EIN is the linkage of electronic ballot image record. However, matching the electronic ballot image records to the corresponding paper records to the corresponding paper records is difficult for a large volume of votes, and is possible only if no paper records are lost. Comments.

MR. KERRIGAN: I think the majority of that NJIT response is accurate. That is how our system works, the linkage EIN and how that unique identifying record for every voting session is linked to paper record to the ballot. So I think a majority of what they are stating is how we are complying. The only contention would be the last sentence. However, matching the electronic ballot image records to the corresponding paper records is difficult for large volume of votes, and is possible only if no paper records are lost.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

149

Yes, it is just inherently going to be that way if you're trying to identify every single paper record to every single vote there's going to be. Each paper record would have the EIN on it. So the real issue is if you had a thousand votes on paper and a thousand EINs printed on paper, you have to link those one at a time with what's in the memory. It's internally going to be a difficult process if you get to that stage where you have to audit. We're not talking about the procedure that is standard protocol, this would be -- actually I don't know when this would be implemented. I guess it would be a last case scenario if you were doing an audit and first you would have your electronic record, you would verify results that could be, compare those records to your print out or your election summary reports from the paper and then I suppose if there was some sort of adjudication that needed to happen where you have to identify particular ballots then you would go through this arduous process of linking the paper to the ballot images.

THE COURT: So if I understand you correctly, it is technically not so much a defect so much as it is something that makes the process a little harder to verify; is that correct, Mitch?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ANSARI: Nirwan Ansari from NJIT. I'm attempting to respond to ES&s. I would like to point out that in the document with respect to exception eight, you mentioned that the EIN number is the link but if it's not an ascending order sequential index that is not correct. We found out that the EIN number when they print the paper record stored in the electronic record, they store it in the ascending order of the EIN number. Here is a test we did for 31 votes so we know exactly which voting scenario and then when we print it from the paper record, not the paper record from the electronic record and then match it to the paper records. Each paper records of each voting session has an EIN number and the record that is stored in the electronic record when they print it up, it printed in an ascending order even though the EIN number is

rendered EIN is randomly generated but when it start, it start in ascending order so that you can match if you have enough time, manpower if you have 31 votes are easy to match. You place the -- since you print up the record from the electronic record and then you got a paper record, you arrange the paperwork according to in EIN ascending order then you can match them all exactly. Thirty-one is no problem. The problem is if one of the records is lost that means this match will be upset then that could be a problem, that's what we are trying to say in this exception.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Only if no paper records are lost. If paper records should be lost physically, it would mean one of those rolls would have to disappear; is that correct?

MR. ANSARI: One of the rolls or even one vote is gone, one of the papers is gone then they will be upset in attempting to match them.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: It is not easy to match them.

MR. ANSARI: At that time they may not be able to match. Perhaps when no paper is loss, we have all the records on hand electronic you have no problem matching it up.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you.

MR. FLEMMING: The last ballot if you have a paper jam or paper rip during a printing of a record when it gets fixed does that have to be reprinted.

MR. PEARSON: No.

MR. FLEMMING: Then with this criteria when you have a contention in election it's paper that is going to be used as your official election, so how do you vote it to make sure all the electronic votes also have paper records available. Because thinking it from the state, paper being your gold standard. You could have more electronic versus paper votes.

MR. PEARSON: In the -- what is the official?

MR. FLEMMING: It is not at this point, we don't have it. The way that I'll address this as best I can, the way the system

operates is electronic is always looking and sensing the operation of the printer as best it can. So in the event that it can detect from a printer a malfunction standpoint as soon as it detects any kind of malfunction it stops the voting process at that point, okay, and then that voting session would virtually end and that voter would need to restart or revote on it on a different machine. What happens if it fails, that after you fail to cast your vote and it's during the summary which the summary is your electronic ballot summary is your paper ballot.

MR. PEARSON: Each one of the votes the transaction the person would have made would be recorded sequentially up to that last -- until that vote is cast so the person would have had the opportunity to verify their vote before they ever cast their vote, each selection would be verified. So at that point if the printer is still operational, you could still go back and recreate that ballot. I'm just saying worse case scenario, you still have the ability just like you went on a paper

ballot, go back and recreate that, that ballot so if you do lose after the vote is cast and there is a printer jam and you lose the barcode for that record, if it jammed or didn't print or got worn, whatever, destroyed, you could go back in at least manually count the vote selection there so you wouldn't lost any of those for your verification process.

MR. FLEMMING: I guess that would be verification of where it is the vote is not until you hit that cast button which is when the barcode and summary get contacts in.

MR. KERRIGAN: You do have a status indication summary screen that's noted, so when you first hit cast before it does the vote summary there is a last voter press cast. You would at that point say you hit cast and disconnect, you're still going to have that line that let's you know the voter cast.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: B2. The DRE should generate and store a digital signature for each electronic record. I believe that's the case. B3. The electronic ballot image records shall be able to be exported for

155 2 auditing or analysis on standards-based and/or 3 COTS (commercial off the shelf) information technology computing. 4 A. The exported electronic ballot 5 image records shall be in a publicly 6 7 available, non-proprietary format. Steve, you testified I think we're 8 9 talking about the barcode status commercial 10 off the shelf material, correct? 11 MR. PEARSON: Yes. 12 MR. KERRIGAN: That data can only be 13 interpreted by our software. Once it is interpreted it will stay with the file and 14 then it then be read. 15 MR. WOODBRIDGE: B. The records 16 17 should be exported with a digital signature which shall be calculated on the entire set of 18 19 electronic records and their associated 20 digital signatures. MR. KERRIGAN: We use low fiche for 21 our encryption of the data. 22 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Which doesn't 23 24 constitute a digital signature.

MR. KERRIGAN: That's correct.

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: It says, the record shall be exported with a digital signature, nothing about the encryption part of it.

MR. PEARSON: We don't -- the system does not individually digitalize the signature. It doesn't have a digital signature for each record, but it creates, it uses encryptional algorithms to encrypt all the data.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The records shall be exported with the encryption on the entire set of associated digital signatures.

MR. PEARSON: If it's encrypted, yes it's encrypted data. That's the answer.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The voting system vendor shall provide documentation about the structure of the exported ballot image records and how they shall be read and processed by the software.

MR. PEARSON: We provide that as we note in Chapter 61 of our electronic reporting manual.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: D. The voting system vendor shall provide a software program

that will display the exported ballot image records and that may include other capabilities such as providing vote tallies and indications of undervotes.

MR. KERRIGAN: Same answer. As mentioned, electronic encrypts all the voting data from the EIN then you are able to generate tallies for displaced undervotes and statistic counter.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The voting system vendor shall provide full documentation of procedures for exporting electronic ballot image and records and reconciling those records within the paper records. And we note this is also the subject of exception number eight.

MR. KERRIGAN: Yes, that is correct in that we do not right now have that in our curent documentation, but will implement it as a result of this review. As I mentioned before and as Professor Ansari said, this is a lengthy and difficult process. It is a great understanding of system and it is not a standard operation. It's something we never

included. Even where we do include it in our documentation, this probably would not likely be included in standard. This is probably anyone that's demonstrating the ERL has to be a special case operation.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Let me go back and make sure I understand. Are you telling us with regard to exception number eight that NJIT cited with exception with regard to exception R(4)B(1) that you expect you will be able to provide that criteria?

MR. KERRIGAN: We note two and I would argue that we are currently in the document we provided at the on start of this we were not compliant with 4(B)7(E) which is standard document. No, we did not for the initial review and we're going to add that. But 4(B)1 is a separate issue we addressed at the time the exception came up.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So we're talking about the documentation, you'll provide that.

MR. KERRIGAN: Right. There are two points. General practice has been I don't know if it's relevant on something that would

be a rare case like this and it will be providing information, the inner workings of how we store data on a flash card. That information has to be very protected, so we probably will release this in a technical bullet that will be a bullet only. It would be provided for certification with the understanding this is not our standard operating procedures not given as part of the same users guide for this.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You use that for security purposes.

MR. KERRIGAN: Right.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Next Section C,
Voting with a VVPRS. LPS shall promulgate for
voters instructions to use the VVPRS.

A. The VVPRS vendors shall provide, in plain language, any reference material requested by LPS to aid in the preparation of the VVPRS instructions. These instructions shall be issued to each county board. I do know in the two boxes of material there were instructions in there for board workers. I don't know if they were contained for New

Jersey.

MR. KERRIGAN: We do have standard operating procedures that's a preelection checklist security protocols and it's noted we have numerous amounts of documentation and we do tailor that to county needs, location or states, state specific requirements.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: B. Instructions for use of a VVPRS shall be made available prior to an election on the Division of Elections' website and shall be available to the voter at the polling place on election day. You provide any charts or posters on how to use the machine.

MR. KERRIGAN: The one, two, three vote sequence instruction screen that you saw on the DRE. We do have a post certificate of that. I've seen counties go as far as to make their own documentation. It's specific to that polling location as far as giving them information on where to key and where to interact. Sometimes it gets localized.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You have some machines set up so you can vote on a dummy

election and know how to.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GREENHALGH: Yes. Just a couple things on the website. ES&Svote.com is actually a visual image of the voting machine itself so anybody can get on, actually do it, do a demo of the election right on their computer which is kind of nice. What we found, though, what is very affective working with the counties on a specific election because primaries are different from general elections, voting instructions may be different for a primary versus general, so what we do with our counties is say, okay, what kind of election do we have coming up, what kind of demonstration ballot do you want for general election or primary election and what names do you want on it. We do it recommend customized depending on what the state wants. Certain states you can't use what we call the dead presidents because George Washington may actually be on the ballot. So you have to come up with Mickey Mouse or Donald Duck, so it depends on a state.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The question I have, some of these bullets take set up machines actually intended voting machines.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KERRIGAN: I think having a separate election of what we call BMW asking what's your favorite car, are you in favor with three day weekends in that set aside location to allow the vote.

MR. WESLEY: Some of the things that we've done in some of the implementations we've actually, for example, in the state of Indiana they required us to assemble a team with the county that did voter education events around the counties where they would go to malls, they would go to schools. One thing that I saw that was excellent they did in Powell County, they took them to places like a Lighthouse of the Blind, places like that where people were visually impaired with a demo ballot so they do use it prior to election. The effect of that was people show up at the poll and they would tell the poll workers get out of the way, I know how to do They would go right in and it was very

very easy.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: C. Prior to an election, the county commissioners of registration will provide demonstration machines at convenient locations throughout the county for voter education purposes.

D. The instructions for performing the verification process shall be made available to the voter on a location inside the voting machine. Where feasible, the instructions shall also be on the machine ballot face. Tell me if I'm wrong, but can you tell me how that machine gives you instruction on how to vote.

MR. KERRIGAN: That was the first screen you selected, how you wanted to interaction through visually or audio then next screen is one, two, three those could be brought back up by the answer and the questions at the bottom.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So can you do that in each set of instructions?

MR. KERRIGAN: This is procedural, people have attached that sequence and take it

to the inside of the building.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Two(C)2. Voter privacy shall be preserved during the process of recording, verifying and auditing ballot selections. This includes a voter who uses an audio voting device. Voters using an audio voting device shall also be able to verify votes privately and independently. I know this was subject of exception number two and three from the NJIT report.

MR. KERRIGAN: And I think we've talked about both from physical privacy of voting as well as the digital privacy of voting.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I guess to the individual we talked about the voting machine being collapsable and some of the procedures of setting up your location, taking into consideration your security and privacy for the voter. Voters using audio verified votes privately and independently so when you vote and you finish, how do you know what you're voting for.

MR. KERRIGAN: Similar to the visual

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

165

interface where you cast your vote, you scroll using the four key panel when you get to the end you are required to completely go through the review screen, if you accept you have the wait file telling your selections. It would identify the contents and your current selection and then if you were to press down you would go to the next contest and it would call out the contest and your selection and as soon as you got to the bottom of that summary which is being read to you, then that vote button becomes enabled and when you reach the bottom that's when the voter receives instruction on how to when you reach the bottom it's prompted, I can't remember the exact wording tells them that the vote button is located at the top of the machine and it tells them the shapes of the button they are interacting with so they could find the buttons, press and as far as privacy that screen is blank, we talked about that before.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Paragraph Three at the top of page eight, that section C(3). In any election where the ballot contains a

language in addition to English, the paper shall be produced in all such languages.

- A. To assist with manual auditing, candidate names on the paper record shall be presented in the same language as used on the DRE summary screen.
- B. Information on the paper record not needed by the voter to performance verification shall be in english. You don't have any comments from NJIT on this issue, but the assistant manual shall be presented in the same language on the DRE summary, so that was compliant.
- 4. The privacy of voters whose paper records contain an alternative language shall be maintained.

MR. KERRIGAN: No voter identification on the RTAL.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I will read portions of this next session because all related to exception number one by NJIT.

C (5). The paper records shall distinguish between accepted and non-accepted ballots.

A. The voter shall have the opportunity to accept or reject the contents of his or her paper record. Exception number one.

2.2

1. If the voter rejects the contents of the paper record, he or she may recast the ballot up to two additional times. This procedure is consistent with current State law, which limits the amount of time a voter has to cast a ballot.

Next section Two. Before the voter causes a third and final paper record to be printed, the voter shall be presented with a warning notice on the machine that the selections on the DRE will be final. The voter will see and verify a printout of the votes, but will not be given additional opportunities to change any vote. The third ballot cast shall constitute the final and official ballot of such a voter.

And then same section one 5(a)3.

Upon rejecting a paper record, the voter shall be able to modify and verify the selections on the DRE without having to reselect all choices

in all contests on the ballot. And these all relate to exception number one and of course the issue we're struggling with here is the issue of how many paper ballots you get and you have any comments.

2.3

MR. KERRIGAN: I think in order to properly talk about this issue we'd have to come to the agreement of what the word cast means. Our interpretation, generally the term cast means that your ballot has been submitted and at that point you're not allowed to make any alterations. Now, I think true potential of this criteria itself to allow the voter to see their selections on paper and if they don't like what they're seeing on paper, they have an opportunity to alter votes, those selections or lack therefore and I would feel that our system is compliant in that interpretation of the criteria.

As far as the number being three, we have an unlimited opportunity or the voter has an unlimited opportunity to make a selection and all of those alterations or initial selections will be noted onto the printer. So

the process with our system the real time monolog, the process of accepting or rejecting at the end of the ballot is not required because the voter has immediately had the opportunity upon making a selection to verify it was recorded properly to the paper. We also provide the summary screen at the end and any alterations made there to the paper are distinct indefinitely. The machine itself does not restrict a voter to how many choices or changes they wish to make.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So there's no warning, no risk once you get to it the final paper outlet.

MR. PEARSON: You never reach that point because you only queue once with this system.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I don't want to put words in your mouth. I take it what you're arguing, you might not meet the letter of this but the spirit or intention of what the content is.

MR. PEARSON: I think the language, there was language that attempted to allow for

all types of systems reel-to-reel and not in all cases did they think through when the legislation was drafted how the reel-to-reel systems performed, so I think they need to look at what the intent of the law was versus the let alone interpretation because with this system with the reel-to-reel system like ours you'll never reach the second opportunity to cast or the third. You only cast once.

MR. KERRIGAN: The term cast means action by the voter. If you read the laws to interpret cast means submitting your ballot then I would argue this would not be legal to have more than one cast because once you submit your ballot the same paperwork you drop it into the ballot, you are not able to retrieve that ballot. So with our interpretation of the word cast meaning making a selection or nominating a candidate by highlighting his name, we meet the letter of the law.

MR. FLEMMING: If you take your interpretation, before you put it in the ballot box you could go get a second paper and

throw it away before it goes into the box.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KERRIGAN: You do have to score ballots with DRE it's not as necessary as it is with paper where they could false mark and they are unable to unmark that selection. gives you the ability to make and unmake selections. So you don't spoil the traditional paper you have the ability to say here is more than one ballot presented on the machine, a coworker could pull up the ballot, they may have to cancel the voter, the ballot that would be recorded a vote is cancelled but there's no need DRE that's the intent with DRE is to increase voter awareness of properly voting, preventing overflow, things like that and most other things go away with DREs existed with paper.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Some of these issues will crop up again and we will talk about them. Any other comments.

MR. MAHONEY: No.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Okay. Five A 4.

If a mechanical error in recording or printing a paper record occurs, the record shall be

counted as a spoiled paper record. It will not be counted as one of the voter's three attempted votes. And this represents two exceptions both nine and ten which I'll read to you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is exception nine. Neither the DRE nor the VVPRS can detected a paper jam. When paper jam occurs, the voter can still make or change selections on the DRE and cast the ballot as normal. However, the printer keeps printing over the same area on the paper roll making it illegible. No audio or visual warning signal is given to either the voter or the poll official. The vote is electronically recorded and counted. The paper jams were observed in both single tests and the two volume tests. One paper jam during the 14 hour test even resulted in paper torn apart, in which case selections and barcodes were not printed. And it also led to exception ten. If the printer cable is disconnected after the voter presses the VOTE button, the ballot is electronically recorded and counted in the close-poll report. Yet, no barcode is printed

on the paper record, and the cancellation of the ballot is indicated on the DRE screen and the Event Log report. So there are a couple of issues here first of all, is there such a thing as a spoiled paper record in your system.

MR. KERRIGAN: Yeah in the sense it cancels the ballot at the DRE, then that paper record the actions made on the paper record previously were not recorded to the electronic.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: But the voter cannot spoil a ballot, the machine can because of malfunction.

MR. PEARSON: Or withholding or intervention insert the PEB, the opportunity to cancel.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I think they are talking about here, one, two and three different paper ballots and the machine may be one or two of that selection.

MR. KERRIGAN: I would say that criteria is written for a receipt printer.

You're talking about verification at the end.

We have a method, it is not a final verification at the end where you submit paper record, but if I may talk to some of the other points that you brought up.

Exception nine I believe is erroneous that neither the DRE nor the VVPRS can detect paper jams. We've already spoken to the system that we do have in place. is on board technology that attempts to protect any malfunctioning of the printer. There's also an addition to those systems. There is a tension arm on the reel-to-reel. If that tension doesn't exist anymore for instance, if you were to tear the ballot and that arm no longer has the tension on the paper, then that would be a failure in the hardware and that would be detected by the printer and subsequently by the poll worker, so there are things in place and that is inaccurate to say across the board that they are not able to detect the paper jam.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You have any idea why NJIT had some opposition to this issue.

MR. KERRIGAN: None without being a

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

part to the testing, nor knowing how the paper jam was produced we have no way of knowing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PEARSON: Before you have someone come up, as I mentioned we ARE accepting inoperability on any condition on the printer to be able to stop that voting session and notify the voter that the voter needs to be notified. There are cases where the voter is selecting and verifying. If the paper is not advancing, they would also visually could actually visually detect that the printer is not advancing and their selection is not being printed prior to the system knowing that the paper is hung up for whatever reason. So that would be another opportunity for the voter verified paper system to say, hey, my vote's not coming up here, there's something wrong.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I know Kevin you're going to have to leave in a few moments. You have any comments on the paper jam?

MR. DARER: It's hard for us to reconcile in your experience is it possible the jams you had.

MR. ANSARI: My name is Nirwan

Ansari from NJIT. The paper jams are not

unique. It happened quite often during our

test and we have some of the pictures we took

about the paper jams and there wasn't any

signal and I have a picture about when it is

stuck then the machine freeze up and no action

whatsoever afterwards.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Is it possible the tension arm broke and gave a signal if there is a problem with the paper.

MR. KERRIGAN: Yes. As he just explained, if there is an error detected there is a visual warning on the screen as well as audio associated warning. It explains there is a printer malfunction, contact the poll worker and as we discussed before, the subsequent procedure would be a problem which would have to be rectified. You have to get the printer back on line and you have to start a new voting session.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: It sounds to me, you said no audio visual warning signal was given; is that correct?

2 MR. ANSARI: In one location the paper was torn, only one location happened 3 during the test in which case --5 MR. KERRIGAN: Was the paper completely torn? 6 MR. ANSARI: It's jammed and then 7 torn apart and at that time it all froze. 8 MR. KERRIGAN: The reason I make 9 that distinction, as soon as that paper 10 becomes completely torn up it's going to have 11 tension on the reel-to-reel and it will have 12 13 to be shut down. If it's jammed and successfully printing albeit over and over 14 again the same area, then there's no printer 15 16 error. The printer is working, but the paper 17 isn't incoming and that's where Steve was explaining in a voter verified system you have 18 to allow them to vote on their own. It is up 19 20 to them to recognize my voter verifiable paper 21 is not in coming. 22 MR. WOODBRIDGE: You also used the 23 word paper jams. How many times have you had 24 jams. 25 MR. ANSARI: Altogether five times.

178 Twice in the 14 hour test, three times 1200 2 3 vote test. MR. KERRIGAN: Another unknown to us 4 is how it was loaded, whether it's loaded 5 6 properly. 7 MR. WESLEY: If I could interject. 8 MR. KERRIGAN: We weren't party to 9 the testing. 10 MR. WESLEY: I'm sorry. During our 11 installation in West Virginia we kind of ran 12 into that same issue during the training 13 session when we were training where some of 14 the folks were loading the paper incorrectly 15 and I addressed that issue with the state as 16 well as with their trainers and showed them 17 the correct way to load the paper and we've 18 done multiple elections where that have not been an issue. So without being a part of it 19 20 it's kind of difficult to know the 21 circumstances surrounding that, but I suspect 22 that the paper was not input properly. 23 MR. ANSARI: I would like to contest about it. I think we did place the paper very 24 25 properly. I instructed all my students make

sure the paper, we want to minimize our error we don't want to create any error. We even have some pictures of how this is, the torn paper and we also have some pictures in which the printer keep printing on the same area and I believe we did our very best to load this. I don't think this needs any genius to load any paper. I told my students to load them very carefully.

MR. MAHONEY: Before the training before NJIT started were they shown by ES&S how to install the paper so they were correctly informed about that.

MR. PEARSON: By myself.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: We have exception ten. It says: If the printer cable is disconnected after the voter presses the VOTE button, the ballot is electronically recorded and counted in the close-poll report. Yet, no barcode is printed on the paper record, and the cancellation of the ballot is indicated on the DRE screen and in the Event Log report.

MR. KERRIGAN: I think we spoke about this before in the sense I did identify,

we do indicate the sessions that you're at on your vote when you're in voting modemode all your actions are recorded when you enter the summary modemode that line is printed on the printer and then when your vote when you hit that print button, you immediately your vote is cast so if the printer becomes disconnected after that point and you lose your vote summary as well as your barcode as Steve Pearson mentioned you're still able to recreate that ballot from that audit trail from the real time audit.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: But you're saying --

MR. KERRIGAN: Yeah, essentially if the printer becomes disconnected to even go further if it becomes disconnected prior to your voting or if you're going to try to ensure that's one reason we should have done the machine if that printer becomes disconnected during that audit trail, during the voter session itself and we don't allow you to vote without that printer being connected because we do need that to reconnect

the ballot on the ballot record.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: VVPRS shall be designed to indicate the paper record which the voter has identified and cast as his or her official ballot.

We have the next section which we don't appear to have any exceptions to. I'm going to read them quickly.

Paragraph Five, Security and
Liability. The VVPRS shall not be permitted
to externally communicate with any system or
machine other than the voting system to which
it is connected.

B. The VVPRS shall be able to function as a printer; it shall not contain any other services for example, copier or fax functions or network capability. I think that is correct.

MR. KERRIGAN: Correct.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The printer shall not contain any component with an external communication feature. That's correct too.

MR. PEARSON: Yes, that's correct.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: C. The paper path

between the printing, viewing and storage of the paper record shall be protected and sealed from access, except by election officials authorized by each county commissioner of registration.

MR. PEARSON: That's correct.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: All cryptographic software in the voting system shall be approved by the U.S. Government's Crypotgraphic Module Validation Program, if applicable, prior to being certified in New Jersey.

One. As stated in the discussion portion of Section 7.9.3 of the United States Election Assistance Commission draft criteria for Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail REquirement, There may be cryptographic voting schemes where the cryptographic algorithms used are necessarily different from any algorithms that have approved CMVP, Cryptographic Module Validation Program implementations, thus CMVP approved software should be used when feasible but is not required. The CMVP website is

www.csrc.nist.gov/cryptval.

The vendor shall provide a certification of CMVP approval, if applicable. If not applicable, the vendor shall provided a certification setting forth the reason why CMVP approval does not apply.

MR. KERRIGAN: It is certified by CMVP.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The printer under Exception Number Five is noted here. The printer shall be connected to the voting machine either by concealing the printer connection or via a security tag to prevent tampering; is that right?

The roll of printed paper records is accessible upon unlocking the printer cover.

The cable connecting for the VVPRS to the DRE is exposed and can be easily disconnected from the printer port on the top of the DRE.

MR. KERRIGAN: We are compliant as required. It says you have to secure it either or with a security tag and we are able to provide a security tag over the connection.

2 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Comments on that section? 3 Section F. The DRE shall detect and 4 notify the election officials at the polling 5 6 place of any errors and malfunctions, such as paper jams or low supplies of consumables, for 7 example, paper, that may prevent paper records 8 9 from being correctly displayed, printed, or stored. I think we talked about paper jams. 10 11 MR. FLEMMING: Yes. 12 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Quite a bit. Any 13 other comments on this? Nothing. 14 MR. FLEMMING: I want to make sure the notification is to the voter not the 15 elected official. 16 MR. KERRIGAN: If the printer error 17 18 appeared while the supervisor was printing the 19 ballot, yes, they would be present up to that 20 point when they then leave the voter in 21 privacy to vote. 22 MR. FLEMMING: Let's say the voter decides not to tell anybody there's a problem 23 24 and the next one comes in, will the voting 25 then see a problem now.

MR. KERRIGAN: That would be on the screen and voters don't activate our screens, supervisors do. We constantly have that interaction between machines and pollers and another session could be started without the supervisor maintaining the paper error.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any other comments?

MR. MAHONEY: No.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: If a mechanical error or malfunction occurs, such as, but not limited to, a paper jam or running out of paper, the DRE and VVPRS shall suspend voting operations, not record votes, and present a clear indication of the malfunction to the voter and election officials.

This goes to exception number nine but what's interesting about this, I'm reading it but it appears to me if you do have a jam it stops the voling, doesn't record the votes. What do you think, no?

MR. FLEMMING: About the voting machine, if it detects the error it will suspend it so the voter has to go in another session.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I don't think that was consistent with the other section.

MR. FLEMMING: The question I really have is that the clarification to the voters, that's clear, but the clarification to the elected official is not.

MR. KERRIGAN: Because the voter cannot proceed any further and no voter would be able to use that machine. The only next interaction would be the poller. So that the same message is presented to both the voter and the poller. Obviously a poller can't linger around the machine and they have to lead the voter to privacy. Every error message is initiated with an audible feature.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I see that, by one indication of someone away from the machine. I should have brought that up earlier. I'm just noting with some interest that there's a conflict between the sections.

Roman numeral III(A)2 and this section being B, to the section if there's a malfunction the paper jam suspends operation, so it makes you wonder whether or not they

187 kept repeating criteria there. 2 3 MR. KERRIGAN: I'm sorry, I have to 4 excuse myself. 5 MR. WOODBRIDGE: I appreciate you 6 coming. Here have a good trip to Paris. Any 7 comments with regard to section G. Section H. This relates to an 8 9 exception from NJIT. It says, If the 10 connection between the voting machine and the 11 printer has been broken, the voting machine 12 shall detect and provide notice of this event 13 and record it in the DRE's internal audit log. 14 Voting operations shall be suspended and no 15 votes shall be recorded. I guess the question 16 I should ask is if the connection is broken, 17 is it recorded in the DRE auditaudit log. 18 MR. PEARSON: Yes, between the 19 iVotronic and the printer, yes. MR. WOODBRIDGE: So it's something 20 21 that's printed. 22 MR. PEARSON: Yes. 23 MR. WOODBRIDGE: And we talked about 2.4 Exception Ten. It relates to the issue of the

voting and employment, so we won't -- we've

25

gone over that already.

The next section goes to -- we don't have any exceptions to that, so I'm going to go through them as guickly as I can.

- I. If the voter's selections on the DRE do not match the paper record, then the DRE shall immediately be withdrawn from service.
- The affected voter shall be able to vote on another voting machine, if available, or by emergency ballot.
- J. The vendor shall provide to LPS documentation for the DRE and the VVPRS that includes procedures for the recovery of votes in case of a malfunction. And I believe I've seen some of that in the documentation if I remember correctly. LPS shall be responsible for disseminating this information to the county commissioners of registration. That information has been provided.

MR. PEARSON: We provided.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The vendor shall proved to LPS documentation for the DRE and the VVPRS that includes recommended procedures

to enable the election officials to return a voting machine to workable status after the machine has malfunctioned, the printer needs to be replaced or a voter used it incompletely or incorrectly.

- These procedures shall not cause discrepancies between the tallies of the electronic paper records.
- 2. LPS shall be responsible for disseminating this information to the commissioners of registration.

So tell us a little bit about your job with regard to paper records.

MR. PEARSON: Sorry, I can't go into detail on this. I know we have, our answer is we provided it's included in our standard operating procedure for poll workers. So I don't have any detail on the specifics of what's in those procedures.

MR. GREENHALGH: It depends on what the state law is, depends on what the official ballot is, we gauge our recommendation based on that. If the official ballot is something like the internal audio records that's the

flash cards or machine, then procedure would be different. Second, it depends when this happens a lot of this occurs during the official canvas which is after the election and we're very, very careful to go over precisely how to do an audit on a receipt printer base versus what's the receipt record, so it depends on state law.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You provide documentation in those scenarios.

MR. GREENHALGH: Yes, we do.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Vendor

documentation shall include procedures for investigating and resolving printer malfunctions including, but not limited to, printer operations, misreporting of votes, underreadable paper records and process failures.

MR. PEARSON: We provided that documentation.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: M. If a machine malfunctions or becomes inoperable, voters will be entitled to vote by emergency ballots. You provided emergency ballots. I probably

191 2 should be addressing this to Marge and Abbey. 3 MS. McCABE: Yes, we do. We have a box attached to the back of the machine 4 5 currently. 6 MR. WOODBRIDGE: And pencils and 7 clipboards and stuff. MS. McCABE: We have to tell you 8 9 with DRE, we never once in the history used it 10 once. MR. PEARSON: Of course if there's 11 other machines, the voter would be directed to 12 the machine. 13 14 MR. WOODBRIDGE: I'm not going to 15 read Roman Number VI, Certification A through 16 C because it's not really relevant to this 17 particular to the vendor, but I am going to read section C 1 and 2 subsection. 18 19 The vendor shall provide to the 20 State, electronically and in hard copy, all 21 use and technical specifications and 22 documentation relating to the function of the 23 VVPRS. 24 The vendor shall submit a

certification that VVPRS satisfies the State's

25

criteria. I believe I saw in a letter indicating --

MR. PEARSON: Yes, we'll get those.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Certification

Section VI D. A VVPRS shall not, at any time, contain or use undisclosed hardware or software. The only components that may be used in a system are components that have been tested and certified for the use in The state.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  PEARSON: We follow all state and federal regulations.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Up indicated there is some hardware you're now having certified; is that correct?

MR. PEARSON: Actually hardware and firm ware and software, our entire 40 suite, that includes the 9.2 that was examined here that is going to be certified and also includes the addition of the setting up capability for the ADA capability, so there's change to the software suite, all of the Calcuter firmware as well as some hardware modification such as adding the set up to the electronic.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The vendor shall be required -- Roman Number VI, Section E. The vendor shall be required to provide the source code for the DRE and the VVPRS to the State, a/or to place such source code in escrow, to allow for independent testing by the State, at its discretion. Upon request, the State will enter into non-disclosure agreement with the vendor. Did I see that agreement in your pack of material.

MR. PEARSON: I don't recall. I believe we would have executed that. We're usually pretty careful and cautious about that.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Everything is deposited with the state or third party agents.

MR. PEARSON: In the past we have in the current state that it's their hands right now until testing one is completed then that will then be deposited to the NSRL, not the source code but the executable put on deposit there and then the source would be put on deposit with an independent escrow agent. We

use Iron Mountain, so all of our code is in escrow. That's standard practice.

2.4

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You always test with NTIS too.

MR. PEARSON: The new requirements by the EAC that's what the new bill says that they have is once the trusted bills are complete before you get into the testing then we basically lose custody of that object code and then that code gets about by order of the EAC for EAC then the VSTL will then deposit that at NSCRL software by reference and it's held there and then that would be used downstream for any software validation confirmation. You can confirm the source code from any system to what's been certified. That's the process today.

MR. GREENHALGH: Now the states may require their own escrow procedure and when that occurs, then we spell out the circumstances under which the source vote could be accessed and that's where the company goes in bankruptcy. A lot of states do that they require separate source codes with their

assigned	escrow	agents.
----------	--------	---------

MR. PEARSON: We're prepared to provide the escrow source for New Jersey as required.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Six F. The vendor shall be responsible for the cost of any testing of the VVPRS that the state deems necessary to achieve certification.

MR. PEARSON: We're used to that.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Six G. Vendor documentation shall include printer reliability specifications including Mean Time Between Failure estimates, and shall include recommendations for appropriate quantities of back up printer and supplies. It may have been there in all the papers. I didn't see them.

MR. PEARSON: We are, the system is certified to the 2002 federal standard PPS and that one is the measurement that is used.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: That includes the Mean Time.

MR. PEARSON: That is specifically we've listed ten volumes, it's in one of the

volumes the 2002 standard. We can provide you that Roman Numeral VI I. Mean Time Between Failures, which measures the reliability of a voting system device, is the average time that a component works without failure. It is the value of the ratio operating time to the number of failures which have occurred in the specific time interval.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Seven. Pre-Election Procedures. VVPRS's component shall be integrated into the existing local logic testing procedure performed by county election officials, which are performed in preparation for an election. I believe there are some documentation of that in the two big boxes we got, but you're not going to explain what to do. We provide pre-election procedures in the technical data package that's submitted and there's a pre-election checklist and I'm sure that Sussex County probably has their own set of instructions as well that they would incorporate into their procedures. We learn from our vendors too to incorporate their procedure, but we have provided those

procedures.

2.2

2.4

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Section Roman numeral Eight A through C relate to items that aren't relevant to this and primarily relate to procedures at state level, so I'm going to jump down to Roman numeral D as in David.

In case the machine cartridge becomes unreadable or is damaged for an audit or recount, the county commissioner of registration shall produce the ballot image audit log from the machine. The vendor shall provide LPS documentation the protection of such audit log. Now, we understand you don't have, you have a cartridge, but you have a changeable spool so I guess the remainder of the question, you obviously keep an image in the machine, a cartridge that tests, as Kevin said before, not a PDF type of image.

MR. PEARSON: All that information is stored on a compact flash card and when it's pulled, it's retained as another means of auditing.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Roman numeral Eight, Section E. The paper record shall be

created such that its contents are machine readable for purposes of any recount, audit or initial tallying of an election in the event that the machine cartridge containing the electronic record is not usable.

- 1. The paper record shall contain error correcting codes for the purpose of detecting read errors. This may be done by barcode. This is redundant for what we have already talked about if I'm not missing something.
- "Continuous Spool" VVPRS, it shall conduct any audit or recount in accordance with the procedures established audit by LPS to fully protect the secrecy of all votes cast. Such procedures include, but not be limited to, cutting the spool-to-spool paper roll into individual paper records, and restricting public access to uncut paper roll. I guess this really doesn't apply to a machine as such.

MR. PEARSON: Other than provide adequate space between voting sessions to

provide cutage.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: G. The vendor shall proved LPS written procedure to identify and resolve any discrepancy between an electronic record and its corresponding paper record. LPS shall be responsible for disseminating this information to the county commissioners of registration.

H. The vendor shall provide written procedure for determining what constitutes clear evidence that a paper record is inaccurate, incomplete, or unreadable. LPS shall be responsible for disseminating this information to the county commissioner of registration. So there are two issues here. One is written procedure identifying discrepancies between electronic records and paper records. I've just had a similar criteria for this earlier and also provide written procedure clear evidence and the paper record is inaccurate or unreadable. especially interested in your response to that section H. in regards to paper records to inaccurate or unreadable and unwritten

procedures. You have to assist the county with those problems.

2.2

MR. PEARSON: First of all, we've never had a discrepancy. We've been 100% accurate with the electronic and the RTAL as evidence of our latest testing as well as the extensive use in the elections to date, but the documentation that would determine if there was any inaccuracies or discrepancies would be the documentation for your logic and accuracy procedure prior to an election and then any of the auditing procedures at the conclusion of the election, post election to be able to identify. So there's a multitude or multiple methods to determine if there are discrepancies of which would provide documentation for all those procedures.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: And with regard to the state's views of systems like this, are they provided with this kind of documentation?

MR. PEARSON: Standard procedure.

It was required by May 6th. It's required by the 2002 standard to have that level of documentation.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

201

MR. GREENHALGH: To clarify, some of the states we deal with do a mandatory recount of x number of precincts which require you to take the paper record from the printer and compare it to the electronic. I think it varies between two and five percent. What we do is prepare instructions for the county on how to do that and normally there's lots of manual work involved because you're basically taking paper records, trying to read all the valid images and compare them to the electronic records. We're very careful because that's where mistakes could be made because people are in our system, we say make sure you look at the bottom of the vote record, that voters choice can change at the The way Jane has done it in West Virginia is read from the bottom up and compare it manually and compare it with the machine records and they have to sign off if they agree on every office total number of votes they sign off on it and then they meet. MR. WOODBRIDGE: The summary at the

bottom of each and every trail cast should be

202 what's totalized in the machine, right? 2 MR. GREENHALGH: Yes, exactly. 3 MR. FLEMMING: The states that you 4 are in right now, what are they using for the 5 6 gold standard, which one wins? 7 MR. GREENHALGH: It depends on the state, what the official ballot, the gold 8 standard is the paper the RTAL printer that is 9 10 the official ballot. Now, there's some data 11 about whether that's going to be retained. 12 They are not guite sure whether it's going to 13 be retained. It takes a lot of manual effort. That's where you run into, do a lot of work 14 15 where you manually are counting those individual ballots, the electronic records. 16 So there is some discussion about changes. 17 MR. FLEMMING: How are the states 18 19 using the paper as dealing with paper jams and ballots that are not quite complete. 20 21 MR. GREENHALGH: We're fortunate 22 with the precinct we selected. MR. PEARSON: They would perform 23 them in the same manner we discussed. 24 MR. FLEMMING: Paper is your gold 25

standard, and it has three less votes and your electronic has three more votes. If that's your standard paper, you go with both.

MR. GREENHALGH: Right.

2.2

MR. FLEMMING: The document says.

When you look at a system with a printer I foresee, I'm not arguing with you, but you say you never had it, all printers have issues could be a rainy day outside and the paper rose or shrinks however it is out there, that's my concern.

MS. GREENHALGH: The state of West Virginia has now decided to go back to the gold standard which will be the DRE. They were paper, they've gone back. Now we've never had where we didn't have them match but they don't like the idea of yes, the printer is a printer.

MR. FLEMMING: In New Jersey it will be printer.

MS. GREENHALGH: They were and now they've gone back.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The good news is we've finished walking through the criteria.

The bad news is we still have some more business to do. In particular, there are two exceptions that NJIT raised that we want to address and then we have some new business. Some of this, incidentally, it might benefit from the experience of Sussex County so I think the timing couldn't be better. Exception Number 11, A person who possesses the supervisor Personalized Electronic Ballot, PEB, can activate a ballot in a few seconds without any cross-checking with the Poll Log. Using a supervisor PEB, any person can cast as many ballots as he or she wants.

MR. PEARSON: We prepared a lengthy response to you that I could go through.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Give us a short version of that.

MR. WESLEY: Our demo I think we actually showed on the machine that before that ballot when the poller puts it in before that ballot would come on the screen he's got to remove that PEB, that's functionality, that's very important that we put on the machine, wheeled into the machine so it forces

them to take possession of that PEB and I think and I and Sussex county can attest they've just drilled that into the importance of that board keeping that PEB in their possession.

MR. PEARSON: That was our first point and PEBs are only distributed to authorized elected officials, so they are not to leave their possession. The second thing is only PEBs programmed for the election is loaded on the machine will grant access so we have security measures in place to prevent anybody else from putting in a PEB for instance. We've talked about those and I don't think we need to get into those scenarios.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The real scenario is seen in this piece of paper pad, you go the election board worker, go in the thing, you push a button, pull a curtain, do your vote and walk out. I guess the concern here, I assume, tell me if I'm wrong that can be used to activate a machine as many times as you stick it in the hole.

MR. PEARSON: That is correct.

1.8

MR. WOODBRIDGE: And my assumption is just to be ridiculous that you can keep doing this and they will keep doing this and you can add 5,000 votes on the machine in theory, but that might not match the number of people.

MR. PEARSON: You have the totals in your vote plus you have counters. No different than paper ballots today actually it is a little easier for someone I would guess a poll worker that would be I guess fraudulent to take a stack of ballots and mark a bunch of ballots. It's probably easier to detect a discrepancy in this system than it would be paper ballots until after the fact.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Just taking a look further, I'm not sure what we described is not true to almost anybody, activate almost any machine of course the machine does it keep voting on that machine, but you got 25,000 votes and five voting.

MR. PEARSON: We've tried to implement security but check and balances and

a couple good procedures that Marge could probably address better than anybody in this room to protect against that. That is a reality that can happen.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Let me go into the last exception here unless NJIT has any other comments on this issue, yes.

MR. ANSARI: Actually this issue is does not really go to any criteria, doesn't affect the end result, but I got a response from ES&S that we did not follow the correct operating procedure. Let me just try to respond that we did follow what's given, the procedure given by Kevin and when we have this problem occur to us, we sent an email to Kevin. Kevin also give a couple solutions. one of the solutions solved it temporarily. That means we can continue to vote but for another five to 75 votes depending on it's quite random, we don't know what the reason why and the problem come again. It just give you all this warning message that we don't understand.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: That's the next

exception we haven't gotten into is what we're really dealing with we'll put you on the record on this and maybe it's a good way to sedway into that section.

MR. ANSARI: I thought you were talking about --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Section Eleven that you can activate the machine as many times as you want, but then you can activate almost any machine as many times. The issue I am interested in is the next one and I think this is where it might be useful to have someone speak on this. Exception 12, During the volume test, after approximately 250 votes had been cast, the DRE machine produced a warning message when the same supervisor PEB was once again inserted to activate the subsequent ballots. This warning message continued for subsequent votes. While this warning did not preclude the voter from voting, the event log showed a warning message that was not understandable. I would ask the vendor exactly what are we looking at.

MR. PEARSON: I would like to

address this. First of all, we have looked at this condition and we've never seen it before, we've never and that is true. We took it to our engineering lab and our developers the condition that was stated in Exception 12 has never been witnessed by ES&S. So, the comments that we have that were provided by NJIT are not specific enough and we really would like to have more information so we could try to research this. It's never happened in any of the testing that that systems has gone through seven years of qualifications they've been certified by dozens probably two dozen times. They've been run through thousands of tests and analysis do occur and we are certainly interested in those and we would like to be able to try to recreate that and address it, but at this point without further, more information it's difficult for us to assess.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any remarks on why this have occurred and what exactly did you get on the screen.

MR. PEARSON: We need all the test

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cases and steps that led up to this event.

MR. ANSARI: One question I would like to ask you also. In your experience does the machine go up to 250 votes. That's why you never got to that experience, we experienced it when we got up to that.

MR. GREENHALGH: Oh, yes we did. I had as many as 4,000 votes and we never had, we don't have any limits.

MS. KLUSKA: If I may interject, I don't know what the description was of what they saw.

MR. ANSARI: The error message you can see this, these are the error messages on the PEB which we don't understand either.

Well, maybe you want to take this. This is probably for the vendor to improve.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I think we've pretty much run through this section and I know that you had some responses to some of the issues raised earlier. What I pose to do if it's okay with you, I would like to go into the public section and then maybe have you wrap up after that so you want to respond to

it. Given the fact there are some outstanding things we're going to be needing from you and some other time frame issues and I know we had one or two people that wanted to provide comments to the committee which tentatively had a due date of this Friday, so we don't have to necessarily get that stuff in writing today, but I would like to give the public a chance to speak and particularly I would like to hear from Essex county, Sussex, because you heard some of the things that have just come up which is relevant to real life use and so Marge and Abbey, if you would like to make a presentation and if you perhaps want to respond.

MS. KLUSKA: Abbey Kluska from
Sussex County. One thing I want to mention
quickly and I just looked over the shoulder to
see the error message that NJIT was discussing
and in my experience and I have an older
version of the firmware, we've seen a similar
issue. If you put the PEB in and pull it out
too quickly because the PEB communication with
the machine it's interrupting the

communication and in my experience that's typically what the message is. So it's not a machine error, it's just you've got to give it a couple seconds before you rip it out and stick it back in.

MR. MAHONEY: I agree with that.

MS. McCABE: Thank you,

Mr. Woodbridge. Thank you committee.

2.4

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you for coming down, someone to come down who's familiar with the equipment.

MS. McCABE: I guess we're the first, well iVotronic. As I was stating earlier, we are the first county in the country who used DRE is county wide and since day one they have been HAVA compliant even before HAVA came out.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: How long has that been.

MS. McCABE: 2001 and Abbey has been on the job since that day since we did the transition from paper to DREs. We do have one of the printer booths as a demo in our office and we've been playing with it and I have to

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

213

tell you the first day we had it it jammed and it jammed badly. It took Abbey and one of my other technicians two hours to unjam it and as a result we had little shredded pieces of ballot all over the floor. So that's a huge concern of ours if the paper is going to be ripped and you have shreds of it all over the floor, how on earth do you have a recount and if a candidate losses by one vote as does happen in our little county, how do you know what the true votes were in that instance, a huge problem. I have to tell you that since 2001 Abbey has developed a testing and validation criteria which was handed out to you which we believe is beyond reproach and we are willing to take that to any court. I think that a big issue in New Jersey is that there has been no testing and validation issue set into place that standard and I think if there was five years ago we wouldn't be standing here today.

I also have an issue with the handicap accessibility. Mr. Millstein testified that the iVotronic is very

handicapped accessible. We can actually
because it's a computer a laptop, computer
sized machine we can literally take it out of
its plastic booth that it's housed in
currently, put it on the lap of a voter, give
them a security screen and they can vote
unassisted. And also in compliance with HAVA
people who are visually impaired can also vote
unassisted. We feel that the inability to
move, take it out of the booth because it is
attached would really be a detriment to our
voters particularly our handicapped voters and
the ability for someone to see how they voted
would be a detriment as well. We believe
legislation and adjudication has been
completed without election official input and
without alternative methods of voters. We
handed you a brochure today about and all
three voting companies in New Jersey has said
its a possibility. We believe the printer
setback voting effects technology ten years it
doesn't move it forward as it should. The
Sussex County freeholders have passed a
resolution in opposition to implementation of

the VVPAT as has 12 of our municipalities
because of the additional difficulty for
handicapped voters the problem with the paper
jams, the long lines it is sure to cause
incorrect recounts and lack of voter privacy.
We believe that VVPAT is a detriment to the
voting process and that the money that would
be spent to implement such a system statewide
would be better spent on improving voter
invalidation criteria and making our polling
places more handicapped accessible. And I
would like to quote Ted Cesar who is from the
MIT and who in 2004 suggested that audio was
viable option to voter valid verification and
he IS quoted in that pamphlet. He said, we
need to make sure the addition of the printer
is an improvement before legislating them as
an improvement. We believe they are not an
improvement. And if I could end with a quote
from Dud Schaffer from election line dot org.
You can build a fence around the edge of a
cliff or you can put a ambulance in the
valley. The paper trail is an ambulance in
the valley, certification and testing of the

machines is the fence around the cliff. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: May I ask you a question while I got you here, Marge. One of the subjects of discussion is the possibility of moving to a way kind of looking at and toward a stand ballot. Do you have any input on that.

MS. McCABE: I believe that paper ballots are the worse case scenario and if you talk to Mayor Lynn from Warren County she had paper ballots with scanners and she can tell you all the nightmares associated went to an Ivante. They had numerous problems. Any time that you add paper to the mix I mean the nice thing about the DRE that we have it will tell you when you've undervoted or overvoted. Paper you don't have that opportunity. If you mark a ballot and then change your mind in a race and remark it, that scanner cannot look at voter intent. It will either mark it as probably mark it as an overvote and the voter doesn't get to make that choice at all. I can tell you a story about paper ballots that I'm

reluctant to do, but when we switched over in the paper ballots to the DREs and Abbey was going through poll worker training, she had a poll worker who approached her and said, I really, I don't like these machines. Abbey said, don't worry they are very usable once you get used to them you will love them. He said, no, I won't. I really don't like these machines. She said, what do you not like about them. The gentleman said, I have no control over the election, and when she said what do you mean by that, he said, I happen to know that there are some people in my town that are not capable of voting and I throw their ballots in the garbage. Now you tell me you want humans back in the mix with paper ballots, I don't think so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you, Marge.

That ambulance does sound pretty useful. You have been waiting a long time Flavio.

MR. KNOUVES: Just a couple of housekeeping things before I go into some of the comments and questions that I have. First of all, as to the concern I raised during the

round of hearings in July 2007 which is that this report and ES&S response were published on a report about October third not really an adequate amount of time consistent with due process to allow people to fully evaluate these lengthy reports. So, again it's just unfortunate that the time frames are as narrow as they were last time.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing, the next thing I wanted to mention also if you recall during the last round of hearings again in July the public advocates submitted a list of documents a book of documents exhibits 1 through 67. We're going to submit now some additional in addition I should say in addition to relying on exhibit 1 through 67 from the last round of hearings, I'm going to hand up a book exhibit 68 through 79. It's got a few other things. Mr. Woodbridge, during the last round of hearings I had posed a question to NJIT and I would again ask leave to pose that question to them to find out if their answer has changed at all. And the question that I asked last time page 169 of July 25th transcript was

whether NJIT takes any position about whether the criteria that they were given by the state represents to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty a valid means for testing the voting machines and Mr. Darer answered no we have no position that was within the scope of our project in what we were engaged into. I want to know if the answer is any different today than what was asked.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: You're asking NJIT to respond.

MR. KNOUVES: Yes.

MR. DARER: We have no opinion. The answer remains the same.

MR. KNOUVES: Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, one of the things that I noted in the NJIT report was an issue about the ITA documentation that was provided to NJIT page 15 of the report indicates that NJIT did receive ITA testing for DRE firm revision 9.1.6.4 and in footnote four of that report they indicate that the source of that was ITA submitted for the Attorney General. I guess one of the questions I have is were there any

other ITA reports that were submitted to NJIT 2 3 other than the one that's mentioned in the report. MR. DARER: I'm not sure. There was 5 a lot of document of ES&s we concentrated on 6 the ones that gave us the information on 7 configuration version that we were required to 8 9 put in. 10 MR. KNOUVES: The machine you tested if I recall correctly was version 9.2.00 and 11 12 that you didn't receive any ITA reports for 13 that version. 14 MR. DARER: You're asking if what's 15 in the table is correct? 16 MR. KNOUVES: Yes. 17 MR. DARER: What's in the table is 18 what we reflect what we saw. MR. KNOUVES: You didn't see the ITA 19 20 report for 9.2.00. 21 MR. DARER: No. 22 MR. KNOUVES: Now, Mr. Woodbridge 23 one of the ongoing debates that's been 24 happening today I do want to weigh in on this 25 is the question of what is the ballot what has

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

221

to be verified and the sense that I got from the testimony today and from my operation of the machine is that obviously as you're voting each selection you make is immediately recorded to the real time auditaudit log. Once the voting is over and you press the cast vote button, a ballot summary is printed of the choices you have made or what purports to be the choices you have made that immediately scribbles into the machine without the opportunity for voter verification of the ballot summary portion. Now, one of the things that I was trying to understand is in NJIT's report there was a discussion of matching the electronic records of the machines versus the paper ballots and when the paper ballots were being counted were being tallied was that the ballot summary or was that the RTAL that was being looked at and tallied. MR. DARER: Flavio, who are you directing that question to. MR. KNOUES: I think NJIT would

probably be best to answer that and the

		444
2	question is: When you counted the ballots the	
3	paper ballots was it the ballot summaries or	·
4	is it the RTALs that they looked at.	
5	MR. DARER: I assume the ballot	
6	summary or the line by line printing.	
7	Correct, it was the ballot summary.	
8	MR. KNOUVES: So you have no	
9	information about whether the ballot summary	
10	matches the line by line entries on the RTAL	
11	because that's not something you looked at.	
12	MR. DARER: Yes, we did. We	
13	compared the line by line selections	
14	deselections changes to the summary in the	
15	single test not in the volume test, but the	
16	single test.	
17	MR. KNOUVES: The single test.	
18	MR. DARER: I don't know what your	
19	question is.	
20	MR. KNOUVES: The single question	
21	involves how many ballots.	
22	MR. DARER: We did 130 votes, 130	
23	ballots.	
24	MR. KNOUVES: In those 130 ballots	
25	you found a match between the line by line.	

MR. DARER: There were no errors.

MR. KNOUVES: There were errors.

MR. DARER: They were consistent.

No errors.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KNOUVES: They were consistent. Again this gets back to the debate that I think we were having before notwithstanding that there was a match of 130 of the different ballots that were cast in NJIT's test I'm trying to conceive in my mind of what is a VVPAT. The thing that I think about when I conceive of a VVPAT, I conceive of something that the voter has verified being the same thing that is used in the audit and when you look at the criteria, when you look at the definitions when you look at the statute of what is by definition what is a voter verified paper auditaudit trail what is being audited here in the ballot summaries isn't the same thing the voter verified. The voter did not verify the summary that's what's being used for the summary. The voter verified the RTAL logs and that isn't necessarily being used as part of the audit. So, I'm not even sure the

system before you today is a VVPAT by definition of what that is and the way this is used.

So, another question that I had also I'll allow whoever wants to answer this. We talked a little bit about multi link and functionality before, obviously in Sussex County at least as far as section 203 of the voting rights act there is no requirement for multi link functionality how exactly would multi link functionality work on these machines.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I think the vendors could answer that. How do you handle the multi functionality.

MR. PEARSON: The way the system works is it could support multiple languages and the system is coded so the initial screens that come up are bilingual to support whichever languages are required. At that point in the audio there's audio files that are all communicated to say for instance it might be english, spanish and creole for instance it would give that initial those

initial instructions would all be given in all three languages and then the voter would have the opportunity to select which language they want to see the race as presented as well as which audio files they would hear at the voting session.

MR. KNOUVES: Just so I understand there would be an option presented at the voting session when you would choose what language you wanted and the ballot would then appear in that language. Now, on the printer, would it, would the title of the office be the same as the screen language.

MR. PEARSON: I'm going to go ask
Will if he knows the answer to that question.

MR. KNOUVES: The printer they select an alternative language raises in the alternative language or is that preprinted.

MR. WESLEY: Those are printed in other languages. I have not had any experience using it anything other than english so I can't answer that.

MR. PEARSON: I don't know the answer. We would have to get the answer for

you. We don't have any requirement for multiple language.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I see we did go through that in one of the sessions. I think it was section C. It says any language, so it shall produce in all such languages. I guess based on assumption you're going to have a ballot in creole, I assume you probably want creole to identify the office so the information on the paper record not needed by the voter's personal verification shall be in english which I think suggests that if you need another language conveyed it's got to be in that language.

MR. KNOUVES: No doubt. I have no doubt about that at all. The question in my mind is whether the printed ballots that are produced end up producing the titles of the office and that other information in both english and such other language or in that other language alone and the reason for this is a question of privacy because if you have a voter who chooses that alternate language that also identifies their ballot in that case.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  WOODBRIDGE: You raised that last time.

MR. KNOUVES: I did. So that's just what I wanted to ask.

MR. PEARSON: Let us look into it for you just point of clarification not only the audio ballot but the visual ballot is presented in the language that the voter chooses. That's not critical for some of the offices although the offices are pronouncing quite a few issues that have to be alternate it's not the audio, but the visual ballot the voter selection in their language but we have to check what the are the actual print out.

MR. KNOUVES: Very briefly. Now

I'll give you sort of a shortened comment. I

made a comment last time although I certainly

rely on what I said last time which is that

the question before this committee again is

whether the ES&S has shown that the electronic

meets the criteria of NJSA 19:41(a) is it

reliable, is it accurate, has it been

thoroughly tested and is the VVPAT and I

touched on this a little bit before. I just

have some doubt in my mind knowing that what
the voter verifies isn't necessarily what's
being audited. I don't know that this is a
VVPAT. But let me talk a little bit about
some of those other criteria. First, the
question of thorough testing in the exhibit
book that I've handed up before, there's three
expert reports that we've submitted to the
Attorney General not to this committee because
of this committee's deadlines but submitted to
the Attorney General for review of the other
three machines it's from professor Wayne from
Princeton Mr. Hallerman, Mr. Crew and
Mr. Schavaslovic. These reports are all
therein and these reports explain why the
amount of testing that's been done on these
machines did not include an examination of
source code, did not include a sufficient
number of test ballots to determine whether
these printers are going to jam in the setting
to determine whether the software code is
robust enough and those three reports that are
in that exhibit book we submitted explain
these concepts, so I urge you to take a look

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

229

at them. That goes to the question of are these machines thoroughly tested and again we would suggest the answer to that is no. question on whether the machines are private we explained this last time, we stand by the position we took then which is basically that any continuous spool system when used in combination of the New Jersey practices of voting authorities steps and the presence of challenges is inherently compromising the privacy. It's highlight again why that is. You go into the polls in New Jersey, sign in in the log, you sign in a voting authority slip voting authority slip is then put on a string that exists for each of the machines the voters may go to. So there are records permanent paper records in the order which people voted under state law even if there weren't state records there's challengers, nothing in the law that prohibits them from recording the order in which other people voted and when you combine that with a continuous spool system that will compromise their privacy I'm really gratified to hear

that the eight time stamps are coming off because that to me was just that was another example of an issue of privacy.

As far as what was discussed before about the screens I think that the idea of turning the machine around to face the wall as a matter of local practice makes a lot of sense and is going to solve a lot of the privacy problems. The privacy problems exist because the continuous spool and I don't mean to deny those exists, but as far as the ability to peer over for someone to catch a glance of what's going on.

One other thing I urge you to consider is whether certification machines should be contingent on local practices that turn the machine around to face the wall. I think you have to look at everything including how the panels are set up and that's something that makes a lot of sense. We talked a little bit about the reliability of the machines and really reliability and accuracy are kind of go hand and glove here. There was evidence based on NJIT's test we didn't cast a lot of ballots

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

231

they cast 298 ballots, 302 ballots in the 14 hour test and there were three paper jams. It resulted in the lack of complete printing of four ballots that's one in 100 is the jam rate for this printer. You think about how many jams would result in the real world election. Miss McCabe testified about a jam that occurred and taking two hours to solve this. The question is, are these printers reliable in the sense that the statute of 1948 dash one requires that these machines be reliable. Are these reliable when you have ballots one in 100 failing in a 14 hour test. Even when you go the 1200 vote test, I think there was testimony there were two jams there was page 19 of the report paper jam resulted in lack of printing of barcode for three paper jams, so it was incomplete but there was another jam and it's I understand and I accept the jams will happen in the real world but one in 100 or at one in 600 based on the 1200 volume That's just an awfully high I think for test. anyone to comfortably say that these machines are reliable and this gets into accuracy

because the result of these jams was that
there was a discrepancy between the paper
ballots and the electronic records. It is
true the barcodes match the paper and the
tally matched the electronic ballot images,
but as between the paper side and the
electronic side there was a discrepancy and in
this election in this mock election I don't
think there was enough discrepancies to change
the outcome of the election, but what happens
when it is one vote, what happens when it is
two votes and there are four ballots lost or
three ballots lost as happened in the lab this
isn't real world, this is in a lab. So, one
of the things we've always asked this
committee to look at is that thorough testing
of voting machines and the setting of rigorous
standards is what can make the difference
between a fair election and unfair one and
when you look at the criteria that the state
has promulgated and I am talking about both
the statute and the non regulatory criteria
guidelines, the document promulgated last
April I'm not sure what to call them, but the

criteria the guidelines they've been referred to in a lot of different ways. So, I think criteria is a good way to look at it whether they satisfy both of these things I don't think that right now we've got enough before us to say that this is a VVPAT. I don't think when have enough to say this is reliable. I have serious questions about reliability and accuracy and privacy. I don't know if that's been made on the record that exists today. I just want to confer with my co-counsel.

That's all I have. Thank you for your time.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: I'm going to at the risk you heard Ms. McCabe talk, do you have any comments on her experience with the DRE and the paper trails and stuff like that.

MR. KNOUVES: We believe that the addition of paper trails, the addition of verification makes sense because number one it provides an additional level of actual security, it gives voters justifiable confidence not just hypothetical confidence but justifiable confidence in the results.

But, because the printers are vulnerable to
failures, vulnerable to jams are vulnerable to
inexperience the people trying to load paper
in the printers it's something that's got to
be rigorously tested and it's got to have
failure rates that are very low and it's got
to be user friendly. I would say we've seen a
lot of the four hearing I've attended we've
seen a whole spectrum I think of usability in
terms of refilling paper ranking from one
machine that in essence the pollers shouldn't
even try to do with another one you stick a
ream of paper in there and this particular
machine I would say is somewhere in the middle
but what concerns me is seeing that these
dislevel of paper jams resulting in the loss
of real balance the official ballot of record
so I think it makes sense to have paper to
have paper printers. I part a little bit with
Miss McCabe on that point but they've got to
be rigorously tested printers that are going
to work in the lab and real world conditions.
I just on the record that's been presented
with the number of failures here I'm not

seeing it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Thank you very much. Alexander, you have any comments. Any other member of the public have any other comments to add while we're here today.

MS. KLUSKA: Could I jump up. Abbey Kluska. One of the things I'd like to preface before we continue, I know there have been some concerns about the reel-to-reel and one of the things I think have been brought up repeatedly is that the machines can do a lot of things, but the election officials have to do a lot of other things and one of the things we've talked about is if the reel-to-reel were to come into affect we intend to cut the individual records apart so that the issues that the public advocate did bring up about being able to link the record to an individual would be a moot point and our plan for that would be very similar to the same procedures that we currently use for our paper records when you take the identifying information off the outer envelope, we would do the same steps. We would have one team of people that

would cut the records apart and then the commissioners would count those records in the case of a recount, so that would be our plan in those procedures. I hope that addresses some concerns at least on privacy about identifying voters on the paper trail.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: All right.

MS. KLUSKA: I'm not going to rehash what Marge has already said. I believe she put it a little more eloquently than I can, but what I would like to say Sussex County has in some way set the standard. We were the first people in the country to use the ES&S iVotronic county wide and in that way we set some standards that we thought would be a bench mark and in many cases we over the years improved upon them. Those standards are set through the paper that I've already handed out. Some of the different testing procedures that we do although we try our best to make sure they are public knowledge, a lot of people in the general public aren't familiar with them and of course we do open our offices at all times, but especially at the public

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

237

test before each election. Every single person that has come up to me and actually witnessed demonstration of the voter verified paper trail has often said that they had concerns about the security of electronic machine. When they understood what our testing practices are currently, they've all walked away saying that they feel confident in our electronic system, confident in our speaking standards but not confident in the addition of the voter verified paper trail as been seen and I don't mean in any way to criticize ES&S paper trail in the limited understanding that I've seen it's very adequate, but what my criticisms are a paper trail being enacted in the standard of the Attorney General's office has put forth to your group as well as the rest of the state.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Is there any other comments thank you very much. Thank you very much. Before we wrap up ES&S, do you have any further comments? You also indicated you might have some clarification you want to put it in writing or you want to respond to some

of the open questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PEARSON: I think quickly we would like, there's about three open items we would like to verify. First of all, quickly on the ITA reports there are no reports 409.2 released yet and they won't be available until the testing is completed, so we provided them the last set of reports which was the 3011 version which included the 901 of the DRE with the RTAL printer that was the last set of reports that was tested under May 15th in 2006 so as soon as the new reports are available you will receive those. That's to clarify that issue. The other question regarding reliability and accuracy questions, the system as a system has passed and exceeded all of the 2002 voting system standards that were established by the federal election commission. So, for all the reliability tests which is an accelerated test for the system operating as a system where they are conducted in a continuous modemode with Virginia but I think anybody wants to go check and see what that testing system you will see the system

passed that criteria and it will be in the final report.

Regarding the accuracy tested it also passed all the data accuracy test as defined by the 2002 VFSs and completed the 1.5 million mark accuracy test so as far as accuracy and reliability we passed the test for the standard.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Are you talking about the paper ballot.

MR. PEARSON: The whole system.

They are tested as system by the ITA and now you'll have those reports shortly, so and then the last topic we wanted to just clarify was just the confusion and I apologize for not having all the answers regarding the paper.

The red strike on the paper and I still don't have the definitive answer neither will arise how much red is on the paper, but the folks at the office said there are a lot like 20 or 30 feet. Somewhere in that neighborhood. So there's a significant amount of warning before you hit the red line which is the individual indicator related to the print ear the

iVotronic when it hits a low paper, a low paper level condition there's two scenarios and I'm going to let Will address them. The first is a low paper level is reached during the voting session and then it will talk about low paper conditions reached prior to voting session, so Will why don't you the first one would be if you're in the middle of the voting session and the printer detects a low paper condition.

MR. WESLEY: If it detects that condition which is about a quarter inch of paper left on that roll which we're estimating to be somewhere about 20 to 30 feet of paper left on the roll, that's quite a bit and it happens it trips that threshold while the voter is voting it will allow that voter to continue voter until the end. The next time a poll worker goes there to activate a ballot, that threshold being tripped would then put an error message on the screen indicating to the poll worker that that roll needs to be changed. And the next voting session would not be allowed.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: So what you're saying something contradictory what NJIT has told us. Once you do get that vote set you produce an audible signal when that happens or does it just simply let that voter continue and then when you put the PEB in it you can't vote on it; is that right. MR. WESLEY: That's correct no audibles are signaled. It's visual on a screen. MR. PEARSON: It is a visual warning. MR. WOODBRIDGE: The voter is voting and reached that level in the machine does the voter voting that knows that. MR. WESLEY: No. MR. WOODBRIDGE: The voter continues

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOODBRIDGE: The voter continues voting the next voter can't vote.

MR. PEARSON: If that voter were to stay there and run all 20 or 30 feet of paper out when it runs out of paper it will then throw the warning up for that person and they would not be able to complete their voting session.

2	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Time session I
3	think they have said there is a warning that
4	would prevent somebody from voting is that
5	right?
6	MR. ANSARI: There is a warning
7	signal that the paper is low but does not
8	allowed the voter to continue finishing to
9	vote that's the issue.
10	MR. WOODBRIDGE: That's the
11	disconnect what I understand that there is,
12	there is some sort of signal that goes onto
13	the machine let them continue to vote, but the
14	next voter can't vote; is that correct?
15	MR. PEARSON: The next voter can't
16	vote.
17	MR. WOODBRIDGE: I'm getting a
18	little bit of confused at this point.
19	MR. PEARSON: I agree there is
20	confusion on that.
21	MR. WOODBRIDGE: Something you
22	people have to work out. So when the voter is
23	finished, and it gives them an error message
24	saying it is low and it will not let them
25	vote.

2 MR. PEARSON: That's my understanding. 3 MR. WOODBRIDGE: The record shows 5 two different ends here. West, one more thing I wanted to clarify. I think Steve talked 6 7 about the rooster tail lab. I asked him how long did the rooster tail go. 8 MR. WESLEY: I'm not sure. 9 10 THE COURT: It's the red thing when 11 you see it. 12 MR. WESLEY: It is a visual queue. 13 MR. FLEMMING: I did have one 14 question. You said you had the version 92 15 that's in testing right now and when that 16 comes out we're going to get the reports. Is 17 that machine going to go to NJIT when it gets 18 done. 19 MR. PEARSON: That has not been determined. In the discussions I've had with 20 21 the Attorney General's Office they understood 22 we were so close to certification with the 23 significant enhancements they did not want to 24 evaluate the old system because we were 25 planning to bring the new system in for

244 certification in December, so she said there 2 might be some retesting that might be 3 required. That hasn't been determined at this 4 5 point. MR. FLEMMING: What we have here. 6 7 MR. PEARSON: The latest. MR. FLEMMING: What's demonstrated 8 is the 92. 9 MR. PEARSON: It is the 92. 10 MR. FLEMMING: That's not what the 11 12 NJIT. 13 MR. PEARSON: That was what was NJIT. They tested the 92. 14 15 MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any other comments. MR. PEARSON: No. 16 17 MR. WESLEY: I would like to clarify 18 this while he is here. I stepped out to talk 19 to our developers again about the error message we saw, they did confirm that what 20 21 NJIT said is correct it simply means that the 22 right operation between the PEB the 23 communication between the PEB was interrupted 24 prematurely and that's a pretty elaborate 25 message but that's exactly what it means.

2 MR. WOODBRIDGE: It means you pulled 3 it out too fast. 4 MR. ANSARI: Can I respond to that. Kevin did send us the email about that and we 5 did make sure very slowly not to pull out so 6 7 quick. Kevin sent a solution but it doesn't solve the problem. I'm not, we are not going 8 9 to haggle what's wrong. The problem is for 10 you to solve it. I just told you this is 11 something happening. 12 MR. PEARSON: We can speculate until 13 we can try to recreate all the facts that's 14 all that is. 15 MR. KNOUVES: I'm sorry I just 16 wanted to get a clarification on what's before 17 the ITA. What is before the ITA versus what is before what was before NJIT. 18 19 MR. PEARSON: The system is in test 20 with the VSTL. 21 MR. KNOUVES: Very good. 22 MR. PEARSON: It was what was 23 submitted it was off was when they completed 24 the bills so the system that had the 25 functional testing would have some minor

perhaps some minor changes to it from what was delivered it may be during functional testing it may be covered we would have made that to start the testing over again so it could be slightly revised.

MR. KNOUVES: One of the revisions you're taking off.

MR. PEARSON: That is one of the things that we learned in this we said let's take it out because other states asked that we have it in we said let's just take it out.

Yes, that is one of the changes.

MR. WOODBRIDGE: Any other comments from the committee, no. NJIT, I would like to thank you gentlemen. As usual, you've been great and very, very helpful. I appreciate what you've done for us. And I appreciate the audience and especially those from Sussex County. I assume the vendors are not going to Paris after this. And as usual, Donna and Karen and everybody else, thank you for setting this up and Kim thank you for keeping the record in back of us. Sound person, thank you very much. Again we'll be doing a report.

## PUBLIC HEARING - IN RE: CRITERIA FOR VOTER-VERIFIED PAPER RECORD FOR DIRECT RECORDING MACHINES

•		
		247
2	We'll be waiting for you to provide the	
3	additional documentation and anything else you	
4	want. Anybody else has comments, please get	
5	them to the Attorney General's Office. I	
6	can't tell you when the report's going to come	
7	out because we've got to do a draft and	
8	circulate it. Thank you very much. I	
9	appreciate you coming here and have a safe	
10	trip back.	
11	(Whereupon the Hearing was	
12	concluded.)	
13	(Time noted 4:51 p.m.)	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## PUBLIC HEARING - IN RE: CRITERIA FOR VOTER-VERIFIED PAPER RECORD FOR DIRECT RECORDING MACHINES

CERTIFICATION I, KIMBERLY HORSLEY, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the hearing, taken on Wednesday, October 10, 2007 is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Kimberly Horsley, CSF (The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)